Land South of Myton

Agricultural Land Classification

February 1998

Resource Planning Team Bristol FRCA Western Region Job Number 78/97

MAFF Ref EL 43/09792



LAND SOUTH OF MYTON

AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION SURVEY

CONTENTS

-

-

Page

INTRODUCTIO	N	1
SUMMARY		1
CLIMATE		2
RELIEF		3
GEOLOGY ANI) SOILS	3
AGRICULTURA	AL LAND CLASSIFICATION AND MAP	4
REFERENCES		6
APPENDIX I	Description of the Grades and Subgrades	7
APPENDIX II	Definition of Soil Wetness Classes	9
APPENDIX III	Survey Data	10
	Sample Point Location Map	
	Pit Descriptions	
	Boring Profile Data	
	Boring Horizon Data	
	Abbreviations and Terms use	d in Survey Data

LAND SOUTH OF MYTON

AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION SURVEY

INTRODUCTION

- 1 This report presents the findings of a detailed Agricultural Land Classification (ALC) survey of 1877 ha of land south of Myton Warwick Field survey was based on 162 auger borings and 9 soil profile pits and was completed in January 1998 During the survey 8 samples were analysed for particle size distribution (PSD)
- 2 The survey was conducted by the Resource Planning Team of FRCA Western Region on behalf of MAFF in its statutory role in the preparation of the Warwickshire Structure Plan
- 3 Information on climate geology and soils and from previous ALC surveys was considered and is presented in the relevant section Apart from the published regional ALC map (MAFF 1977) which shows the site at a reconnaissance scale as grade 2 and 3 the site had not been surveyed previously However the current survey uses the Revised Guidelines and Criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land (MAFF 1988) and supersedes any previous ALC survey Grade descriptions are summarised in Appendix I
- 4 A survey (ADAS 1989) was undertaken on an area of land adjacent to the present site using the Revised Guidelines and creteria for Grading the Quality of Agricultural Land (MAFF 1988) This survey at Heathcote Home Farm shows Grade 2 and Subgrade 3a land north of Lower Heathcote Farm and the sewage works and Subgrade 3b land along the A452 and north of Grove Farm Attention was paid to the grading of the land from this survey for the grading of land from the present survey
- 5 At the time of survey land cover was cereal oilseed rape beans and permanent grass An area of 6 4 ha of agricultural land within the survey area was not surveyed because access could not be obtained Other land which was not surveyed included residential development farm buildings highways and tracks woodland and open water

SUMMARY

6 The distribution of ALC grades is shown on the accompanying 1 10000 scale ALC map The detail of information shown at this scale is appropriate to the intensity of field survey but could be misleading if enlarged or applied to small areas Areas are summarised in the Table 1

Grade			Area (ha)	% Surveyed Area (167 9ha)
12			10 5 83 1	6 0 47 7
2 3a 3b			51 3 23 0	29 4 13 2
Agricultural surveyed	land	not	64	
Other land Total site area			13 4 187 7	

Table 1Distribution of ALC gradesLand South Of Myton

Best and most versatile land occurs across the majority of the site small areas of subgrade 3b land occur as isolated patches where soils are heavy and limited by soil wetness Two small areas of land are limited in their agricultural use to subgrade 3b due to gradients of over 7° Grade 1 quality agricultural land occurs in the north of the site around Cottage Farm Here the soils have no limitations to their agricultural use Significant areas of Grade 2 land occur across the whole site generally on the higher land where soils are lighter and have a slight soil droughtiness limitation Subgrade 3a land is found largely in the centre and south of the site on the gently sloping land west and south of Lower Heathcote Farm and around Grove Farm These soils generally have moderate wetness limitations

CLIMATE

- 8 Estimates of climatic variables for this site were derived from the published agricultural climate dataset Climatological Data for Agricultural Land Classification (Meteorological Office 1989) using standard interpolation procedures Data for key points around the site are given in Table 2 below
- 9 Since the ALC grade of land is determined by the most limiting factor present overall climate is considered first because it can have an overriding influence by restricting land to a lower grade despite more favourable site and soil conditions Parameters used for assessing overall climate are accumulated temperature a measure of relative warmth and average annual rainfall a measure of overall wetness The results shown in Table 2 indicate that there is no overall climatic limitation
- 10 Climatic variables also affect ALC grade through interactions with soil conditions The most important interactive variables are Field Capacity Days (FCD) which are used in assessing soil wetness and potential Moisture Deficits calculated for wheat and potatoes which are compared with the moisture available in each profile in assessing soil droughtiness limitations These are described in later sections

