# **A1**

New Forest District Local Plan Objector Site 57 Land South of Hounsdown, Hampshire

Agricultural Land Classification Survey ALC Map and Report

February 1997

Resource Planning Team Eastern Region FRCA Reading

RPT Job Number 1508/013/97 MAFF Reference EL15/00315 LURET Job Number 02768

#### AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION REPORT

## NEW FOREST DISTRICT LOCAL PLAN OBJECTOR SITE 57 LAND SOUTH OF HOUNSDOWN, HAMPSHIRE

### INTRODUCTION

1 This report presents the findings of a detailed Agricultural Land Classification (ALC) survey of approximately 8 ha of land to the south of Houndsdown Hampshire The survey was carried out during February 1997

2 The survey was commissioned by the Ministry of Agriculture Fisheries and Food (MAFF) from its Land Use Planning Unit in Reading in connection with the New Forest District Local Plan This site is one of a number of objector sites This survey supersedes any previous ALC surveys on this land

3 Prior to 1 April 1997 the work was conducted by members of the Resource Planning Team in the Guildford Statutory Group in ADAS After this date the work was completed by the same team as part of the Farming and Rural Conservation Agency (FRCA) Reading The land has been graded in accordance with the published MAFF ALC guidelines and criteria (MAFF 1988) A description of the ALC grades and subgrades is given in Appendix I

4 At the time of survey the agricultural land on this site was either in arable crops or grass The areas of the site shown as Other Land consist of woodland residential dwellings and a football pitch

#### SUMMARY

5 The findings of the survey are shown on the enclosed ALC map The map has been drawn at a scale of 1 10 000 It is accurate at this scale but any enlargement would be misleading

6 The area and proportions of the ALC grades and subgrades on the surveyed land are summarised in Table 1 below

Grade/Other land	Area (hectares)	% site area
3b	50	64 1
Other Innd	2 8	35 9
Total site area	78	100

Table 1 Area of grades and	1 other land
----------------------------	--------------

7 The fieldwork was conducted at an average density of 1 boring per hectare A total of 7 borings and 1 soil pit were described

8 The land at this site has been classified as Subgrade 3b (moderate quality) on the basis of soil wetness

9 The majority of profiles are poorly drained comprising clay loam topsoils and occasionally upper subsoils which directly overlie poorly structured clay These clayey soils cause drainage to be impeded so that land utilisation is restricted. The nature of the underlying geological deposits further restricts the drainage of water which has led to an additional problem of surface seepage at the site

10 Occassional better quality profiles occur at the site but these were too limited in number and extent to map seperately at this scale

### FACTORS INFLUENCING ALC GRADE

### Climate

11 Climate affects the grading of land through the assessment of an overall climatic limitation and also through interactions with soil characteristics

12 The key climatic variables used for grading this site are given in Table 2 and were obtained from the published 5km grid datasets using the standard interpolation procedures (Met Office 1989)

Fictor	Units	Values							
Grid reference	N/A	SU 354 117	SU 356 116						
Altitude Accumulated Temperature Average Annual Rainfall Field Capacity Davs Moisture Deficit Wheat Moisture Deficit Potntoes	m AOD day <sup>o</sup> C (Jan June) mm days nım mm	10 1547 823 172 110 105	5 1553 815 171 111 106						
Overall climatic grade	N/A	Grade 1	Grade 1						

#### Table 2 Climatic and altitude data

13 The climatic criteria are considered first when classifying land as climate can be overriding in the sense that severe limitations will restrict land to low grades irrespective of favourable site or soil conditions

14 The main parameters used in the assessment of an overall climatic limitation are average annual rainfall (AAR) as a measure of overall wetness and accumulated temperature (ATO January to June) as a measure of the relative warmth of a locality

