8FCS 8032

25/26

Great Torrington

Agricultural Land Classification

November 1996

Resource Planning Team Taunton Statutory Group ADAS Bristol Job Number 25/96 Commission 1029 MAFF Reference EL 622



GREAT TORRINGTON

AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION SURVEY

| CONTENTS | | | Page |
|----------------|--------------------|--------------------------------|-------------|
| INTRODUCTION | | | 1 |
| SUMMARY | | | 1 |
| CLIMATE | | | 2 |
| RELIEF | | | 2 |
| GEOLOGY AND S | OILS | | |
| AGRICULTURAL 1 | LAND CLASSIFIC | ATION AND MAP | 3 |
| REFERENCES | | | 5 |
| APPENDIX I | Description of the | e Grades and Subgrades | 6 |
| APPENDIX II | Definition of Soil | Wetness Classes | 8 |
| APPENDIX III | Survey Data: | | 9 |
| | Sa | mple Point Location Map | |
| | Pi | t Descriptions | · |
| | Во | oring Profile Data | |
| | Во | oring Horizon Data | |
| | A1 | phraviations and Terms used in | Survey Data |

GREAT TORRINGTON

AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION SURVEY

INTRODUCTION

- 1. This report presents the findings of a semi-detailed Agricultural Land Classification (ALC) survey of 249 ha of land at Great Torrington, North Devon. Field survey was based on 121 auger borings and 6 soil profile pits, and was completed in September 1996.
- 2. The survey was conducted by the Resource Planning Team of ADAS Taunton Statutory Group on behalf of MAFF Land Use Planning Unit in its statutory role in the preparation of Torridge District Local Plan.
- 3. Information on climate, geology and soils, and from previous ALC survey s was considered and is presented in the relevant section. Apart from the published regional ALC map (MAFF, 1977), which shows the site at a reconnaissance scale as Grade 3 and 4, the site had not been surveyed previously. However, the current survey used the Revised Guidelines and Criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land (MAFF, 1988) and supersedes any previous ALC survey. Grade descriptions are summarised in Appendix I.
- 4. At the time of survey land cover was mainly grass for sheep and beef with a small area of winter cereals and one field of maize. Apart from roads, woodlands and farm buildings, other land which was not surveyed included considerable areas which had already been developed for housing, or where construction was currently in progress. This category also includes playing fields, the meat processing factories in the north west of the survey area and a large area of scrub woodland on Torrington Common. An area of 10.4 ha owned by North Devon Meat Ltd was not surveyed because consent for access was not granted.

SUMMARY

5. The distribution of ALC grades is shown on the accompanying 1: 20 000 scale ALC map. The detail of information shown at this scale is appropriate to the intensity of field survey but could be misleading if enlarged or applied to small areas. Areas are summarised in the Table 1.

Table 1: Distribution of ALC grades: Great Torrington

| Grade | Area (ha) | % Surveyed Area (191.9 ha) |
|----------------------|-----------|----------------------------|
| 3a | 76.8 | 40.1 |
| 3b | 57.5 | 29.8 |
| 4 . | 57.6 | 30.1 |
| Ag land not surveyed | 10.4 | |
| Other land | 46.7 | |
| Total site area | 249.0 | |

6. 40.1% of the survey area was found to be best and most versatile. This is shown as Subgrade 3a with moderate limitations due to wetness and workability, although it also includes several scattered borings which were found to be Grade 2. This raises the possibility that small sites within the area shown as Subgrade 3a could, on detailed survey, prove to be mainly Grade 2.

CLIMATE

- 7. Estimates of climatic variables for this site were derived from the published agricultural climate dataset "Climatological Data for Agricultural Land Classification" (Meteorological Office, 1989) using standard interpolation procedures. Data for key points around the site are given in Table 2 below.
- 8. Since the ALC grade of land is determined by the most limiting factor present, overall climate is considered first because it can have an overriding influence by restricting land to a lower grade despite more favourable site and soil conditions. Parameters used for assessing overall climate are accumulated temperature, a measure of relative warmth and average annual rainfall, a measure of overall wetness. The results shown in Table 2 indicate that there is an overall climatic limitation which limits the land to Grade 2, although this is found only in small areas of the highest ground, mainly in the north and east of the survey area.
- 9. Climatic variables also affect ALC grade through interactions with soil conditions. The most important interactive variables are Field Capacity Days (FCD) which are used in assessing soil wetness and potential Moisture Deficits calculated for wheat and potatoes, which are compared with the moisture available in each profile in assessing soil droughtiness limitations. These are described in later sections.

