8FCS 8180A

Wickwar

Agricultural Land Classification

February 1997

Resource Planning Team Bristol FRCA Western Region Job Number 4/97 Commission 1316 MAFF Reference EL 34/1208



WICKWAR

AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION SURVEY

CO	N	LE.	N	ГS

		Page
INTRODUCTIO	N .	1
SUMMARY		1
CLIMATE		2
RELIEF		2
GEOLOGY ANI	SOILS	2
AGRICULTURA	3	
REFERENCES		5
APPENDIX I	Description of the Grades and Subgrades	6
APPENDIX II	Definition of Soil Wetness Classes	8
APPENDIX III	Survey Data:	9
	Sample Point Location Map	,
	Pit Descriptions	
	Boring Profile Data	
	Boring Horizon Data	
	Abbreviations and Terms used	in Survey Data

WICKWAR

AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION SURVEY

INTRODUCTION

- 1. This report presents the findings of a semi-detailed Agricultural Land Classification (ALC) survey of 62 ha of land at Wickwar. Field survey was based on 31 auger borings and 1 soil profile pit, and was completed in January 1997.
- 2. The survey was conducted by the Resource Planning Team of FRCA Western Region (formerly ADAS Taunton Statutory Group) on behalf of MAFF in its statutory role in the preparation of South Gloucestershire Plan.
- 3. Information on climate, geology and soils, and from previous ALC surveys was considered and is presented in the relevant section. Apart from the published regional ALC map (MAFF, 1977), which shows the site at a reconnaissance scale as Grade 3, the site was previously surveyed in 1987 at a scale of 1:6 000 (ADAS, 1987). However, the current survey uses the Revised Guidelines and Criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land (MAFF, 1988) and supersedes any previous ALC survey. Grade descriptions are summarised in Appendix I.
- 4. At the time of survey land cover was primarily grassland with a small area of arable. Other land includes residential areas and a playing field.

SUMMARY

5. The distribution of ALC grades is shown on the accompanying 1:20 000 scale ALC map. The detail of information shown at this scale is appropriate to the intensity of field survey but could be misleading if enlarged or applied to small areas. Areas are summarised in Table 1.

Table 1: Distribution of ALC grades: Wickwar

Grade	Area (ha)	% Surveyed Area (55 ha			
3a	3	5			
3a 3b	31	56			
4	21	39			
Other land	7				
Total site area	62				

6. Only 5% of the agricultural land surveyed is "best and most versatile". Over half the site has shallow stony soils which experience a moderate droughtiness limitation, Subgrade 3b. The eastern half of the site has poorly drained soils with a severe wetness limitation, Grade 4.

CLIMATE

- 7. Estimates of climatic variables for this site were derived from the published agricultural climate dataset "Climatological Data for Agricultural Land Classification" (Meteorological Office, 1989) using standard interpolation procedures. Data for key points around the site are given in Table 2 below.
- 8. Since the ALC grade of land is determined by the most limiting factor present, overall climate is considered first because it can have an overriding influence by restricting land to a lower grade despite more favourable site and soil conditions. Parameters used for assessing overall climate are accumulated temperature, a measure of relative warmth and average annual rainfall, a measure of overall wetness. The results shown in Table 2 indicate that there is no overall climatic limitation
- 9. Climatic variables also affect ALC grade through interactions with soil conditions. The most important interactive variables are Field Capacity Days (FCD) which are used in assessing soil wetness and potential Moisture Deficits calculated for wheat and potatoes, which are compared with the moisture available in each profile in assessing soil droughtiness limitations. These are described in later sections.

Table 2: Climatic Interpolations: Wickwar

Grid Reference	ST 723 873	ST 722 884
Altitude (m)	90	75
Accumulated Temperature (day °C)	1437	1454
Average Annual Rainfall (mm)	816	818
Overall Climatic Grade	1	1
Field Capacity Days	182	183
Moisture deficit (mm): Wheat	92	94
Potatoes	81	83

RELIEF

10. Altitude ranges from 75 metres at the northern end of the site to 90 metres in the south with gentle slopes not exceeding 7° even west of the Buthay.

