Wadebridge

1

Agricultural Land Classification

September 1997

Resource Planning Team Bristol FRCA Western Region

.

Job Number 42/97



WADEBRIDGE

AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION SURVEY

CONTENTS

.

.

.

. .

.

Page

INTRODUCTIO	Ν	1
SUMMARY		1
CLIMATE		3
RELIEF		4
GEOLOGY AND	4	
AGRICULTURA	AL LAND CLASSIFICATION AND MAP	4
REFERENCES		5
APPENDIX I	Description of the Grades and Subgrades	6
APPENDIX II	Definition of Soil Wetness Classes	8
APPENDIX III	Survey Data:	9
	Sample Point Location Map	
	Pit Descriptions	
	Boring Profile Data	
	Boring Horizon Data	
	Abbreviations and Terms use	d in Survey Data

WADEBRIDGE

AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION SURVEY

INTRODUCTION

1. This report presents the findings of a semi-detailed Agricultural Land Classification (ALC) survey of 175.1 ha of land at Wadebridge, Cornwall. Field survey was based on 79 auger borings and 5 soil profile pits, and was completed in September 1997. During the survey 5 samples were analysed for particle size distribution (PSD).

2. The survey was conducted by the Resource Planning Team of FRCA Western Region on behalf of MAFF in its statutory role in the preparation of North Cornwall Local Plan.

3. Information on climate, geology and soils, and from previous ALC surveys was considered and is presented in the relevant section. Apart from the published regional ALC map (MAFF, 1977), which shows the site at a reconnaissance scale as Grade 3, the site was previously surveyed in 1982 at a scale of 1: 25 000 (ADAS, 1982). This shows mainly Subgrade 3a with a small area of Grade 2 to the east of Treneague. However, the current survey uses the Revised Guidelines and Criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land (MAFF, 1988) and therefore supersedes any previous ALC survey. Grade descriptions are summarised in Appendix I.

4. An adjacent survey at West Wadebridge (ADAS 1994) shows Subgrade 3a limited mainly by workability and other recent surveys around Wadebridge (ADAS 1994 and 1996) also show mainly Subgrade 3a.

5. At the time of survey land cover was mainly grass with some cereals and one field of maize for silage. Other land which was not surveyed included mainly woodland, residential land, roads, a section of disused railway, a playing field and the River Camel.

SUMMARY

6. The distribution of ALC grades is shown on the accompanying 1: 15 000 scale ALC map. The detail of information shown at this scale is appropriate to the intensity of field survey but could be misleading if enlarged or applied to small areas. Areas are summarised in the Table 1.

Grade	Area (ha)	% Surveyed Area (137.2 h		
3a	95.4	69		
3a 3b	12.2	9		
4	24.6	18		
5	5.0	4		
Other land	37.9			
Total site area				

Table 1: Distribution of ALC grades: Wadebridge

7. This shows that 69% of area was found to be best and most versatile. This was Subgrade 3a limited mainly by workability and also by droughtiness in parts. Apart from a larger area of Grade 4 in the flood plain of the River Camel, which is limited by wetness, other smaller areas of Subgrade 3b and Grade 4 on the steeper valley sides are limited by gradient.

CLIMATE

8. Estimates of climatic variables for this site were derived from the published agricultural climate dataset "Climatological Data for Agricultural Land Classification" (Meteorological Office, 1989) using standard interpolation procedures. Data for key points around the site are given in Table 2 below.

9. Since the ALC grade of land is determined by the most limiting factor present, overall climate is considered first because it can have an overriding influence by restricting land to a lower grade despite more favourable site and soil conditions. Parameters used for assessing overall climate are accumulated temperature, a measure of relative warmth and average annual rainfall, a measure of overall wetness. The results shown in Table 2 indicate that there is no overall climatic limitation.

