Al
Winchester District Local Plan
Site 62 Worthy Road
Winchester
Agricultural Land Classification
ALC Map and Report
July 1994

AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION REPORT

WINCHESTER DISTRICT LOCAL PLAN SITE 62 WORTHY ROAD WINCHESTER

1 Summary

- ADAS was commissioned by MAFF s Land Use Planning Unit to provide information on land quality for a number of sites in the Winchester district of Hampshire The work forms part of MAFF s statutory input to the Winchester District Local Plan
- The site comprises approximately 4 hectares of land to the east of Worthy Road at Winchester in Hampshire An Agricultural Land Classification (ALC) survey was carried out in July 1994. The survey was undertaken at a detailed level of approximately one boring per hectare. A total of 6 borings and one soil inspection pit were assessed in accordance with MAFF s revised guidelines and criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land (MAFF 1988). These guidelines provide a framework for classifying land according to the extent to which its physical or chemical characteristics impose long term limitations on its use for agriculture.
- The work was carried out by members of the Resource Planning Team in the Guildford Statutory Group of ADAS
- 1 4 At the time of the survey the agricultural land was under peas The area of woodland comprises recently planted trees
- The distribution of grades and subgrades is shown on the attached ALC map and the areas are given in the table below. The map has been drawn at a scale of 1 5 000. It is accurate at this scale but any enlargement would be misleading. This map supersedes any previous ALC survey information for this site.

Table 1 Distribution of Grades and Subgrades

Grade	Area (ha)	/ of Site	% of Agricultural Land
2	0 3	6 8	7 1
3a	3 9	88 7	<u>92 9</u>
Woodland	<u>0 2</u>	4 5	100% (4 2 ha)
Total area of Site	4 4ha	100%	, ,

The agricultural land on the site has been classified as Grade 2 and Subgrade 3a with soil wetness workability and topsoil stoniness as the main limitations. The majority of the site comprises soils that suffer from a moderate wetness limitation caused by a slowly permeable clay subsoil this land also shows a moderate topsoil stoniness limitation towards the east of the site. On the eastern edge of the site soils tend to be better drained being limited by a slight workability limitation resulting from a combination of the topsoil texture and the local climatic regime

2 Climite

- 2 1 The climatic criteria are considered first when classifying land as climate can be overriding in the sense that severe limitations will restrict land to low grades irrespective of favourable site or soil conditions
- The main parameters used in the assessment of an overall climatic limitation are average annual rainfall as a measure of overall wetness and accumulated temperature as a measure of the relative warmth of a locality
- A detailed assessment of the prevailing climate was made by interpolation from a 5km gridpoint dataset (Met Office 1989). The details are given in the table below and these show that there is no overall climatic limitation affecting the site.
- 2 4 However climatic and soil factors do interact to influence soil wetness and droughtiness limitations. At this location field capacity days are reasonably high such that the likelihood of soil wetness limitations will be increased.

Table 2 Climatic Interpolation

Grid Reference	SU 486512
Altitude (m AOD)	50
Accumulated Temperature	1486
(°days Jan June)	
Average Annual Rainfall (mm)	809
Field Capacity Days	177
Moisture deficit wheat (mm)	105
Moisture deficit potatoes (mm)	97
Overall Climatic Grade	1

3 Relief

The site lies at an altitude of approximately 40 55m falling gently from west to east Nowhere on the site do gradient or relief pose any limitation to agricultural use

4 Geology and Soils

- The published geological information (BGS 1975) shows the majority of the site to be underlain by Cretaceous Upper Chalk with inclusions of valley gravel and sand
- The published soils information (SSEW 1983) shows the site to be underlain by soils of the Andover 1 Association. These are described as shallow well drained calcareous silty soils over chalk on slopes and crests. Deep calcareous and non calcareous fine silty soils in valley bottoms (SSEW 1983). Soils on the site tended to differ from this description, chalky soils were not encountered. Soil profiles tended to comprise a stony topsoil and upper subsoil overlying a clay lower subsoil soils became less stony and better drained on the lower reaches of the site.

