## Pedmore

# **Agricultural Land Classification**

October 1999

Resource Planning Team Bristol FRCA Western Region Job Number 21/99



## **PEDMORE**

## AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION SURVEY

## **CONTENTS**

		Page
INTRODUCTIO	N	1
SUMMARY		1
CLIMATE		2
RELIEF		2
GEOLOGY ANI	SOILS	3
AGRICULTURA	AL LAND CLASSIFICATION AND MAP	3
REFERENCES		5
APPENDIX I	Description of the Grades and Subgrades	6
APPENDIX II	Definition of Soil Wetness Classes	8
APPENDIX III	Survey Data	9
	Sample Point Location Map	
	Pit Descriptions	
	Boring Profile Data	
	Boring Horizon Data	
	Abbreviations and Terms used	ın Survey Data

#### **PEDMORE**

#### AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION SURVEY

#### INTRODUCTION

- This report presents the findings of a reconnaissance Agricultural Land Classification (ALC) survey of 289 7 ha of land at Pedmore Dudley Field survey was based on 70 auger borings and 5 soil profile pits and was completed in April 1999 During the survey 9 samples were analysed for particle size distribution (PSD)
- 2 The survey was conducted by the Resource Planning Team of FRCA Western Region on behalf of MAFF in its statutory role in the preparation of Dudley Metropolitan Borough Plan
- Information on climate geology and soils and from previous ALC surveys was considered and is presented in the relevant sections. Apart from the published regional ALC map (MAFF 1977) which shows the site at a reconnaissance scale as Grade 3 west of the railway and in the far east of the site. Grade 2 between the railway and Pedmore Hall and a small area of Grade 4 around Wychbury Hill the site was previously surveyed in 1978 at a scale of 1 25 000 (ADAS 1978). This survey showed Grade 2 around Racecourse Farm and Treherns Farm, Grade 3b and 4 around Wychbury Hill and Grade 3a elsewhere. The current survey uses the Revised Guidelines and Criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land (MAFF 1988) and supersedes any previous ALC survey. Grade descriptions are summarised in Appendix I.
- At the time of survey land cover was mainly arable with grazing around Wychbury Hill Other land which was not surveyed included urban areas and communications

## **SUMMARY**

The distribution of ALC grades is shown on the accompanying 1 15 000 scale ALC map. The detail of information shown at this scale is appropriate to the intensity of field survey but could be misleading if enlarged or applied to small areas. Areas are summarised in the Table 1

Table 1 Distribution of ALC grades Pedmore

Grade	Area (ha)	% Surveyed Area (249 9 ha)
1	73 6	29
3a	105 6	43
3b	58 7	23
4	8 7	4
5	3 3	1
Other land	39 8	
Total site area	289 7	

The majority of the site has been mapped as best and most versatile land. Most of the site has sandy textured soils. Around Racecourse Farm and Trehern's Farm these fine sandy loams do not have any limitation, whereas on the higher land the loamy medium sandy soils have moderate droughtiness limitations and the medium sand soils have a moderate limitation imposed by topsoil textures. In the far east of the site the soils have moderate wetness limitations imposed by slowly permeable layers.

#### **CLIMATE**

- Estimates of climatic variables for this site were derived from the published agricultural climate dataset. Climatological Data for Agricultural Land Classification. (Meteorological Office 1989) using standard interpolation procedures. Data for key points around the site are given in Table 2 below.
- Since the ALC grade of land is determined by the most limiting factor present overall climate is considered first because it can have an overriding influence by restricting land to a lower grade despite more favourable site and soil conditions. Parameters used for assessing overall climate are accumulated temperature a measure of relative warmth and average annual rainfall a measure of overall wetness. The results shown in Table 2 indicate that there is no overall climatic limitation.
- Climatic variables also affect the ALC grade through interactions with soil conditions. The most important interactive variables are Field Capacity Days (FCD) which are used in assessing soil wetness and potential Moisture Deficits calculated for wheat and potatoes which are compared with the moisture available in each profile in assessing soil droughtiness limitations. These are described in later sections. A critical boundary of 175 FC Days was found west of Pedmore Hall and Pedmore Hall Farm. To the east of this line the FCD are higher than 175.