GEOLOGY AND SOILS

11. The underlying geology of the site is shown on the published geology map (IGS, 1970). The area has a complex geology of Carboniferous limestones and shales. There is some Tintern Group Sandstone underlying Wickwar itself, with Triassic Rhaetic clay also mapped in the southern half of the site. Poorly drained clays were found developed over the parent clays and shallow soils over the Carboniferous limestones.

2

- 12. Soils were mapped by the Soil Survey of England and Wales at a reconnaissance scale of 1:250 000 (SSEW, 1983). More detailed soils information is also available in the 1:63 360 scale surveys of the Southern Cotswolds and Malmesbury and Bath areas (SSEW, 1983; 1974).
- 13. These maps show the southern and eastern parts of the site are mapped as poorly drained clayey soils from the Denchworth and Milbury Heath Complex Series. The Western half has soils from the Lulsgate Series which are shallow and well drained soils over limestone. A band of undifferentiated soils is mapped north south in the middle of the site.
- 14. The soils found during the recent survey reflect the mapped soils although the exact boundaries were slightly different. The best soils found were in the area of undifferentiated soils which were found to be better drained than indicated.

AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION

15. The distribution of ALC grades found by the current survey is shown on the accompanying 1:20 000 scale map and areas are summarised in Table 1. The detail of information shown at this scale is appropriate to the intensity of field survey but could be misleading if enlarged or applied to small areas.

Subgrade 3a

16. A small area in the valley bottom of good quality land has been mapped. Heavy clay loam topsoils lie over well-drained clays. The soils are assessed as Wetness Class I (See Appendix II). These soils have a moderate workability limitation imposed by the topsoil texture and prevailing field capacity days.

Subgrade 3b

17. Over half of the site has moderate quality land, Subgrade 3b. These soils are developed over Carboniferous limestone and have a moderate droughtiness limitation. The soils were impenetrable to the auger at 25-40 cm. A soil profile pit was dug in this area to assess the subsoil and stone content of the profile. This pit showed 11% hard limestone in the topsoil (2% > 2 cm) and fractured parent material in the subsoil with little soil. At the pit site a depth limitation was also found in addition to a moderate droughtiness limitation, both limiting the profile to Subgrade 3b. The soils showed no evidence of a wetness limitation and are assessed as Wetness Class I. Within this mapping unit there are occasional Subgrade 3a and Grade 4 borings which at the scale of mapping are not mapped as a separate unit.

Grade 4

18. The eastern part of the site is mapped as poor quality land, Grade 4. These soils are developed over clays and are poorly drained. The heavy clay loam topsoils lie over slowly permeable clays and are assessed as Wetness Class IV. These areas were previously mapped as Subgrade 3c (ADAS, 1987), but the Revised Guidelines and interpolated climate data impose a slightly more severe wetness assessment on the same soils. If the Field Capacity Days had been slightly lower (175 or lower) then these soils would be Subgrade 3b.

G M Shaw Resource Planning Team FRCA Bristol February 1997

REFERENCES

ADAS RESOURCE PLANNING TEAM, (1987) Agricultural Land Classification Survey of Wickwar. Scale 1: 6 000, Reference 52, ADAS Bristol.

INSTITUTE OF GEOLOGICAL SCIENCES (1970) Sheet 251, Malmesbury 1:63 360 series Solid and Drift edition. IGS, London.

HODGSON, J M (Ed) (1974) Soil Survey Field Handbook, Technical Monograph No 5. Soil Survey of England and Wales, Harpenden.

HODGSON, J M (In preparation) Soil Survey Field Handbook, Revised edition.

MAFF (1977) 1:250 000 series Agricultural Land Classification, South West Region. MAFF Publications, Alnwick.

MAFF (1988) Agricultural Land Classification of England and Wales. Revised Guidelines and Criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land. MAFF Publications, Alnwick.

METEOROLOGICAL OFFICE (1989) Climatological Data for Agricultural Land Classification. Meteorological Office, Bracknell.

SOIL SURVEY OF ENGLAND AND WALES (1983) Sheet 5, Soils of South West England, 1:250 000 scale. SSEW, Harpenden.

SOIL SURVEY OF ENGLAND AND WALES (1984) Soils and Their Use in South West England, Bulletin No 14. SSEW, Harpenden.

SOIL SURVEY OF ENGLAND AND WALES (1974) 1:63 360 scale Malmosbury and Bath SSEW, Harpenden.

