A1
Arun District Local Plan
Site 39: Land north of Barnham Road,
Eastergate
Agricultural Land Classification,
ALC Map and Report
April 1994

AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION REPORT

ARUN DISTRICT LOCAL PLAN SITE 39: LAND NORTH OF BARNHAM ROAD, EASTERGATE

1. Summary

- 1.1 ADAS was commissioned by MAFF's Land Use Planning Unit to provide information on land quality for a number of sites in the Arun District of West Sussex. The work forms part of MAFF's statutory input to the preparation of the Arun District Local Plan.
- 1.2 Site 39 comprises 1 approximately hectare of land north of Barnham Road, Eastergate in West Sussex. An Agricultural Land Classification, (ALC), survey was carried out during April 1994. The survey was undertaken at a detailed level of approximately two borings per hectare. A total of 2 borings and one soil inspection pit were described in accordance with MAFF's revised guidelines and criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land, (MAFF, 1988). These guidelines provide a framework for classifying land according to the extent to which its physical or chemical characteristics impose a long term limitation on its use for agriculture.
- 1.3 At the time of the survey the land on the site was overgrown but had formerly been in use as a nursery.
- 1.4 The distribution of grades and subgrades is shown on the attached ALC map and the areas and extent are given in the table below. The map has been drawn at a scale of 1:5,000. It is accurate at this scale, but any enlargement would be misleading.

Table 1: Distribution of Grades and Subgrades

Grade	Area (ha)	% of Site	% of Agricultural Land
Grade 2	1.0	90.9	100
Non-agricultural	<u>0.1</u>	<u>9.1</u>	100% (1.0 ha)
Total area of site	1.1	100%	

- 1.5 Appendix I gives a general description of the grades, subgrades and land use categories identified in the survey. The main classes are described in terms of the type of limitation that can occur, the typical cropping range and the expected level and consistency of yield.
- 1.6 The entire site was found to comprise relatively free-draining slightly flinty silt loams or silty clay loams which become heavier and more flinty with depth. At 85 cm from the surface the profiles become impenetrable to the auger due to the presence of numerous large flints. Though soil textures are reasonably light the high subsoil stone content reduces the amount of profile available water for plants and adversely affects crop growth and yield. As the local climatic regime is only

2. Climate

- 2.1 The climatic criteria are considered first when classifying land as climate can be overriding in the sense that severe limitations will restrict land to low grades irrespective of favourable site or soil conditions.
- 2.2 The main parameters used in the assessment of an overall climatic limitation are average annual rainfall, as a measure of overall wetness, and accumulated temperature (degree days Jan-June), as a measure of the relative warmth of a locality.
- 2.3 A detailed assessment of the prevailing climate was made by interpolation from a 5km gridpoint dataset (Met. Office 1989). The details are given in the table below and these show that there is no overall climatic limitation affecting the site. However, climatic factors do interact with soil properties to influence soil wetness and droughtiness limitations.
- 2.4 No local climatic factors such as exposure or frost risk affect the site.

Table 2: Climatic Interpolation

Grid Reference	SU948055
Altitude (m)	12
Accumulated Temperature (days)	1534
Average Annual Rainfall (mm)	777
Field Capacity (days)	159
Moisture Deficit, Wheat (mm)	116
Moisture Deficit, Potatoes (mm)	113
Overall Climatic Grade	1

3. Relief

3.1 This site lies at an altitude of 12m AOD and is relatively flat.

4. Geology and Soil

- 4.1 British Geological Survey (1972), Sheet 317, Chichester shows the entire site to be underlain by Valley Gravel.
- The published Soil Survey map, the Soil Survey of England and Wales (SSGB, 1967, 1:25,000), Sheet SU90, Bognor Regis, shows that the major soil type on this site is the Hook series with a very small area of Hamble in the south west corner. The Hook series is described as being 'developed in silty drift with silt loam topsoils, containing chalk and flint over slightly gleyed silty clay loam subsoils. Very compact gravel of fine angular flints beneath'. (SSGB, 1967) while the Hamble series comprises 'slightly mottled silt loams over silty clay loams with small manganese concretions at depth' (SSGB, 1967).