Table 2 Climatic Interpolations Pedmore

Grid Reference	SO 901817	SO 922819
Altıtude (m)	120	210
Accumulated Temperature (day C)	1357	1254
Average Annual Rainfall (mm)	743	796
Overall Climatic Grade	1	2
Field Capacity Days	172	183
Moisture deficit (mm) Wheat	91	78
Potatoes	78	60

#### RELIEF

Altitude ranges from 90 metres at Racecourse Farm to 224 metres at Wychbury Hill with limiting slopes around Wychbury Hill sometimes exceeding 20 degrees and also steep slopes south of Racecourse Farm

#### **GEOLOGY AND SOILS**

- The underlying geology of the site is shown on the published geology map (BGS 1975) as Lower Keuper Sandstone in the centre of the site with Upper Coal Measures to the east of Pedmore Hall. In the west the higher land is sand and gravel with Bunter sandstone on the lower slopes. There was fairly close links found with the underlying geology during the recent survey. The soils developed on the Bunter sandstone were found to be fine sandy soils with few stones whereas those on the higher land developed on sand and gravel were much sandier and stonier. The soils in the west were much more clayey and experienced wetness limitations. The remaining soils were sandy but variable.
- Soils were mapped by the Soil Survey of England and Wales at a reconnaissance scale of 1 250 000 (SSEW 1983) as Bromsgrove Association in the centre of the site closely matching the underlying Lower Keuper sandstone with Hodnet and Crediton to the east of Pedmore Hall again linked to the underlying Coal Measures. In the west Bridginorth Association matches the Bunter Sandstone and Newport Association, the sand and gravel on the top of the hill
- Bromsgrove Association is described as well drained reddish coarse loamy soils mainly over soft sandstone but deep in places. Bridgingth soils are described as well drained sandy and coarse loamy soils over sandstone. Newport soils are also well drained sandy soils. Crediton soils are well drained gritty reddish soils over breccia, whereas Hodnet soils are reddish fine and coarse loamy soils with slowly permeable subsoils and slight seasonal waterlogging.
- As indicated above the soils found in the survey are closely linked to the underlying geology and resultant soil associations

### AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION

15 The distribution of ALC grades found by the current survey is shown on the accompanying 1 15 000 scale map and areas are summarised in Table 1. The detail of information shown at this scale is appropriate to the intensity of field survey but could be misleading if enlarged or applied to small areas.

### Grade 1

Two areas of Grade 1 excellent quality land have been mapped. The soils in these areas are well drained. Wetness Class I (see Appendix II). The soils are mainly fine sandy loams which hold adequate moisture reserve for growing crops and allow the soils to be. Grade 1 without any droughtiness limitations. Soil textures were confirmed by PSD analysis at a soil pit dug near Racecourse Farm. The soil profiles have very few stones present. The area around Treherns s Farm is more variable than that in the east which is closely linked to the extent of the underlying geology of Triassic Bunter Upper Mottled Sandstone. The Treherns Farm area is over Triassic Lower Keuper Sandstone.

## Subgrade 3a

- Two areas of Subgrade 3a have been mapped within the survey area. The main block has lighter textures than the Grade 1 described above and is more droughty. The loamy medium sands cannot be Grade 1 because of the topsoil texture restriction. However the sandier nature of the soil also imposes a droughtiness limitation on these soils. The western part of the main block is also stony as shown by soil profile pit 1 which further limits the available moisture in the profile. These stony soils are found on the higher land. On the lower slopes the soils are less stony as shown by pit 4 which untypically has a MSL upper subsoil. Most soils in this area were LMS to depth. To the east of the railway the soils became more variable. These profiles are Wetness Class I.
- The second area mapped as Subgrade 3a is around Pedmore Hall Here the soils are sandy around the Hall itself on the Keuper Sandstone but eastwards on the Breccia Group (red marls breccia and sandstones) of the Upper Coal Measures the soils are generally medium clay loams over heavier material which is slowly permeable at depth. These soils have a moderate wetness limitation. Wetness Class III Subgrade 3a. The extent of these soils is closely related to the underlying geology.

