

**A1**  
**Chichester District Local Plan**  
**H16: Land south of Heather Close,**  
**West Ashling.**  
**Agricultural Land Classification**  
**ALC Map and Report**  
**November 1994**

# **AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION REPORT**

## **CHICHESTER DISTRICT LOCAL PLAN.**

### **H16: LAND SOUTH OF HEATHER CLOSE, WEST ASHLING.**

#### **1. Summary**

- 1.1 ADAS was commissioned by MAFF's Land Use Planning Unit to provide information on land quality for a number of sites in the district of Chichester in West Sussex. The work forms part of MAFF's statutory input to the preparation of the Chichester District Local Plan.
- 1.2 Site H16 comprises 1.4 hectares of land to the south of Heather Close in West Ashling. An Agricultural Land Classification (ALC) survey was carried out in November 1994. The survey was undertaken at a detailed level of approximately two borings per hectare of agricultural land. A total of 3 borings and one soil inspection pit were described in accordance with MAFF's revised guidelines and criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land, (MAFF, 1988). These guidelines provide a framework for classifying land according to the extent to which its physical or chemical characteristics impose a long term limitation on its use for agriculture.
- 1.3 At the time of the survey all of the land on the site comprised permanent grassland.
- 1.4 The distribution of grades and subgrades is shown on the attached ALC map. The map has been drawn at a scale of 1:10,000. It is accurate at this scale, but any enlargement would be misleading.
- 1.5 Appendix I gives a general description of the grades, subgrades and land use categories identified in the survey. The main classes are described in terms of the type of limitation that can occur, the typical cropping range and the expected level and consistency of yield.
- 1.6 All of the land on the site has been classified as Grade 2, very good quality land, with slight soil droughtiness as the main limitation. Soil profiles typically comprise a medium silty clay loam topsoil which becomes heavier with depth. Topsoils and upper subsoils tend to be slightly stony (5-10% total flints), lower subsoils tend to be moderately stony (15-25% total flints). Profiles are well drained, Wetness Class I, yet show a slight restriction on the amount of profile available water for plant growth. This can have an effect upon the level and consistency of crop yields, such that a classification of Grade 2 is appropriate.

## **2. Climate**

- 2.1 The climatic criteria are considered first when classifying land as climate can be overriding in the sense that severe limitations will restrict land to low grades irrespective of favourable site or soil conditions.
- 2.2 The main parameters used in the assessment of an overall climatic limitation are average annual rainfall, as a measure of overall wetness, and accumulated temperature (degree days Jan-June), as a measure of the relative warmth of a locality.
- 2.3 A detailed assessment of the prevailing climate was made by interpolation from a 5km gridpoint dataset (Met. Office 1989). The details are given in the table below and these show that there is no overall climatic limitation affecting the site.
- 2.4 No local climatic factors such as exposure or frost risk are believed to affect the site.

**Table 2 : Climatic Interpolation**

Grid Reference	SU 810 072
Altitude (m)	20
Accumulated Temperature (degree days, Jan-June)	1528
Average Annual Rainfall (mm)	810
Field Capacity (days)	169
Moisture Deficit, Wheat (mm)	113
Moisture Deficit, Potatoes (mm)	109
Overall Climatic Grade	1

## **3. Relief**

- 3.1 The site is flat, lying at an altitude of approximately 20m AOD.

## **4. Geology and Soils**

- 4.1 The relevant geological sheets (BGS, 1971 and 1972), show the entire site to be underlain by Valley Gravel.
- 4.2 The published Soil Survey map (SSGB, 1967) shows the soils on the site to comprise the Strettington series. These are described as 'slightly flinty silt loams and silty clay loams over extremely flinty silty clay at 75cm.' (SSGB, 1967).
- 4.3 Detailed field examination found silty clay loams overlying a moderately flinty silty clay.

## **5. Agricultural Land Classification**

- 5.1 Table 1 provides the details of the area measurements for each grade and the distribution of each grade is shown on the attached ALC map.
- 5.2 The location of the soil observation points are shown on the attached sample point map.