Grid Reference	SP 303647	SP 307627	SP 312623	
Altıtude (m)		55	70	60
Accumulated Temperat	ure (day C)	1429	1413	1425
Average Annual Rainfa	-	636	613	621
Overall Climatic Grade		1	1	1
Field Capacity Days		139	136	134
Moisture deficit (mm)	Wheat	106	106	107
	Potatoes	98	97	100

Table 2 Climatic Interpolations Land south of Myton

RELIEF

11 Altitude ranges from 55 metres at Henry VIII farm in the north and along Tach Brook in the south to 72 metres west of the sewage works. The site is generally gently sloping although at two small areas west of the sewage works gradients of 9 10 were recorded

GEOLOGY AND SOILS

- 12 The underlying geology of the site is shown on the published geology map (BGS 1984) as comprising river terrace deposits on the higher flatter land with Triassic Mercia mudstone on the gently sloping land and alluvium along the valley bottoms In the recent survey lighter soils were found on the higher plateau land heavier soils which passed onto red clay on the slopes and clayey alluvial soils at the base of the slopes
- 13 Soils were mapped by the Soil Survey of England and Wales at a reconnaissance scale of 1 250 000 (SSEW 1983) as Wick 1 Association which is found mainly on the higher level River terraces together with the Norton Soil series Dunnington Heath Association is mapped on the gently sloping ground with Worcester series at the base of the slopes Alluvium and Brockhurst series soils are found in the valley bottoms
- 14 The Wick 1 Association and associated Norton series are described as having deep well drained coarse loamy profiles the latter occurring on the oldest terrace remnants Dunnington Heath Association soils are stagno gleyic brown earths these occur on Triassic mudstones and have coarse loamy upper horizons. The soils of the Worcester series are slowly permeable reddish clayey soils over mudstone. Soils of Brockhurst series and the alluvial soils are surface water gleys and described as fine loamy over clayey.

15 The majority of the soils in the present survey were found to closely follow the _ distribution described above soils_were lighter and better drained on the higher ground became heavier on the slopes and were found to be heavy over clayey at the base of the slopes and on the alluvium in the valley bottoms

AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION

16 The distribution of ALC grades found by the current survey is shown on the accompanying 1 10000 scale map and areas are summarised in Table 1 The detail of information shown at this scale is appropriate to the intensity of field survey but could be misleading if enlarged or applied to small areas

Grade 1

17 An area of excellent quality agricultural land was identified around Henry VII Farm and Cottage Farm The soils were described as having sandy loam topsoil textures overlying sandy clay loam subsoils occasionally to depth but generally reaching clay below 65cm A profile pit confirmed the soils were not droughty and have only a minor wetness limitation which places the soils into Wetness Class II (see Appendix II) with the light textured topsoils this soil wetness does not impose any limitation on the overall agricultural use of this land

Grade 2

- 19 A significant area of the site was found to be of very good quality notably the areas south west of Cottage Farm and around Heathcote Hill Farm land both east and west of lower Heathcote Farm the area around Grove Farm on the higher land and in the extreme east of the survey area a narrow strip of land north east of Grove Plantation This Grade 2 land can be differentiated on the basis of its soil textures and therefore its limiting factors
- 20 The area of land around and east of Heathcote Hill Farm and that west of Grove Farm both of which are the higher plateau areas have soils that are limited by soil droughtiness These soils were described as having medium sandy loam topsoil textures which overlay variable ordered subsoil horizons of loamy sand sandy clay loam sand loam and sand generally passing onto a stonier horizon at depths of around 90 cm Two profile pits confirmed that the soils had no wetness limitation but that the soils were slightly droughty The area of Grade 2 soils identified north of Grove Farm have slightly heavier textures but again a profile pit confirmed there was no wetness limitation but that the soils were slightly droughty
- 21 South West of Cottage Farm the Grade 2 soils were also described as having sandy loam topsoil textures but these soils overlay sandy loam and sandy clay loam subsoils that passed onto clay above 60cm A profile pit confirmed that the clay is slowly permeable and this placed the soils into wetness class III

22 The Grade 2 land east of Lower Heathcote Farm are limited in their agricultural use by both a soil wetness and a soil droughtiness limitation. The soils were described as -having sandy clay loam topsoils over similar or heavy clay loam subsoils which passed onto clay below 70 cm. A profile pit confirmed that this clay was slowly permeable placing the soil into wetness class II and that the soil was also slightly droughty.

