Upper Cam

Agricultural Land Classification

May 1998

Resource Planning Team Bristol FRCA Western Region RPT Job Number 88/97
FRCA File No EL14/01506



UPPER CAM

AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION SURVEY

CONTENTS

		Page
INTRODUCTIO	N	1
SUMMARY		1
CLIMATE		2
RELIEF		3
GEOLOGY ANI	OSOILS	3
AGRICULTURA	AL LAND CLASSIFICATION AND MAP	3
REFERENCES		6
APPENDIX I	Description of the Grades and Subgrades	7
APPENDIX II	Definition of Soil Wetness Classes	9
APPENDIX III	Survey Data	10
	Sample Point Location Map	
	Pit Descriptions	
	Boring Profile Data	
	Boring Horizon Data	
	Abbreviations and Terms used	ın Survey Data

UPPER CAM

AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION SURVEY

INTRODUCTION

- This report presents the findings of a semi detailed Agricultural Land Classification (ALC) survey of 216 9 ha of land at Upper Cam Gloucestershire. The site runs from Drake Lane Dursley to the sewage works off Box Road. Cam. Field survey was based on 94 auger borings and 8 soil profile pits, and was completed in March 1998. During the survey 5 soil samples were analysed for particle size distribution (PSD)
- The survey was conducted by the Resource Planning Team of FRCA Western Region on behalf of MAFF in its statutory role in the preparation of the Stroud District Local Plan
- Information on climate geology and soils and from previous ALC surveys was considered and is presented in the relevant section. Apart from the published regional ALC map (MAFF 1977) which shows the site at a reconnaissance scale as being Grade 3 with an area of Grade 2 to the north of Box Road, the site had not been surveyed previously. However, the current survey uses the Revised Guidelines and Criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land (MAFF 1988) and supersedes any previous ALC survey. Grade descriptions are summarised in Appendix I.
- 4 Previously land running along the line of the disused railway between Box Road and Upthorne was surveyed in 1997 (FRCA, 1997) This was shown to be mostly Subgrade 3b with some Subgrade 3a due to moderate wetness limitations. A small area of Grade 2 land with minor workability and drought limitations was mapped adjacent to Box Road on a gravel deposit
- At the time of survey land cover was all permanent grassland for grazing except for a couple of fields of winter wheat on the higher ground at Cam Green and on Halmore Lane Land that was not surveyed includes residential areas agricultural farmsteads and an area of newly established woodland below Peaked Down

SUMMARY

- The distribution of ALC grades is shown on the accompanying 1 10 000 scale ALC map. The detail of information shown at this scale is appropriate to the intensity of field survey but could be misleading if enlarged or applied to small areas. Areas are summarised in the Table 1
- Table 1 shows that only 24% of the agricultural land surveyed has been mapped as best and most versatile with 22% being Subgrade 3a. The Grade 2 land has minor workability and drought limitations which are a continuation of a mapping unit from the adjacent survey. Most of the site has a moderate wetness limitation with the distinction between Subgrades 3a and 3b being topsoil texture and whether or not the upper subsoil is a slowly permeable layer. Strongly and steeply sloping land has been mapped to the south of Springhill as Subgrade 3b.

and Grade 4 with moderate and severe limitations due to gradient. The two Grade 4 mapping units below Peaked Down and near Dulkin Brook have severe drought and wetness limitations respectively.

Table 1 Distribution of ALC grades Upper Cam

Grade	Area (ha)	% Surveyed Area (186 8 ha)
2	3 2	2
3a 3b	42 3	22
3b	104 6	56
4	36 7	20
Other land	30 1	
Total site area	216 9	

CLIMATE

- Estimates of climatic variables for this site were derived from the published agricultural climate dataset. Climatological Data for Agricultural Land Classification. (Meteorological Office 1989) using standard interpolation procedures. Data for key points around the site are given in Table 2 below.
- Since the ALC grade of land is determined by the most limiting factor present overall climate is considered first because it can have an overriding influence by restricting land to a lower grade despite more favourable site and soil conditions. Parameters used for assessing overall climate are accumulated temperature a measure of relative warmth and average annual rainfall a measure of overall wetness. The results shown in Table 2 indicate that there is no overall climatic limitation.
- Climatic variables also affect ALC grade through interactions with soil conditions. The most important interactive variables are Field Capacity Days (FCD) which are used in assessing soil wetness and potential Moisture Deficits calculated for wheat and potatoes which are compared with the moisture available in each profile in assessing soil droughtiness limitations. These are described in later sections

Table 2 Climatic Interpolations Upper Cam

Grid Reference	SO 754 020	SO 758 007	SO 766 994
Altıtude (m)	26	75	115
Accumulated Temperature (day C)	1503	1448	1403
Average Annual Rainfall (mm)	802	931	844
Overall Climatic Grade	1	1	1
Field Capacity Days	177	182	185
Moisture deficit (mm) Wheat	100	93	88
Potatoes	92	83	76

RELIEF

Altitude ranges from 26 metres on Box Road to 125 metres below Peaked Down Most of the land is either level gently sloping or moderately sloping giving no agricultural limitation. Several fields in the middle part of the site are close to the 7 cut off for Subgrade 3b but this would not be the overall limitation in these areas. To the south of Springhill the land becomes strongly and steeply sloping and is limited to Subgrade 3b and Grade 4 due to gradient.

