A1
Test Valley Borough Local Plan Review
Site 58 Land North of Anna Valley
Andover Hampshire
Agricultural Land Classification
ALC Map and Report
July 1996

Resource Planning Team Guildford Statutory Group ADAS Reading ADAS Reference 1512/98/96 MAFF Reference EL 15/0292 LUPU Commission 02467

AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION REPORT

TEST VALLEY BOROUGH LOCAL PLAN REVIEW SITE 58 LAND NORTH OF ANNA VALLEY ANDOVER

INTRODUCTION

- This summary report presents the findings of a detailed Agricultural Land Classification (ALC) survey of 111 ha of land to the north of Anna Valley on the south western side of Andover which is bounded by roads on all sides. The survey was carried out in July 1996.
- The survey was commissioned by Ministry of Agriculture Fisheries and Food (MAFF) Land Use Planning Unit (Reading) in connection with the Test Valley Borough Local Plan Review This survey supersedes previous ALC surveys on this land
- The work was conducted under sub contracting arrangements by NA Duncan and Associates and was supervised by members of the Resource Planning Team in the Guildford Statutory Group in ADAS The land has been graded in accordance with the published MAFF ALC guidelines and criteria (MAFF 1988) A description of the ALC grades and subgrades is given in Appendix I
- At the time of survey the majority of the site was under winter wheat with two small grass paddocks at the eastern end which were used for keeping horses. In addition a small area of scrub woodland occurs alongside Salisbury Road at the eastern end of the site this has been mapped as Other Land.

SUMMARY

- The findings of the survey are shown on the enclosed ALC map The map has been drawn at a scale of 1 10 000 it is accurate at this scale but any enlargement would be misleading
- The area and proportions of the ALC grades and subgrades on the surveyed land are summarised in Table 1

Table 1 Area of grades and other land

Grade/Other land	Area (hectares)	% site area	% surveyed area
2	2 9	25 9	27 4
3a	77	68 7	72 6
Other Land	0 6	5 4	
Total surveyed area	10 6		100 0
Total site area	112	100 0	

- The fieldwork was conducted at an average density of 1 boring per hectare A total of 12 borings and two soil pits were described
- Part of the agricultural land on the site has been mapped as Grade 2 very good quality agricultural land with the remainder classified as Subgrade 3a, good quality agricultural land. The principle limitation associated with the whole site is droughtiness with the Subgrade 3a area being more seriously affected than the area mapped as Grade 2. The whole area is underlain by chalk at varying depths, which restricts the potential rooting depth, thereby limiting the available water capacity of the soils. The deeper soils were mapped in the central southern part of the site reflecting the Grade 2 classification in this area, with the remaining land mapped as Subgrade 3a.

FACTORS INFLUENCING ALC GRADE

Climate

- 9 Climate affects the grading of land through the assessment of an overall climatic limitation and also through interactions with soil characteristics
- The key climatic variables used for grading this site are given in Table 2 and were obtained from the published 5km grid datasets using the standard interpolation procedures (Met Office 1989)

Table 2 Climatic and altitude data

Factor	Units	Values
Grid reference	N/A	SU 345 445
Altıtude	m AOD	70
Accumulated Temperature	day C	1464
Average Annual Rainfall	mm	771
Field Capacity Days	days	166
Moisture Deficit Wheat	mm	102
Moisture Deficit Potatoes	mm	93

- 11 The climatic criteria are considered first when classifying land as climate can be overriding in the sense that severe limitations will restrict land to low grades irrespective of favourable site or soil conditions
- The main parameters used in the assessment of an overall climatic limitation are average annual rainfall (AAR) as a measure of overall wetness and accumulated temperature (ATO January to June) as a measure of the relative warmth of a locality
- The combination of rainfall and temperature at this site mean that under this warm and relatively moist climate wetness and workability limitations may be enhanced on heavier textured soils. In addition soils will need a moderately high available water capacity to avoid droughtiness limitations. There is however no overall climatic limitation in this area, the site is climatically Grade 1.