Subgrade 3a

23 Land of good quality has been identified east of Cottage Farm west of Lower Heathcote Farm west of the sewage works and around Grove Farm The soils were described as having medium clay loam and occasionally sandy clay loam topsoil textures overlying heavy clay loam subsoils and passing onto red clay below 40 cm Two profile pits confirmed that the red clay was slowly permeable placing the soils into Wetness Class III

Subgrade 3b

- 24 Land of moderate quality occurs as small isolated areas specifically along Tach Brook on lower lying land and around old marl pits In the south of the site along Tach Brook the alluvial soils were described as having heavy clay loam topsoils which overlay grey alluvial clay within 30 cm this clay was confirmed as slowly permeable by a soil profile pit and the soils placed into Wetness Class IV
- 25 On lower lying land several areas have been identified where both heavy and medium clay loam topsoils overlie red clay to depth. The red clay had been confirmed as slowly permeable elsewhere and these soils were also placed into Wetness Class IV
- 26 During the survey a number of small isolated areas were identified as the sites of old marl pits on these the soils were found to have heavy clay loam topsoils which passed onto red clay within 30cm These soils were placed into Wetness Class IV
- 27 In the south of the site along Tach Brook west of the sewage works an area of land was downgraded due to slope gradients in excess of 7

Other Land

28 Other land across the site that was not surveyed included farm buildings residential development highways tracks woodland and open water

S Y HUNTER Resource Planning Team FRCA Bristol February 1998

REFERENCES

ADAS RESOURCE PLANNING TEAM (1989) Agricultural Land Classification Survey of Heathcote Home Farm Scale 1 10 000 Reference 4FCS RPG 5987 ADAS Bristol]

[BRITISH GEOLOGICAL SURVEY (1984) SHEET 184 WARWICK (1 50 000 SERIES SOLID AND DRIFT EDITION BGS London

HODGSON J M (Ed) (1997) Soil Survey Field Handbook Soil Survey Technical Monograph No 5 Silsoe

MAFF (1977) 1 250 000 series Agricultural Land Classification South West Region MAFF Publications Alnwick

MAFF (1988) Agricultural Land Classification of England and Wales Revised Guidelines and Criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land MAFF Publications Alnwick

METEOROLOGICAL OFFICE (1989) Climatological Data for Agricultural Land Classification Meteorological Office Bracknell

SOIL SURVEY OF ENGLAND AND WALES (1983) Sheet 3 Soils of Midland and Western England 1 250 000 scale SSEW Harpenden

SOIL SURVEY OF ENGLAND AND WALES (1984) Soils and Their Use in Midland and Western England Bulletin No 12 SSEW Harpenden

SOIL SURVEY OF ENGLAND AND WALES (19) Sheet 19 Soils in Warwickshire 1 1 25000 scale SSEW Harpenden

APPENDIX I

DESCRIPTION OF GRADES AND SUBGRADES

Grade 1 excellent quality agricultural land

Land with no or very minor limitations to agricultural use A very wide range of agricultural and horticultural crops can be grown and commonly include top fruit soft fruit salad crops and winter harvested vegetables Yields are high and less variable than on land of lower quality

Grade 2 very good quality agricultural land

Land with minor limitations which affect crop yield cultivations or harvesting A wide range of agricultural and horticultural crops can usually be grown but on some land in the grade there may be reduced flexibility due to difficulties with the production of the more demanding crops such as winter harvested vegetables and arable root crops The level of yield is generally high but may be lower or more variable than Grade 1

Grade 3 good to moderate quality agricultural land

Land with moderate limitations which affect the choice of crops timing and type of cultivation harvesting or the level of yield Where more demanding crops are grown yields are generally lower or more variable than on land in Grades 1 and 2

Subgrade 3a good quality agricultural land

Land capable of consistently producing moderate to high yields of a narrow range of arable crops especially cereals or moderate yields of a wide range of crops including cereals grass oilseed rape potatoes sugar beet and the less demanding horticultural crops

Subgrade 3b moderate quality agricultural land

Land capable of producing moderate yields of a narrow range of crops principally cereals and grass or lower yields of a wider range of crops or high yields of grass which can be grazed or harvested over most of the year

Grade 4 poor quality agricultural land

Land with severe limitations which significantly restrict the range of crops and/or level of yields. It is mainly suited to grass with occasional arable crops (eg cereals and forage crops) the yields of which are variable. In most climates, yields of grass may be moderate to high but there may be difficulties in utilisation. The grade also includes very droughty arable land.