GEOLOGY AND SOILS

- The underlying geology of the site is shown on the published geology maps (IGS 1970 1975) this being a complex pattern of Jurassic clay and rock and more recent drift material. Bands of Lower Lias clay and Middle Lias Dyrham Silts are mapped over much of the site with the clay becoming more dominant on the lower ground. Deposits of river terrace gravels and estuarine alluvium are mapped with the clay to the north of Draycott Farm, while outcrops of Middle Lias Marlstone Rock Beds are shown below Peaked Down. Although the distribution of the clay and silts was variable as indicated by the soils in general the published geology was borne out by the current survey.
- Soils were mapped by the Soil Survey of England and Wales at a reconnaissance scale of 1 250 000 (SSEW 1983) This shows soils from the Martock and Oxpasture Associations over the clay lias and Marlstone geology with an area of Bardsey 1 soils on the northern edge of the site
- Martock soils are described as being slowly permeable seasonally waterlogged stoneless silty over clayey or clayey soils over siltstone or shale with similar soils having slowly permeable subsoils and slight waterlogging. Oxpasture soils are also slowly permeable being described as fine loamy over clayey and clayey soils with slowly permeable subsoils and slight seasonal waterlogging and some slowly permeable seasonally waterlogged soils. The river gravels in the northern part of the site have developed the Badsey 1 soils which are described as being well drained calcareous and non calcareous fine loamy soils over limestone gravel. Some deep fine loamy soils and fine loamy soils over gravel and similar but shallower soils affected by groundwater may also be found
- The general distribution of the soils was largely borne out by the current survey although it was difficult to distinguish between the Martock and Oxpasture soils and shallow well drained profiles were found on the Marlstone outcrops which were not described by the published soils map

AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION

The distribution of ALC grades found by the current survey is shown on the accompanying 1 10 000 scale map and areas are summarised in Table 1. The detail of information shown at this scale is appropriate to the intensity of field survey but could be misleading if enlarged or applied to small areas.

Grade 2

Soils developed over the river gravels to the south of Box Road are mapped as Grade 2 with minor workability and drought limitations. The profiles mainly have medium clay loam topsoils over heavy clay loam subsoils which with no evidence of wetness were assessed as Wetness Class I (see Appendix II). Pit 1 from the adjacent 1997 survey (FRCA, 1997) illustrates this mapping unit and shows that although 31% and 62% hard rock by volume was found in the upper and lower subsoils respectively there is only a minor drought limitation. Pit 4 from the current survey also shows similar subsoils.

Subgrade 3a

The areas of Subgrade 3a land around Upthorpe and Ashmead Green have a moderate wetness limitation. The profiles developed over bands of Dyrham Silts, tend to have medium and heavy clay loam and silty clay loam toposils which with the profiles being assessed as Wetness Classes II and III impart a moderate wetness limitation. Pits 2, 5 and 7 is an example of these units showing that the clay and silty clay lower subsoils are gleyed with slowly permeable layers starting. The mapping unit below Upper Upthorpe Farm is a continuation of the Subgrade 3a land mapped during the adjacent survey (FRCA 1977).

Subgrade 3b

- The Subgrade 3b land throughout the site has a moderate wetness limitation. The profiles tend to have medium clay loam and silty loam topsoils over clay subsoils. With gleying being present below the topsoil and slowly permeable layers starting below 35 cm to 40 cm they were assessed as Wetness Class IV which together with the topsoil texture implies the moderate wetness limitation. Within this mapping unit there are also isolated areas of Subgrade 3a and Grade 4 land which could not be mapped at this level of detail
- Most of this mapping unit to the south of Springhill has strongly sloping gradients that impart a moderate limitation to its agricultural use due to gradient

Grade 4

- The northern Grade 4 mapping unit near Dulkin Brook consists of clayey profiles that are gleyed from the surface or below the topsoil with slowly permeable layers starting below the topsoil They were assessed as Wetness Class IV which with the clay topsoil imparts a severe wetness limitation Pits 3 and 6 illustrate this mapping unit
- The Grade 4 land below Peaked Down is developed over Marlstone Rock leading to shallow profiles. In Pit 8 31% hard rock was found at 18 cm and 80% hard rock was found at 40 cm which gives a severe drought limitation. These profiles also have a moderate limitation due to soil depth and the high stone content of their top 25 cm.