10. Climatic variables also affect ALC grade through interactions with soil conditions. The most important interactive variables are Field Capacity Days (FCD) which are used in assessing soil wetness and potential Moisture Deficits calculated for wheat and potatoes, which are compared with the moisture available in each profile in assessing soil droughtiness limitations. These are described in later sections.

Table 2:	Climatic	Interpolations:	Wadebridge	

~...

Grid Reference	SW 996 717	SW 980 721	
Altitude (m)	25	85	
Accumulated Temperature (day °C)	1602	1534	
Average Annual Rainfall (mm)	1021	1077	
Overall Climatic Grade	1	1	
Field Capacity Days	203	211	
Moisture deficit (mm): Wheat	93	84	
Potatoes	83	71	

11. The highest land at the west of the site was considered to exhibit a minor limitation due to exposure, as indicated by tree growth, although this is likely to be no more more than to Grade 2 and is nowhere the primary limitation.

RELIEF

12. Altitude ranges from 5 metres along the River Camel to 89 metres above Dunveth Farm with mainly gentle and moderate slopes which are not limiting although steeper slopes are found on smaller areas of the valley sides limiting this land to Subgrade 3b or Grade 4.

13. Most land in the flood plain of the River Camel is protected by an effective embankment. The narrow strip of land outside this embankment floods regularly with the tide and is limited to occasional rough grazing. Land within the embankment is reported to have flooded only once in a lifetime and then only for less than 24 hours. On this basis any flood risk within the flood bank is not considered to be limiting.

GEOLOGY AND SOILS

14. The underlying geology of the site is shown on the published geology map (IGS, 1976) as Devonian Grey slates with alluvium in the flood plains of the major rivers. This was entirely borne out by the recent ALC survey.

15. Soils were mapped by the Soil Survey of England and Wales at a reconnaissance scale of 1:250 000 (SSEW, 1983) as mainly Powys association on the higher ground with Conway Association in the flood plain of the River Camel. Powys association is described as shallow well drained loamy soils over rock, whereas Conway association is described as deep stoneless fine silty and clayey soils variably affected by groundwater which are found on flat land with a risk of flooding. This is entirely borne out by the recent ALC survey.

AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION

16. The distribution of ALC grades found by the current survey is shown on the accompanying 1: 15 000 scale map and areas are summarised in Table 1. The detail of information shown at this scale is appropriate to the intensity of field survey but could be misleading if enlarged or applied to small areas.

Subgrade 3a

17. Subgrade 3a comprises by far the major part of the gently sloping land within the survey area. This was found to be mainly heavy clay loam topsoil at Wetness Class I, indicating a primary limitation due to restricted workability. Pits 1 to 4 were all sited to investigate profiles which had proved to be impenetrable to the auger. At each pit the stone content of each horizon was assessed by sieving and the available water for the profile was calcualted to a depth of 80 or 90 cm. This was considered reasonable in view of the evidence of rooting through the profiles. This showed that each profile was within limits of mositure balance for droughtiness appropriate to Subgrade 3a.

Subgrade 3b

18. Small areas of Subgrade 3b found on the more steeply sloping valley sides are limited by gradient.

Grade 4

19. The main area of Grade 4 is shown in the flood plain of the River Camel. Topsoil textures were found to be heavy silty clay loam or silty clay and Wetness Class was assessed as mainly IV with some III. A slowly permeable layer was evident in most borings. This is illustrated by Pit 5 which found the slowly permeable layer to be sporadic between 16 and 90 cm depth. In this instance Horizon 3 from 40 - 60 cm was found to be reltively porous and therefore not slowly permeable within the terms of the ALC definition. However, the profile overall was assessed as Wetness Class IV in view of the sporadic SPL and any aggravation to wetness caused by groundwater.

Grade 5

20. The small area of Grade 5 is shown outside the flood embankment of the River Camel where there is evidence of fairly regular flooding at high tide, limiting the effective use of the land to rough grazing.