Grade 5 very poor quality agricultural land

Land with very severe limitations which restrict use to permanent pasture or rough grazing except for occasional pioneer forage crops

Source MAFF (1988) Agricultural Land Classification of England and Wales Revised Guidelines and Criteria for Grading the Quality of Agricultural Land MAFF Publications Alnwick

APPENDIX II

DEFINITION OF SOIL WETNESS CLASSES

Soil wetness is classified according to the depth and duration of waterlogging in the soil profile

Wetness Class I

The soil profile is not wet within 70 cm depth for more than 30 days in most years

Wetness Class II

The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for 31 90 days in most years or if there is no slowly permeable layer within 80 cm depth it is wet within 70 cm for more than 90 days but not wet within 40 cm depth for more than 30 days in most years

Wetness Class III

The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for 91 180 days in most years or if there is no slowly permeable layer within 80 cm depth it is wet within 70 cm for more than 180 days but only wet within 40 cm depth for between 31 and 90 days in most years

Wetness Class IV

The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for more than 180 days but not within 40 cm depth for more than 210 days in most years or if there is no slowly permeable layer within 80 cm depth it is wet within 40 cm depth for 91 210 days in most years

Wetness Class V

The soil profile is wet within 40 cm depth for 211 335 days in most years

Wetness Class VI

The soil profile is wet within 40 cm depth for more than 335 days in most years

Notes The number of days specified is not necessarily a continuous period

In most years is defined as more than 10 out of 20 years

Source Hodgson J M (Ed) (1997) Soil Survey Field Handbook Soil Survey Technical Monograph No 5 Silsoe

APPENDIX III

_ABBREVIATIONS AND TERMS USED IN SURVEY DATA

Soil pit and auger boring information collected during ALC survey is held on a computer database and is reproduced in this report. Terms used and abbreviations are set out below. These conform to definitions contained in the Soil Survey Field Handbook (Hodgson 1997).

1 Terms used on computer database in order of occurrence

GRID REF National 100 km grid square and 8 figure grid reference

WHT	Wheat	SBT	Sugar Beet	HTH	Heathland
BAR	Barley	BRA	Brassicas	BOG	Bog or Marsh
OAT	Oats	FCD	Fodder Crops	DCW	Deciduous Wood
CER	Cereals	FRT	Soft and Top Fruit	CFW	Coniferous Woodland
MZE	Maize	HRT	Horticultural Crops	PLO	Ploughed
OSR POT LIN BEN	Oilseed Rape Potatoes Linseed Field Beans	LEY PGR RGR SCR	Ley Grass Permanent Pasture Rough Grazing Scrub	FLW SAS OTH	Fallow (inc Set aside) Set Aside (where known) Other

LAND USE At the time of survey

GRDNT Gradient as estimated or measured by hand held optical clinometer

GLEY SPL Depth in centimetres to gleying or slowly permeable layer

AP (WHEAT/POTS)	Crop adjusted available water capacity				
MB (WHEAT/POTS)	Moisture Balance MD)	(Crop adjusted AP	crop potential		

DRT Best grade according to soil droughtiness

If any of the following factors are considered significant Y will be entered in the relevant column

MREL			LOOD	Flood risk		OSN	Soil erosion risk
EXP	Exposure limitation	F	ROST	Frost prone	Dľ	ST	Disturbed land
CHEM	Chemical limitation						
LIMIT	The main limitat used	ion to	o land qua	lity The foll	owin	g abbre	viations are
OC	Overall Climate	AE	Aspect	EX	K	Expos	ure
FR	Frost Risk	GR	Gradier	t M	R	Microi	relief

FL	Flood Risk	ТХ	Topsoil Texture	DP	Soil Depth
СН	Chemical	WE	Wetness	WK	Workability
DR	– – Drought – – –	-ER	Erosion Risk	WD	Soil
					Wetness/Droughtiness

ST Topsoil Stoniness

TEXTURE Soil texture classes are denoted by the following abbreviations

S	Sand	LS	Loamy Sand	SL	Sandy Loam
SZL	Sandy Silt Loam	CL	Clay Loam	ZCL	Silty Clay Loam
ZL	Sılt Loam	SCL	Sandy Clay	С	Clay
			Loam		
SC	Sandy clay	ZC	Silty clay	OL	Organic Loam
Р	Peat	SP	Sandy Peat	LP	Loamy Peat
PL	Peaty Loam	PS	Peaty Sand	MZ	Marine Light Silts