The Grade 4 land near Dursley is moderately steeply and steeply sloping which imparts a severe limitation due to gradient

H C Lloyd Jones Resource Planning Team FRCA Bristol May 1998

REFERENCES

FRCA Resource Planning Team (1997) Agricultural Land Classification Survey of North East Cam Scale 1 10 000 Reference 66/97 FRCA Bristol

HODGSON J M (Ed) (1974) Soil Survey Field Handbook Soil Survey Technical Monograph No 5 SSLRC Cranfield University

INSTITUTE OF GEOLOGICAL SCIENCES (1970) Sheet 251 Malmesbury 1 63 360 series Solid and Drift edition IGS London

INSTITUTE OF GEOLOGICAL SCIENCES (1975) Sheet 234 Gloucester 1 50 000 series Solid and Drift edition IGS London

MAFF (1977) 1 250 000 series Agricultural Land Classification South West Region MAFF Publications Alnwick

MAFF (1988) Agricultural Land Classification of England and Wales Revised Guidelines and Criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land MAFF Publications Alnwick

METEOROLOGICAL OFFICE (1989) Climatological Data for Agricultural Land Classification Meteorological Office Bracknell

SOIL SURVEY OF ENGLAND AND WALES (1983) Sheet 5 Soils of South West England 1 250 000 scale SSEW Harpenden

SOIL SURVEY OF ENGLAND AND WALES (1984) Soils and Their Use in South West England Bulletin No 14 SSEW Harpenden

APPENDIX I

DESCRIPTION OF GRADES AND SUBGRADES

Grade 1 excellent quality agricultural land

Land with no or very minor limitations to agricultural use. A very wide range of agricultural and horticultural crops can be grown and commonly include top fruit soft fruit salad crops and winter harvested vegetables. Yields are high and less variable than on land of lower quality.

Grade 2 very good quality agricultural land

Land with minor limitations which affect crop yield cultivations or harvesting. A wide range of agricultural and horticultural crops can usually be grown but on some land in the grade there may be reduced flexibility due to difficulties with the production of the more demanding crops such as winter harvested vegetables and arable root crops. The level of yield is generally high but may be lower or more variable than Grade 1

Grade 3 good to moderate quality agricultural land

Land with moderate limitations which affect the choice of crops timing and type of cultivation harvesting or the level of yield. Where more demanding crops are grown yields are generally lower or more variable than on land in Grades 1 and 2.

Subgrade 3a good quality agricultural land

Land capable of consistently producing moderate to high yields of a narrow range of arable crops especially cereals or moderate yields of a wide range of crops including cereals grass oilseed rape potatoes sugar beet and the less demanding horticultural crops

Subgrade 3b moderate quality agricultural land

Land capable of producing moderate yields of a narrow range of crops principally cereals and grass or lower yields of a wider range of crops or high yields of grass which can be grazed or harvested over most of the year

Grade 4 poor quality agricultural land

Land with severe limitations which significantly restrict the range of crops and/or level of yields. It is mainly suited to grass with occasional arable crops (e.g. cereals and forage crops) the yields of which are variable. In most climates, yields of grass may be moderate to high but there may be difficulties in utilisation. The grade also includes very droughty arable land.

Grade 5 very poor quality agricultural land

Land with very severe limitations which restrict use to permanent pasture or rough grazing except for occasional pioneer forage crops

Source MAFF (1988) Agricultural Land Classification of England and Wales Revised Guidelines and Criteria for Grading the Quality of Agricultural Land MAFF Publications Alnwick

APPENDIX II

DEFINITION OF SOIL WETNESS CLASSES

Soil wetness is classified according to the depth and duration of waterlogging in the soil profile

Wetness Class I

The soil profile is not wet within 70 cm depth for more than 30 days in most years

Wetness Class II

The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for 31 90 days in most years or if there is no slowly permeable layer within 80 cm depth it is wet within 70 cm for more than 90 days but not wet within 40 cm depth for more than 30 days in most years

Wetness Class III

The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for 91 180 days in most years or if there is no slowly permeable layer within 80 cm depth it is wet within 70 cm for more than 180 days but only wet within 40 cm depth for between 31 and 90 days in most years

Wetness Class IV

The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for more than 180 days but not within 40 cm depth for more than 210 days in most years or if there is no slowly permeable layer within 80 cm depth it is wet within 40 cm depth for 91 210 days in most years

Wetness Class V

The soil profile is wet within 40 cm depth for 211 335 days in most years

Wetness Class VI

The soil profile is wet within 40 cm depth for more than 335 days in most years

Notes The number of days specified is not necessarily a continuous period

In most years is defined as more than 10 out of 20 years

Source Hodgson J M (In preparation) Soil Survey Field Handbook Revised Edition

APPENDIX III

ABBREVIATIONS AND TERMS USED IN SURVEY DATA

Soil pit and auger boring information collected during ALC survey is held on a computer database and is reproduced in this report. Terms used and abbreviations are set out below. These conform to definitions contained in the Soil Survey Field Handbook (Hodgson 1974).