15 The combination of rainfall and temperature at this site mean that the site is classified as Climatic Grade 1 as nationally the annual rainfall is moderately low and the accumulated temperature is moderately high However for this region due to the coastal location of the site the climate shows a seasonal trend of high rainfall in the winter and low rainfall in the summer Overall the climate is warm and moist 16 The site slopes from the east and west towards the drainage channel in the centre of the site by 1 2 degrees Around part of the drainage channel there were areas of sedges indicating that the land is very poorly drained Nowhere on the site do gradient or microrelief affect the land quality

### Geology and soils

17 The relevant published geological information for the site (BGS 1973) shows the site as Barton Clay a Palaeogene deposit of sandy clays of the Barton group

18 The published soils information for the site (SSEW 1983) indicates the soils to be those of the Wickham 3 association which are described as slowly permeable seasonally waterlogged fine loamy over clayey and coarse loamy over clayey soils and similar more permeable soils with slight waterlogging Some deep coarse loamy soils affected by groundwater (SSEW 1983) This description reflects the soils which were found on site

### AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION

19 The details of the classification of the site are shown on the attached ALC map and the area statistics of each grade are given in Table 1 page 1

20 The location of the auger borings and pits is shown on the attached sample location map and the details of the soils data are presented in Appendix II

### Subgrade 3b

The site was classified as Subgrade 3b (moderate quality) due to a significant soil wetness limitation Profiles typically comprise non-calcareous medium clay loam topsoils containing 2-8% total flints by volume (1-3% > 2cm in size) overlying heavy clay loam sandy clay loam or directly passing to clay in the subsoil Soils are gleyed from shallow depth often from the topsoil The profiles are poorly drained due to a slowly permeable clay horizon limiting the movement of water downwards through the profile The depth to this clay horizon determines the severity of the drainage limitation. In this climatic region this causes the soils to be placed in Wetness Class IV and Subgrade 3b In some profiles the slowly permeable clay is found at a lower depth and these soils can be placed within Wetness Class III However these better quality profiles were too limited in extent to be mapped seperately

22 The interbedded nature of the underlying geology causes seepage to occur on site particularly around the drainage channel This is indicated by rushes and sedges growing in these areas This will further restrict the utilisation of the land

23 The soil wetness limitation reduces the flexibility of the land due to the reduction in the number of days when the soil is in a suitable condition for cultivation trafficking by

Site

machinery or grazing by livestock Excessive soil wetness also restricts crop growth and development

\_ \_\_ \_ \_\_ \_\_ \_\_

Judith Clegg Resource Planning Team Eastern Region FRCA Reading

#### SOURCES OF REFERENCE

British Geological Survey (1973) Sheet No 315 Southampton BGS London

Ministry of Agriculture Fisheries and Food (1988) Agricultural Land Classification of England and Wales Revised guidelines and criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land MAFF London

Met Office (1989) Climatological Data for Agricultural Land Classification Met Office Bracknell

Soil Survey of England and Wales (1983) Sheet 6 South East England SSEW Harpenden

Soil Survey of England and Wales (1984) Soils and their Use in South East England SSEW Harpenden

### APPENDIX I

### DESCRIPTIONS OF THE GRADES AND SUBGRADES

### Grade 1 Excellent Quality Agricultural Land

Land with no or very minor limitations to agricultural use A very wide range of agricultural and horticultural crops can be grown and commonly includes top fruit soft fruit salad crops and winter harvested vegetables Yields are high and less variable than on land of lower quality

# Grade 2 Very Good Quality Agricultural Land

Land with minor limitations which affect crop yield cultivations or harvesting A wide range of agricultural or horticultural crops can usually be grown but on some land of this grade there may be reduced flexibility due to difficulties with the production of the more demanding crops such as winter harvested vegetables and arable root crops The level of yield is generally high but may be lower or more variable than Grade 1 land

### Grade 3 Good to Moderate Quality Land

Land with moderate limitations which affect the choice of crops the timing and type of cultivation harvesting or the level of yield When more demanding crops are grown yields are generally lower or more variable than on land in Grades 1 and 2

### Subgrade 3a Good Quality Agricultural Land

Land capable of consistently producing moderate to high yields of a narrow range of arable crops especially cereals or moderate yields of a wide range of crops including cereals grass oilseed rape potatoes sugar beet and the less demanding horticultural crops