## Subgrade 3b

- The area of Subgrade 3b mapped in the west of the site has a topsoil texture limitation. The topsoils here are medium sands and these are not eligible for Grades 1 2 or Subgrade 3a. The texture was confirmed by PSD analysis at soil profile pit 2. These soils have a moderate droughtiness limitation. These sandiest soils found on the site are part of the area of soils developed on Sand and Gravel. The remaining part of this is less sandy but more stony and is mapped as Subgrade 3a, described above. All these soils are Wetness Class I.
- In the east of the site over the Red Marls of the Upper Coal Measures poorly drained soils are mapped as Subgrade 3b Here MCL topsoils overlie slowly permeable clays which are assessed as Wetness Class IV
- 21 The remaining areas mapped as Subgrade 3b are limited by gradient These are found in the west above Racecourse Farm and in the east around Wychbury Hill

#### Grades 4 and 5

Small areas have been mapped as Grades 4 and 5 where the gradient of slopes exceed 11 and 18 degrees respectively These are found above Racecourse Farm and around Wychbury Hill

G M Shaw Resource Planning Team FRCA Bristol October 1999

#### REFERENCES

ADAS RESOURCE PLANNING TEAM, (1978) Agricultural Land Classification Survey of Hagley Scale 1 25 000 Reference 16 ADAS Bristol

BRITISH GEOLOGICAL SURVEY (1975) Sheet 167 Dudley 1 50 000 series Solid and Drift edition BGS London

HODGSON J M (Ed) (1997) Soil Survey Field Handbook Soil Survey Technical Monograph No 5 Silsoe

MAFF (1977) 1 250 000 series Agricultural Land Classification, West and East Midlands Regions MAFF Publications Alnwick

MAFF (1988) Agricultural Land Classification of England and Wales Revised Guidelines and Criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land MAFF Publications Alnwick

METEOROLOGICAL OFFICE (1989) Climatological Data for Agricultural Land Classification Meteorological Office Bracknell

SOIL SURVEY OF ENGLAND AND WALES (1983) Sheet 3 Soils of Midland and Western England 1 250 000 scale SSEW Harpenden

SOIL SURVEY OF ENGLAND AND WALES (1984) Soils and Their Use in Midland and Western England Bulletin No 12 SSEW Harpenden

#### APPENDIX I

#### **DESCRIPTION OF GRADES AND SUBGRADES**

## Grade 1 excellent quality agricultural land

Land with no or very minor limitations to agricultural use. A very wide range of agricultural and horticultural crops can be grown and commonly include top fruit soft fruit salad crops and winter harvested vegetables. Yields are high and less variable than on land of lower quality.

## Grade 2 - very good quality agricultural land

Land with minor limitations which affect crop yield cultivations or harvesting. A wide range of agricultural and horticultural crops can usually be grown but on some land in the grade there may be reduced flexibility due to difficulties with the production of the more demanding crops such as winter harvested vegetables and arable root crops. The level of yield is generally high but may be lower or more variable than Grade 1

### Grade 3 - good to moderate quality agricultural land

Land with moderate limitations which affect the choice of crops timing and type of cultivation, harvesting or the level of yield. Where more demanding crops are grown yields are generally lower or more variable than on land in Grades 1 and 2.