4.3 Detailed field examination of the site showed that the soils at this locality are consistent with the Hook series but that the underlying gravelly horizons are composed of not fine but very coarse flints.

5. Agricultural Land Classification

- 5.1 Table 1 provided the details of the area measurements for each grade and the distribution of each grade is shown on the attached ALC map.
- 5.2 The location of the soil observation points are shown on the attached sample point map.

5.3 **Grade 2**

The entire site was classified as very good quality, Grade 2, agricultural land with a slight soil droughtiness limitation. The profiles comprise non-calcareous medium silty clay loam or silt loam topsoils containing 2% flint > 2 cm diameter v/v and 5% total flint v/v. The upper subsoil remains similar in both texture and stone content but is paler and occasionally gleyed. Between 45-80 cm depth the lower subsoils become heavier with a marked increase in flint content (10-55% total flint v/v) in heavy silty clay loam horizons. Below 85 cm from the surface an abundance of large angular flints cause the profile to become impenetrable. This stone content limits the amount of profile available water for crops and thus reduces the level and consistency of yields. However, the light soil texture and favourable climatic regime combine to counteract much of the drought risk such that the land was assessed as Grade 2.

The gleyed horizons found in some profiles show that there is also a slight soil wetness limitation arising from a fluctuating groundwater table. This was considered no worse than Grade 2, however.

- 5.4 The area of land identified as non-agricultural comprises a rough trackway through the centre of the site.
- 5.5 The areas identified as urban comprise two derelict glass houses.

ADAS Ref: 4202/91/94 MAFF Ref: EL42/460 Resource Planning Team Guildford Statutory Group ADAS Reading

SOURCES OF REFERENCE

British Geological Survey (1972), Sheet No. 317, Chichester, 1:50,000

MAFF (1988), Agricultural Land Classification of England and Wales: Revised guidelines and criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land.

Meteorological Office (1989), Climatological Data for Agricultural Land Classification.

Soil Survey of England and Wales (1967), Soil Maps of The West Sussex Coastal Plain, Sheet SU90, 1:25,000.

Soil Survey of Great Britain (1967) Bulletin 3, Soils of the West Sussex Coastal Plain.

APPENDIX I

DESCRIPTION OF THE GRADES AND SUBGRADES

Grade 1: Excellent Quality Agricultural Land

Land with no or very minor limitations to agricultural use. A very wide range of agricultural and horticultural crops can be grown and commonly includes top fruit, soft fruit, salad crops and winter harvested vegetables. Yields are high and less variable than on land of lower quality.

Grade 2: Very Good Quality Agricultural Land

Land with minor limitations which affect crop yield, cultivations or harvesting. A wide range of agricultural or horticultural crops can usually be grown but on some land of this grade there may be reduced flexibility due to difficulties with the production of the more demanding crops such as winter harvested vegetables and arable root crops. The level of yield is generally high but may be lower or more variable than Grade 1 land.

Grade 3: Good to Moderate Quality Land

Land with moderate limitations which affect the choice of crops, the timing and type of cultivation, harvesting or the level of yield. When more demanding crops are grown, yields are generally lower or more variable than on land in Grades 1 and 2.

Subgrade 3a: Good Quality Agricultural Land

Land capable of consistently producing moderate to high yields of a narrow range of arable crops, especially cereals, or moderate yields of a wide range of crops including cereals, grass, oilseed rape, potatoes, sugar beet and the less demanding horticultural crops.

Subgrade 3b: Moderate Quality Agricultural Land

Land capable of producing moderate yields of a narrow range of crops, principally cereals and grass, or lower yields of a wider range of crops or high yields of grass which can be grazed or harvested over most of the year.

Grade 4: Poor Quality Agricultural Land

Land with severe limitations which significantly restrict the range of crops and/or the level of yields. It is mainly suited to grass with occasional arable crops (eg. cereals and forage crops) the yields of which are variable. In moist climates, yields of grass may be moderate to high but there may be difficulties in utilisation. The grade also includes very droughty arable land.

Grade 5: Very Poor Quality Agricultural Land

Land with severe limitations which restrict use to permanent pasture or rough grazing, except for occasional pioneer forage crops.