5 Agricultural Land Classification

- Table 1 provides the details of the area measurements for each grade and the distribution of each grade is shown on the attached ALC map
- The location of the soil observation points are shown on the attached sample point map

Grade 2

Very good quality land has been mapped on the eastern edge of the site on land at the lowest altitude. Soil profiles typically comprise a very slightly stony (5% total flints v/v) medium silty clay loam topsoil overlying a similarly textured yet more stony (15% total flints v/v) upper subsoil. The lower subsoils comprise a very slightly stony (3.5% total flints v/v) heavy silty clay loam, which extends to depth. These soils show no signs of either a wetness or droughtiness imperfection being freely drained and containing adequate reserves of available water for plant growth. However, due to a combination of the relatively wet nature of the local climate and the topsoil texture this area is very slightly restricted by a soil workability limitation. During wetter periods, the topsoils may be prone to structural damage through trafficking by agricultural machinery or poaching by grazing livestock.

Subgrade 31

5 4 The majority of the agricultural land on the site has been classified as Subgrade 3a good quality land with soil wetness and topsoil stoniness as the main limitations The nature of the soil and the time of survey meant that a number of soil auger inspections were impenetrable below the topsoil. Therefore a number of assumptions regarding the nature of the subsoils across the site have been made drawing conclusions from the findings of soil inspection pit no 1. This showed the soil profile to typically comprise a slightly stony (10% total flints v/v) silt loam topsoil overlying a slightly stony (15% total flints v/v) medium silty clay loam upper subsoil extending to 39 cm. This rests upon a similarly textured horizon extending to 54 cm. yet containing a higher stone content of 40% total flints v/v causing the soils to be impenetrable to the auger. This level of stoniness does not extend to depth, as evidenced by the very slightly stony heavy silty clay loam horizon extending from 54 65 cm which in turn rests upon a very slightly stony clay horizon. Both of the less stony lower subsoils show signs of a wetness imperfection in the form of mottling and slight gleying and poorly structured and slowly permeable the clay horizon is Such drainage characteristics equate the soil profile to Wetness Class II and the land is appropriately placed in Subgrade 3a. This moderate wetness limitation means that plant growth and rooting may be adversely affected and soils may be more susceptible to structural damage through ponching by grazing livestock or trafficking by agricultural machinery

Topsoil stone measurements towards the east of the site showed topsoil stones greater than 2cm in size to range between 11 12%. This is sufficient to cause a moderate topsoil stone limitation with a resultant classification of Subgrade 3a. High volumes of topsoil stones may inhibit the preparation of a fine seed bed, and may also increase production costs due to extra wear and tear to agricultural implements and tyres. Crop establishment and growth may also be affected.

ADAS Ref 1513/114/94 MAFF Ref EL15/594 Resource Planning Team Guildford Statutory Group ADAS Reading

SOURCES OF REFERENCE

British Geological Survey (1975) Sheet 299 Winchester 1 50 000 Drift Edition

MAFF (1988) Agricultural Land Classification of England and Wales Revised guidelines and criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land

Meteorological Office (1971) Unpublished Climate data relating to Sheet 168 1 63 360

Meteorological Office (1989) Climatic datasets for Apricultural Land Classification

Soil Survey of England and Wales (1985) Sheet No 6 Soils of South East England 1 250 000 and Accompanying Legend

Soil Survey of England and Wales (1984) Soils and their use in South East England Bulletin No 15

APPENDIX I

DESCRIPTION OF THE GRADES AND SUBGRADES

Grade 1 Excellent Quality Agricultural Land

Land with no or very minor limitations to agricultural use. A very wide range of agricultural and horticultural crops can be grown and commonly includes top fruit soft fruit salad crops and winter harvested vegetables. Yields are high and less variable than on land of lower quality.

Grade 2 Very Good Quality Agricultural Land

Land with minor limitations which affect crop yield cultivations or harvesting. A wide range of agricultural or horticultural crops can usually be grown but on some land of this grade there may be reduced flexibility due to difficulties with the production of the more demanding crops such as winter harvested vegetables and arable root crops. The level of yield is generally high but may be lower or more variable than Grade I land

Grade 3 Good to Moderate Quality Land

Land with moderate limitations which affect the choice of crops the timing and type of cultivation harvesting or the level of yield. When more demanding crops are grown yields are generally lower or more variable than on land in Grades 1 and 2.

Subgrade 3a Good Quality Agricultural Land

Land capable of consistently producing moderate to high yields of a narrow range of arable crops especially cereals or moderate yields of a wide range of crops including cereals grass oilseed rape potatoes sugar beet and the less demanding horticultural crops

Subgrade 3b Moderate Quality Agricultural Land

Land capable of producing moderate yields of a narrow range of crops principally cereals and grass or lower yields of a wider range of crops or high yields of grass which can be grazed or harvested over most of the year

Grade 4 Poor Quality Agricultural Land

Land with severe limitations which significantly restrict the range of crops and/or the level of yields. It is mainly suited to grass with occasional arable crops (eg. cereals and forage crops) the yields of which are variable. In moist climates, yields of grass may be moderate to high but there may be difficulties in utilisation. The grade also includes very droughty arable land.