### Subgrade 3a good quality agricultural land

Land capable of consistently producing moderate to high yields of a narrow range of arable crops especially cereals or moderate yields of a wide range of crops including cereals grass oilseed rape potatoes sugar beet and the less demanding horticultural crops

#### Subgrade 3b moderate quality agricultural land

Land capable of producing moderate yields of a narrow range of crops principally cereals and grass or lower yields of a wider range of crops or high yields of grass which can be grazed or harvested over most of the year

#### Grade 4 poor quality agricultural land

Land with severe limitations which significantly restrict the range of crops and/or level of yields. It is mainly suited to grass with occasional arable crops (eg cereals and forage crops) the yields of which are variable. In most climates, yields of grass may be moderate to high but there may be difficulties in utilisation. The grade also includes very droughty arable land.

## Grade 5 very poor quality agricultural land

Land with very severe limitations which restrict use to permanent pasture or rough grazing except for occasional pioneer forage crops

Source MAFF (1988) Agricultural Land Classification of England and Wales Revised Guidelines and Criteria for Grading the Quality of Agricultural Land MAFF Publications Alnwick

#### APPENDIX II

#### **DEFINITION OF SOIL WETNESS CLASSES**

Soil wetness is classified according to the depth and duration of waterlogging in the soil profile

## Wetness Class I

The soil profile is not wet within 70 cm depth for more than 30 days in most years

#### Wetness Class II

The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for 31 90 days in most years or if there is no slowly permeable layer within 80 cm depth, it is wet within 70 cm for more than 90 days but not wet within 40 cm depth for more than 30 days in most years

#### Wetness Class III

The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for 91 180 days in most years or if there is no slowly permeable layer within 80 cm depth, it is wet within 70 cm for more than 180 days but only wet within 40 cm depth for between 31 and 90 days in most years

#### Wetness Class IV

The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for more than 180 days but not within 40 cm depth for more than 210 days in most years or if there is no slowly permeable layer within 80 cm depth, it is wet within 40 cm depth for 91 210 days in most years

#### Wetness Class V

The soil profile is wet within 40 cm depth for 211 335 days in most years

## Wetness Class VI

The soil profile is wet within 40 cm depth for more than 335 days in most years

**Notes** The number of days specified is not necessarily a continuous period

In most years is defined as more than 10 out of 20 years

Source Hodgson, J M (Ed) (1997) Soil Survey Field Handbook Soil Survey Technical Monograph No 5 Silsoe

#### APPENDIX III

#### ABBREVIATIONS AND TERMS USED IN SURVEY DATA

Soil pit and auger boring information collected during ALC survey is held on a computer database and is reproduced in this report. Terms used and abbreviations are set out below. These conform to definitions contained in the Soil Survey Field Handbook (Hodgson, 1997).

## 1 Terms used on computer database, in order of occurrence

GRID REF National 100 km grid square and 8 figure grid reference

LAND USE At the time of survey

WHT	Wheat	SBT	Sugar Beet	HTH	Heathland
BAR	Barley	BRA	Brassicas	BOG	Bog or Marsh
OAT	Oats	FCD	Fodder Crops	DCW	Deciduous Wood
CER	Cereals	FRT	Soft and Top Fruit	<b>CFW</b>	Conferous Woodland
MZE	Maize	HRT	Horticultural Crops	PLO	Ploughed
OSR	Oilseed Rape	LEY	Ley Grass	FLW	Fallow (inc Set aside)
POT	Potatoes	<b>PGR</b>	Permanent Pasture	SAS	Set Aside (where known)
LIN	Linseed	RGR	Rough Grazing	OTH	Other
BEN	Field Beans	SCR	Scrub		

GRDNT Gradient as estimated or measured by hand held optical clinometer

GLEY SPL Depth in centimetres to gleying or slowly permeable layer

**AP (WHEAT/POTS)** Crop adjusted available water capacity

MB (WHEAT/POTS) Moisture Balance (Crop adjusted AP crop potential

MD)

DRT Best grade according to soil droughtiness

If any of the following factors are considered significant Y will be entered in the relevant column

MREL EXP CHEM	Microrelief limitation Exposure limitation Chemical limitation	FLOOD FROST	Flood risk Frost prone	EROSN DIST	Soil erosion risk Disturbed land
LIMIT	The main limitation used	n to land qu	ality The foll	owing abbro	eviations are