### **Grade 2**

- 5.3 Very good quality Grade 2 land has been mapped across the entire site. The majority of soil profiles at observation points proved impenetrable to the auger at depths of between 45 and 100cm. Therefore a soil inspection pit was dug to assess the nature of the subsoils and the cause of the impenetrability. At the location of the pit, the profile was found to comprise a slightly stony (10% total flints, 7% >2cm in size) medium silty clay loam topsoil overlying a slightly stony (15% total flints) heavy silty clay loam upper subsoil extending to about 53cm. This in turn rests upon a silty clay lower subsoil, the stone content of which varies between approximately 20% total flints to a depth of 75cm, and approximately 25% total flints with chalk fragments below this. The soil profile shows no signs of any wetness limitation and is suitably assigned to Wetness Class I. However, the combination of soil textures, structures, stone contents and the local climatic regime, means that there is a slight restriction on the amount of profile available water. This can effect the level and consistency of crop yields, such that a classification of Grade 2 is appropriate due to this droughtiness limitation. Furthermore, the volume of topsoil stones greater than 2cm in size evidenced at the pit results in a minor restriction on mechanical cultivations and crop establishment. It has been assumed that soils at locations which proved impenetrable to the auger show similar subsoil conditions to those evidenced in the pit, resulting in an overall classification of Grade 2 across the site.

ADAS Ref: 4203/261/94  
MAFF Ref: EL 42/739

Resource Planning Team  
Guildford Statutory Group  
ADAS Reading

## **SOURCES OF REFERENCE**

British Geological Survey (1971), Sheet No. 316, Fareham, 1:50,000 Series (drift edition).

British Geological Survey (1972), Sheet No. 317, Chichester, 1:50,000 Series (drift edition).

MAFF (1988), Agricultural Land Classification of England and Wales : Revised guidelines and criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land.

Meteorological Office (1989), Climatological Data for Agricultural Land Classification.

Soil Survey of Great Britain (1967), Sheet SU80, Chichester, 1:25,000 and accompanying legend 'Soils of the West Sussex Coastal Plain'.

## APPENDIX I

### DESCRIPTION OF THE GRADES AND SUBGRADES

#### **Grade 1 : Excellent Quality Agricultural Land**

Land with no or very minor limitations to agricultural use. A very wide range of agricultural and horticultural crops can be grown and commonly includes top fruit, soft fruit, salad crops and winter harvested vegetables. Yields are high and less variable than on land of lower quality.

#### **Grade 2 : Very Good Quality Agricultural Land**

Land with minor limitations which affect crop yield, cultivations or harvesting. A wide range of agricultural or horticultural crops can usually be grown but on some land of this grade there may be reduced flexibility due to difficulties with the production of the more demanding crops such as winter harvested vegetables and arable root crops. The level of yield is generally high but may be lower or more variable than Grade 1 land.

#### **Grade 3 : Good to Moderate Quality Land**

Land with moderate limitations which affect the choice of crops, the timing and type of cultivation, harvesting or the level of yield. When more demanding crops are grown, yields are generally lower or more variable than on land in Grades 1 and 2.

#### **Subgrade 3a : Good Quality Agricultural Land**

Land capable of consistently producing moderate to high yields of a narrow range of arable crops, especially cereals, or moderate yields of a wide range of crops including cereals, grass, oilseed rape, potatoes, sugar beet and the less demanding horticultural crops.

#### **Subgrade 3b : Moderate Quality Agricultural Land**

Land capable of producing moderate yields of a narrow range of crops, principally cereals and grass, or lower yields of a wider range of crops or high yields of grass which can be grazed or harvested over most of the year.

#### **Grade 4 : Poor Quality Agricultural Land**

Land with severe limitations which significantly restrict the range of crops and/or the level of yields. It is mainly suited to grass with occasional arable crops (eg. cereals and forage crops) the yields of which are variable. In moist climates, yields of grass may be moderate to high but there may be difficulties in utilisation. The grade also includes very droughty arable land.

#### **Grade 5 : Very Poor Quality Agricultural Land**

Land with severe limitations which restrict use to permanent pasture or rough grazing, except for occasional pioneer forage crops.