Table 2: Climatic Interpolations: Great Torrington

| Grid Reference | SS510201 | SS506206 | SS500190 |
|----------------------------------|----------|----------|----------|
| Altitude (m) | 110 | 140 | 70 |
| Accumulated Temperature (day °C) | 1472 | 1437 | 1518 |
| Average Annual Rainfall (mm) | 1042 | 1057 | 1025 |
| Overall Climatic Grade | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| Field Capacity Days | 213 | 216 | 211 |
| Moisture deficit (mm): Wheat | 82 | 78 | 87 |
| Potatoes | 68 | 64 | 75 |

RELIEF

10. Altitude ranges from 60 metres at Brent Bridge to 140 metres at Coombe Cross with mainly gentle and moderate slops which are not limiting. However, there are significant areas of more strongly sloping land limited to Subgrade 3b and on the sides of the valleys which incise the undulating plateau, moderately steep and steep slopes are found, which although variable are mapped as Grade 4.

- 11. The underlying geology of the site is shown on the published geology map (IGS, 1980) as Carboniferous Culm measures, mainly sandstone in the south and a mixture of sandstone and shale in the north of the site. This was largely borne out by the current survey, although shattered sandstone and sandstone head was found on the better drained higher ground throughout the site, frequently with no evidence of wetness within 70cm. The sandstone head was found to extend over areas underlain by shale, and where weathered shale was found within the active soil profile, evidence of wetness due to more slowly permeable conditions was normally found also.
- 12. Soils were mapped by the Soil Survey of England and Wales at a reconnaissance scale of 1:250 000 (SSEW, 1983) as mainly Neath Association, with Manod Association on the lower slopes around the western and southern fringes of the site. Neath Association is described as well drained fine loamy soils, often over rock with small patches of similar soils with slowly permeable subsoils and slight seasonal waterlogging. Manod Association is described as well drained fine loamy or fine silty soils over rock, shallow in places. The main part of the survey area is shown as Neath Association and the description of this was largely borne out by the current survey.

AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION

13. The distribution of ALC grades found by the current survey is shown on the accompanying 1: 20 000 scale map and areas are summarised in Table 1. The detail of information shown at this scale is appropriate to the intensity of field survey but could be misleading if enlarged or applied to small areas.

Subgrade 3a

- 14. Subgrade 3a was found to occupy much of the better drained higher ground, particularly where the parent material was mainly sandstone or sandstone head. Where no evidence of wetness was found within 70 cm, the profile was assessed as Wetness Class I (Appendix II) and the main limitation in such cases was found to be due to restricted workability with heavy clay loam topsoil texture, at least when assessed to 25 cm. This is illustrated by Pits 2 and 5. Both these pits were sited at an auger point which was found the be stony or shallow in order to test the droughtiness limitation in the area. Although Pit 5 shows a moderate droughtiness limitation to Subgrade 3a when calculated to 100cm, Pit 2 did not, despite being sited on an apparently shallow knoll.
- 15. Topsoil textures throughout the survey area were found on laboratory analysis to be borderline between medium and heavy clay loam, only ranging from 25 to 31 % clay in the samples tested. This range is difficult to distinguish by hand texturing and is hardly significant to workability in practice. Wetness Class I profiles with medium clay loam topsoil texture would be assessed as workability Grade 2 as at several auger points in this survey, even though no Grade 2 is mapped.
- 16. Subgrade 3a also may be Wetness Class II with either medium or heavy clay loam topsoil textures. Because this survey area whas over 200 Field Capacity Days, gleying alone with no slowly permeable layer (SPL) within 70 cm implies Wetness Class II and therefore a moderate wetness limitation. This illustrated by Pits 1 and 6. It may also apply to borings which were found to be impenetrable and therefore have been recorded as limited only by restricted workability, as the gleyed subsoil can be obscured by the relatively stony and impenetrable upper subsoil.

RPT113JM 3

Subgrade 3b

- 17. In this survey area this subgrade shows a more serious moderate limitation due to either gradient or wetness. The areas limited by gradient are found throughout the survey area and are frequently adjacent to, and not distinguished from, similar areas limited by wetness.
- 18. The Subgrade 3a which is limited by wetness may be medium clay loam at Wetness Class IV, or heavy clay loam at Wetness Class III, which was found to be mainly due to gleying within 40 cm in the absence of a slowly permeable layer. Such conditions at this site may be regarded as transitional between Wetness Class I and Wetness Class IV and the mapping unit includes several borings of both Subgrade 3a and Grade 4.

Grade 4

- 19. Grade 4 with a serious limitation due to gradient was found on the steep valley sides which bisect the undulating plateau. The valley west of Crowbear Farm includes variable slopes locally ranging from Subgrade 3b to Grade 5, although these have been combined into a single Grade 4 mapping unit, as this was considered to be typical and dominant.
- 20. Large areas, notably at Hatch Moor and at separate areas west of Week and Burwood Farms have a serious limitation due to wetness, Wetness Class IV. Topsoil textures were considered to be mainly heavy clay loam, but as with the rest of the site this is borderline to medium clay loam and such a profile would strictly be graded Subgrade 3b. This situation is illustrated by Pits 3 and 4, were although Pit 3 was found to be Subgrade 3b, it has been included in the Grade 4 mapping unit, from which is largely indistinguishable. In some cases the slowly permeable layer may have limited depth, giving way to weathered shale within the soil profile, similar to the situation illustrated by Pit 4.