1 Terms used on computer database in order of occurrence

GRID REF National 100 km grid square and 8 figure grid reference

USE Land Use at the time of survey

WHT	Wheat	SBT	Sugar Beet	HTH	Heathland
BAR	Barley	BRA	Brassicas	BOG	Bog or Marsh
OAT	Oats	FCD	Fodder Crops	DCW	Deciduous Wood
CER	Cereals	FRT	Soft and Top Fruit	CFW	Coniferous Woodland
MZE	Maıze	HRT	Horticultural Crops	PLO	Ploughed
OSR	Oilseed Rape	LEY	Ley Grass	FLW	Fallow (inc. Set aside)
POT	Potatoes	PGR	Permanent Pasture	SAS	Set Aside (where known)
LIN	Linseed	RGR	Rough Grazing	ОТН	Other
BEN	Field Beans	SCR	Scrub		

ASPECT The aspect of the land

GRDNT Gradient as estimated or measured by hand held optical clinometer

GLEY SPL Depth in centimetres to gleying or slowly permeable layer

AP (WHEAT/POTS) Crop adjusted available water capacity

MB (WHEAT/POTS) Moisture Balance (Crop adjusted AP crop potential MD)

DRT Best grade according to soil droughtiness

If any of the following factors are considered significant Y will be entered in the relevant column

M REL	Microrelief limitation	FLOOD	Flood risk	EROSN	Soil erosion risk
EXP	Exposure limitation	FROST	Frost prone	DIST	Disturbed land
CHEM	Chemical limitation				

LIMIT The main limitation to land quality The following abbreviations are used

OC	Overall Climate	ΑE	Aspect	EX	Exposure
FR	Frost Risk	GR	Gradient	MR	Microrelief
FL	Flood Risk	TX	Topsoil Texture	DP	Soil Depth
CH	Chemical	WE	Wetness	WK	Workabılıty
DR	Drought	ER	Erosion Risk	WD	Soil Wetness/Droughtiness

ST Topsoil Stoniness

Ped s	ıze		F C	Fine Coarse		M VC	Medium Very coarse
Ped S	Shape		S GR SAB PL	Single grain Granular Sub angular b Platy	olocky	M AB PR	Massive Angular blocky Prismatic
CONS	IST Soil con	sistence	ıs describ	ed using the fol	lowing n	otation	
L VM	Loose Very firm	VF EM	Very Fri Extreme		FR EH	Friable Extreme	FM Firm ly Hard
SUBS	SUBS STR Subsoil structural condition recorded for the purpose of calculating profile droughtiness						
G Go	od M M	oderate]	P Poor			
POR	Soil porosity >0 5mm a	•			orosity	with less	than 05% biopores
IMP	If the profil appropriate	_	enetrable	to rooting a	Y will	appear in	this column at the
SPL	Slowly permeathus column	able laye	r If the	soil horizon is	slowly p	ermeable	a Y will appear in
CALC				areous with n bear this colum	-	occurring	calcium carbonate
Additio	onal terms and a	ibbrevia	itions use	d mainly in soi	ıl pıt des	criptions	
STON	E ASSESSMEN	T					
VIS	Visual	S	Sieve	D	Displac	ement	
мотт	TLE SIZE						
EF VF F	Extremely fine Very fine 1 2m Fine 2 5mm			M C		n 5 15mm >15mm	1
MOTTLE COLOUR May be described by Munsell notation or as ochreous (OM) or grey (GM)							
ROOT	CHANNELS	In top	soil the pi	resence of rust	y root ch	annels sl	hould also be noted
MANO	GANESE CON	CRETIC	NS A	ssessed by volu	ıme		
N F C	None Few Common	<2% 2 20 /		M VM	Many Very M		20-40% >40 %

8897RP DOC 11

2

TEXTURE Soil texture classes are denoted by the following abbreviations

S	Sand	LS	Loamy Sand	SL	Sandy Loam
SZL	Sandy Silt Loam	CL	Clay Loam	ZCL	Silty Clay Loam
ZL	Silt Loam	SCL	Sandy Clay Loam	C	Clay
SC	Sandy clay	ZC	Silty clay	OL	Organic Loam
P	Peat	SP	Sandy Peat	LP	Loamy Peat
PL	Peaty Loam	PS	Peaty Sand	MZ	Marine Light Silts

For the sand loamy sand sandy loam and sandy silt loam classes the predominant size of sand fraction will be indicated by the use of the following prefixes

- Fine (more than 66% of the sand less than 0 2mm)
- M Medium (less than 66 % fine sand and less than 33 % coarse sand)
- C Coarse (more than 33 % of the sand larger than 0 6mm)