Paul Barnett Resource Planning Team FRCA Bristol 30 September 1997

REFERENCES

ADAS RESOURCE PLANNING TEAM, (1982) Agricultural Land Classification Survey of Wadebridge Scale 1: 25 000, Reference 59, FRCA Bristol.

ADAS RESOURCE PLANNING TEAM, (1994) Agricultural Land Classification Survey of East Wadebridge Scale 1: 10 000, Reference 35.94. FRCA Bristol.

ADAS RESOURCE PLANNING TEAM, (1994) Agricultural Land Classification Survey of Green Hill, Wadebridge Scale 1: 10 000, Reference 7.96. FRCA Bristol.

ADAS RESOURCE PLANNING TEAM, (1996) Agricultural Land Classification Survey of West Wadebridge Scale 1: 10 000, Reference 34.94., FRCA Bristol.

INSTITUTE OF GEOLOGICAL SCIENCES (1976) Sheet 335/336 Trevose Head and Camelford 1:50 000 series Solid and Drift edition. IGS, London.

HODGSON, J M (Ed) (1997) Soil Survey Field Handbook. Soil Survey Technical Monograph No 5. SSLRC, Cranfield University.

MAFF (1977) 1:250 000 series Agricultural Land Classification, South West Region. MAFF Publications, Alnwick.

MAFF (1988) Agricultural Land Classification of England and Wales. Revised Guidelines and Criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land. MAFF Publications, Alnwick.

METEOROLOGICAL OFFICE (1989) Climatological Data for Agricultural Land Classification. Meteorological Office, Bracknell.

SOIL SURVEY OF ENGLAND AND WALES (1983) Sheet 5, Soils of South West England, 1:250 000 scale. SSEW, Harpenden.

SOIL SURVEY OF ENGLAND AND WALES (1984) Soils and Their Use in South West England, Bulletin No 14. SSEW, Harpenden.

APPENDIX I

DESCRIPTION OF GRADES AND SUBGRADES

Grade 1 - excellent quality agricultural land

Land with no or very minor limitations to agricultural use. A very wide range of agricultural and horticultural crops can be grown and commonly include top fruit, soft fruit, salad crops and winter harvested vegetables. Yields are high and less variable than on land of lower quality.

Grade 2 - very good quality agricultural land

Land with minor limitations which affect crop yield, cultivations or harvesting. A wide range of agricultural and horticultural crops can usually be grown but on some land in the grade there may be reduced flexibility due to difficulties with the production of the more demanding crops such as winter harvested vegetables and arable root crops. The level of yield is generally high but may be lower or more variable than Grade 1.

Grade 3 - good to moderate quality agricultural land

Land with moderate limitations which affect the choice of crops, timing and type of cultivation, harvesting or the level of yield. Where more demanding crops are grown yields are generally lower or more variable than on land in Grades 1 and 2.

Subgrade 3a - good quality agricultural land

Land capable of consistently producing moderate to high yields of a narrow range of arable crops, especially cereals, or moderate yields of a wide range of crops including cereals, grass, oilseed rape, potatoes, sugar beet and the less demanding horticultural crops.

Subgrade 3b - moderate quality agricultural land

Land capable of producing moderate yields of a narrow range of crops, principally cereals and grass, or lower yields of a wider range of crops or high yields of grass which can be grazed or harvested over most of the year.

Grade 4 - poor quality agricultural land

Land with severe limitations which significantly restrict the range of crops and/or level of yields. It is mainly suited to grass with occasional arable crops (eg cereals and forage crops) the yields of which are variable. In most climates, yields of grass may be moderate to high but there may be difficulties in utilisation. The grade also includes very droughty arable land.

Grade 5 - very poor quality agricultural land

Land with very severe limitations which restrict use to permanent pasture or rough grazing, except for occasional pioneer forage crops.

Source: MAFF (1988) Agricultural Land Classification of England and Wales Revised Guidelines and Criteria for Grading the Quality of Agricultural Land, MAFF Publications, Alnwick.