## **Urban**

Built-up or 'hard' uses with relatively little potential for a return to agriculture including: housing, industry, commerce, education, transport, religious buildings, cemeteries. Also, hard-surfaced sports facilities, permanent caravan sites and vacant land; all types of derelict land, including mineral workings which are only likely to be reclaimed using derelict land grants.

## **Non-agricultural**

'Soft' uses where most of the land could be returned relatively easily to agriculture, including: private parkland, public open spaces, sports fields, allotments and soft-surfaced areas on airports. Also active mineral workings and refuse tips where restoration conditions to 'soft' after-uses may apply.

## **Woodland**

Includes commercial and non-commercial woodland. A distinction may be made as necessary between farm and non-farm woodland.

## **Agricultural Buildings**

Includes the normal range of agricultural buildings as well as other relatively permanent structures such as glasshouses. Temporary structures (eg. polythene tunnels erected for lambing) may be ignored.

## **Open Water**

Includes lakes, ponds and rivers as map scale permits.

## **Land Not Surveyed**

Agricultural land which has not been surveyed.

Where the land use includes more than one of the above, eg. buildings in large grounds, and where map scale permits, the cover types may be shown separately. Otherwise, the most extensive cover type will be shown.

## APPENDIX II

### FIELD ASSESSMENT OF SOIL WETNESS CLASS

#### SOIL WETNESS CLASSIFICATION

Soil wetness is classified according to the depth and duration of waterlogging in the soil profile. Six soil wetness classes are identified and are defined in the table below.

#### Definition of Soil Wetness Classes

Wetness Class	Duration of Waterlogging <sup>1</sup>
I	The soil profile is not wet within 70 cm depth for more than 30 days in most years. <sup>2</sup>
II	The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for 31-90 days in most years or, if there is no slowly permeable layer within 80 cm depth, it is wet within 70 cm for more than 90 days, but only wet within 40 cm depth for 30 days in most years.
III	The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for 91-180 days in most years or, if there is no slowly permeable layer present within 80 cm depth, it is wet within 70 cm for more than 180 days, but only wet within 40 cm depth for between 31-90 days in most years.
IV	The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for more than 180 days but not wet within 40 cm depth for more than 210 days in most years or, if there is no slowly permeable layer present within 80 cm depth, it is wet within 40 cm depth for 91-210 days in most years.
V	The soil profile is wet within 40 cm depth for 211-335 days in most years.
VI	The soil profile is wet within 40 cm depth for more than 335 days in most years.

Soils can be allocated to a wetness class on the basis of quantitative data recorded over a period of many years or by the interpretation of soil profile characteristics, site and climatic factors. Adequate quantitative data will rarely be available for ALC surveys and therefore the interpretative method of field assessment is used to identify soil wetness class in the field. The method adopted here is common to ADAS and the SSLRC.

<sup>1</sup>The number of days specified is not necessarily a continuous period.

<sup>2</sup>'In most years' is defined as more than 10 out of 20 years.

## **APPENDIX III**

### **SOIL PIT AND SOIL BORING DESCRIPTIONS**

#### **Contents :**

**Soil Abbreviations - explanatory note**

**Database Printout - soil pit information**

**Database Printout - boring level information**

**Database Printout - horizon level information**

## SOIL PROFILE DESCRIPTIONS : EXPLANATORY NOTE

Soil pit and auger boring information collected during ALC fieldwork is held on a computer database. This uses notations and abbreviations as set out below.

### Boring Header Information

1. **GRID REF** : national 100 km grid square and 8 figure grid reference.

2. **USE** : Land use at the time of survey. The following abbreviations are used.