P Barnett Resource Planning Team Taunton Statutory Group ADAS Bristol 27 September 1996

REFERENCES

- INSTITUTE OF GEOLOGICAL SCIENCES (1980) Sheet 309, Chulmleigh, 1:50 000 series, Solid and Drift Edition. IGS, London.
- HODGSON, J M (Ed) (1974) Soil Survey Field Handbook, Technical Monograph No5. Soil Survey of England and Wales, Harpenden.
- HODGSON, J M (In preparation) Soil Survey Field Handbook, Revised edition.
- MAFF (1977) 1:250 000 series Agricultural Land Classification, South West Region. MAFF Publications, Alnwick.
- MAFF (1988) Agricultural Land Classification of England and Wales. Revised Guidelines and Criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land. MAFF Publications, Alnwick.
- METEOROLOGICAL OFFICE (1989) Climatological Data for Agricultural Land Classification.

 Meteorological Office, Bracknell.
- SOIL SURVEY OF ENGLAND AND WALES (1983) Sheet 5, Soils of South West England, 1:250 000 scale. SSEW, Harpenden.
- SOIL SURVEY OF ENGLAND AND WALES (1984) Soils and Their Use in South West England, Bulletin No 14. SSEW, Harpenden.

RPT113JM

APPENDIX I

DESCRIPTION OF GRADES AND SUBGRADES

Grade 1 - excellent quality agricultural land

Land with no or very minor limitations to agricultural use. A very wide range of agricultural and horticultural crops can be grown and commonly include top fruit, soft fruit, salad crops and winter harvested vegetables. Yields are high and less variable than on land of lower quality.

Grade 2 - very good quality agricultural land

Land with minor limitations which affect crop yield, cultivations or harvesting. A wide range of agricultural and horticultural crops can usually be grown but on some land in the grade there may be reduced flexibility due to difficulties with the production of the more demanding crops such as winter harvested vegetables and arable root crops. The level of yield is generally high but may be lower or more variable than Grade 1.

Grade 3 - good to moderate quality agricultural land

Land with moderate limitations which affect the choice of crops, timing and type of cultivation, harvesting or the level of yield. Where more demanding crops are grown yields are generally lower or more variable than on land in Grades 1 and 2.

Subgrade 3a - good quality agricultural land

Land capable of consistently producing moderate to high yields of a narrow range of arable crops, especially cereals, or moderate yields of a wide range of crops including cereals, grass, oilseed rape, potatoes, sugar beet and the less demanding horticultural crops.

Subgrade 3b - moderate quality agricultural land

Land capable of producing moderate yields of a narrow range of crops, principally cereals and grass, or lower yields of a wider range of crops or high yields of grass which can be grazed or harvested over most of the year.

Grade 4 - poor quality agricultural land

Land with severe limitations which significantly restrict the range of crops and/or level of yields. It is mainly suited to grass with occasional arable crops (eg cereals and forage crops) the yields of which are variable. In most climates, yields of grass may be moderate to high but there may be difficulties in utilisation. The grade also includes very droughty arable land.

Grade 5 - very poor quality agricultural land

Land with very severe limitations which restrict use to permanent pasture or rough grazing, except for occasional pioneer forage crops.

Source: MAFF (1988) Agricultural Land Classification of England and Wales Revised Guidelines and Criteria for Grading the Quality of Agricultural Land, MAFF Publications, Alnwick.

APPENDIX II

DEFINITION OF SOIL WETNESS CLASSES

Soil wetness is classified according to the depth and duration of waterlogging in the soil profile.

Wetness Class I

The soil profile is not wet within 70 cm depth for more than 30 days in most years.

Wetness Class II

The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for 31-90 days in most years or, if there is no slowly permeable layer within 80 cm depth, it is wet within 70 cm for more than 90 days, but not wet within 40 cm depth for more than 30 days in most years.

Wetness Class III

The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for 91-180 days in most years or, if there is no slowly permeable layer within 80 cm depth, it is wet within 70 cm for more than 180 days, but only wet within 40 cm depth for between 31 and 90 days in most years.

Wetness Class IV

The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for more than 180 days but not within 40 cm depth for more than 210 days in most years or, if there is no slowly permeable layer within 80 cm depth, it is wet within 40 cm depth for 91-210 days in most years.

Wetness Class V

The soil profile is wet within 40 cm depth for 211-335 days in most years.

Wetness Class VI

The soil profile is wet within 40 cm depth for more than 335 days in most years.

Notes: The number of days specified is not necessarily a continuous period.

'In most years' is defined as more than 10 out of 20 years.

Source: Hodgson, J M (In preparation) Soil Survey Field Handbook, Revised Edition.

APPENDIX III

ABBREVIATIONS AND TERMS USED IN SURVEY DATA

Soil pit and auger boring information collected during ALC survey is held on a computer database and is reproduced in this report. Terms used and abbreviations are set out below. These conform to definitions contained in the Soil Survey Field Handbook (Hodgson, 1974).