1

APPENDIX II

DEFINITION OF SOIL WETNESS CLASSES

Soil wetness is classified according to the depth and duration of waterlogging in the soil profile.

Wetness Class I

The soil profile is not wet within 70 cm depth for more than 30 days in most years.

Wetness Class II

The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for 31-90 days in most years or, if there is no slowly permeable layer within 80 cm depth, it is wet within 70 cm for more than 90 days, but not wet within 40 cm depth for more than 30 days in most years.

Wetness Class III

The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for 91-180 days in most years or, if there is no slowly permeable layer within 80 cm depth, it is wet within 70 cm for more than 180 days, but only wet within 40 cm depth for between 31 and 90 days in most years.

Wetness Class IV

The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for more than 180 days but not within 40 cm depth for more than 210 days in most years or, if there is no slowly permeable layer within 80 cm depth, it is wet within 40 cm depth for 91-210 days in most years.

Wetness Class V

The soil profile is wet within 40 cm depth for 211-335 days in most years.

Wetness Class VI

The soil profile is wet within 40 cm depth for more than 335 days in most years.

Notes: The number of days specified is not necessarily a continuous period.

'In most years' is defined as more than 10 out of 20 years.

Source: Hodgson, J M (Ed) (1997) Soil Survey Field Handbook. Soil Survey Technical Monograph No 5, SSLRC, Cranfield.

APPENDIX III

ABBREVIATIONS AND TERMS USED IN SURVEY DATA

Soil pit and auger boring information collected during ALC survey is held on a computer database and is reproduced in this report. Terms used and abbreviations are set out below. These conform to definitions contained in the Soil Survey Field Handbook (Hodgson, 1997).

1. Terms used on computer database, in order of occurrence.

GRID REF: National 100 km grid square and 8 figure grid reference.

WHT:	Wheat	SBT:	Sugar Beet	HTH:	Heathland
BAR:	Barley	BRA:	Brassicas	BOG:	Bog or Marsh
OAT:	Oats	FCD:	Fodder Crops	DCW:	Deciduous Wood
CER:	Cereals	FRT:	Soft and Top Fruit	CFW:	Coniferous Woodland
MZE:	Maize	HRT:	Horticultural Crops	PLO:	Ploughed
OSR:	Oilseed Rape	LEY:	Ley Grass	FLW:	Fallow (inc. Set aside)
POT:	Potatoes	PGR:	Permanent Pasture	SAS:	Set Aside (where known)
LIN:	Linseed	RGR:	Rough Grazing	OTH:	Other
BEN:	Field Beans	SCR:	Scrub		

LAND USE: At the time of survey

GRDNT: Gradient as estimated or measured by hand-held optical clinometer.

GLEY, SPL: Depth in centimetres to gleying or slowly permeable layer.

AP (WHEAT/POTS):	Crop-adjusted available water capacity.			
MB (WHEAT/POTS):	Moisture Balance. MD)	(Crop adjusted AP - crop potential		

DRT: Best grade according to soil droughtiness.

If any of the following factors are considered significant, 'Y' will be entered in the relevant column.

MREL EXP: CHEM	Exposure limitation	n Fl	LOOD: ROST:	Flood risk Frost prone	ER DIS	OSN: ST:	Soil erosion risk Disturbed land
LIMIT: The main limitation to land quality: The following abbreviations are used.							
OC:	Overall Climate	AE:	Aspect	EX	X :	Expos	ure
FR:	Frost Risk	GR:	Gradier	nt M	R:	Micro	relief

FL:	Flood Risk	TX:	Topsoil Texture	DP:	Soil Depth
CH:	Chemical	WE:	Wetness	WK:	Workability
DR:	Drought	ER:	Erosion Risk	WD:	Soil
					Wetness/Droughtiness