Site

The site lies at an altitude of 65 70 m AOD on the crest of a slight ridge with the land at the western end falling gently toward the south west whilst at the eastern end the land falls slightly more steeply to the north east. Gradients range from 2 3° at the western end and 4 6° at the eastern end and therefore are not limiting in terms of ALC grading.

Geology and soils

- The published geological information for the area (BGS 1975) shows the majority of the site to be underlain by Upper Chalk which is described as soft chalk with many flint nodules. A small area of River and Valley Gravels is mapped at the eastern end of the site.
- There is no detailed soil survey map for the area but the reconnaissance soil map (SSEW 1983) shows the northern tip of the site to comprise soils of the Andover 1 association. These soils are described as shallow well drained calcareous silty soils over chalk on the slopes and crests with deep calcareous and non calcareous fine silty soils in the valley bottoms. The remainder of the site is mapped as Charity 2 association, which comprises soils developed in flinty and chalky drift over chalk. The Charity 2 soils are well drained flinty fine silty soils in the valley bottoms with calcareous fine silty soils over chalk or chalk rubble on the valley sides.

Agricultural Land Classification

- 17 The details of the classification of the site are shown on the attached ALC map and the area statistics of each grade are given in Table 1 page 1
- The location of the auger borings and pits is shown on the attached sample location map and the details of the soils data are presented in Appendix III

Grade 2

An area of Grade 2 land has been mapped in the south central part of the site where moderately deep silty soils overlying chalk were found. These soils typically have a medium silty clay loam topsoil over a medium or heavy silty clay loam upper subsoil both of which are non calcareous. Beneath 50 60 cm depth, the lower subsoil becomes extremely calcareous and is a heavy clay loam or silty clay loam with up to 40% chalk stones. Below approximately 70 cm depth, the underlying chalk is encountered, which contains some large nodular flints. The soil pit indicates that the upper subsoil structure is good, becoming poor below, and that roots penetrate the chalk for approximately 15 cm. Moisture balance calculations indicate that under the prevailing climatic conditions, these soils will be slightly droughty, especially for deeper rooting crops, limiting the land quality to Grade 2.

Subgrade 3a

The majority of the site has been mapped as Subgrade 3a due to a droughtiness limitation. The soils in this area comprise fine silty deposits overlying chalk at moderately shallow depths. The soils typically have a calcareous medium silty clay loam topsoil over an extremely calcareous heavy silty clay loam or clay loam subsoil containing up to 40% chalk

stones with some medium and large flints. Below 40 60 cm depth moderately hard chalk containing 10 15% large nodular flints was encountered. Soil pit 1 indicates that the subsoil is typically well structured and that the plant roots penetrate the chalk for approximately 15 cm. Moisture balance calculations indicate that these soils will be moderately droughty for the deeper rooting crops, thereby limiting the land quality to Subgrade 3a.

N A Duncan for the Resource Planning Team Guildford Statutory Group ADAS Reading

SOURCES OF REFERENCE

British Geological Survey (1946) Sheet No 299 Winchester (Drift) BGS London

Ministry of Agriculture Fisheries and Food (1988) Agricultural Land Classification of England and Wales Revised guidelines and criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land MAFF London

Met Office (1989) Climatological Data for Agricultural Land Classification Met Office Bracknell

Soil Survey of England and Wales (1983) Sheet 6 South East England SSEW Harpenden.

Soil Survey of England and Wales (1984) Soils and their Use in South East England SSEW Harpenden

APPENDIX I

DESCRIPTIONS OF THE GRADES AND SUBGRADES

Grade 1 Excellent Quality Agricultural Land

Land with no or very minor limitations to agricultural use. A very wide range of agricultural and horticultural crops can be grown and commonly includes top fruit soft fruit salad crops and winter harvested vegetables. Yields are high and less variable than on land of lower quality.