Urban

Built-up or 'hard' uses with relatively little potential for a return to agriculture including: housing, industry, commerce, education, transport, religous buildings, cemetries. Also, hard-surfaced sports facilities, permanent caravan sites and vacant land; all types of derelict land, including mineral workings which are only likely to be reclaimed using derelict land grants.

Non-agricultural

'Soft' uses where most of the land could be returned relatively easily to agriculture, including: private parkland, public open spaces, sports fields, allotments and soft-surfaced areas on airports. Also active mineral workings and refuse tips where restoration conditions to 'soft' after-uses may apply.

Woodland

Includes commercial and non-commercial woodland. A distinction may be made as necessary between farm and non-farm woodland.

Agricultural Buildings

Includes the normal range of agricultural buildings as well as other relatively permanent structures such as glasshouses. Temporary structures (eg. polythene tunnels erected for lambing) may be ignored.

Open Water

Includes lakes, ponds and rivers as map scale permits.

Land Not Surveyed

Agricultural land which has not been surveyed.

Where the land use includes more than one of the above, eg. buildings in large grounds, and where map scale permits, the cover types may be shown separately. Otherwise, the most extensive cover type will be shown.

APPENDIX II

FIELD ASSESSMENT OF SOIL WETNESS CLASS

SOIL WETNESS CLASSIFICATION

Soil wetness is classified according to the depth and duration of waterlogging in the soil profile. Six soil wetness classes are identified and are defined in the table below.

Definition of Soil Wetness Classes

Wetness Class	Duration of Waterlogging ¹
I	The soil profile is not wet within 70 cm depth for more than 30 days in most years. ²
п	The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for 31-90 days in most years or, if there is no slowly permeable layer within 80 cm depth, it is wet within 70 cm for more than 90 days, but only wet within 40 cm depth for 30 days in most years.
m	The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for 91-180 days in most years or, if there is no slowly permeable layer present within 80 cm depth, it is wet within 70 cm for more than 180 days, but only wet within 40 cm depth for between 31-90 days in most years.
IV	The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for more than 180 days but not wet within 40 cm depth for more than 210 days in most years or, if there is no slowly permeable layer present within 80 cm depth, it is wet within 40 cm depth for 91-210 days in most years.
v	The soil profile is wet within 40 cm depth for 211-335 days in most years.
VI	The soil profile is wet within 40 cm depth for more than 335 days in most years.

Soils can be allocated to a wetness class on the basis of quantitative data recorded over a period of many years or by the interpretation of soil profile characteristics, site and climatic factors. Adequate quantitative data will rarely be available for ALC surveys and therefore the interpretative method of field assessment is used to identify soil wetness class in the field. The method adopted here is common to ADAS and the SSLRC.

¹The number of days specified is not necessarily a continuous period.

²'In most years' is defined as more than 10 out of 20 years.

APPENDIX III

SOIL PIT AND SOIL BORING DESCRIPTIONS

Contents:

Soil Abbreviations - Explanatory Note

Soil Pit Descriptions

Database Printout - Boring Level Information

Database Printout - Horizon Level Information

SOIL PROFILE DESCRIPTIONS: EXPLANATORY NOTE

Soil pit and auger boring information collected during ALC fieldwork is held on a computer database. This uses notations and abbreviations as set out below.

Boring Header Information

- 1. GRID REF: national 100 km grid square and 8 figure grid reference.
- 2. USE: Land use at the time of survey. The following abbreviations are used.

ARA: Arable WHT: Wheat BAR: Barley CER: Cereals OAT: Oats MZE: Maize OSR: Oilseed rape BEN: Field Beans BRA: Brassicae POT: Potatoes SBT: Sugar Beet FCD: Fodder Crops Soft and Top Fruit LIN: Linseed FRT: FLW: Fallow

PGR: Permanent Pasture LEY: Ley Grass RGR: Rough Grazing SCR: Scrub CFW: Coniferous Woodland DCW: Deciduous Wood

HTH: Heathland BOG: Bog or Marsh FLW: Fallow PLO: Ploughed SAS: Set aside OTH: Other

HRT: Horticultural Crops

- 3. GRDNT: Gradient as estimated or measured by a hand-held optical clinometer.
- 4. GLEY/SPL: Depth in centimetres (cm) to gleying and/or slowly permeable layers.
- 5. AP (WHEAT/POTS): Crop-adjusted available water capacity.
- 6. MB (WHEAT/POTS): Moisture Balance. (Crop adjusted AP crop adjusted MD)
- 7. DRT: Best grade according to soil droughtiness.
- 8. If any of the following factors are considered significant, 'Y' will be entered in the relevant column.