The clay loam and silty clay loam classes will be sub-divided according to the clay content

M Medium (< 27% clay) H heavy (27 35 % clay)

MOTTLE COL Mottle colour using Munsell notation

MOTTLE ABUN Mottle abundance expressed as a percentage of the matrix or surface described

F few <2% C common 2 20 / M many 20 40% VM very many 40%+

MOTTLE CONT Mottle contrast

- F faint indistinct mottles evident only on close inspection
- D distinct mottles are readily seen
- P Prominent mottling is conspicuous and one of the outstanding features of the horizon

PED COL Ped face colour using Munsell notation

GLEY If the soil horizon is gleyed a Y will appear in this column If slightly gleyed and S will appear

STONE LITH Stone Lithology One of the following is used

HR	All hard rocks and stones	SLST	Soft oolitie or dolimitie limestone
CH	Chalk	FSST	Soft fine grained sandstone
ZR	Soft argillaceous or silty rocks	GH	Gravel with non porous (hard) stones
MSST	Soft medium grained sandstone	GS	Gravel with porous (soft) stones
SI	Soft weathered igneous or metamorp	hic rock	

Stone contents are given in % by volume for sizes >2cm >6cm and total stone >2mm

STRUCT The degree of development size and shape of soil peds are described using the following notation

Degree of development	WK	Weakly developed	MD	Moderately developed
	ST	Strongly developed		

STRUCTURE Ped Development *

WA Weakly adherent
 W Weakly developed
 M Moderately developed
 S Strongly developed

POROSITY

Poor less than 0 5 / biopores at least 0 5mm in diameter
 Good more than 0 5% biopores at least 0 5mm in diameter

ROOT ABUNDANCE

The number of roots per 100cm ²		Very Fine and Fine	Medium and Coarse
F	Few	1 10	1 or 2
C	Common	10 25	2 5
M	Many	25 200	>5
A	Abundant	>200	

ROOT SIZE

VF	Very fine	<1mm	M	Medium	2 5mm
F	Fine	1 2mm	С	Coarse	>5mm

HORIZON BOUNDARY DISTINCTNESS

Sharp	<0 5cm	Gradual 6	13cm
Abrupt	0 5 2 5cm	Dıffuse	>13cm
Clear	25 6cm		

HORIZON BOUNDARY FORM Smooth wavy irregular or broken *

^{*} See Soil Survey Field Handbook (Hodgson 1974) for details

SITE NA	ME	PRO	FILE NO	SLOPE	AND ASPE	ЕСТ	LAND USE		Av Raınfall	831 mm		PARENT MA	TERIAL	
Upper Ca	m	Pit 1	(Asp 75)	3 Nort	h		Permanent G	rass	АТО	1448 d ay	C	Dyrham Silts		
JOB NO		DAT	E	GRID I	REFERENC	E	DESCRIBED	BY	FC Days	182		SOIL SAMPL	E REFEREN	CES
88/97		21/1/	98	ST 766	0 9965		HLJ PRW		Climatic Grade Exposure Grade	1		T/S 0 25 cm	HZCL (S12	Z56 C32)
Horizon No	Lowest Av Depth (cm)	Texture	Matrix (Ped Face) Colours	Stoning Size Ty Field M	pe and	Mottling Abundance Contrast Size and Colour	e Mangan Concs	Structure Ped Developm Size and Shape		Structural	Pores (Fissures)	Roots Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary Distinctness and form
1					ris) FFFO (10YR5)		None None				Good	CF & VF		Clear Smooth
2	42	HCL	10YR42	0/ (Vi	s)	CDMO (10YR58		MDMSA	AB Friable	Moderate	Poor*1	CF & VF		Abrupt Wavy
3	80 + C 2 5Y61 0				s)	CDMO (10YR58		n WKCSA	B* Friable	Moderate	Poor	FF & VF		
Profile G	leyed Fron	n 24 cm			Available	Water W	/heat	143 mm		Final ALC	Grade	4		*-
Depth to Permeabl	e Horizon	42 cm IV			Moisture I		Potatoes /heat	119 mm 93 mm	Main Limiting Fac			(s) Wetness		
						P	otatoes	83 mm						
weiness	сгаце	4			Moisture F	Balance W	/heat	50 mm		Remarks	*1 within	the peds		
						P	otatoes	36 mm			*2 tendin	g to WKCPR tering from the	nut floor	
					Droughtin	ess Grade 1	(C	alculated to 12	!0 cm)			at the bottom of		weathered