SOIL SURVEY OF ENGLAND AND WALES (1983) 1:63 360 scale Southern Cotswolds SSEW, Harpenden.

5

APPENDIX 1

DESCRIPTION OF GRADES AND SUBGRADES

Grade 1 - excellent quality agricultural land

Land with no or very minor limitations to agricultural use. A very wide range of agricultural and horticultural crops can be grown and commonly include top fruit, soft fruit, salad crops and winter harvested vegetables. Yields are high and less variable than on land of lower quality.

Grade 2 - very good quality agricultural land

Land with minor limitations which affect crop yield, cultivations or harvesting. A wide range of agricultural and horticultural crops can usually be grown but on some land in the grade there may be reduced flexibility due to difficulties with the production of the more demanding crops such as winter harvested vegetables and arable root crops. The level of yield is generally high but may be lower or more variable than Grade 1.

Grade 3 - good to moderate quality agricultural land

Land with moderate limitations which affect the choice of crops, timing and type of cultivation, harvesting or the level of yield. Where more demanding crops are grown yields are generally lower or more variable than on land in Grades 1 and 2.

Subgrade 3a - good quality agricultural land

Land capable of consistently producing moderate to high yields of a narrow range of arable crops, especially cereals, or moderate yields of a wide range of crops including cereals, grass, oilseed rape, potatoes, sugar beet and the less demanding horticultural crops.

Subgrade 3b - moderate quality agricultural land

Land capable of producing moderate yields of a narrow range of crops, principally cereals and grass, or lower yields of a wider range of crops or high yields of grass which can be grazed or harvested over most of the year.

Grade 4 - poor quality agricultural land

Land with severe limitations which significantly restrict the range of crops and/or level of yields. It is mainly suited to grass with occasional arable crops (eg cereals and forage crops) the yields of which are variable. In most climates, yields of grass may be moderate to high but there may be difficulties in utilisation. The grade also includes very droughty arable land.

Grade 5 - very poor quality agricultural land

Land with very severe limitations which restrict use to permanent pasture or rough grazing, except for occasional pioneer forage crops.

Source: MAFF (1988) Agricultural Land Classification of England and Wales Revised Guidelines and Criteria for Grading the Quality of Agricultural Land, MAFF Publications, Alnwick.

APPENDIX II

DEFINITION OF SOIL WETNESS CLASSES

Soil wetness is classified according to the depth and duration of waterlogging in the soil profile.

Wetness Class I

The soil profile is not wet within 70 cm depth for more than 30 days in most years.

Wetness Class II

The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for 31-90 days in most years or, if there is no slowly permeable layer within 80 cm depth, it is wet within 70 cm for more than 90 days, but not wet within 40 cm depth for more than 30 days in most years.

Wetness Class III

The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for 91-180 days in most years or, if there is no slowly permeable layer within 80 cm depth, it is wet within 70 cm for more than 180 days, but only wet within 40 cm depth for between 31 and 90 days in most years.

Wetness Class IV

The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for more than 180 days but not within 40 cm depth for more than 210 days in most years or, if there is no slowly permeable layer within 80 cm depth, it is wet within 40 cm depth for 91-210 days in most years.

Wetness Class V

The soil profile is wet within 40 cm depth for 211-335 days in most years.

Wetness Class VI

The soil profile is wet within 40 cm depth for more than 335 days in most years.

Notes: The number of days specified is not necessarily a continuous period.

'In most years' is defined as more than 10 out of 20 years.

Source: Hodgson, J M (In preparation) Soil Survey Field Handbook, Revised Edition.

APPENDIX III

ABBREVIATIONS AND TERMS USED IN SURVEY DATA

Soil pit and auger boring information collected during ALC survey is held on a computer database and is reproduced in this report. Terms used and abbreviations are set out below. These conform to definitions contained in the Soil Survey Field Handbook (Hodgson, 1974).

1. Terms used on computer database, in order of occurrence.

GRID REF: National 100 km grid square and 8 figure grid reference.