ST: Topsoil Stoniness

TEXTURE: Soil texture classes are denoted by the following abbreviations:-

S: SZL:	Sand Sandy Silt Loam	LS: CL:	Loamy Sand Clay Loam	SL: ZCL	Sandy Loam Silty Clay Loam
ZL:	Silt Loam	SCL:	Sandy Clay	C:	Clay
			Loam		
SC:	Sandy clay	ZC:	Silty clay	OL:	Organic Loam
P:	Peat	SP:	Sandy Peat	LP:	Loamy Peat
PL:	Peaty Loam	PS:	Peaty Sand	MZ:	Marine Light Silts

For the sand, loamy sand, sandy loam and sandy silt loam classes, the predominant size of sand fraction will be indicated by the use of the following prefixes:-

- **F:** Fine (more than 66% of the sand less than 0.2mm)
- M: Medium (less than 66% fine sand and less than 33% coarse sand)
- C: Coarse (more than 33% of the sand larger than 0.6mm)

The clay loam and silty clay loam classes will be sub-divided according to the clay content: M: Medium (< 27% clay) H: heavy (27 - 35% clay)

MOTTLE COL: Mottle colour using Munsell notation.

MOTTLE ABUN: Mottle abundance, expressed as a percentage of the matrix or surface described.

F: few <2% C: common 2 - 20% M: many 20 - 40% VM: very many 40%+

MOTTLE CONT: Mottle contrast

- F: faint indistinct mottles, evident only on close inspection
- D: distinct mottles are readily seen
- **P:** Prominent mottling is conspicuous and one of the outstanding features of the horizon.

PED. COL: Ped face colour using Munsell notation.

GLEY: If the soil horizon is gleyed a 'Y' will appear in this column. If slightly gleyed, an 'S' will appear.

STONE LITH: Stone Lithology - One of the following is used.

HR: All hard rocks and stones SLST: Soft oolitic or dolimitic limestone

CH:	Chalk	FSST:	Soft, fine grained sandstone
ZR:	Soft, argillaceous, or silty rocks	GH:	Gravel with non-porous (hard) stones
MSST:	Soft, medium grained sandstone	GS:	Gravel with porous (soft) stones
SI:	Soft weathered igneous or metamor	phic rock	-

Stone contents are given in % by volume for sizes >2cm, >6cm and total stone >2mm.

STRUCT: The degree of development, size and shape of soil peds are described using the following notation

Degree of development	WA: Adher	• •	WK:	Weakly developed
	MD: develo	Moderately oped	ST:	Strongly developed
Ped size	F: C:	Fine Coarse	M: VC:	Medium Very coarse
<u>Ped Shape</u>	S: GR: SAB: PL:	Single grain Granular Sub-angular blocky Platy	M: AB: PR:	Massive Angular blocky Prismatic

CONSIST: Soil consistence is described using the following notation:

L:	Loose	VF:	Very Friable	FR:	Friable	FM:	Firm
VM:	Very firm	EM:	Extremely firm		EH: Ex	tremely H	lard

SUBS STR: Subsoil structural condition recorded for the purpose of calculating profile droughtiness: G: Good M: Moderate P: Poor

POR: Soil porosity. If a soil horizon has poor porosity with less than 0.5% biopores >0.5mm, a 'Y' will appear in this column.

- **IMP:** If the profile is impenetrable to rooting a 'Y' will appear in this column at the appropriate horizon.
- **SPL:** Slowly permeable layer. If the soil horizon is slowly permeable a 'Y' will appear in this column.

CALC: If the soil horizon is calcareous with naturally occurring calcium carbonate exceeding 1% a 'Y' will appear this column.

2. Additional terms and abbreviations used mainly in soil pit descriptions.

STONE ASSESSMENT:

VIS: Visual S: Sieve D: Displacement

MOTTLE SIZE:

EF:	Extremely fine <1mm	M:	Medium 5-15mm
VF:	Very fine 1-2mm>	C:	Coarse >15mm
F:	Fine 2-5mm		

MOTTLE COLOUR:	May be described by Munsell notation or as ochreous (OM) or grey (GM).
ROOT CHANNELS:	In topsoil the presence of 'rusty root channels' should also be noted.