Grade 2 Very Good Quality Agricultural Land

Land with minor limitations which affect crop yield cultivations or harvesting. A wide range of agricultural or horticultural crops can usually be grown but on some land of this grade there may be reduced flexibility due to difficulties with the production of the more demanding crops such as winter harvested vegetables and arable root crops. The level of yield is generally high but may be lower or more variable than Grade 1 land.

Grade 3 Good to Moderate Quality Land

Land with moderate limitations which affect the choice of crops the timing and type of cultivation harvesting or the level of yield. When more demanding crops are grown, yields are generally lower or more variable than on land in Grades 1 and 2

Subgrade 3a Good Quality Agricultural Land

Land capable of consistently producing moderate to high yields of a narrow range of arable crops especially cereals or moderate yields of a wide range of crops including cereals grass oilseed rape potatoes sugar beet and the less demanding horticultural crops

Subgrade 3b Moderate Quality Agricultural Land

Land capable of producing moderate yields of a narrow range of crops principally cereals and grass or lower yields of a wider range of crops or high yields of grass which can be grazed or harvested over most of the year

Grade 4 Poor Quality Agricultural Land

Land with severe limitations which significantly restrict the range of crops and/or the level of yields. It is mainly suited to grass with occasional arable crops (e.g. cereals and forage crops) the yields of which are variable. In moist climates, yields of grass may be moderate to high but there may be difficulties in utilisation. The grade also includes very droughty arable land.

Grade 5 Very Poor Quality Agricultural Land

Land with severe limitations which restrict use to permanent pasture or rough grazing except for occasional pioneer forage crops

APPENDIX II

SOIL WETNESS CLASSIFICATION

Definitions of Soil Wetness Classes

Soil wetness is classified according to the depth and duration of waterlogging in the soil profile. Six soil wetness classes are identified and are defined in the table below

Wetness Class	Duration of waterlogging ¹
I	The soil profile is not wet within 70 cm depth for more than 30 days in most years 2
II	The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for 31 90 days in most years or if there is no slowly permeable layer within 80 cm depth it is wet within 70 cm for more than 90 days but only wet within 40 cm depth for 30 days in most years
III	The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for 91 180 days in most years or if there is no slowly permeable layer present within 80 cm depth, it is wet within 70 cm for more than 180 days but only wet within 40 cm depth for between 31 90 days in most years
ΙV	The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for more than 180 days but not wet within 40 cm depth for more than 210 days in most years or if there is no slowly permeable layer present within 80 cm depth it is wet within 40 cm depth for 91 210 days in most years
v	The soil profile is wet within 40 cm depth for 211 335 days in most years
VI	The soil profile is wet within 40 cm depth for more than 335 days in most years

Assessment of Wetness Class

Soils have been allocated to wetness classes by the interpretation of soil profile characteristics and climatic factors using the methodology described in Agricultural Land Classification of England and Wales Revised guidelines and criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land (MAFF 1988)

¹ The number of days is not necessarily a continuous period

² In most years is defined as more than 10 out of 20 years

APPENDIX III

SOIL DATA

Contents

Sample location map

Soil abbreviations Explanatory Note

Soil Pit Descriptions

Soil boring descriptions (boring and horizon levels)

Database Printout Horizon Level Information

SOIL PROFILE DESCRIPTIONS EXPLANATORY NOTE

Soil pit and auger boring information collected during ALC fieldwork is held on a computer database. This uses notations and abbreviations as set out below

Boring Header Information

- 1 GRID REF national 100 km grid square and 8 figure grid reference
- 2 USE Land use at the time of survey The following abbreviations are used

ARA	Arable	WHT	Wheat	BAR	Barley
CER	Cereals	OAT	Oats	MZE	Maıze
OSR	Oilseed rape	BEN	Field Beans	BRA	Brassicae
POT	Potatoes	SBT	Sugar Beet	FCD	Fodder Crops
LIN	Linseed	FRT	Soft and Top Fruit	FLW	Fallow
PGR	Permanent Pasture	eLEY	Ley Grass	RGR	Rough Grazing
SCR	Scrub	CFW	Conferous Woodland	DCW	Deciduous Wood
HTH	Heathland	BOG	Bog or Marsh	FLW	Fallow
PLO	Ploughed	SAS	Set aside	OTH	Other
HRT	Horticultural Crop	os			