Grade 5 Very Poor Quality Agricultural Land

Land with severe limitations which restrict use to permanent pasture or rough grazing except for occasional pioneer forage crops

Urban

Built up or hard uses with relatively little potential for a return to agriculture including housing industry commerce education transport religious buildings cemetries. Also hard surfaced sports facilities permanent caravan sites and vacant land all types of derelict land including mineral workings which are only likely to be reclaimed using derelict land grants.

Non agricultural

Soft uses where most of the land could be returned relatively easily to agriculture including private parkland public open spaces sports fields allotments and soft surfaced areas on airports. Also active mineral workings and refuse tips where restoration conditions to soft after uses may apply

Woodland

Includes commercial and non commercial woodland A distinction may be made as necessary between farm and non farm woodland

Agricultural Buildings

Includes the normal range of agricultural buildings as well as other relatively permanent structures such as glasshouses. Temporary structures (eg. polythene tunnels erected for lambing) may be ignored.

Open Water

Includes lakes ponds and rivers as map scale permits

Land Not Surveyed

Agricultural land which has not been surveyed

Where the land use includes more than one of the above es buildings in large grounds and where map scale permits the cover types may be shown separately. Otherwise the most extensive cover type will be shown

1

APPENDIX II

FIELD ASSESSMENT OF SOIL WETNESS CLASS

SOIL WETNESS CLASSIFICATION

Soil wetness is classified according to the depth and duration of waterlogging in the soil profile. Six soil wetness classes are identified and are defined in the table below

Definition of Soil Wetness Classes

Wetness Class	Duration of Waterlogging ¹
I	The soil profile is not wet within 70 cm depth for more than 30 days in most years ²
ш	The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for 31 90 days in most years or if there is no slowly permeable layer within 80 cm depth it is wet within 70 cm for more than 90 days but only wet within 40 cm depth for 30 days in most years
Ш	The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for 91 180 days in most years or if there is no slowly permeable layer present within 80 cm depth it is wet within 70 cm for more than 180 days but only wet within 40 cm depth for between 31 90 days in most years
IV	The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for more than 180 days but not wet within 40 cm depth for more than 210 days in most years or if there is no slowly permeable layer present within 80 cm depth it is wet within 40 cm depth for 91 210 days in most years
V	The soil profile is wet within 40 cm depth for 211 335 days in most years
VI	The soil profile is wet within 40 cm depth for more than 335 days in most years

Soils can be allocated to a wetness class on the basis of quantitative data recorded over a period of many years or by the interpretation of soil profile characteristics site and climatic factors. Adequate quantitative data will rarely be available for ALC surveys and therefore the interpretative method of field assessment is used to identify soil wetness class in the field. The method adopted here is common to ADAS and the SSLRC

¹The number of days specified is not necessarily a continuous period

² In most years is defined as more than 10 out of 20 years

APPENDIX III

SOIL PH AND SOIL BORING DESCRIPTIONS

Contents

Í

(

Soil Abbreviations Explanatory Note

Soil Pit Descriptions

Database Printout Boring Level Information

Database Printout Horizon Level Information

SOIL PROFILE DESCRIPTIONS EXPLANATORY NOTE

Soil pit and auger boring information collected during ALC fieldwork is held on a computer database This uses notations and abbreviations as set out below

Boring Header Information

- GRID REF national 100 km grid square and 8 figure grid reference 1
- 2 USE Land use at the time of survey The following abbreviations are used

ARA	Arable	WHT	Wheat	BAR	Barley
CER	Cereals	OAT	Oats	MZE	Maize
OSR	Oilseed rape	BEN	Field Beans	BRA	Brassicae
POT	Potatoes	SBT	Sugar Beet	FCD	Fodder Crops
LIN	Linseed	ГRТ	Soft and Top Fruit	FLW	Fallow
PGR	Permanent Pasture	eLEY	Ley Grass	RGR	Rough Grazing
SCR	Scrub	CFW	Coniferous Woodland	DCW	Deciduous Wood
HTH	Heathland	BOG	Bog or Marsh	FLW	Fallow
PLO	Ploughed	SAS	Set aside	OTH	Other
HRT	Horticultural Crop	os			