For the sand loamy sand sandy loam and sandy silt loam classes the predominant size of sand fraction will be indicated by the use of the following prefixes

- **F** Fine (more than 66% of the sand less than 0 2mm)
- M Medium (less than 66% fine sand and less than 33% coarse sand)
- C Coarse (more than 33% of the sand larger than 0 6mm)

The clay loam and silty clay loam classes will be sub-divided according to the clay content M Medium (< 27% clay) H heavy (27 35% clay)

MOTTLE COL Mottle colour using Munsell notation

MOTTLE ABUN Mottle abundance expressed as a percentage of the matrix or surface described

F few <2% C common 2 20% M many 20 40% VM very many 40%+

MOTTLE CONT Mottle contrast

- **F** faint indistinct mottles evident only on close inspection
- **D** distinct mottles are readily seen
- P Prominent mottling is conspicuous and one of the outstanding features of the horizon
- PED COL Ped face colour using Munsell notation
- GLEY If the soil horizon is gleyed a Y will appear in this column If slightly gleyed an S will appear

STONE LITH Stone Lithology One of the following is used

HR All hard rocks and stones SLST Soft oolitic or dolimitic limestone

СН	Chalk	FSST	Soft fine grained sandstone
ZR	Soft argillaceous or silty rocks	GH	Gravel with non porous (hard) stones
– MSST	-Soft medium grained sandstone -	GS	Gravel with porous (soft) stones -
SI	Soft weathered igneous or metamo	rphic rock	

Stone contents are given in % by volume for sizes >2cm >6cm and total stone >2mm

STRUCT The degree of development size and shape of soil peds are described using the following notation

Degree of development	WA Adher	Weakly developed rent	WK	Weakly developed
	MD develo	Moderately oped	ST	Strongly developed
<u>Ped sıze</u>	F C	Fine Coarse	M VC	Medium Very coarse
<u>Ped Shape</u>	S GR SAB PL	Sıngle graın Granular Sub angular blocky Platy	M AB PR	Massive Angular blocky Prismatic

CONSIST Soil consistence is described using the following notation

L	Loose	VF	Very Friable	FR	Friable	FM	Fırm
VM	Very firm	EM	Extremely firm		EH	Extremely H	ard

- SUBS STRSubsoil structural condition recorded for the purpose of calculating
profile droughtinessG GoodM ModerateP Poor
- **POR** Soil porosity If a soil horizon has poor porosity with less than 0 5% biopores >0 5mm a Y will appear in this column
- **IMP** If the profile is impenetrable to rooting a Y will appear in this column at the appropriate horizon
- **SPL** Slowly permeable layer If the soil horizon is slowly permeable a Y will appear in this column

CALC If the soil horizon is calcareous with naturally occurring calcium carbonate exceeding 1% a Y will appear this column

2 Additional terms and abbreviations used mainly in soil pit descriptions

STONE ASSESSMENT

VIS Visual S Sieve D Displacement

_ __ _

MOTTLE SIZE

_

- -EF Extremely fine <1mm ---M Medium 5 15mm _ __ VF Very fine 1 2mm> С Coarse >15mm
 - F Fine 2 5mm

MOTTLE COLOUR May be described by Munsell notation or as ochreous (OM) or grey (GM) **ROOT CHANNELS** In topsoil the presence of rusty root channels should also be noted

MANGANESE CONCRETIONS Assessed by volume

Ν	None		Μ	Many	20 40%
F	Few	<2%	VM	Very Many	>40%
С	Common	2 20%			

POROSITY

Р	Poor	less than 0 5% biopores at least 0 5mm in diameter
G	Good	more than 0 5% biopores at least 0 5mm in diameter

ROOT ABUNDANCE

The number of	roots per 100cm ²	Very Fine and Fine	Medium and Coarse
F	Few	1 10	1 or 2
С	Common	10 25	25
Μ	Many	25 200	>5
Α	Abundant	>200	

ROOT SIZE

VF	Very fine	<1mm	Μ	Medium	2 5mm
F	Fine	1 2mm	С	Coarse	>5mm

HORIZON BOUNDARY DISTINCTNESS

Sharp	<0 5cm	Gradual	6 13cm
Abrupt	0 5 2 5cm	Dıffuse	>13cm
Clear	25 6cm		

HORIZON BOUNDARY FORM Smooth wavy irregular or broken * * See Soil Survey Field Handbook (Hodgson 1997) for details

Review of MAFF Gradings South of Myton

Following discussions with Margetts regarding an area of Grade 1 mapped around Henry 8th Farm, Myton, Defra has looked at all the records for the survey (Ref. 78/97) and considered possible scenarios with regard to elements of the data recorded during the survey. In some cases there is clear new evidence for updating the data, such as laboratory PSD results but in other cases, a series of scenarios need to considered in reviewing the available data. There are no additional field notes available to provide assistance in drawing conclusions different from those originally presented, nor are the original surveyors available to consult.