SITE NA	ME	PRO	FILE NO	SLOPE	AND ASPE	CT	LAN	ND USE		Av l	Raınfall	831 mm		PARENT MAT	ERIAL	<u>.</u>
Upper Ca	m	Pit 2	2 (Asp 73)	6 Nor	th		Pern	nanent Grass		ATO	o	1448 day	С	Dyrham Sılts		
JOB NO		DA	<u>re</u>	GRID	REFERENCE		DES	SCRIBED BY	7	FC I	Days	182		SOIL SAMPLE	REFERENC	ES
88/97		21/1	/98	ST 763	2 9964		HLJ	PRW			natic Grade	I 1		None		
Horizon No	Lowest Av Depth (cm)	Texture	Matrix (Ped Face) Colours		ness Type and Method	Mottling Abundar Contrast Size and Colour	nce	Mangan Concs	Structure Ped Developn Size and Shape		Consistence	Structural Condition	Pores (Fissure	Roots Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary Distinctness and form
1	22	MCL	10YR43	0 / (√ıs)	None	e 	None					Good	MF & VF		Clear Smooth
2	44	MCL	10YR54 56	1/ H	R (Vis)	None	e 	None	WKCSA	∆B*¹	Friable	Moderate	Good	MF & VF		Abrupt Smooth
3	80 +	С	10YR53 54	1/H	R (Vis)	CDF0 (10YR:		None	MDCSA	∆B* ²	Friable	Moderate	Poor	CF & VF		
Profile G	leyed Fron	n 44 cr	n		Available W	/ater V	Vheat	139	9 mm			Final ALC C	rade	3a		
Permeabl Wetness	rofile Gleyed From 44 cm Pepth to Slowly ermeable Horizon No spl Vetness Class II Vetness Grade 3a				Moisture Do	Potatoes 116 mm Wheat 93 mm Potatoes 83 mm		mm			Main Limiti	ng Factor((s) Wetness			
welliess	welless Grade 3a						Vheat		mm			Remarks *1 prismatic tendencies				
					Po Droughtiness Grade 1		Potatoes 33 mm 1 (Calculated to 12)			0 cm)			* angula	r tendencies		

			OFILE NO			ЕСТ	LAND USE		Av Raınfall	831 mm		PARENT MA	TERIAL	·-
Upper Ca	m	Pit :	3 (Asp 60)	3 Sout	h West		Permanent	Grass	АТО	1448 day	C	Lower Lias Cl	ay	
JOB NO		DA	ΓE	GRID I	REFERENC	E	DESCRIBE	D BY	FC Days	182		SOIL SAMPL	E REFEREN	CES
88/97		3/2/	98	ST 756	1 9977		HLJ		Climatic Grade Exposure Grade	1		T/S 0 25 cm	HZCL (S10	Z61 C29)
Horizon No	Lowest Av Depth (cm)	Texture	Matrix (Ped Face) Colours	Stoning Size Ty Field M	pe and	Mottling Abundance Contrast Size and Colour	e Manga Concs	Structure Ped Developm Size and Shape		Structural Condition	Pores (Fissures)	Roots Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary Distinctness and form
1	27	HZCL	10YR42	1/HR	(Vis)	FDFO (7 5YR56	None	;				MF & VF		Clear Smooth
2	60	С	25Y64	0/ (Vi	s)	CDMO (7 5YR66 CDFG (10Y61)	5)	WKCP	R Firm	Poor	Poor	FVF		Abrupt Smooth
3	100 +	ZC	5GY61	5 / ZR	(Vis)	MDMO (7 5YR58		on WKVC	PR Firm	Роот	Poor	FVF		
Profile G	leyed Fron	n 27 cr	n	•	Available	Water W	/heat	129 mm		Final ALC	Grade	4		
Permeabl	rofile Gleyed From 27 cm epth to Slowly ermeable Horizon 27 cm //etness Class IV				Moisture I	Deficit W	Potatoes Vheat Potatoes	106 mm 93 mm 83 mm		Main Limi	ting Factor(s) Wetness		
Wetness	Wetness Grade 4				Moisture Balance W		/heat	36 mm		Remarks			·····	
					Pot Droughtiness Grade 1		Potatoes (23 mm Calculated to 12	20 cm)					

SITE NA	ME	PRO	FILE NO			LAND	USE	<u>.</u>	Av R	aınfall	831 mm		PARENT MA	TERIAL	-	
Upper Ca	m	Pit 4	(Asp 4)	Level			Permai	nent Gras	SS	АТО		1448 day	С	River Gravels		
JOB NO		DAT	E	GRID I	REFERENC	 E	DESCI	RIBED B	Y	FC D	ays	182		SOIL SAMPL	E REFEREN	CES
88/97		4/2/9	98	SO 753	0 0189		HLJ	HLJ			atic Grade sure Grade	1		T/S 0 25 cm 2	ZC (S16 Z48	C36)
Horizon No	Lowest Av Depth (cm)	Texture	Matrix (Ped Face) Colours	Stoning Size Ty Field M	ype and Contrast			angan	Structure Ped Developme Size and Shape		Consistence	Structural Condition	Pores (Fissures)	Roots Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary Distinctness and form
1					R (Vis) None			None						MF & VF		Abrupt Smooth
2	25/				cm (s) None 2cm (s&d) R Total			None	MDMSA	ΔВ	Friable	Good	Good	CF & VF		Clear Smooth
3	110 + ZC 2 5Y54 4/65/				cm (s) None 2cm (s&d) R Total		None		WKMSA	ΔB	Friable	Good	Good	FVF		
Profile G	leyed Fron	n Not g	leyed	1	Available	Water V	Wheat 113 mm					Final ALC	Grade	3b		
Permeabl	epth to Slowly ermeable Horizon No spl				Moisture I		Potatoes 93 mm Wheat 93 mm					Main Limit	ing Factor(s	s) Workabılı	ty	
	Vetness Class I Vetness Grade 3b					I	Potatoes	8:	3 mm							
weiness	Grade	30			Moisture Balance W			2	0 mm							
						1	Potatoes	1	0 mm			Remarks				
					Po Droughtiness Grade 2		2	(Calc	culated to 120	0 cm)						