MREL: Microrelief limitation FLOOD: Flood risk EROSN: Soil erosion risk EXP: Exposure limitation FROST: Frost prone DIST: Disturbed land

CHEM: Chemical limitation

9. **LIMIT**: The main limitation to land quality. The following abbreviations are used.

OC: Overall Climate AE: Aspect EX: Exposure
FR: Frost Risk GR: Gradient MR: Microrelief
FL: Flood Risk TX: Topsoil Texture DP: Soil Depth
CH: Chemical WE: Wetness WK: Workability

DR: Drought **ER**: Erosion Risk **WD**: Soil Wetness/Droughtiness

ST: Topsoil Stoniness

Soil Pits and Auger Borings

1. TEXTURE: soil texture classes are denoted by the following abbreviations.

S :	Sand	LS:	Loamy Sand	SL:	Sandy Loam
SZL:	Sandy Silt Loam	CL :	Clay Loam	\mathbf{ZCL} :	Silty Clay Loam
ZL :	Silt Loam	SCL:	Sandy Clay Loam	C :	Clay
SC:	Sandy Clay	ZC :	Silty Clay	\mathbf{OL} :	Organic Loam
P :	Peat	SP:	Sandy Peat	LP:	Loamy Peat
PL:	Peaty Loam	PS:	Peaty Sand	MZ :	Marine Light Silts

For the sand, loamy sand, sandy loam and sandy silt loam classes, the predominant size of sand fraction will be indicated by the use of the following prefixes:

F: Fine (more than 66% of the sand less than 0.2mm)

M: Medium (less than 66% fine sand and less than 33% coarse sand)

C: Coarse (more than 33% of the sand larger than 0.6mm)

The clay loam and silty clay loam classes will be sub-divided according to the clay content: M: Medium (<27% clay) H: Heavy (27-35% clay)

- 2. MOTTLE COL: Mottle colour using Munsell notation.
- MOTTLE ABUN: Mottle abundance, expressed as a percentage of the matrix or surface described.

F: few <2% C: common 2-20% M: many 20-40% VM: very many 40% +

4. MOTTLE CONT: Mottle contrast

F: faint - indistinct mottles, evident only on close inspection

D: distinct - mottles are readily seen

P: prominent - mottling is conspicuous and one of the outstanding features of the horizon

- 5. **PED. COL**: Ped face colour using Munsell notation.
- 6. GLEY: If the soil horizon is gleyed a 'Y' will appear in this column. If slightly gleyed, an 'S' will appear.
- 7. STONE LITH: Stone Lithology One of the following is used.

HR: all hard rocks and stones SLST: soft oolitic or dolimitic limestone

CH: chalk FSST: soft, fine grained sandstone

ZR: soft, argillaceous, or silty rocks GH: gravel with non-porous (hard) stones

MSST: soft, medium grained sandstone GS: gravel with porous (soft) stones

SI: soft weathered igneous/metamorphic rock

Stone contents (>2cm, >6cm and total) are given in percentages (by volume).