Poor structured subsoil clays

During the MAFF ALC survey at Myton, soil profile pits in the northern part of the site (5P and 6P) showed that the lower clay subsoils had poor structural conditions. It is not easy to assess subsoil structure on auger borings and inferences can be made from soil pits in similar soils. It is possible that the clays identified in the borings in the north of the site also have poor subsoil structures. There is no additional notes available from the survey to indicate why the field surveyors did not modify the clay structural conditions recorded in the borings at the time of survey, in the light of the pit findings. Purely on the basis of the data provided it is not unreasonable to make an assumption that the clay structural condition is likely to be poor. If this scenario is followed then recalculation of the available water in each of the profiles affected, changes the final grades in some of the profiles.

Sample Point	Grade*	Main limitation	Grade**	Main Limitation
3	1	None	1	None
4	1	None	1	None
5P	1	None	1	None
6	1	None	1	None
7	2	Wetness/Dr	2	Wetness/Dr
8	2	Droughtiness	2	Droughtiness
9	1	None	2	Droughtiness
10	1	None	1	None
11	1	None	1	None
12	2	Wetness	2	Wetness/Dr
14	1	None	2	Droughtiness
15	1	None	2	Droughtiness
21	1	None	2	Droughtiness
22	1	None	2	Droughtiness

A revised table of final grades is shown below, alongside the gradings obtained if moderate structure is used in the calculation.

*Grade using Moderate structure in clay subsoils

** Grade using Poor structure in clay subsoils

Topsoil Texture

Some of the borings were recorded as having FSL topsoils. A PSD analysis was carried out for a topsoil sample collected at Pit 5. This showed that the texture, hand textured as FSL was in fact MSL. More recent PSD analysis carried out on behalf of Margetts showed that none of the topsoils thought to be FSL, by MAFF, were this texture but rather MSL. The analysis showed that around one third of the sand component was fine sand. The hand texture results could therefore be changed to reflect the laboratory results. It is unlikely that there are any FSL topsoils in this area, based on the two sets of laboratory findings. Soil texture affects the available water in the soil profile. Adjustments were made to reflect the findings of the PSD analysis.

Stone content of horizons

More accurate estimates and measurements of stone contents can be made in a soil profile pit, than in auger borings. Pit 5 in the north of the site showed the topsoil stone content to be visually estimated at 1%. The two upper subsoil horizons were also visually estimated at 1%. The stony horizon at depth (71cm+ in the pit) was found to contain 24% stone by sieving and displacement. The lowest horizon in the pit was stoneless. These stone contents can be used to adjust the estimates made during augering. Stone contents in the profile affect the available water. Readjustment of stone contents recorded in auger borings in the light of findings at a pit are more difficult as stone contents can be variable. However, they can be used as a guide. The stone contents in the borings around Henry 8th Farm were adjusted to reflect those found in Pit 5, usually less stony than estimated during augering.

Revision to Gradings around Henry 8th Farm

If the scenarios discussed above are taken on board and revisions to the soils data as outlined above are made, there are changes in the final grading at some of the profiles previously mapped as Grade 1 around Henry 8th Farm.

Profiles in the rest of the survey (187.7ha Ref. 78/97) have also been reassessed but there is no change to the grading in other areas.

Revised droughtiness calculations from a combination of modified textures, stone contents and subsoil structural conditions lead to the following final gradings for the borings in the area previously mapped as Grade 1. The revised soil profiles are presented in Appendix 1.

Sample Point	Grade*	Main	Grade**	Main
		limitation		Limitation
3	1	None	1	None
4	1	None	1	None
5P	2	Droughtiness	2	Droughtiness
6	1	None	1	None
7	2	Wetness/Dr	2	Wetness/Dr
8	2	Droughtiness	1	None
9	1	None	2	Droughtiness
10	1	None	1	None
11	1	None	1	None
12	2	Wetness	2	Wetness/Dr
14	1	None	2	Droughtiness
15	1	None	2	Droughtiness
21	1	None	2	Droughtiness
22	1	None	2	Droughtiness

Revised gradings around Henry 8th Farm

*Original Grade

** Revised Grade using Poor structure in clay subsoils, MSL rather than FSL topsoils and modified stone contents, where applicable.