ſ

- GRDNT Gradient as estimated or measured by a hand held optical clinometer 3
- GLEY/SPL Depth in centimetres (cm) to gleying and/or slowly permeable layers 4
- 5 AP (WHEAT/POTS) Crop adjusted available water capacity
- MB (WHEAT/POTS) Moisture Balance (Crop adjusted AP crop adjusted MD) 6
- DRT Best grade according to soil droughtiness 7
- If any of the following factors are considered significant Y will be entered in the 8 relevant column

MREL	Microrelief limitation	FLOOD	Flood risk	EROSN	Soil erosion risk
EXP	Exposure limitation	FROST	Frost prone	DIST	Disturbed land
CHEM	Chemical limitation				

LIMIT The main limitation to land quality The following abbreviations are used 9

OC	Overall Climate	ΑE	Aspect	EX	Exposure
FR	Frost Risk	GR	Gradient	MR	Microrelief
FL	Flood Risk	TX	Topsoil Texture	DP	Soil Depth
CH	Chemical	WE	Wetness	WK	Workability
DR	Drought	ER	Erosion Risk	WD	Soil Wetness/Droughtiness
ST	Topsoil Stonine	SS			

05 94

Soil Pits and Auger Borings

1 TEXTURE soil texture classes are denoted by the following abbreviations

S	Sand	LS	Loamy Sand	SL	Sandy Loam
SZL	Sandy Silt Loam	CL	Clay Loam	ZCL	Silty Clay Loam
ZL	Silt Loam	SCL	Sandy Clay Loam	C	Clay
SC	Sandy Clay	ZC	Silty Clay	OL	Organic Loam
P	Peat	SP	Sandy Peat	LP	Loamy Peat
PL	Peaty Loam	PS	Peaty Sand	MZ	Marine Light Silts

For the sand loamy sand sandy loam and sandy silt loam classes the predominant size of sand fraction will be indicated by the use of the following prefixes

- Fine (more than 66% of the sand less than 0 2mm)
- M Medium (less than 66% fine sand and less than 33% coarse sand)
- C Coarse (more than 33% of the sand larger than 0 6mm)

The clay loam and silty clay loam classes will be sub divided according to the clay content M Medium (<27% clay) H Heavy (27 35% clay)

- 2 MOTTLE COL Mottle colour using Munsell notation
- 3 MOTTLE ABUN Mottle abundance expressed as a percentage of the matrix or surface described

F few <2% C common 2 20% M many 20 40% VM very many 40% +

4 MOTTLE CONT Mottle contrast

ĺ

- F faint indistinct mottles evident only on close inspection
- D distinct mottles are readily seen
- P prominent mottling is conspicuous and one of the outstanding features of the horizon
- 5 PED COL Ped face colour using Munsell notation
- 6 GLEY If the soil horizon is gleyed a Y will appear in this column. If slightly gleyed an S will appear
- 7 STONE LITH Stone Lithology One of the following is used

HR	all hard rocks and stones	SLST	soft oolitic or dolimitic limestone
CH	chalk	FSST	soft fine grained sandstone
ZR	soft argillaceous or silty rocks	GH	gravel with non porous (hard) stones
MSST	soft medium grained sandstone	e GS	gravel with porous (soft) stones
SI	soft weathered igneous/metamo	orphic ro	ck

Stone contents (>2cm >6cm and total) are given in percentages (by volume)

8 STRUCT the degree of development size and shape of soil peds are described using the following notation

degree of development WK weakly developed MD moderately developed

ST strongly developed

ped size F fine M medium

C coarse VC very coarse

ped shape S single grain M massive

GR granular AB angular blocky

SAB sub angular blocky PR prismatic

PL platy

9 CONSIST Soil consistence is described using the following notation

L loose VF very friable FR friable FM firm VM very firm EM extremely firm EH extremely hard

- 10 SUBS STR Subsoil structural condition recorded for the purpose of calculating profile droughtiness G good M moderate P poor
- 11 POR Soil porosity If a soil horizon has less than 0.5% biopores >0.5 mm a Y will appear in this column
- 12 IMP If the profile is impenetrable to rooting a Y will appear in this column at the appropriate horizon
- 13 SPL Slowly permeable layer If the so I horizon is slowly permeable a Y will appear in this column
- 14 CALC If the soil horizon is calcareous a Y will appear in this column
- 15 Other notations