- 3 GRDNT Gradient as estimated or measured by a hand held optical clinometer
- 4 GLEY/SPL Depth in centimetres (cm) to gleying and/or slowly permeable layers
- 5 AP (WHEAT/POTS) Crop adjusted available water capacity
- 6 MB (WHEAT/POTS) Moisture Balance (Crop adjusted AP crop adjusted MD)
- 7 DRT Best grade according to soil droughtiness
- 8 If any of the following factors are considered significant Y will be entered in the relevant column

MREL	Microrelief limitation	FLOOD	Flood risk	EROSN	Soil erosion risk
EXP	Exposure limitation	FROST	Frost prone	DIST	Disturbed land
CHEM	Chemical limitation				

9 LIMIT The main limitation to land quality The following abbreviations are used

OC	Overall Climate	ΑE	Aspect	EX	Exposure
FR	Frost Risk	GR	Gradient	MR	Microrelief
FL	Flood Risk	TX	Topsoil Texture	DP	Soil Depth
CH	Chemical	WE	Wetness	WK	Workability
DR	Drought	ER	Erosion Risk	WD	Soil Wetness/Droughtiness
ST	Topsoil Stoning	ss			_

Soil Pits and Auger Borings

1 TEXTURE soil texture classes are denoted by the following abbreviations

S	Sand	LS	Loamy Sand	SL	Sandy Loam
SZL	Sandy Silt Loam	CL	Clay Loam	ZCL	Silty Clay Loam
ZL	Sılt Loam	SCL	Sandy Clay Loam	C	Clay
SC	Sandy Clay	ZC	Silty Clay	OL	Organic Loam
P	Peat	SP	Sandy Peat	LP	Loamy Peat
PL	Peaty Loam	PS	Peaty Sand	MZ	Marine Light Silts

For the sand loamy sand sandy loam and sandy silt loam classes the predominant size of sand fraction will be indicated by the use of the following prefixes

- Fine (more than 66% of the sand less than 0 2mm)
- M Medium (less than 66% fine sand and less than 33% coarse sand)
- C Coarse (more than 33% of the sand larger than 0 6mm)

The clay loam and silty clay loam classes will be sub divided according to the clay content M Medium (<27% clay) H Heavy (27 35% clay)

- 2 MOTTLE COL Mottle colour using Munsell notation
- 3 MOTTLE ABUN Mottle abundance expressed as a percentage of the matrix or surface described

F few <2% C common 2 20% M many 20-40% VM very many 40% +

- 4 MOTTLE CONT Mottle contrast
 - F faint indistinct mottles evident only on close inspection
 - D distinct mottles are readily seen
 - P prominent mottling is conspicuous and one of the outstanding features of the horizon
- 5 PED COL Ped face colour using Munsell notation
- 6 GLEY If the soil horizon is gleyed a Y will appear in this column If slightly gleyed an S will appear
- 7 STONE LITH Stone Lithology One of the following is used

HR	all hard rocks and stones	SLST	soft oolitic or dolimitic limestone
СН	chalk	FSST	soft fine grained sandstone
ZR	soft argillaceous or silty rocks	GH gra	ivel with non porous (hard) stones
MSST	soft medium grained sandstone	GS gra	ivel with porous (soft) stones
SI	soft weathered igneous/metamor	phic rock	

Stone contents (>2cm >6cm and total) are given in percentages (by volume)

8 STRUCT the degree of development size and shape of soil peds are described using the following notation

degree of development WK weakly developed MD moderately developed

ST strongly developed

ped size F fine M medium

C coarse VC very coarse

ped shape S single grain M massive

GR granular AB angular blocky

SAB sub angular blocky PR prismatic

PL platy

9 CONSIST Soil consistence is described using the following notation

L loose VF very friable FR friable FM firm VM very firm EM extremely firm EH extremely hard