OC	Overall Climate	ΑE	Aspect	EX	Exposure
FR	Frost Risk	GR	Gradient	MR	Microrelief
FL	Flood Risk	TX	Topsoil Texture	DP	Soil Depth

CH	Chemical	WE	Wetness	$\mathbf{W}\mathbf{K}$	Workability

DR Drought ER Erosion Risk WD Soil Wetness/Droughtiness

ST Topsoil Stoniness

## **TEXTURE** Soil texture classes are denoted by the following abbreviations

S	Sand	LS	Loamy Sand	SL	Sandy Loam
SZL	Sandy Silt Loam	$\mathbf{CL}$	Clay Loam	<b>ZCL</b>	Silty Clay Loam
ZL	Silt Loam	SCL	Sandy Clay Loam	C	Clay
SC	Sandy clay	ZC	Silty clay	OL	Organic Loam
P	Peat	SP	Sandy Peat	LP	Loamy Peat
PL	Peaty Loam	PS	Peaty Sand	MZ	Marine Light Silts

For the sand loamy sand sandy loam and sandy silt loam classes the predominant size of sand fraction will be indicated by the use of the following prefixes

Fine (more than 66% of the sand less than 0 2mm)

M Medium (less than 66% fine sand and less than 33% coarse sand)

C Coarse (more than 33% of the sand larger than 0 6mm)

The clay loam and silty clay loam classes will be sub divided according to the clay content M Medium (< 27% clay) H heavy (27 35% clay)

MOTTLE COL Mottle colour using Munsell notation

MOTTLE ABUN Mottle abundance expressed as a percentage of the matrix or surface described

F few <2% C common 2 20% M many 20 40% VM very many 40%+

### MOTTLE CONT Mottle contrast

- F faint indistinct mottles evident only on close inspection
- D distinct mottles are readily seen
- P Prominent mottling is conspicuous and one of the outstanding features of the horizon

## **PED COL** Ped face colour using Munsell notation

GLEY If the soil horizon is gleyed a Y will appear in this column If slightly gleyed an S will appear

## STONE LITH Stone Lithology One of the following is used

HR	All hard rocks and stones	SLST	Soft oolitic or dolimitic limestone
CH	Chalk	<b>FSST</b>	Soft fine grained sandstone
ZR	Soft argillaceous or silty rocks	GH	Gravel with non porous (hard) stones
MSST	Soft medium grained sandstone	GS	Gravel with porous (soft) stones

SI Soft weathered igneous or metamorphic rock

Stone contents are given in % by volume for sizes >2cm >6cm and total stone >2mm

STRUCT The degree of development size and shape of soil peds are described using the following notation

Degree of development	WA Adher	Weakly developed ent	WK	Weakly developed
	MD develo	Moderately ped	ST	Strongly developed
Ped sıze	F	Fine	M	Medium
	С	Coarse	VC	Very coarse
Ped Shape	S	Single grain	M	Massive
	GR	Granular	AB	Angular blocky
	SAB	Sub angular blocky	PR	Prismatic
	PL	Platy		

**CONSIST** Soil consistence is described using the following notation

L Loose VF Very Friable FR Friable FM Firm VM Very firm EM Extremely firm EH Extremely Hard

SUBS STR Subsoil structural condition recorded for the purpose of calculating profile droughtiness G Good M Moderate P Poor

POR Soil porosity If a soil horizon has poor porosity with less than 0 5% biopores >0 5mm a Y will appear in this column

IMP If the profile is impenetrable to rooting a Y will appear in this column at the appropriate horizon

SPL Slowly permeable layer If the soil horizon is slowly permeable a Y will appear in this column

CALC If the soil horizon is calcareous with naturally occurring calcium carbonate exceeding 1% a Y will appear this column