# Subgrade 3b Modernte Quality Agricultural Land

Land capable of producing moderate yields of a narrow range of crops principally cereals and grass or lower yields of a wider range of crops or high yields of grass which can be grazed or harvested over most of the year

### Grade 4 Poor Quality Agricultural Land

Land with severe limitations which significantly restrict the range of crops and/or the level of yields. It is mainly suited to grass with occasional arable crops (e.g. cereals and forage crops) the yields of which are variable. In moist climates yields of grass may be moderate to high but there may be difficulties in utilisation. The grade also includes very droughty arable land

# Grade 5 Very Poor Quality Agricultural Land

Land with severe limitations which restrict use to permanent pasture or rough grazing except for occasional pioneer forage crops

## APPENDIX II

SOIL DATA

### Contents

Sample location map

Soil abbreviations - explanatory note

Soil pit descriptions

Soil boring descriptions (boring and horizon levels)

### SOIL PROFILE DESCRIPTIONS EXPLANATORY NOTE

Soil pit and auger boring information collected during ALC fieldwork is held on a computer database This uses notations and abbreviations as set out below

Boring Header Information

- 1 GRID REF national 100 km grid square and 8 figure grid reference
- 2 USE Land use at the time of survey The following abbreviations are used

ARA	Arable	WHT	Wheat	BAR	Barley
CER	Cereals	OAT	Oats	MZE	Maize
OSR	Oilseed rape	BEN	Field beans	BRA	Brassicae
POT	Potatoes	SBT	Sugar beet	FCD	Fodder crops
LIN	Linseed	FRT	Soft and top fruit	FLW	Fallow
PGR	Permanent pasture	LEY	Ley grass	RGR	Rough grazing
SCR	Scrub	CFW	Coniferous woodland	ОТН	Other
DCW	Deciduous woodland	BOG	Bog or marsh	SAS	Set Aside
НТН	Heathland	HRT	Horticultural crops	PLO	Ploughed

- 3 GRDNT Gradient as estimated or measured by a hand held optical clinometer
- 4 GLEY/SPL Depth in centimetres (cm) to gleying and/or slowly permeable layers
- > AP (WHEAT/POTS) Crop adjusted available water capacity
- 6 MB (WHEAT/POTS) Moisture Balance (Crop adjusted AP crop adjusted MD)
- 7 DRT Best grade according to soil droughtiness
- 8 If any of the following factors are considered significant 'Y will be entered in the relevant column

MREL	Microrelief limitation	FLOOD	Flood risk	EROSN	Soil erosion risk
EXP	Exposure limitation	FROST	Frost prone	DIST	Disturbed land
CHEM	Chemical limitation				

9 LIMIT The main limitation to land quality The following abbreviations are used

OC	Overall Climate	AE	Aspect	ST	Topsoil Stoniness
FR	Frost Risk	GR	Gradient	MR	Microrelief
FL	Flood Risk	ТΧ	Topsoil Texture	DP	Soil Depth
CH	Chemical	WE	Wetness	WK	Workability
DR	Drought	ER	Erosion Risk	WD	Soil Wetness/Droughtiness
EX	Exposure				-

Soil Pits and Auger Borings

1 **TEXTURE** soil texture classes are denoted by the following abbreviations

S SZL ZL	Sand Sandy Silt Loam Silt Loam	LS CL SCL	Loamy Sand Clay Loam Sandy Clay Loam	SL ZCL C	Sandy Loam Silty Clay Loam Clay
SC P	Sandy Clav	ZC	Silty Clay Sandy Peat	OL -	Organic Loam
PL	Peaty Loam	PS	Peaty Sand	MZ	Marine Light Silts

For the sand loamy sand sandy loam and sandy silt loam classes the predominant size of sand fraction will be indicated by the use of the following prefixes

- **F** Fine (more than 66% of the sand less than 0 2mm)
- M Medium (less than 66% fine sand and less than 33% coarse sand)
- C Coarse (more than 33% of the sand larger than 0 6mm)