<b>ARA</b> : Arable	<b>WHT</b> : Wheat	<b>BAR</b> : Barley
<b>CER</b> : Cereals	<b>OAT</b> : Oats	<b>MZE</b> : Maize
<b>OSR</b> : Oilseed rape	<b>BEN</b> : Field Beans	<b>BRA</b> : Brassicae
<b>POT</b> : Potatoes	<b>SBT</b> : Sugar Beet	<b>FCD</b> : Fodder Crops
<b>LIN</b> : Linseed	<b>FRT</b> : Soft and Top Fruit	<b>FLW</b> : Fallow
<b>PGR</b> : Permanent Pasture	<b>LEY</b> : Ley Grass	<b>RGR</b> : Rough Grazing
<b>SCR</b> : Scrub	<b>CFW</b> : Coniferous Woodland	<b>DCW</b> : Deciduous Wood
<b>HTH</b> : Heathland	<b>BOG</b> : Bog or Marsh	<b>FLW</b> : Fallow
<b>PLO</b> : Ploughed	<b>SAS</b> : Set aside	<b>OTH</b> : Other
<b>HRT</b> : Horticultural Crops		

3. **GRDNT** : Gradient as estimated or measured by a hand-held optical clinometer.

4. **GLEYSPL** : Depth in centimetres (cm) to gleying and/or slowly permeable layers.

5. **AP (WHEAT/POTS)** : Crop-adjusted available water capacity.

6. **MB (WHEAT/POTS)** : Moisture Balance. (Crop adjusted AP - crop adjusted MD)

7. **DRT** : Best grade according to soil droughtiness.

8. If any of the following factors are considered significant, 'Y' will be entered in the relevant column.

<b>MREL</b> : Microrelief limitation	<b>FLOOD</b> : Flood risk	<b>EROSN</b> : Soil erosion risk
<b>EXP</b> : Exposure limitation	<b>FROST</b> : Frost prone	<b>DIST</b> : Disturbed land
<b>CHEM</b> : Chemical limitation		

9. **LIMIT** : The main limitation to land quality. The following abbreviations are used.

<b>OC</b> : Overall Climate	<b>AE</b> : Aspect	<b>EX</b> : Exposure
<b>FR</b> : Frost Risk	<b>GR</b> : Gradient	<b>MR</b> : Microrelief
<b>FL</b> : Flood Risk	<b>TX</b> : Topsoil Texture	<b>DP</b> : Soil Depth
<b>CH</b> : Chemical	<b>WE</b> : Wetness	<b>WK</b> : Workability
<b>DR</b> : Drought	<b>ER</b> : Erosion Risk	<b>WD</b> : Soil Wetness/Droughtiness
<b>ST</b> : Topsoil Stoniness		

## Soil Pits and Auger Borings

1. **TEXTURE** : soil texture classes are denoted by the following abbreviations.

<b>S</b> :	Sand	<b>LS</b> :	Loamy Sand	<b>SL</b> :	Sandy Loam
<b>SZL</b> :	Sandy Silt Loam	<b>CL</b> :	Clay Loam	<b>ZCL</b> :	Silty Clay Loam
<b>ZL</b> :	Silt Loam	<b>SCL</b> :	Sandy Clay Loam	<b>C</b> :	Clay
<b>SC</b> :	Sandy Clay	<b>ZC</b> :	Silty Clay	<b>OL</b> :	Organic Loam
<b>P</b> :	Peat	<b>SP</b> :	Sandy Peat	<b>LP</b> :	Loamy Peat
<b>PL</b> :	Peaty Loam	<b>PS</b> :	Peaty Sand	<b>MZ</b> :	Marine Light Silts

For the sand, loamy sand, sandy loam and sandy silt loam classes, the predominant size of sand fraction will be indicated by the use of the following prefixes:

<b>F</b> :	Fine (more than 66% of the sand less than 0.2mm)
<b>M</b> :	Medium (less than 66% fine sand and less than 33% coarse sand)
<b>C</b> :	Coarse (more than 33% of the sand larger than 0.6mm)

The clay loam and silty clay loam classes will be sub-divided according to the clay content: **M** : Medium (<27% clay) **H** : Heavy (27-35% clay)

2. **MOTTLE COL** : Mottle colour using Munsell notation.
3. **MOTTLE ABUN** : Mottle abundance, expressed as a percentage of the matrix or surface described.

**F** : few <2% **C** : common 2-20% **M** : many 20-40% **VM** : very many 40% +

4. **MOTTLE CONT** : Mottle contrast

**F** : faint - indistinct mottles, evident only on close inspection  
**D** : distinct - mottles are readily seen  
**P** : prominent - mottling is conspicuous and one of the outstanding features of the horizon

5. **PED. COL** : Ped face colour using Munsell notation.
6. **GLEY** : If the soil horizon is gleyed a 'Y' will appear in this column. If slightly gleyed, an 'S' will appear.
7. **STONE LITH** : Stone Lithology - One of the following is used.