SITE NAM	ME	PROF	FILE NO	SLOPE	E AND ASPE	ECT	LAND USE		Av	Raınfall	831 mm		PARENT MA	TERIAL	
Upper Car	m	Pit 5	(Asp 37)	Level			Permanent Grass	is	ATO	О	1448 day	c	Dyrham Silts		
JOB NO		DATE	E	GRID J	REFERENCE	Ē	DESCRIBED B	γ	FC	Days	182	ļ	SOIL SAMPL	E REFEREN	CES
88/97		4/2/98	ا	SO 760	02 0025	J	HLJ	,	Clir	matic Grade	1		T/S 0 25 cm N	14701 /CQ 7	7/7 (C) E)
88191		4/2/70	5	30 700.	3 0033	J	l HLJ	,	Ex	posure Grade	1		- 1/8 0 25 Cm r 	WIZUL (30 Z	31 C23)
	Lowest Av Depth (cm)	Texture	Matrix (Ped Face) Colours	Stonine Size Ty Field M	ype and	Mottling Abundance Contrast Size and Colour	e Mangan Concs	Structure Ped Developme Size and Shape		Consistence	Structural Condition	Pores (Fissures)	Roots Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary Distinctness and form
1	24 MZCL 10YR43 1 / HR (Vis) No						None						MF & VF		Clear Smooth
2	46	HZCL	10YR54	0 / (Vıs	ıs)	FDFO (10YR56		MDCSAI	В	Friable	Moderate	Good	CF & VF		Clear Smooth
3	65	ZC	10YR53	0/ (Vis	s)	CDMO (7 5YR58 10YR66)	58	ommon WKCSA		Friable	Moderate	Poor*1	FVF		Clear Smooth
4	90 +	С	2 5Y51	0/ (Vı	ıs)	MDMO (10YR68		MDCPR	R	Firm	Poor	Poor	FVF		
Profile G	leyed From	m 46 cm			Available \	Water W	Vheat 13	39 mm		!	Final ALC	Grade	3a		
Permeable	to Slowly Potatoes 118 mm eable Horizon 46 cm Moisture Deficit Wheat 93 mm										Main Limit	tıng Factor(s	(s) Wetness		
Wetness C	ness Class III Potatoes 83 mm							3 mm		'					
Wetness C	Grade 3a Moisture Balance Wheat 46 mm									,		•			
				,		P	Potatoes 35	35 mm		!	Remarks	*1 border	line		
				,	Droughtin	ness Grade 1	(Calc	culated to 120	0 cm))					

SITE NA	ME	PRO	FILE NO	SLOPE	AND ASPI	ECT	LAN	ND USE		Av Ra	ınfall	831 mm	-	PARENT MA	TERIAL	
Upper Ca	m	Pit	5 (Asp 55)	3 Sout	h		Perr	manent Gras	SS	АТО		1448 day	С	Lower Lias Cla	ay	
JOB NO		DA	ГЕ	GRID I	REFERENC	E	DES	SCRIBED E	BY	FC Da	ys	182		SOIL SAMPL	E REFEREN	CES
88/97		11/2	2/98	ST 760	3 9994		HLJ	ī			tic Grade ure Grade	1	i	T/S 0 25 cm I	HZCL (S10 2	Z61 C29)
Horizon No	Lowest Av Depth (cm)	v Texture (Ped Face) Sizepth Colours Fig.			Mottling Abundance rpe and Contrast Size and Colour		- 4	Mangan Concs	Structure Ped Developme Size and Shape		onsistence	Structural Condition	Pores (Fissures)	Roots Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary Distinctness and form
1	22	HZCL	10YR42	1 / HR	(V1s)	None		None						MF & VF		Abrupt Smooth
2	45	zc	10YR53	0/ (Vi	(Vis) CDFO (10YR66			None	WKCAI	3	Firm	Poor	Poor	CVF		Clear Smooth
3	85 +	С	2 5Y52	0/ (Vı	s)	MDFO (7 5YR5		Few	MDCPF	₹	Fırm	Poor	Poor	FVF*¹		
Profile G	leyed Fron	n 22 cr	n	.1	Available	Water V	Vheat	1	25 mm	<u> </u>		Final ALC	Grade	4		J.,
Permeabl	Pepth to Slowly Permeable Horizon 22 cm Vetness Class IV				Moisture I	Deficit V	Potatoes 102 mm Wheat 93 mm Potatoes 83 mm					Main Limit	ing Factor(s) Wetness		
Wetness	Vetness Grade 4					P Moisture Balance W			3 mm 2 mm							· · · · · ·
						F	Potato	es 1	9 mm			Remarks	*1 ex per	i		
					Droughtiness Grade 1) cm)							