1. Terms used on computer database, in order of occurrence.

GRID REF: National 100 km grid square and 8 figure grid reference.

LAND USE: At the time of survey

| WHT: | Wheat | SBT: | Sugar Beet | HTH: | Heathland |
|------|--------------|-------------|---------------------|-------------|-------------------------|
| BAR: | Barley | BRA: | Brassicas | BOG: | Bog or Marsh |
| OAT: | Oats | FCD: | Fodder Crops | DCW: | Deciduous Wood |
| CER: | Cereals | FRT: | Soft and Top Fruit | CFW: | Coniferous Woodland |
| MZE: | Maize | HRT: | Horticultural Crops | PLO: | Ploughed |
| OSR: | Oilseed Rape | LEY: | Ley Grass | FLW: | Fallow (inc. Set aside) |
| POT: | Potatoes | PGR: | Permanent Pasture | SAS: | Set Aside (where known) |
| LIN: | Linseed | RGR: | Rough Grazing | OTH: | Other |
| BEN: | Field Beans | SCR: | Scrub | | |

GRDNT: Gradient as estimated or measured by hand-held optical clinometer.

GLEY, SPL: Depth in centimetres to gleying or slowly permeable layer.

AP (WHEAT/POTS): Crop-adjusted available water capacity.

MB (WHEAT/POTS): Moisture Balance. (Crop adjusted AP - crop potential

MD)

DRT: Best grade according to soil droughtiness.

If any of the following factors are considered significant, 'Y' will be entered in the relevant column.

MREL: Microrelief limitation FLOOD: Flood risk EROSN: Soil erosion risk EXP: Exposure limitation FROST: Frost prone DIST: Disturbed land

CHEM: Chemical limitation

LIMIT: The main limitation to land quality: The following abbreviations are

used.

| OC: | Overall Climate | AE: | Aspect | EX: | Exposure |
|-----|-----------------|-----|-----------------|-----|---------------------------|
| FR: | Frost Risk | GR: | Gradient | MR: | Microrelief |
| FL: | Flood Risk | TX: | Topsoil Texture | DP: | Soil Depth |
| CH: | Chemical | WE: | Wetness | WK: | Workability |
| DR: | Drought | ER: | Erosion Risk | WD: | Soil Wetness/Droughtiness |

TEXTURE: Soil texture classes are denoted by the following abbreviations:-

| S: | Sand | LS: | Loamy Sand | SL: | Sandy Loam |
|------|-----------------|------|-----------------|------------|--------------------|
| SZL: | Sandy Silt Loam | CL: | Clay Loam | ZCL | Silty Clay Loam |
| ZL: | Silt Loam | SCL: | Sandy Clay Loam | C: | Clay |
| SC: | Sandy clay | ZC: | Silty clay | OL: | Organic Loam |
| P: | Peat | SP: | Sandy Peat | LP: | Loamy Peat |
| PL: | Peaty Loam | PS: | Peaty Sand | MZ: | Marine Light Silts |

For the sand, loamy sand, sandy loam and sandy silt loam classes, the predominant size of sand fraction will be indicated by the use of the following prefixes:-

F: Fine (more than 66% of the sand less than 0.2mm)

M: Medium (less than 66% fine sand and less than 33% coarse sand)

C: Coarse (more than 33% of the sand larger than 0.6mm)

The clay loam and silty clay loam classes will be sub-divided according to the clay content: M: Medium (< 27% clay) H: heavy (27 - 35% clay)

MOTTLE COL: Mottle colour using Munsell notation.

MOTTLE ABUN: Mottle abundance, expressed as a percentage of the matrix or surface described.

F: few <2% C: common 2 - 20% M: many 20 - 40% VM: very many 40%+

MOTTLE CONT: Mottle contrast

F: faint - indistinct mottles, evident only on close inspection

D: distinct - mottles are readily seen

P: Prominent - mottling is conspicuous and one of the outstanding features of the horizon.

PED. COL: Ped face colour using Munsell notation.

GLEY: If the soil horizon is gleyed a 'Y' will appear in this column. If slightly

gleyed, an 'S' will appear.

STONE LITH: Stone Lithology - One of the following is used.

ST:

Topsoil Stoniness .

HR: All hard rocks and stones SLST: Soft colitic or dolimitic limestone

CH: Chalk FSST: Soft, fine grained sandstone

ZR: Soft, argillaceous, or silty rocks GH: Gravel with non-porous (hard) stones

MSST: Soft, medium grained sandstone GS: Gravel with porous (soft) stones

SI: Soft weathered igneous or metamorphic rock

Stone contents are given in % by volume for sizes >2cm, >6cm and total stone >2mm.