Conclusions

In the light of discussions with Margetts regarding the area of Grade 1 mapped around Henry 8th Farm, Myton, Defra has reviewed the survey data. Defra is prepared to agree that it is likely that the area of Grade 1 land may be smaller than originally mapped, but that there remains an area of better land (Grade 1) within the larger surrounding Grade 2 land. The changes to the mapped grades are based on a series of scenarios which have been assessed as part of a desk exercise and no further field work has taken place except for a series of PSD analysis presented by Margetts. Gradings in other parts of the survey area are unaffected.

G M Shaw Senior Advisor National Land Management Team Defra

April 2004

Appendix 1: Original Grade 1 area survey data Myton Changes are shown in italics

Sample Point 3

Sample	Grid ref	Use	Aspect/ Gradient	depth	texture	Colour	Mottles colour/Abun dance	Ped colour	Gleyed	Stones >2/ Stones >6	Stone Total/ lithology	Structure⁺	Consistency	Subsoil structure	Porosity ⁺	Impen- etrable	SPL	Comments
3	SP30706490	cereal	N/01	0-30	MSL	10YR32				1/0	1 HR							
				30-40	SCL	75YR43					1 HR			М				
				40-90	SCL	10YR53	75YR56/C		Y		1 HR			M				
				90-120	SCL	10YR53	75YR58/C		Y		24 HR			M				

.

+ pits only Augered to 120cm

Gleyed	Not
SPL	None
Wetness Class	1
Wheat AP	143
Potatoes AP	110
Wheat MD	106
Potatoes MD	98
Wheat MB	37
Potatoes MB	12
Droughtiness Grade	1
Wetness Grade	1
Overall Grade	1
Main limitation	None

Sample	Grid ref	Use	Aspect/ Gradient	depth	texture	Colour	Mottles colour/Abun dance	Ped colour	Gleyed	Stones >2/ Stones >6	Stone Total/ lithology	Structure ⁺	Consistency	Subsoil structure	Porosity⁺	Impen- etrable	SPL	Comments
4	SP30806490	cereal	N/01	0-29	MSL	10YR32												
				29-55	SCL	75YR43/ 44					1 HR			М				
				55-70	SCL	10YR53	75YR56/C		Y		1 HR			М				
				70-100	SC	10YR53	75YR58/M		Y		24 HR			M		Y		
				100-120	SC									М				inferred

+ pits only Augered to 100cm, SC horizon inferred to extend to 120cm.

Main limitation	None
Overall Grade	1
Wetness Grade	1
Droughtiness Grade	1
Potatoes MB	12
Wheat MB	33
Potatoes MD	98
Wheat MD	106
Potatoes AP	110
Wheat AP	139
Wetness Class	1
SPL	None
Gleyed	55cm

Sample Point 5P

Sample	Grid ref	Use	Aspect/ Gradient	depth	texture	Colour	manganese/ Mottles colour/Abun dance	Ped colour	Gleyed	Stones >2/ Stones >6	Stone Total/ lithology	Structure⁺	Consistency	Subsoil structure	Porosity⁺	Impen- etrable	SPL	Comments
5P	SP30606480	cereal		0-36	MSL	10YR32	NR				1 HR							
				36-43	SCL	75YR43	NR				1 HR	MDCSAB	FR	M				
				43-60	SCL	75YR43/ 44	Mn/F				1 HR	MDCSAB	FR	M				
				60-71	SCL	75YR53	Mn/C		Y		24 HR	MDCPL	FR	P	>0.5			
				71-120	С	05YR43	05YR43/C	05YR52	Y		0	MASS	VM	Р	<0.5		Y	

+ pits only Pit dug to 95 cm, augered to 120 cm

Gleyed	60 cm
SPL	71 cm
Wetness Class	11
Wheat AP	132
Potatoes AP	106
Wheat MD	106
Potatoes MD	98
Wheat MB	26
Potatoes MB	8
Droughtiness Grade	2
Wetness Grade	1
Overall Grade	2
Main limitation	Dr

(Porosity shown in horizon 5 on pit form incorrectly types as G. It should be P as indicated on data sheet presented with full ALC report. Droughtiness calculation shown incorrectly on Pit form)