8. STRUCT: the degree of development, size and shape of soil peds are described using the following notation:

<u>degree of development</u> WK: weakly developed MD: moderately developed

ST: strongly developed

ped size F: fine M: medium

C: coarse VC: very coarse

ped shape S: single grain M: massive

GR: granular AB: angular blocky

SAB: sub-angular blocky PR: prismatic

PL: platy

9. **CONSIST**: Soil consistence is described using the following notation:

L: loose VF: very friable FR: friable FM: firm VM: very firm

EM: extremely firm EH: extremely hard

10. SUBS STR: Subsoil structural condition recorded for the purpose of calculating profile droughtiness: G: good M: moderate P: poor

11. POR: Soil porosity. If a soil horizon has less than 0.5% biopores >0.5 mm, a 'Y' will appear in this column.

12. IMP: If the profile is impenetrable to rooting a 'Y' will appear in this column at the appropriate horizon.

13. SPL: Slowly permeable layer. If the soil horizon is slowly permeable a 'Y' will appear in this column.

14. CALC: If the soil horizon is calcareous, a 'Y' will appear in this column.

15. Other notations

APW: available water capacity (in mm) adjusted for wheat APP: available water capacity (in mm) adjusted for potatoes

MBW: moisture balance, wheat MBP: moisture balance, potatoes

					MOTTLES		PED			s	TONES-		STRUCT	/ 5	SUBS	3			
AMPLE	DEPTH	TEXTURE	COLOUR	COL	ABUN	CONT	COL.	GLEY	>2	>6	LITH	TOT	CONSIS	r s	STR	POR	IMP	SPL	CALC
1	0-32	mzcl	10YŖ43 00						0	0	HR	5							
	32-68	mzcl	10YR53 00	75YR58	9 00 C		10YR63	00 Y	0	0	HR	5			М				
	68-80	mzcl	10YR44 54						0	0	HR	2			М				
	80-85	hzcl	10YR44 54						0	0	HR	20			Ρ				
1P	0-32	zl	10YR42 00						2	0	HR	5							
)	32-38	z1	10YR44 00						0	0	HR	2	MDCSAB	FR	М				
	38-45	mzcl	10YR44 00						0	0	HR	2	MDCSAB	FR	М				
•	45-55	hzc1	10YR44 54						0	0	HR	15	MDCSAB	FR	М				
_	55-80	hzc1	10YR44 54						0	0	HR	25			М				
	80-85	hzc1	10YR44 54						0	0	HR	55			P				
2	0-32	zl	10YR42 00	75YR40	6 00 F				0	0	HR	2							
ì	32-38	z١	10YR44 00						0	0	HR	5			М				
	38-58	mzcl	10YR44 00						0	0	HR	5			М				
-	58-84	hzcl	10YR44 54	75YR5	8 00 F				0	0	HR	10			М				

rogram: ALC012

LIST OF BORINGS HEADERS 27/04/94 ARUN LP, SITE 39

. page 1

AMP	ĻĘ	ASPECT				WETI	VESS	-WHE	AT-	-P0	TS-	M. F	REL	EROSN	FROST	CHEM .	ALC	
NO.	GRID REF	USE	GRDNT	GLEY	SPL	CLASS	GRADE	AP	MB	AP	MB	DRT	FLOOD	£ΧΙ	P DIST	LIMIT		COMMENTS
1 p	SU94829950 SU94769955 SU94769955	DER		032		2 1 1	2 1	120 127 135	11	120 129 136	7 16 23	2				ÐR ÐR DR	2 2 2	IMP 85 IMP 85 IMP 84

SOIL PIT DESCRIPTION

Site Name : ARUN LP, SITE 39 Pit Number : 1P

Grid Reference: SU94769955 Average Annual Rainfall: 777 mm

Accumulated Temperature: 1534 degree days

Field Capacity Level : 159 days

Land Use :

Slope and Aspect : degrees

HORIZON	TEXTURE	COLOUR	STONES >2	TOT.STONE	MOTTLES	STRUCTURE
0- 32	ZL	10YR42 00	2	5		
32- 38	ZL	10YR44 00	0	2		MDCSAB
38- 45	MZCL	10YR44 00	0	2		MDCSAB
45- 55	HZCL-	10YR44 54	0	15		MDCSAB
55- 80	HZCL-	10YR44 54	0	25		
80- 85	HZCL	10YR44 54	0	55		

Wetness Grade: 1 Wetness Class : I

Gleying : cm SPL : cm

Drought Grade: 2 APW: 127mm MBW: 11 mm

APP: 129mm MBP: 16 mm

FINAL ALC GRADE : 2

MAIN LIMITATION : Droughtiness