STRUCT: The degree of development, size and shape of soil peds are described

using the following notation

Degree of development WK: Weakly developed MD: Moderately developed

ST: Strongly developed

Ped size F: Fine M: Medium

C: Coarse VC: Very coarse

Ped Shape S: Single grain M: Massive

GR: Granular AB: Angular blocky

SAB: Sub-angular blocky PR: Prismatic

PL: Platy

CONSIST: Soil consistence is described using the following notation:

L: Loose VF: Very Friable FR: Friable FM: Firm

VM: Very firm EM: Extremely firm EH: Extremely Hard

SUBS STR: Subsoil structural condition recorded for the purpose of calculating

profile droughtiness: G: Good M: Moderate P: Poor

POR: Soil porosity. If a soil horizon has poor porosity with less than 0.5% biopores

>0.5mm, a 'Y' will appear in this column.

IMP: If the profile is impenetrable to rooting a 'Y' will appear in this column at the

appropriate horizon.

SPL: Slowly permeable layer. If the soil horizon is slowly permeable a 'Y' will

appear in this column.

CALC: If the soil horizon is calcareous with naturally occurring calcium

carbonate exceeding 1% a 'Y' will appear this column.

2. Additional terms and abbreviations used mainly in soil pit descriptions.

STONE ASSESSMENT:

VIS: Visual S: Sieve D: Displacement

MOTTLE SIZE:

EF: Extremely fine <1mm M: Medium 5-15mm VF: Very fine 1-2mm> C: Coarse >15mm

F: Fine 2-5mm

MOTTLE COLOUR: May be described by Munsell notation or as ochreous

(OM) or grey (GM).

ROOT CHANNELS: In topsoil the presence of 'rusty root channels' should

also be noted.

MANGANESE CONCRETIONS: Assessed by volume

N: None M: Many 20-40% F: Few <2% VM: Very Many >40%

C: Common 2-20%

STRUCTURE: Ped Development *

WA: Weakly adherentW: Moderately developedW: Strongly developed

POROSITY:

P: Poor - less than 0.5% biopores at least 0.5mm in diameter
G: Good - more than 0.5% biopores at least 0.5mm in diameter

ROOT ABUNDANCE:

The number of roots per 100cm²: Medium and Coarse Very Fine and Fine Few F: 1 or 2 1-10 2 - 5 10.25 C: Common M: 25-200 Many >5 A: Abundant >200

ROOT SIZE

VF: Very fine <1mm M: Medium 2 - 5mm F: Fine 1-2mm C: Coarse >5mm

HORIZON BOUNDARY DISTINCTNESS:

Sharp:

<0.5cm

Gradual: Diffuse:

6 - 13cm >13cm

Abrupt: Clear:

0.5 - 2.5cm 2.5 - 6cm

HORIZON BOUNDARY FORM: Smooth, wavy, irregular or broken.*

* See Soil Survey Field Handbook (Hodgson, 1974) for details.

RPT100JM

13

| SITE NA | ME | PRO | FILE NO. | SLO | PE AND AS | PECT | L | AND USE | | Av | / Rainfall: | 1042 m | m | PARENT MA | ATERIAL | |
|----------------------|--------------------------------|----------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|------------|----------------------------------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|-----------------------------------------------------|-----|---------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|
| Torringto | n | Pit 1 | (ASP21/9) | 2° S | | | P | GR | | FA | го: | 1472 da | y °C | Culm measur | res | |
| JOB NO. | | DAT | ΓE | GRID | REFERENC | E | DE | SCRIBED B | Υ | FCI | Days: | 213 | | SOIL SAMPL | E REFEREN | CES |
| 25.96 | | 17.9 | .96 | SS 503 | 322019 | | PB | 3 | ı | ! | natic Grade: osure Grade: | 1 | | PB402 | | |
| Horizon No. | Lowest Av. Depth (cm) | Texture | Matrix (Ped Face) Colours | Stoning Size, Ty Field N | ype, and | Mottling Abundance Contrast, Size and Colour | æ, | Mangan Concs | Structure: Ped Developme Size and Shape | | Consistence | Structural Condition | Pores (Fissures) | Roots: Abundance and Size | Calcium Carbonate Content | Horizon Boundary: Distinctness and form |
| 1 | 20 | MCL | 10YR43 | 5%HR(\ | ЛS) | 0 | _ | 0 | - | | - | • | <u>-</u> | MF,VF | - | Clear Smooth |
| 2 | 50 | HCL | 7.5YR54 | 10%HR | (VIS) | 0 | _ | F | MM, CSA | В | Fm | G | G | CVF | - | Grad. Smooth |
| 3 | 90+ | С | 7.5YR64 (7.5YR64) | 0 | | MDMO, 1 7.5YR58, | | F | MCSAE | 3 | Vm | М | P (G Fissures) | FVF | • | • |
| Profile G | leyed Fron | n: 50cm | | | Available | Water V | Vhea | nt: 14 | 47 mm | | | Final ALC | Grade: | 3a | | |
| Depth to Permeabl | e Horizon | : - п | | | Moisture I | | Potat Vhea | nt: 82 | 23 mm 2 mm | | · | Main Limit | ing Factor(| s): We | | |
| Wetness | Grade: | 3a | | | | 1 | Potat | toes: 68 | 8 mm | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | Moisture F | Balance V | Vhea | it: +1 | 65 mm | | | Remarks: | | | | |
| | | | | | | I | Potat | toes: + | 55 mm | | | - wiimii 1131 | | | | • |
| | | | | | Droughtin | ess Grade: | 1 | (Calc | ulated to 120 | cm) | | | | | | |