MANGANESE CONCRETIONS: Assessed by volume

N:	None		M:	Many	20-40%
F:	Few	<2%	VM:	Very Many	>40%
C:	Common	2-20%			

POROSITY:

P:	Poor	- less than 0.5% biopores at least 0.5mm in diameter
G:	Good	- more than 0.5% biopores at least 0.5mm in diameter

ROOT ABUNDANCE:

The number of	f roots per 100cm ² :	Very Fine and Fine	Medium and Coarse
F:	Few	1-10	1 or 2
C :	Common	10.25	2 - 5
M:	Many	25-200	>5
A:	Abundant	>200	

ROOT SIZE

VF:	Very fine	<1mm	M:	Medium	2 - 5mm
F:	Fine	1-2mm	C :	Coarse	>5mm

HORIZON BOUNDARY DISTINCTNESS:

.

Sharp:	<0.5cm	Gradual:	6 - 13cm
Abrupt:	0.5 - 2.5cm	Diffuse:	>13cm
Clear:	2.5 - 6cm		

HORIZON BOUNDARY FORM: Smooth, wavy, irregular or broken.* * See Soil Survey Field Handbook (Hodgson, 1997) for details.

	Wadebridge		FILE NO. (Asp 86)	SLOPE AND 4 °W	ASPECT		AND USE GR			/ Rainfall: FO:	1050 mm 1550 day °	C	PARENT MATERIAL Devonian Grey Slate			
JOB NO. 42.97		DAT 8.9.9		GRID REFERENCE SW 9962 7143			DESCRIBED BY PB		Cli	C Days: imatic Grade: posure Grade:	207 1		PSD SAMPLES TAKEN 130-25- HCL/MCL (S39:Z34 : C27%)			
Horizon No.	Lowest Av. Depth (cm)	Texture	Matrix (Ped Face) Colours	Stoniness: Size,Type, an Field Method		ance, st, d	Mangan Concs	Structure: Developm Size and Shape	Ped	Consistence	Structural Condition	Pores (Fissures)	Roots: Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary: Distinctness and form	
1	23	HCL	10YR43	1%> 2 cm (s) 22%< 2cm (s +d 23% HR) ()	0	-		-	_	G	MF, VF	-	Clear Smooth	
2	33	HCL	7.5YR43	1% >2cm (s) 23% < 2 cm (s + 24% HR	+d) 0		0	MDMG	R	FR	G	G	MF, VF	-	Abrupt Wavy	
3	68	HCL	10YR54	40% >2 cm (s) 29%< 2 cm (s +6 74% HR, ZR)) CDF 10Y		F(in stones)	Too stor	ıy	-	(M)	(G)	FVF(CVF in soil matrix)	-	-	
Profile G	leyed Fror	n: - *		Avai	lable Water	Whea	at: 85 mr	n			Final ALC	Grade:	3a	•		
Slowly Pe Horizon I Wetness	From: Class:	- I 3a		Mois	sture Deficit	Potat Whe Potat	at: 89 mr	m			Main Limit	ing Factor(s): WK, DR			
Wetness	Moisture Balance Wheat: -4 mm Potatoes: -9 mm								Remarks:	stone	nottles mainly as es, therefore hori within H3 may	zon not gleye				
				Drou	ightiness Grad	e: 3a	(Calc	ulated to 80	cm)				·			