LAND USE: At the time of survey

WHT:	Wheat	SBT:	Sugar Beet	HTH:	Heathland
BAR:	Barley	BRA:	Brassicas	BOG:	Bog or Marsh
OAT:	Oats	FCD:	Fodder Crops	DCW:	Deciduous Wood
CER:	Cereals	FRT:	Soft and Top Fruit	CFW:	Coniferous Woodland
MZE:	Maize	HRT:	Horticultural Crops	PLO:	Ploughed
OSR:	Oilseed Rape	LEY:	Ley Grass	FLW:	Fallow (inc. Set aside)
POT:	Potatoes	PGR:	Permanent Pasture	SAS:	Set Aside (where known)
LIN:	Linseed	RGR :	Rough Grazing	OTH:	Other
BEN:	Field Beans	SCR:	Scrub		

GRDNT: Gradient as estimated or measured by hand-held optical clinometer.

GLEY, SPL: Depth in centimetres to gleying or slowly permeable layer.

AP (WHEAT/POTS): Crop-adjusted available water capacity.

MB (WHEAT/POTS): Moisture Balance. (Crop adjusted AP - crop potential

MD)

DRT: Best grade according to soil droughtiness.

If any of the following factors are considered significant, 'Y' will be entered in the relevant column.

MREL: Microrelief limitation FLOOD: Flood risk EROSN: Soil erosion risk EXP: Exposure limitation FROST: Frost prone DIST: Disturbed land

CHEM: Chemical limitation

LIMIT: The main limitation to land quality: The following abbreviations are used.

Overall Climate OC: AE: Aspect Exposure EX: Frost Risk Gradient Microrelief FR: GR: MR: FL: Flood Risk TX: Topsoil Texture DP: Soil Depth

CH: Chemical WE: Wetness WK: Workability

DR: Drought ER: Erosion Risk WD: Soil Wetness/Droughtiness

ST: Topsoil Stoniness

TEXTURE: Soil texture classes are denoted by the following abbreviations:-

S: Sand LS: Loamy Sand SL: Sandy Loam Clay Loam Sandy Silt Loam **ZCL** Silty Clay Loam SZL: CL: Sandy Clay Loam C: Silt Loam SCL: Clav ZL: Silty clay SC: Sandy clay OL: Organic Loam ZC: Peat Sandy Peat LP: Loamy Peat P: SP: Peaty Sand PL; Peaty Loam PS: MZ: Marine Light Silts

For the sand, loamy sand, sandy loam and sandy silt loam classes, the predominant size of sand fraction will be indicated by the use of the following prefixes:-

F: Fine (more than 66% of the sand less than 0.2mm)

M: Medium (less than 66% fine sand and less than 33% coarse sand)

C: Coarse (more than 33% of the sand larger than 0.6mm)

The clay loam and silty clay loam classes will be sub-divided according to the clay content: M: Medium (< 27% clay) H: heavy (27 - 35% clay)

MOTTLE COL: Mottle colour using Munsell notation.

MOTTLE ABUN: Mottle abundance, expressed as a percentage of the matrix or surface described.

F: few <2% C: common 2 - 20% M: many 20 - 40% VM: very many 40%+

MOTTLE CONT: Mottle contrast

F: faint - indistinct mottles, evident only on close inspection

D: distinct - mottles are readily seen

P: Prominent - mottling is conspicuous and one of the outstanding features of the horizon.

PED. COL: Ped face colour using Munsell notation.

GLEY: If the soil horizon is gleyed a 'Y' will appear in this column. If slightly

gleyed, an 'S' will appear.

STONE LITH: Stone Lithology - One of the following is used.

HR: All hard rocks and stones SLST: Soft oolitic or dolimitic limestone

CH: Chalk FSST: Soft, fine grained sandstone

ZR: Soft, argillaceous, or silty rocks **GH:** Gravel with non-porous (hard) stones

MSST: Soft, medium grained sandstone GS: Gravel with porous (soft) stones

SI: Soft weathered igneous or metamorphic rock

Stone contents are given in % by volume for sizes >2cm, >6cm and total stone >2mm.

STRUCT: The degree of development, size and shape of soil peds are described using the following notation

Degree of development WK: Weakly developed MD: Moderately developed Strongly developed ST: Ped size F: Fine M: Medium C: Coarse VC: Very coarse S:

Ped ShapeS:Single grainM:MassiveGR:GranularAB:Angular blocky

SAB: Sub-angular blocky PR: Prismatic

PL: Platy

CONSIST: Soil consistence is described using the following notation:

L: Loose VF: Very Friable FR: Friable FM: Firm VM: Very firm EM: Extremely firm EH: Extremely Hard

SUBS STR: Subsoil structural condition recorded for the purpose of calculating profile droughtiness: G: Good M: Moderate P: Poor

POR: Soil porosity. If a soil horizon has poor porosity with less than 0.5% biopores >0.5mm, a 'Y' will appear in this column.