## 2 Additional terms and abbreviations used mainly in soil pit descriptions

#### STONE ASSESSMENT

V Visual S Sieved D Displacement

### **MOTTLE SIZE**

EF Extremely fine <1mm M Medium 5 15mm

VF Very fine 1 2mm> C Coarse >15mm

F Fine 2 5mm

MOTTLE COLOUR May be described by Munsell notation or as ochreous

(OM) or grey (GM)

ROOT CHANNELS In topsoil the presence of rusty root channels might be

noted as RRC

## MANGANESE CONCRETIONS Assessed by volume

 N
 None
 M
 Many
 20-40%

 F
 Few
 2%
 VM
 Very Many
 >40%

C Common 2 20%

#### **POROSITY**

Poor less than 0 5% biopores at least 0 5mm in diameter
 Good more than 0 5% biopores at least 0 5mm in diameter

#### **ROOT ABUNDANCE**

The number of roots per 100cm <sup>2</sup>		Very Fine and Fine	Medium and Coarse
F	Few	1 10	1 or 2
C	Common	10 25	2 5
M	Many	25 200	>5
A	Abundant	>200	

## **ROOT SIZE**

VF	Very fine	<lmm< th=""><th>M</th><th>Medium</th><th>2 5mm</th></lmm<>	M	Medium	2 5mm
F	Fine	1 2mm	C	Coarse	>5mm

## HORIZON BOUNDARY DISTINCTNESS

Sharp	<0 5cm	Gradual	6 13cm
Abrupt	0 5 2 5cm	Diffuse	>13cm

Clear 2 5 6cm

## HORIZON BOUNDARY FORM Smooth, wavy irregular or broken \*

<sup>\*</sup> See Soil Survey Field Handbook (Hodgson, 1997) for details

SITE NAME PROFILE NO SLOT			SLOPE	AND ASPI	ЕСТ	LAND	USE		A	v Raınfall	743 mm		PARENT MATERIAL				
Pedmore		Pıt	1 Asp 51	0			Cereal				го	1357 day C		Sand & Gravel			
JOB NO	JOB NO DATE GRID			GRID 1	REFERENC	E	DESCR	DESCRIBED BY			C Days 172			PSD SAMPLES TAKEN			
21 99 22/4/99 SO89			SO896	08140		GMS+GN			1	ımatıc Grade	1		0 25 LMS CS4 MS 51 FS28 Z12 C5 32 65 LMS CS 3 MS 50 FS28 Z14 C5				
Horizon No	Lowest Av Depth (cm)	Texture	Matrix (Ped Face) Colours	Stoning Size Ty Field N	pe and	Mottling Abundance Contrast Size and Colour	E	angan oncs	Structure Po Developmer Size and Shape			Structural Condition	Pores (Fissures)	Roots Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary Distinctness and form	
1	32	LMS	7.5YR2.5/1	47 HR(	)	None	None							CVF		Sharp Abrupt	
2	65	LMS	7 5YR4/3	30% HR	()	None		None	WKCA	В	VF	M	G	CVF		Grad Smooth	
3	85	LMS	7 5YR4/4	20%HR	SHR()		None		WKCA	В	VF	M	G	CVF		Clear Smooth	
4	95	LMS	5YR4/4	0%	N		ne None		MDCA		VF	G	G	0		Clear Smooth	
5	110+	MS	7 5YR64	09		None		F	MDCA	В	VF	G	G	0			
Profile G	leyed Froi	n Not	gleyed		Available	Water W	heat	8	0 mm			Final ALC	Grade	3a			
Slowly Portion I		No S	SPL		Moisture I		otatoes Theat		0 mm 1 mm			Main Limiting Factor(s) Droughtiness					
Wetness	Class	I				Po	otatoes	7	8 mm								
Wetness	Grade	1			Moisture Balance Wheat 11 mm								<del></del>		-		
	Potatoes 18 mm										Remarks						
					Droughtine	ess Grade 3			ulated to 12	0 cm	)						
	Diougniniess Grade 3a (Carculated to 120 Citi)											I					