	Wadebridge		Pit 2 (Nr Asp 16)					ND USE			v Rainfall: TO:	1070 mm 1540 day °	°C	PARENT MATERIAL Devonian Grey Slate		
JOB NO. 42.97			DATE 11.9.97		GRID REFERENCE SW 98177231		DESCRIBED BY PB		C	C Days: limatic Grade: xposure Grade:	210 1 2		PSD SAMPLES TAKEN TS 0-25 cm HCL (S42:Z27 : C31%)			
Horizon No.	Lowest Av. Depth (cm)	Texture	Matrix (Ped Face) Colours	Stonine Size,Ty Field M	pe, and lethod	Mottling Abundance Contrast, Size and Colour	· •	Mangan Concs	Structure: Developme Size and Shape	Ped		Structural Condition	Pores (Fissures)	Roots: Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary: Distinctness and form
1	23	HCL	10YR43	1%> 2 cm 22%< 2c 23% HR	m (s +d)	0		0	-		-	-	G	AF, VF	-	Clear Smooth
2	35.5	HCL	7.5YR43	30%> 2 c 24% < 2 54% ZR	cm (s) cm (s +d)	0		0 MDI		R	FR	G	G	MVF	-	Grad Wavy
3	80+	HCL	10YR54	50% .>2 29%< 2 c 79% ZR		CDFO * 10YR58		0	Too stor	ıу 	8-	(M)	(G)	FVF	-	-
Profile G	leyed Fror	n: -			Available '	Water W	heat:	90 mr	n			Final ALC	Grade:	3a		
Slowly Pe Horizon I Wetness (From:	- I			Moisture I	Deficit W	otatoes /heat: otatoes	89 mr	n			Main Limit	ing Factor(s): WK, DR		
Wetness	Grade:	3a			Moisture E	Balance W	/heat:	+1 m	n			Remarks:		<u> </u>		
					Droughtine	ess Grade: 3			ulated to 90	cm)						

	Wadebridge Pit		PROFILE NO.SLOPEPit 3 (Asp 28)0 °		AND ASPE	СТ	LAND PGR	USE		Av AT	PRainfall:	1050 mm 1550 day °	c	PARENT MATERIAL Devonian Grey Slate			
JOB NO. 42.97		DAT 11.9		GRID F SW 982			DESCRIBED BY PB		Cli	Days: imatic Grade: posure Grade:	207 1 2		PSD SAMPLES TAKEN TS 0.25 cm HCL (S38:Z30 : C 32%)				
Horizon No.	Lowest Av. Depth (cm)	Texture	Matrix (Ped Face) Colours	Stonine Size,Ty Field M	pe, and lethod	Mottling Abundance Contrast, Size and Colour	e, Ma Cor	ingan ncs	Structure: I Developme Size and Shape	Ped	Consistence	2 Structural Condition	Pores (Fissures)	Roots: Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary: Distinctness and form	
1	23	HCL	10YR43	1%> 2 cr 18%< 2c 19% HR	m (s +đ)	0		0	-		-	-	G	AF, VF	-	Clear Smooth	
2	30	HCL	7.5YR43	4%> 2 cr 28% < 2 32% ZR	n (s) cm (s +d)) 0		0		R	FR	G	G	MF,VF	-	Grad Smooth	
3	60	HCL	10YR54	55% .>2 24%< 2 c 79% ZR	cm (s) cm (s +d)	0		F [*] Too sto		ıy	-	(M)	(G)	CVF	-	Abrupt Irregular	
4	>60	Slate														-	
Profile G	leyed Fron	n: -		.	Available	Water W	heat:	81 mr	n			Final ALC	Grade:	3a	·	<u> </u>	
Horizon I	Slowly Permeable Horizon From: - Wetness Class: I (assumed) Potatoes: 77 mm						n			Main Limit	ing Factor(s): WK, DR					
Wetness	Grade:	3a			Moisture E		heat: btatoes:	-8 mn -6 mn				Remarks:		ne ochreous stair sit associated wi			
					Droughtine	ess Grade: 3a	a	(Calca	ulated to 80	cm)				ness Class I assu 60 cm.	med as profil	e examined	