SITE NA	ME	PRC	FILE NO	SLOPE	AND ASPE	CT	LAN	ID USE		Av l	Raınfall	831 mm		PARENT MAT	ERIAL	
Upper Ca	m	Pit 7	7 (Asp 39)	1 Wes	t		Pern	nanent Grass		ATO	O	1448 day	С	Dyrham Sılts		
JOB NO		DA	re	GRID I	REFERENCE		DES	CRIBED BY		FC I	Days	182		SOIL SAMPLE	REFERENC	ES
88/97		13/2	1/98	SO 756	0 0022		HLJ			Climatic Grade		l 1		None		
Horizon No	Lowest Av Depth (cm)	Texture	Matrix (Ped Face) Colours		ness Type and Method	Mottling Abundar Contrast Size and Colour	ice	Mangan Concs	Structure Ped Developr Size and Shape	nent	Consistence	Structural Condition	Pores (Fissure	Roots Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary Distinctness and form
1	27	MZCL	10YR54	1/H	R (Vis)	FDF0 (10YR: CDF0 (2 5Y5	56) G	None						MF & VF		Clear Smooth
2	55	HZCL	10YR53 54	/1s)	CDFO (10YR5)		None	WKCS	AB	Friable	Moderate	Good	CVF		Clear Wavy	
3	90 +	ZC	2 5 Y 6 4	0/(/is)	MDF (10YR) CDF (10YR)	68) G	Common	WKCI	PR	Firm	Poor	Poor	FVF		
Profile G	leyed Fron	n 27 cm	n	,	Available W	/ater V	Vheat	140	0 mm		•	Final ALC C	Grade	3a		I
Depth to Permeabl	Slowly e Horizon	55 cm	n		Moisture De		Potato Vheat		5 mm mm			Main Limiti	ng Factor((s) Wetness		
Wetness	Class	III				Ī	Potato	es 83	mm							
Wetness	Grade	3a			Moisture Ba				mm		}					
					Wioisture Da							Remarks				
						Droughtiness Grade 1		Potatoes 33 mm le 1 (Calculated to 12)		0 cm)						

SITE NA	ME	P	ROFILE N	NO	SLOPE	AND ASPE	CT	LAN	ND USE		Av	Raınfall	831 mm		PARENT MAT	ERIAL	
Upper Car	m	P	rt 8 (Asp 8	82E)	Level			Pern	nanent Grass	;	ATO	0	1448 day	С	Marlstone Rock	Bed	
JOB NO		D	DATE		GRID F	REFERENCE		DES	SCRIBED BY	7	FC	Days	182	F	SOIL SAMPLE	REFERENC	CES
88/97		1	3/2/98		ST 7668	8 9948	HL.		·		Clu	natic Grade	1		None		
Horizon No	No Av Te Depth (cm)		Mati re (Ped Colo	d Face)		Type and Contrast Size and Colour			Mangan Ped Concs Develo			Consistence	Structural Condition	Pores (Fissures)	Roots Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary Distinctne and form
1	18 MCL 10YR43				5/ <20	2cm (s) None 2cm (s&d) IR Total		e None							MF & VF		Abrupt Smooth
2			16/<	>2cm (s) None <2cm (s&d) HR Total			Few	MDMSA	AB	Friable	Good	Good	CVF		Gradua Smootl		
3	65 +	С	10)YR54	15/<	2cm (s) 2cm (s&d) R Total	None	}	None	MDFSA	AB	Friable	Good	Good	FVF		
Profile Gl	leyed Fron	n No	ot gleyed			Available V	Vater V	Vheat	68	mm			Final ALC	Grade	4		
Profile Gleyed From Not gleyed Depth to Slowly Permeable Horizon No spl Wetness Class 1 Wetness Grade 2						Moisture Deficit V			Potatoes 66 mm Wheat 93 mm Potatoes 83 mm				Main Limiti	ng Factor(s) Soil Depth		
Welless Grade 2								Wheat 25 mm Potatoes 17 mm					Remarks		•		
						Droughtiness Grade 31				culated to 120 cm)							