| SITE NA | ME | PRO | FILE NO. | SLOPE | AND ASP | ECT | LA | ND USE | | Av | Rainfall: | 1042 mm | | PARENT MA | TERIAL | |
|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------|----------------------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|----------------------------------------------------|-------|--------------|-------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|
| Torringto | n | Pit 2 | (ASP32) | 7° S | | | CE | ER | | AT | O: | 1472 day | °c | Culm: sandsto | ne | |
| JOB NO. | | DAT | E | GRID I | REFERENC | E | DE | ESCRIBED B | Y | FC: | Days: | 213 | | SOIL SAMPL | E REFEREN | CES |
| 25.96 | | 18.9 | .96 | SS 501 | 82001 | | PB | 3 | | 1 | matic Grade: | 1 1 | | PB403 | | |
| Horizon No. | Lowest Av. Depth (cm) | Texture | Matrix (Ped Face) Colours | Field M | pe, and lethod | Mottling Abundance Contrast, Size and Colour | æ, | Mangan Concs | Structure: Ped Developm Size and Shape | | Consistence | Structural Condition | Pores (Fissures) | Roots: Abundance and Size | Calcium Carbonate Content | Horizon Boundary: Distinctness and form |
| 1 | 22 | HCL | 10YR44 | 3%> 2cm 12%< 2c 15% HR | m | 0 | | o | • | | - | • | G | MF, VF | - | Grad. Smooth |
| 2 | 55 | HCL | 75YR54 | 20%> 2c 29%< 2c 49% HR | m | 0 | | 0 | MM, FSA | A.B | Fr | G | G | MF, VF | <u>-</u> | Grad. Wavy |
| 3 | 90+ | zc | 10YR64 | 25%> 2c 38%< 2c 63% ZR, | | FFFO 10YR56 | | 0 | Too stor | ny | - . | (M) | (G) | FVF | * | |
| Profile G | leyed Fron | n: - | | | Available | Water V | Whea | nt: 90 | 5 mm | | | Final ALC | Grade: | 3a | . | • |
| Depth to Permeabl Wetness | e Horizon | : - I | | | Moisture I | | Potat Whea | | 7 mm 2 mm | | | Main Limit | ing Factor(| s): Wk, | | |
| | | | | | | 1 | Potat | toes: 6 | 8 mm | | | | | | | |
| Wetness | Grade; | 3a | | | Moisture F | Balance V | Whea | at: + | 14 mm | | | | <u> </u> | | | |
| | | | | | | 1 | Potat | toes: + | 19 mm | | | Remarks: | | | | |
| | | | | i | Droughtin | ess Grade: 2 | 2 | (Calc | ulated to 10 | 0 cm) | | | | | | |

| SITE NA | ME | PRO | OFILE NO. | SLOPE | AND ASP | ECT | LAN | ID USE | | Av Rainfall: | | 1042 mm | | PARENT MA | TERIAL | |
|----------------------|--------------------------------|---------|------------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------|---------------------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|-----------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|---------------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|
| Torringto | n | Pit : | 3 (Asp 37) | 5°C | | | PGR | | | ATO: | | 1472 day | °C | Culm shale, sa | indstone | |
| JOB NO. | | DA | ГЕ | GRID | REFERENC | Œ | DES | CRIBED B | Y | FC Days: | | 213 | | SOIL SAMPL | E REFEREN | CES |
| 25.96 | | 19.9 | 9.96 | SS 508 | 72000 | | РВ | | | Climatic Grad Exposure Gra | | 1 | | PB 404 | | |
| Horizon No. | Lowest Av. Depth (cm) | Texture | Matrix (Ped Face) Colours | Stoning Size, Ty Field N | pe, and | Mottling Abundanc Contrast, Size and Colour | | Mangan Concs | Structure: Ped Developme Size and Shape | | | Structural Condition | Pores (Fissures) | Roots: Abundance and Size | Calcium Carbonate Content | Horizon Boundary: Distinctness and form |
| 1 | 18 | MCL | 10YR53 | o | | CDFO 75YR58 | | 0 | - | - | | • | • | MF, VF | - | Grad. Smooth |
| 2 | 32 | HCL | 10YR53 | 2% HR (| VIS) | CDFO 10YR58 | | 0 | MC, MSA | ß Fr | | М | G | CVF | • | Grad. Smooth |
| 3 | 48 | zc | 10YR63 | 5% HR (| VIS) | MDMO 10YR58 | | F | MCPr | Fm | | P | P | FVF | - | - |
| 4 | 76+ | ZC | 10YR71 | 10%SLS | T, HR (VIS) | MDCO 10YR58 | | 0 | WCPr | Fm | | P | P | FVF | - | - |
| Profile G | leyed Fron | n: 0cm | | | Available | Water W | Vheat: | 1: | 24 mm | | | Final ALC | Grade: | 3b | | |
| Depth to Permeabl | Slowly e Horizon | : 32cm | | | Moisture I | | Potatoe Vheat: | | 01 mm 2 mm | | | Main Limit | ing Factor(| s): We | | |
| Wetness | | IV | | | | F | Potatoe | es: 68 | 3 mm | | | | | | | |
| Wetness | Grade: | 3b | | | Moisture I | Balance W | Vheat: | + | 42 mm | | - | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | |
| | | | | | | F | Potatoe | es: +: | 33 mm | | | Remarks: | | | | |
| | | | | | Droughtin | ess Grade: | 1 | (Calc | ulated to 120 | cm) | | | | | | |