SITE NAME PROFILE NO SLOI		SLOPE	AND ASPE	CT	LAND USE				Raınfall	743 mm		PARENT MATERIAL						
Pedmore	edmore Pit 2 (Asp 15) 1 SV		1 SW			Plot	ughed		AT	o	1357 day C		Sand & Gravel					
JOB NO 21/99			GRID F				DESCRIBED BY GMS & GN			Days matic Grade	172 1		PSD SAMPLES TAKEN 0 25 MS CS7 MS57 FS23 Z9 C4 39 64 MS CS5 MS52 FS30 Z9 C4					
								0111				posure Grade	1	_				
Horizon No	Lowest Av Depth (cm)	Textu	re (P	fatrix Ped Face) colours	Stonine Size Ty Field M	pe and Contrast			Mangan Concs	Structure Developm Size and Shape		Consistence	Structural Condition	Pores (Fissures)	Roots Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary Distinctness and form	
1	39	MS	5 7.	.5YR2.5/1	19 HR	()	) None		None						CVF		Clear Smooth	
2	64	MS	7	5YR4/3	29 Hr> 2 0% HR 2			None		MDCAF	В	VF	G	G	CVF		Clear Smooth	
3	120	MS	5 7	5YR56	N		None		None	MDCAI	В	VF	G	G	FVF			
Profile G	leyed Fron	n No	ot gleye	d	I <u> </u>	Available \	Water W	heat	80	) mm			Final ALC	Grade	3b		<u> </u>	
Slowly Portion I	From	<b>N</b> o I	SPL			Potatoes 63 mm  Moisture Deficit Wheat 91 mm							Main Limiting Factor(s) Topsoil texture					
							Po	otatoe	es 78	3 mm								
Wetness Grade 1						Moisture Balance Wheat 11 mm							Remarks					
							Po	otatoe	es 1	5 mm			Kelitarks					
Droughtiness Grade 3a (Calculated to 120 cm)									)									

SITE NA	SITE NAME PROFILE NO SL			SLOPE	PE AND ASPECT LAND USE						Raınfall	743 mm		PARENT MATERIAL				
Pedmore		Pit 3		1 N			Cere	Cereal			го	1357 day	С	Triassic Bunter Upper Mottled sandstone				
JOB NO 21/99		DAT 29/4/		GRID I	REFERENC	E		DESCRIBED BY GMS				Cli	Days matic Grade posure Grade	172 1 1		PSD SAMPLE 0 12 cm FSL 38-73 cm FLS/FS 73-120 cm FS. CS	S65.Z20-C4	
Horizon No	Lowest Av Depth (cm)	Texture	Matrix (Ped Face) Size Type and Field Method		pe and	Mottling Abundance Contrast Size and Colour		Mangan Concs	Structure Developm Size and Shape		Consistence	Structural Condition	Pores (Fissures)	Roots Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary Distinctness and form		
1	38	FSL	2 5Y3/1	1%HR>2	m() None			None						CVF		Clear Smooth		
2	73	FSL/FLS	5YR5/4	N ()	None			None	None MDCA		VF	М	Good	FVF		Clear Smooth		
3	120+	FS	2 5YR4/6	No e()		None		None	WKCPI	L	VF	М	Good	None observed				
Profile G	leyed Fror	n Not gl	eyed		Available	Water W	/heat	1	76 mm			Final ALC	Grade	1				
Slowly Participation in the State of St	From	No SP	L		Potatoes 125 mm  Moisture Deficit Wheat 91 mm							Main Limiting Factor(s) None						
Wetness	Grade	1				Po	otatoe	es ´	78 mm									
					Moisture E	Balance W	/heat	8	35 mm			Remarks	negli	egligible stones <2 cm throughout profile				
					Potatoes 47 mm					mm				Prote argued 42		p. 0		
Droughtiness Grade 1 (Calculated to								ulated to 120	) cm	)								
					l							1						