<b>HR</b> :	all hard rocks and stones	<b>SLST</b> :	soft oolitic or dolimitic limestone
<b>CH</b> :	chalk	<b>FSST</b> :	soft, fine grained sandstone
<b>ZR</b> :	soft, argillaceous, or silty rocks	<b>GH</b> :	gravel with non-porous (hard) stones
<b>MSST</b> :	soft, medium grained sandstone	<b>GS</b> :	gravel with porous (soft) stones
<b>SI</b> :	soft weathered igneous/metamorphic rock		

Stone contents (>2cm, >6cm and total) are given in percentages (by volume).



SOIL PIT DESCRIPTION

Site Name : CHICHESTER LP W.ASHLING Pit Number : 1P

Grid Reference: SU81000720 Average Annual Rainfall : 810 mm  
 Accumulated Temperature : 1528 degree days  
 Field Capacity Level : 169 days  
 Land Use : Permanent Grass  
 Slope and Aspect : degrees

HORIZON	TEXTURE	COLOUR	STONES >2	TOT.STONE	LITH	MOTTLES	STRUCTURE	CONSIST	SUBSTRUCTURE	CALC
0- 29	MZCL	10YR42 43	7	10	HR					
29- 53	HZCL	10YR54 53	0	15	HR		MDCSAB	FR	M	
53- 75	ZC	10YR54 53	0	20	HR				M	
75-120	ZC	10YR54 64	0	25	HR				M	

Wetness Grade : 1 Wetness Class : I  
 Gleying : cm  
 SPL : No SPL

Drought Grade : 2 APW : 125mm MBW : 12 mm  
 APP : 106mm MBP : -3 mm

FINAL ALC GRADE : 2  
 MAIN LIMITATION : Droughtiness

SAMPLE NO.	GRID REF	ASPECT USE	--WETNESS--		-WHEAT-		-POTS-		M.REL		EROSN	FROST	CHEM	ALC	COMMENTS
			GRDNT	GLEYSPL	CLASS	GRADE	AP	MB	AP	MB	DRT	FLOOD	EXP	DIST	
1	SU81000720	PGR	1	1	092	-21	099	-10	3B					DR 2	IMP 60 SEE1P
1P	SU81000720	PGR	1	1	125	12	106	-3	2					DR 2	CHALKY H4
2	SU81100720	PGR	1	1	076	-37	076	-33	3B					DR 2	IMP 45 SEE1P
3	SU81050720	PGR	1	1	130	17	114	5	2					DR 2	IMP100

SAMPLE	DEPTH	TEXTURE	COLOUR	----MOTTLES----			PED		----STONES----				STRUCT/ CONSIST	SUBS			SPL	CALC
				COL	ABUN	CONT	COL.	GLE	>2	>6	LITH	TOT		STR	POR	IMP		
1	0-26	mzc1	10YR43 00						0	0	HR	5						
	26-55	hzc1	10YR53 00						0	0	HR	10		M				
	55-60	c	75YR53 00						0	0	HR	15		M			IMP60 STONES	
1P	0-29	mzc1	10YR42 43						7	0	HR	10						
	29-53	hzc1	10YR54 53						0	0	HR	15	MDCSAB	FR	M			
	53-75	zc	10YR54 53						0	0	HR	20		M				
	75-120	zc	10YR54 64						0	0	HR	25		M			CHALK FRAGS	
2	0-29	mzc1	10YR43 00						0	0	HR	5						
	29-37	hzc1	75YR53 00						0	0	HR	10		M				
	37-45	hzc1	75YR53 63						0	0	HR	15		M			IMP45 STONES	
3	0-30	mzc1	10YR43 00						0	0	HR	5						
	30-50	mzc1	75YR43 00						0	0	HR	5		M				
	50-70	hzc1	75YR54 00						0	0	HR	20		M				
	70-100	hzc1	75YR54 00						0	0	HR	10		M			IMP100 STONES	