The clay loam and silty clay loam classes will be sub-divided according to the clay content M Medium (<27% clay) H Heavy (27 35% clay)

- 2 MOTTLE COL Mottle colour using Munsell notation
- 3 MOTTLE ABUN Mottle abundance expressed as a percentage of the matrix or surface described

F few <2% C common 2 20% M many 20-40% VM very many 40% +

- 4 MOTTLE CONT Mottle contrast
  - F faint indistinct mottles evident only on close inspection
  - D distinct mottles are readily seen
  - P prominent mottling is conspicuous and one of the outstanding features of the horizon
- 5 PED COL Ped face colour using Munsell notation
- 6 GLEY If the soil horizon is gleyed a Y will appear in this column If slightly gleyed an S will appear
- 7 STONE LITH Stone Lithology one of the following is used

HR	all hard rocks and stones	FSST	soft fine grained sandstone
ZR	soft argillaceous or silty rocks	CH	chalk
MSST	soft medium grained sandstone	GS	gravel with porous (soft) stones
SI	soft weathered	GH	gravel with non porous (hard)
	igneous/metamorphic rock		stones

Stone contents (>2cm >6cm and total) are given in percentages (by volume)

8 STRUCT the degree of development size and shape of soil peds are described using the following notation

Degree of development	WK ST	weakly developed strongly developed	MD	moderately developed
Ped size	F C	fine coarse	М	medium
Ped shape	S GR SAB PL	sıngle graın granular sub angular blocky platy	M AB PR	massive angular blocky prismatic

9 CONSIST Soil consistence is described using the following notation

L loose VF very friable FR friable FM firm VM very firm EM extremely firm EH extremely hard

- 10 SUBS STR Subsoil structural condition recorded for the purpose of calculating profile droughtiness G good M moderate P poor
- 11 POR Soil porosity If a soil horizon has less than 0.5% biopores >0.5 mm a 'Y will appear in this column
- 12 IMP If the profile is impenetrable to rooting a 'Y' will appear in this column at the appropriate horizon
- 13 SPL Slowly permeable layer If the soil horizon is slowly permeable a Y will appear in this column
- 14 CALC If the soil horizon is calcareous a 'Y will appear in this column
- 15 Other notations
  - APW available water capacity (in mm) adjusted for wheat
  - APP available water capacity (in mm) adjusted for potatoes
  - MBW moisture balance wheat
  - MBP moisture balance potatoes

### SOIL PIT DESCRIPTION

Site Nam	e new for	REST LP	SITE 5	7	Pit Nur	nber	- 1	P				
Grid Ref	erence SU(	35401170	Aver	age Annu	al Raint	fall	82	23 mm				
			Accu	mulated	Temperat	ture	154	7 degree	days			
			Fiel	d Capaci	ty Leve	ו	172	days				
			Land	Use			Per	manent Gr	ass			
			Slop	e and As	pect		01	degrees E				
		-				-		-		-	-	
ORIZON	TEXTURE	COLOUR	ST	ones >2	TOT STO	ONE	LITH	MOTTLES	STRUCTURE	CONSIST	SUBSTRUCTURE	CALC
0- 25	MCL	10YR51	00	0	2		HR	С				
25- 38	HCL	25 Y61	00	0	0			M	MDCOAB	FR	м	
38- 60	С	25 Y71	00	0	2		HR	M	MASSVE	FM	Ρ	
letness (	Grade 38		Wetn	ess Clas	5	IV						
			Gley	ing		0	cm					
			SPL	•	C	038	cm					
rought (	Grade		APW	000mm	MBW		0 mm					