SITE NAME PROFILE NO		ROFILE NO	SLOPE AND ASPECT			LA	ND USE	LAND USE			743 mm		PARENT MATERIAL					
Pedmore		Pı	t 4	1 E			Ley	<i>f</i>			v Rainfall TO	1357 day	С	Triassic Keupe	r Lower Keu	per Sandstone		
JOB NO	<del></del>	DATE GRID REFERENCE			DE	DESCRIBED BY			C Days	172		PSD SAMPLES TAKEN						
21/99		29/4/99 SO90108155		08155	*	GMS				Climatic Grade 1 Exposure Grade 1			0-25 cm LMS CS5 MS39 FS36 Z13 C7 32 100 MSL CS4 MS33,FS39 Z19 C7					
Horizon No	Lowest Av Depth (cm)	Textur	Matrix (Ped Face) Colours	Stonine Size Ty Field M	ype and Contrast		е	Mangan Concs	• •		l I	Structural Condition	Pores (Fissures)	Roots Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary Distinctness and form		
1	32	LMS	7 5YR3/2	19 HR:	>2 cm ( )	2 cm () None		None						MVF		Abrupt Smooth		
2	100	MSI	5YR4/4 becoming 5YR4/3	2%HR>2	cm (s) None			None	None MDCA		FR	Mod	Good	CVF		Gradual Smooth		
3	120+	LMS	S 2 5YR4/3	No e()	1	None	e None M		MDCAB		VF	Good	Poor	FVF				
Profile G	leyed Fror	n No	t Gleyed	•	Available	Water W	/heat	. 1	149 mm			Final ALC	Grade	2				
Slowly Po	From		SPL		Moisture I	Potatoo Moisture Deficit Wheat						Main Limiting Factor(s) Top texture						
Wetness		I				Po	otato	es	78 mm									
Wetness	Grade	1			Moisture E	Balance W	heat		58 mm									
	Potatoes 27 mm						27 mm			Remarks Negligible stones < 2 cm								
	Droughtiness Grade 1 (Calculated to 12)							culated to 120	0 сп	n)								

SITE NAME PROFILE NO SLO			SLOPE	AND ASPE	CT	LAND	USE		Av	Raınfall	743 mm		PARENT MATERIAL				
Pedmore		Pit 5	;	0			Ploughed			AT		1357 day C		Triassic Keuper Lower Keuper Sandsotne			
JOB NO		DA'	ΓE	GRID	REFERENC	E	DESCR	RIBED B	Y	FC	Days	172		PSD SAMPLES TAKEN			
21/99		29/4	1/99	SO909	SO90958117		GMS	GMS		Climatic Grade		1		None			
Horizon No	Lowest Av Depth (cm)	Texture	Matrix (Ped Face) Colours	Stoning Size Ty Field M	pe and	Mottling Abundanc Contrast Size and Colour	ce Mangan Concs		Structure Ped Development Size and Shape		posure Grade Consistence	Structural Condition	Pores (Fissures)	Roots Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary Distinctness and form	
1	35	FSL	5YR4/2	29 HR	m (s) None		ı	None						FVF		Abrupt Smooth	
2	50	MSL	2 5YR4/3	19 HR 2	None None		None		MDCA	В	FR	Mod	Good	FVF		Clear Irregular	
3	120	С	10R4/4	N ()		None		Few MDCSA		В	FM	Mod	Poor	FVF			
Profile G	leyed Fror	n Not g	leyed	•	Available	Water W	heat	13	33 mm		•	Final ALC	Grade	1		<u> </u>	
Slowly Pe Horizon I Wetness (	From Class	No S	PL	Potatoes 110 mm  Moisture Deficit Wheat 91mm  Potatoes 78 mm							Main Limiting Factor(s) None						
Welless	Moisture Balance Wheat 42 mm  Potatoes 32 mm  Droughtiness Grade 1 (Calculated to 1)								2 mm	) cm)	)	Remarks  H2 bottom varies from 40 cm to 65 cm Boring 50 cm from Pit and not encounter clay H3 LMS to 90 cm then to SCL to 120 cm Structure of H3 difficult may be SPL				counter clay 120 cm	
												}					