Wadebridge Pit 4 (Nr Asp 8) 0		SLOPE 0°	AND ASPE	ECT	LAND USE Ley			Av Rainfall: ATO:		1077 mm 1534 day °C		PARENT MATERIAL Devonian Grey Slate				
JOB NO. 42.97		DAT 23.9			REFERENCE 64 7232		DESCRIBED BY PB		Cli	Days: matic Grade: posure Grade:	211 1 2		PSD SAMPLES TAKEN TS 0-25 cm HCL (C) (S37:Z29 : C 34%)			
Horizon No.	Lowest Av. Depth (cm)	Texture	Matrix (Ped Face) Colours	Stonine Size,Ty Field M	pe, and lethod	Mottling Abundance Contrast, Size and Colour		langan oncs	Structure: I Developme Size and Shape	Ped	Consistence	Structural Condition	Pores (Fissures)	Roots: Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary: Distinctness and form
1	25	HCL	7.5YR43	2%> 2 ст 27%< 2ст 29% НR	n (s +d) 0			0	-		-	-	-	AF, VF	-	Clear Wavy
2	43	HZCL	10YR43	15%> 2 c 45% < 2 60% ZR	cm (s +d)			0 MDMG		R	FR	G	G	MVF	-	Grad Smooth
3	58	HCL	10YR54	45% .>2 29%< 2 c 74% ZR	cm (s +d)		0		Too ston	y	FR	(M)	G	CVF	-	Grad Wavy
4	70+	Slate (HZCL)	10YR63	95% ZR	(VIS)	0		0	-			(M)	(G)	FVF		
Profile G	leyed Fron	n: -			Available	Water W	heat:	73 mi	n			Final ALC	Grade:	3a		
Slowly Pe Horizon I		-			Moisture I		otatoes: 'heat:	75 mr 89 mr				Main Limit	ing Factor(s): WK, DR		
Wetness		I			Potatoes: 77 mm											
Wetness	Grade:	3a			Moisture E		heat: tatoes:	-16 m -2 mn				Remarks:				
					Droughtin	ess Grade: 3a	a	(Calc	ulated to 80 o	cm)						

SITE NA Wadebrid			FILE NO. (Asp 41)	SLOPE 0°	AND ASPE	СТ	LA PG	ND USE R		Av AT	Rainfall: O:	1020 mm 1602 day °	с	PARENT MATERIAL Alluvium			
JOB NO. 42.97			E 97		GRID REFERENCE SW 99777184		DESCRIBED BY PB		Cli	Days: matic Grade: posure Grade:	203 1		PSD SAMPLES TAKEN TS 0-25 cm HZCL (S9:Z61 : C 30%)				
Horizon No.	Lowest Av. Depth (cm)	Texture	Matrix (Ped Face) Colours	Stonines Size,Tyj Field M	pe, and			Mangan Concs	- I · ·		Consistence	Structural Condition	Pores (Fissures)	Roots: Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary: Distinctness and form	
1	16	HZCL	2.5¥61		0	MDMO 10YR58		-	-		-	-	G	MF, VF	-	Clear Smooth	
2	40	С	2.5¥61		0) MDMO 10YR58		С	MDCPF	2	FM	Р	P(Low)	CVF	-	Grad Smooth	
3	60	zc	2.5¥61		0	MFMO 10YR58		F	STVCPI	R	FM	P	G (low)	FVF	-	Grad Smooth	
4	90+	zc	2.5¥62		0	CDFO 10YR58		0	MDVCP	R	FM	Р	P(low)	FVF			
Profile G	leyed Fror	n: 0 cm			Available V	Water W	/heat	:: 123 n	nm			Final ALC	Grade:	4			
Slowly Permeable Horizon From: 16-40 cm and from 60 cm Wetness Class: IV*					Potatoes: 98 mm Moisture Deficit Wheat: 89 mm Potatoes: 77 mm							Main Limit	ing Factor(s): We			
Wetness	Grade:	4			Moisture Balance Wheat: +34 mm Potatoes: 21 mm									ley characteristi adic SPL aggrav			
Droughtiness Grade: 3a (Calculated to 120							0 cm))	wetness. Field shows dense relict drainage gutters.								