10 SUBS STR Subsoil structural condition recorded for the purpose of calculating profile droughtiness G good M moderate P poor

- 11 POR Soil porosity If a soil horizon has less than 0.5% biopores >0.5 mm, a Y' will appear in this column
- 12 IMP If the profile is impenetrable to rooting a Y will appear in this column at the appropriate horizon
- 13 SPL Slowly permeable layer If the soil horizon is slowly permeable a Y' will appear in this column
- 14 CALC If the soil horizon is calcareous a Y' will appear in this column
- 15 Other notations

APW available water capacity (in mm) adjusted for wheat

APP available water capacity (in mm) adjusted for potatoes

MBW moisture balance wheat MBP moisture balance potatoes

SOIL PIT DESCRIPTION

S te Name TEST VALLEY BLP SITE 58 P t N mbe 1P

Grid Reference SU34334439 A e age A n al Ra fall 771 mm

Accumul ted Tempe ature 1464 degree d ys

Field Capac ty Level 166 days L nd Use Wheat

Slope and A pect 02 degrees SW

STONES 2 TOT STONE LITH MOTTLES STRUCTURE CONSIST SUBSTRUCTURE CALC **HORIZON TEXTURE** COLOUR 0 27 MZCL 75YR44 00 3 4 HR 75YR54 64 HCL СН G γ 27 55 0 40 MDMS8 FM 55- 70 10YR81 00 HR Р ĊН 0 12

Wetness G ade 1 Wetness C1 I
Gleving 000 cm

Gleying 000 cm SPL No SPL

Drought Grade 3A APW 102mm MBW 0 mm

APP 109mm MBP 16 mm

FINAL ALC GRADE 3A

MAIN LIMITATION Droughtine

SOIL PIT DESCRIPTION

Site Name TEST VALLEY BLP SITE 58 Pit N mber 2P

Grid Reference SU34504440 Average Ann al Rai fall 771 mm

Accumulated Tempe ture 1464 degree days

Field Capacity Le el 166 d ys Land Use Wheat

Slope and Aspect 01 degrees SW

HORIZON TEXTURE COLOUR STONES 2 TOT STONE LITH MOTTLES STRUCTURE CONSIST SUBSTRUCTURE CALC 0 28 MZCL 75YR43 00 2 4 HR 28 57 HZCL 75YR45 00 7 HR **MDMSB** FM G 57 70 HCL 75YR64 00 0 40 СН М FΜ Р Υ 70 85 СН 10YR81 00 n 12 HR Þ

Wetne s G ade 1 Wetnes Class I

Gleying 000 cm SPL No SPL

Drought G ade 2 APW 121mm MBW 19 mm

APP 123mm MBP 30 mm

FINAL ALC GRADE 2

MAIN LIMITATION Drought ne

SAMP	LE	A	SPECT				WET	NESS	WHE	EAT	PC	TS	M	I REL	erosn	FR0:	ST	CHEM	ALC	
NO	GRID REF	USE		GRONT	GLEY	SPL	CLASS	GRADE	AP	MB	AP	MB	DRT	FLOOD	Đ	P	DIST	LIMIT		COMMENTS
1	SU34504460	WHT	NE	04	000		1	1	000	0	000	0						DR	3 A	CHALK 45
1P	SU34334439	WHT	SW	02	000		1	1	102	0	109	16	3A					DR	3 A	CHALK 55
2	SU34604460	WHT	NE	04	000		1	1	000	0	000	0						DR	3 A	CHALK 50
_ 2P	SU34504440	WHT	SW	01	000		1	1	121	19	123	30	2					DR	2	CHALK 70
3	SU34704460	PGR	NW	02	000		1	1	099	3	105	12	3A					DR	3 A	IMP 60
ŀ																				
4	SU34404450	WHT	SW	01	000		1	1	088	14	089	4	3A					DR	3 A	CHALK 40
5	SU34504450	WHT	NE	01	000		1	1	103	1	109	16	3 A					DR	2	IMP FLINT
6	SU34604450	WHT	Ε	03	000		1	1	000	0	000	0						DR	3 A	IMP 35
7	SU34674452	WHT	Ε	06	000		1	1	000	0	000	0						DR	3A	IMP 35
8	SU34304440	HHT	SW	03	000		1	1	000	0	000	0						DR	3 A	IMP 60
9	SU34404440	WHT	SW	02	000		1	1	121	19	126	33	2					DR	2	IMP 80
_ 10	SU34504440	WHT	SW	01	000		1	1	110	8	122	29	2					DR	2	IMP 70
11	SU34204430	WHT	SW	02	000		1	1	088	14	088	5	3A					DR	3 A	IMP 50
12	SU34304430	WHT	SW	03	000		1	1	085	17	085	8	3A					DR	3A	IMP 45