MAIN LIMITATION Wetness

---

program ALCO12

#### page 1

		LE		ASPECT				WET	NESS	-MHI	EAT-	-PC	)ts-	м	REL	EROSN	FROST	CHEM	ALC	
Ņ	0	GRID REF	USE		GRONT	GLEY	' SPL	CLASS	GRADE	AP	MB	AP	MB	DRT	FL000	EX	P DIST	LIMIT		COMMENTS
	1P	SU35401170	PGR	Ε	01	0	038	4	38	000	0	000	0					WE	3B	
	3	SU35301170	PGR	E	02	0	055	3	3A	000	0	000	0					WE	3A	SEEPAGE
-	4	SU35401170	PGR	E	01	0	038	4	38	000	0	000	0					WE	3B	IMP 60
-	5	SU35501170	CER	: W	01	0	038	4	38	000	0	000	0					WE	38	WT45
	6	SU35401160	CER	W	02	030	030	4	38	000	0	000	0					WE	38	WT 15
	7	SU35501160	CER	E	01	0	040	4	3B	000	0	000	0					WE	38	WT25
	8	SU35601160	CER	E	02	032	047	3	3A	000	0	000	0					WE	3A	V WET
	9	SU35701150	CER	E	02	0	025	4	38	000	0	000	0					WE	38	WT25

program ALCO11

COMPLETE LIST OF PROFILES 28/04/97 NEW FOREST LP SITE 57 

8						MOTTLES		PED			s	TONES		STRUCT/	SUB	s				
SA	MPLE	DEPTH	TEXTURE	COLOUR	COL	ABUN	CONT	COL	GLEY	>2	>6	LITH	TOT	CONSIST	STR	POR	IMP	SPL	CALC	
-	١P	0-25	mc]	10YR51 00	75YR5	6 00 C			Y	0	0	HR	2							
		2538	hc1	25 Y61 00	75YR5	8 00 M			Y	0	0	l	0	MDCOAB F	RM					
-		38-60	c	25 Y71 00	75YR6	8 00 M			Y	0	0	HR	2	MASSVE F	ΜP	Y		Y		
	. 3 .		mc1	25 Y52 62	75YR4	4 46 C			Y <sup>_</sup>	o	0	-	<sup>_</sup> 0		_	-			_	
		30-55	scl	25 Y62 72	10YR6	8 00 C			Y	0	0	l	0		м					
		55-80	c	25 Y71 00	75YR6	8 00 M			Y	0	0	I	0		Р			Y		
8		33 00	C	22 00					•	•	•		•							
	4	0-25	mcl	10YR51 00	75YR5	6 00 C			Y	0	0	HR	2							
_		25-38	hc1	25 Y61 00	75YR5	8 68 M			Y	0	0	1	0		M					
E		38-60	с	25 Y61 00	75YR6	800 M			Y	0	0	HR	2		Ρ			Y		Imp Flints
	5	0-38	നറി	10YR42 00	75YR4	6 00 C			Y	3	0	HR	5							
•		38-70	c	25 Y52 53	75YR5	8 00 M			Y	0	0	I	0		Ρ			Y		
	6	0~30	നറി	10YR42 00	10YR5	600F				0	0	HR	2							
_		30-60	c	05Y 52 62	75YR5	8 00 M	c	OMNOO (	00 Y	0	0	)	0		Ρ			Y		
	7	ሰ_ፈበ	ഹി	10YR42 00	75YR5	8 00 C			Y	1	0	HR	5							
-	•	40-60	c	25 Y52 53	10YR5	8 00 M			Ŷ	0	0		0		Ρ			Y		
	8	0-32	തരി	10YR42 00	10YR5	600 F				3	0	HR	8							
	-	32-47	hc]	10YR51 00	10785	6 00 C	ſ	DOMINOO I	00 Y	Ő	0	HR	2		м					
-		47-65	c	05Y 52 62	75YR5	8 00 M	c	DOMNOO (	00 Y	ō	0	HR	2		Ρ			Y		
	٥	0.25		107642 00	10765	6 00 C			v	n	n	HD	2							
-	3	0-20	aTC	AEV 52 62	75705		,			0	0		<u>د</u>		þ			v		
		20-00	C	U21 32 02	/01K0	ο VV M	L L	VUINUV I	υψιτ	U	- U	r	· ·		P					