IMP: If the profile is impenetrable to rooting a 'Y' will appear in this column at the appropriate horizon.

SPL: Slowly permeable layer. If the soil horizon is slowly permeable a 'Y' will appear in this column.

CALC: If the soil horizon is calcareous with naturally occurring calcium carbonate exceeding 1% a 'Y' will appear this column.

2. Additional terms and abbreviations used mainly in soil pit descriptions.

STONE ASSESSMENT:

VIS: Visual S: Sieve D: Displacement

MOTTLE SIZE:

EF: Extremely fine <1mm M: Medium 5-15mm VF: Very fine 1-2mm> C: Coarse >15mm

F: Fine 2-5mm

MOTTLE COLOUR: May be described by Munsell notation or as ochreous

(OM) or grey (GM).

ROOT CHANNELS:

In topsoil the presence of 'rusty root channels' should

also be noted.

MANGANESE CONCRETIONS: Assessed by volume

N: M: 20-40% None Many <2% F: Few VM: Very Many >40%

C: Common 2-20%

STRUCTURE: Ped Development *

Weakly adherent M: Moderately developed WA: W: Weakly developed S: Strongly developed

POROSITY:

P: Poor - less than 0.5% biopores at least 0.5mm in diameter G: Good - more than 0.5% biopores at least 0.5mm in diameter

ROOT ABUNDANCE:

The number of roots per 100cm²: Very Fine and Fine Medium and Coarse 1-10 1 or 2 F: Few Common 10.25 2 - 5 C: M: Many 25-200 >5 >200

Abundant **A**:

ROOT SIZE

Very fine Medium 2 - 5mm VF: <1mm M: >5mm F: Fine 1-2mm C: Coarse

HORIZON BOUNDARY DISTINCTNESS:

<0.5cm Gradual: 6 - 13cm Sharp: 0.5 - 2.5cm Diffuse: >13cm Abrupt:

Clear: 2.5 - 6cm

HORIZON BOUNDARY FORM: Smooth, wavy, irregular or broken.*

* See Soil Survey Field Handbook (Hodgson, 1974) for details.

SITE NAME PROFILE NO. SLOPE		E AND ASPECT		LA	LAND USE		Av Rainfall:		818 mm		PARENT MATERIAL							
Wickwar		Pit	1 (ASP 7)	00			PG	iR		ATO:		1454 day °C		1454 day °C Carboniferous Lim		Carboniferous Limestone PSD SAMPLES TAKEN -		
JOB NO.		DA	TE	GRID I	REFERENC	EE	DE	ESCRIBED B	Y	FC Da	ays:	183						
4/97		23/	1/97	ST 721	0 8815		PR	w/GMS		1	tic Grade:	1						
Horizon No.	Lowest Av. Depth (cm)	Texture	Matrix (Ped Face) Colours	Field M	pe, and Contrast,		ce,	Mangan Concs	Structure: Ped Developme Size and Shape	1		Structural Condition	Pores (Fissures)	Roots: Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary: Distinctness and form		
1	25	HZCL	10YR32	2% > 2cr 9% > 2m 11% HR Sieved	m	None		None	-		-	М	М	MF + VF	Yes	Abrupt smooth		
2	80	HCL	7.5YR43	90% HR Fractured	90% HR Fractured None		None N		NA		-	М	М	FVF in fracturing	Yes	-		
																		
	leyed Fron	n: Not (Gleyed		Available		Vhear					Final ALC	Grade:	3b				
Depth to Slowly Permeable Horizon: No SPL				Potatoes: 61 mm Moisture Deficit Wheat: 94 mm					Main Limiting Factor(s): Depth, Droughtiness									
Wetness		I				F	Potate	oes: 83 m	ım									
Wetness Grade: 3a				Moisture Balance Wheat: -34 mm					Re		Remarks:							
					Potatoes: -22 mm													
Droughtiness				Proughtiness Grade: 3b (Calculated to 80 cm)														