í

APW available water capacity (in mm) adjusted for wheat

APP available water capacity (in mm) adjusted for potatoes

MBW moisture balance wheat

MBP moisture balance potatoes

SOIL PIT DESCRIPTION

Site Name WINCHESTER LP SITE 42 Pt N mbe 11

Gr d Reference SU48653118 A erage A 1 R f 11 809 mm

Acc m lated Tempe at e 1486 deg d y

F eld Capac ty Le el 177 days Land Use Peas

Slope a d Aspect degrees

HOR I	ZON	TEXTURE	COLOUR	STONES 2		TOT STONE	LITH	MOTTLES	STRUCTURE CONSIST		SUBSTRUCTURE	CALC
0	28	ZL	10YR53 52	3		10	HR					
28	39	MZCL	10YR54 00	0		15	HR		MDCSAB	FR	М	
39	54	MZCL	10YR56 00	0		40	HR				M	
54	65	HZCL	75YR54 00	0		2	HR	С	MDCSAB	FR	М	
65	100	С	75YR54 00	0		2	HR	С	WKCSAB	FR	Р	

Wetness Grade 3A Wet es C1 II Gley ng cm $\,$

SPL 065 cm

Dro ght G ade 2 APW 123mm MBW 18 mm

APP 115mm MBP 18 mm

FINAL ALC GRADE 3A
MAIN LIMITATION Wetness

SAMPI	LE		ASPECT				WET	NESS	WHE	EAT	PC	TS	М	REL	EROSN	FR	0\$T	CHEM	ALC	
NO	GF	RID REF	USE	GRDNT	GLEY	SPL	CLASS	GRADE	AΡ	МВ	AP	MB	DRT	FL00D	E	ΧP	DIST	LIMIT		COMMENTS
1	SU4	18653118	PEA				1	2	77	28	77	20	3B					WE	ЗА	IMP40 SEE1P 3A
1P	SU	18653118	PEA			065	2	3A	123	18	115	18	2					WE	ЗА	SL GLEY AT 54
2	SU	8703120	PEA				1	2	78	27	78	19	3B					WE	ЗА	IMP45 SEE1P 3A
3	SU	18723111	PEA				1	2	55	50	55	42	3B					WE	3A	IMP35 SEE1P 3A
4	SU4	18803112	PEA				1	2	152	47	118	21	1					MK	2	
5	SU4	18853117	PEA				1	2	150	45	115	18	1					WK	2	
6	SU4	18563125	PEA				1	2	99	6	102	5	3A					WE	ЗА	IMP55 SEE1P

					MOTTL	.ES	PED			S	TONES		STRUCT/	SUE	S						
SAMPLE	DEPTH	TEXTURE	COLOUR	COL	ABUN	I CON	IT COL	GLEY	2	6	LITH	TOT	CONSIST	ST	POR	IMP	SPL	CALC			
1	0 25	zl	10YR53 0	0					3	0	HR	5									
	25 40	mzcl	10YR54 0	0					0	0	HR	15		М							
1P	0 28	z1	10YR53 5	2					3	0	HR	10									
	28 39	mzcl	10YR54 0	Ċ					0	0	HR	15	MDCSAB F	RM							
	39 54	mzcl	10YR56 0	C					0	0	HR	40		М							
	54 65	hzc1	75YR54 0	75YR5	8 00	С	00MN00	00 S	0	0	HR	2	MDCSAB F	RM							
	65 100	С	75YR54 0	0 10YR8	5 00	С		S	0	0	HR	2	WKCSAB F	R P			Υ				
2	0 25	z۱	10YR53 0	0					11	0	HR	13							TOPSOIL	ST0	NES
	25 45	mzcl	10YR54 0	0					0	0	HR	20		M							
3	0 20	mzcl	10YR43 0	0					12	0	HR	15							TOPSOIL	ST0	NES
	20 35	mzcl	10YR44 0	מ					0	0	HŘ	15		М							
4	0 30	mzc1	10YR53 0	0					3	0	HR	5									
	30 45	mzcl	10YR44 0	0					0	0	HR	15		М							
	45 120	hzc1	10YR43 0)					0	0	HR	3		М							
5	0 30	mzcl	10YR43 0	5					3	0	HR	5									
	30 55	mzcl	10YR43 0	C					0	0	HR	15		М							
	55 70	mzcl	10YR44 0	C					0	0	HR	5		М							
	70 120	h cl	10YR54 0)					0	0	HR	5		М							
6	0 30	1	10YR53 0	0					3	0	HR	5									
	30 55	mzcl	10YR54 0	כ							HR	15		М							