| SITE NA | ME | PR | OFILE NO. | SLOPE | AND ASP | ECT | LAND U | JSE | | Av Rainfall: | 1042 mn | 1 | PARENT MA | TERIAL | - |
|-----------------|--------------------------------|---------|------------------------------------|--------------------------------|------------|----------------------------------------------|--------------------|-----------|-----------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|
| Torringto | on | Pit | 4 (Asp 74) | 2° S | | | PGR | | | ATO: | 1472 day | ·°C | Culm shale | | |
| JOB NO. | | DA | ATE | GRID | REFERENC | Œ | DESCRI | BED BY | Y | FC Days: | 213 | | SOIL SAMPL | E REFEREN | CES |
| 25.96 | | 20. | .9.96 | SS 508 | 91942 | | PB | | | Climatic Grade: Exposure Grade; | 1 | : | PB 405 | | |
| Horizon No. | Lowest Av. Depth (cm) | Texture | Matrix (Ped Face) Colours | Stoning Size, Ty Field N | pe, and | Mottling Abundance Contrast, Size and Colour | e, Mang Conc | gan cs | Structure: Ped Developme Size and Shape | | Structural Condition | Pores (Fissures) | Roots: Abundance and Size | Calcium Carbonate Content | Horizon Boundary: Distinctness and form |
| 1 | 18 | HCL | 10YR42 | 0 | | CRRC | (| 0 | • | - | - | | MF, VF | - | Grad. Smooth |
| 2 | 35 | HCL | 10YR53 | 5% HR (| VIS) | CFFO 10YR56 | | 0 | MM, FSA | .B Fm | G | G | MF, VF | • | Clear Smooth |
| 3 | 75 | ZC | 10YR72 (2.5Y72) | 0 | | MDMO 10YR58 | | F | MCPr | Vm | P | P (few) | CVF | - | Grad Wavy |
| 4 | 85+ | zc | N6/ | 50%ZR (| VIS) | CDMO 10YR58 | | F | Massive w | i i | Р | P | FVF | - | _ |
| Profile G | leyed Fron | n: 0 | | | Available | Water W | /heat: | 119 | 9 mm | | Final ALC | Grade: | 4 | | |
| | e Horizon | | n | | Moisture l | | otatoes: Theat: | | 0 mm mm | | Main Limit | ing Factor(| s): We | | |
| Wetness Wetness | | IV 4 | | | | P | otatoes: | 68 | mm | | | | | | |
| **CH1033 | Jiauc. | ₹ | | | Moisture l | Balance W | /heat: | +3 | 7 mm | | Remarks: | | | • | |
| | | | | | | P | otatoes: | +4: | 2 mm | | Tollians. | | | | |
| | | | | | Droughtin | ess Grade: 1 | ı | (Calcui | lated to 100 | cm) | | | | | |