•				_	MOTTLES	2	PED			STON	: e	STRUCT	/ SUBS		
SAMPLE	DEPTH	TEXTURE	COLOUR		ABUN	CONT	ωr	GLEY	2				T STR POR	IMP SPL	CALC
,							•••		_						
1	0 30	mzcl	75YR43 00						2	0 HR	4				
	30-45	hzc1	75YR45 00						0	O HR	5				Y
		_	~~						_						
1P	0 27	mzcl	75YR44 00						3	O HR	4	момел	FM 6		Y
	27 55 55-70	hc1 ch	75YR54 64 10YR81 00						0	0 CH 0 HR	40 12	MDMSB	rm G P		Y
	33-70	CII	101101 00						Ü	U IIK	12		r		
2	0 30	mzcl	75YR43 00						1	O HR	3				Y
	30 50	hc1	75YR64 00						0	0 CH	40				Y
•															
2P	0 28	mzcl	75YR43 00						2	O HR	4				
	28 57	hzcl	75YR45 00						0	0 HR	7	MDMSB	FM G		
	57 70	hc1	75YR64 00						0	0 CH	40	М	FM P		Y
•	70 85	ch	10YR81 00						0	0 HR	12		Р		
3	0 30	mzcl	10YR54 00						0	O HR	2				Y
•	30-40	mzcl	10YR63 00						0	0 CH	5		G		Ý
	40 60	hzc1	10YR73 00						0	0 CH	40		М		Υ
4	0 30	mzcl	75YR43 00						1	0 HR	2				
•	30 40	hzc1	75YR46 00						0	0 CH	10		G		Y
	40 55	ch	10YR81 00						0	0 HR	12		Р		
5	0 30	mzcl	75YR43 00						2	O HR	2				
	30 40	mzcl	75YR45 00						0	O HR	3 5		G		Y
	40 60	hc1	75YR64 00						0		40		G		Y
•															
6	0 27	mzcl	75YR43 00						4	0 HR	8				
	27 35	h cl	75YR44 00						0	O HR	25				
_		_													
7	0 30	mzcl	75YR43 00						3	O HR	8				
	30 35	mzcl	75YR44 00						0	O HR	30				
8	0 30	mzcl	75YR44 00						2	0 HR	5				Y
	30 60	hc1	75YR63 00							0 CH	40		G		Ÿ
9	0 30	mzcl	75YR43 00						2	0 HR	3				
	30 58	hzcl	75YR46 00						0	O HR	3		G		
	58 80	hc1	10YR64 00						0	0 CH	40		P		Υ
10	0 30	1	750042 00						•	0.440					
10	30 55	mzcl mzcl	75YR43 00 75YR44 00						2	0 HR 0 HR	4		G		
	55 70	hzcl	75YR54 64							0 CH	5 40		P		Υ
•		,							•		••		•		•
1 1	0 30	mzcl	75YR44 00						2	0 HR	4				
	30 50	mc1	10YR64 00						0	0 CH	40		G		Y
-															
12	0 30	mzc1	75YR44 00							0 HR	3		^		
	30 45	mzcl	75YR44 00						0	0 HR	6		G		
•															