| SITE NA | ME | | OFILE NO. 5 (Asp | SLOPE | AND ASP | ECT | LAN | ID USE | | Av R | Lainfall: | 1025 mm | | PARENT MA | TERIAL | |
|---------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------|----------------------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------------------------------------|-------|--------------|-------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|
| Torringto | n | | 3 (Asp (102) | 4° SW | | Œ | PGR | L | | АТО | | 1518 day | °C | Culm: hard sh | nale, sandstor | ne |
| JOB NO. | | DA | TE | GRID | REFERENC | | DES | CRIBED E | BY · | FCC | Days: | 211 | | SOIL SAMPL | E REFEREN | CES |
| 25.96 | | 24 | 9.96 | SS508 | 71894 | | РВ | | | | natic Grade: | 1 | | PB406 | | |
| Horizon No. | Lowest Av. Depth (cm) | Texture | Matrix (Ped Face) Colours | Field N | ype, and Method | Mottling Abundance Contrast, Size and Colour | | Mangan Concs | Structure: Ped Developm Size and Shape | | Consistence | Structural Condition | Pores (Fissures) | Roots: Abundance and Size | Calcium Carbonate Content | Horizon Boundary: Distinctness and form |
| 1 | 15 | MCL | 10YR43 | 2%>2cm 11%<2cm 13% HR | m | CRRC | , | 0 | - | į | - | - | - | MF, VF | - | Clear Smooth |
| 2 | 32 | HZCL | 10YR44 | 3%>2cm 11%<2cm 14% HR | m | О | | o | MM, FSA | AΒ | Fr | G | G | MVF | <u>-</u> | Clear Smooth |
| 3 | 65 | HZCL | 10YR54 | 55%>2a 21%<2a 76% HR | n | 0 | | o | Too ston | ıy | - | (M) | G | CVF | - | Grad. Smooth |
| 4 | 80+ | ZC | 10YR66 64 | 55%>2c 21%<2c 76% HR | n | FDFO 10YR58 | | O | Too ston | ıy | - | (M) | G | FVF | - | - |
| Profile G | leyed Froi | m: - | | | Available | Water V | Wheat: | 7 | 6 mm | | | Final ALC | Grade: | 3a | | - |
| Permeabl Wetness | epth to Slowly crmeable Horizon: detness Class: I | | | | | Deficit V | Potatoo Wheat: Potatoo | ; 8 | 3 mm 2 mm 8 mm | | | Main Limit | iing Factor(| s): Dr | | |
| Wetness | Grade: | 3 a | | | Moisture | | Wheat: Potatoo | | 6 mm -5 mm | | | Remarks: | TS to 25c | m PSD = MCL | | |
| | | | | | Droughtin | ness Grade: 3 | 3a | (Calc | culated to 10 | 0 cm) | | | | | | • |

| SITE NA | ME | PRC | FILE NO. | SLOPE | AND ASPI | ECT | LA | ND USE | ~ | A | v Rainfall: | 1025 mm | l | PARENT MA | TERIAL | |
|----------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------|----------|--------------|--------------------------------|------------|----------------------------------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|----------------------------------------------------|---------|----------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|
| Torringto | n | Pit 6 | (Asp 96) | 3° NW | | | Cei | r | | A' | TO: | 1518 day | °C | Culm: sandsto | ne | |
| JOB NO. | <u> </u> | DA | TE | GRID F | REFERENC | E | DE | SCRIBED B | Y | FO | C Days: | 211 | | SOIL SAMPL | E REFEREN | CES |
| 25.96 | | 25.9 | .96 | SS4905 | 1887 | ! | PB | } | | 1 | limatic Grade: | 1 | | PB407 | | |
| Horizon No. | Av. Texture (Ped Size, Face) Field (cm) Colours | | | Stonine Size, Ty Field M | pe, and | Mottling Abundance Contrast, Size and Colour | æ, | Mangan Concs | Structure: Ped Developm Size and Shape | | Consistence | Structural Condition | Pores (Fissures) | Roots: Abundance and Size | Calcium Carbonate Content | Horizon Boundary: Distinctness and form |
| 1(P) | 20 | MCL | 10YR43 | 5%>2cm 7%<2cm 12% HR | (S+D) | 0 | | 0 | - | | - | - | - | MF | _ | Absmooth |
| 2 | 31 | HCL | 10YR43 | As H1 | | 0 | [| 0 | MC, MS | AB | Fr | М | G | MF | _ | Clear Smooth |
| 3 | 43 | С | 10YR44 | 12%>2cm 18%<2cm 30% HR | n | 0 | | 0 | MM, FS | AB | Fr | G | G | MVF | , <u>-</u> | Clear Smooth |
| 4 | 60 | С | 10YR66 74 | As H5 | | CDFO 10YR58 | | С | WM, FA (Det by stones) | y | Fr | М | (G) | FVF | - | Grad Smooth |
| 5 | 82+ | С | 10YR66 | 30%>2cm 26%<2cm 56% HR/ | | FDFO 10YR58 | | F | WM, FA (Det by | AB y | Fr | М | (G) | FVF | <u>-</u> | • |
| Profile G | leyed Froi | n: 43-60 |)cm | | Available | Water V | Vhea | nt: 9 | 0 mm | | | Final ALC | Grade: | 3a | | |
| Permeabl | ofile Gleyed From: 43-60cm epth to Slowly ermeable Horizon: - | | | | Moisture I | | Potat Whea | | 6 mm 2 mm | | | Main Limiting Factor(s): We | | | | |
| Wetness | Class: | II | • | | | I | Potat | toes: 6 | 8 mm | | | | | | | |
| Wetness | Grade: | 3a | | | Moisture I | Balance V | Whea | nt: + | 8 mm | | | , | ·- | | - | |
| | | | | | | I | Potat | toes: + | 18 mm | | | 1 | | rline to SPL = HCL/MCL | | |
| | | | | | Droughtin | ess Grade: 2 | 2 | (Calc | ulated to 10 | 90 cr | n) | | | | | |