Sample	Grid ref	Se	Aspect/ Gradient	depth	texture	Colour	Manganese/ Mottles colour/Abun dance	Ped colour	Gleyed	Stones >2/ Stones >6	Stone Total/ lithology	Structure⁺	Consistency	Subsoil structure	Porosity ⁺	Impen- etrable	SPL	Comments
6	SP30546480	cereal	N/01	0-25	MSL	10YR32				2/0	1 HR							
				25-35	MSL	10YR43					1 HR			М				
	2			35-70	SCL	10YR53	Mn/ 75YR56/C		Y		1 HR			М				
				70-85	SCL	75YR53	Mn/ 75YR58/C		Y		0			М				
				85-120	С	05YR44	Mn/ 05YR58/C		Y		0			Р				

+ pits only Augered to 120 cm

Main limitation	None
Overall Grade	1
Wetness Grade	1
Droughtiness Grade	1
Potatoes MB	11
Wheat MB	33
Potatoes MD	98
Wheat MD	106
Potatoes AP	109
Wheat AP	139
Wetness Class	11
SPL	None
Gleyed	35 cm

Sample	Grid ref	Use	Aspect/ Gradient	depth	texture	Colour	Mottles colour/Abun dance	Ped colour	Gleyed	Stones >2/ Stones >6	Stone Total/ lithology	Structure ⁺	Consistency	Subsoil structure⁺	Porosity ⁺	Impen- etrable	SPL	Comments
7	SP30606480	cereal	N/01	0-30	MSL	10YR32				1	1 HR							
				30-52	SCL	10YR42/52	75YR56/C		Y		1 HR			М				
				52-65	SCL	10YR53	75YR56/M		Y		1 HR			M				
				65-100	С	05YR44	Mn/ 05YR58/C		Y		1 HR			Р		Y	Y	
				100-120	С				Y		1 HR			Р			Y	Inferred

+ pits only Augered to 100cm, C horizon inferred to extend to 120cm.

Gleyed	30 cm
SPL	65 cm
Wetness Class	/
Wheat AP	133
Potatoes AP	109
Wheat MD	106
Potatoes MD	98
Wheat MB	27
Potatoes MB	11
Droughtiness Grade	2
Wetness Grade	1/2
Overall Grade	2
Main limitation	We/Dr

Sample	Grid ref	Use	Aspect/ Gradient	depth	texture	Colour	Mottles colour/Abun dance	Ped colour	Gleyed	Stones >2/ Stones >6	Stone Total/ lithology	Structure⁺	Consistency	Subsoil structure	Porosity⁺	Impen- etrable	SPL	Comments
8	SP30706480	cereal	N/01	0-28	MCL	10YR33		1		2	1 HR							
				28-45	SCL	75YR43	5.				1 HR			М				
				45-55	SCL	75YR44	75YR56/C				1 HR			M				
				55-70	SC	05YR44	Mn/ 75YR58/C		Y		24 HR			м				
				70-120	SC						24 HR			M				Inferred

+ pits only Augered to 70cm where stony layer made penetration difficult, SC horizon inferred to extend to 120cm.

Main limitation	None
Overall Grade	1
Wetness Grade	1
Droughtiness Grade	1
Potatoes MB	10
Wheat MB	32
Potatoes MD	98
Wheat MD	106
Potatoes AP	108
Wheat AP	138
Wetness Class	1
SPL	None
Gleyed	55 cm

Sample	Grid ref	Use	Aspect/ Gradient	depth	texture	Colour	Mottles colour/Abun dance	Ped colour	Gleyed	Stones >2/ Stones >6	Stone Total/ lithology	Structure ⁺	Consistency	Subsoil structure	Porosity ⁺	Impen- etrable	SPL	Comments
9	SP30806480	cereal	N/3	0-30	MSL	10YR32				2	1 HR							
				30-50	SCL	75YR43/44					0			М				
				50-65	SCL	75YR44	Mn/C				1 HR			M				
				65-100	С	05YR44	Mn/M				1 HR			P			Y	
				100-120	С						1 HR			P			Ý	Inferred

+ pits only Augered to 100cm, C horizon inferred to extend to 120cm.

Gleyed	Not
SPL	65 cm
Wetness Class	11
Wheat AP	134
Potatoes AP	109
Wheat MD	106
Potatoes MD	98
Wheat MB	28
Potatoes MB	11
Droughtiness Grade	2
Wetness Grade	1
Overall Grade	2
Main limitation	Dr

Sample	Grid ref	Use	Aspect/ Gradient	depth	texture	Colour	Mottles colour/Abun dance	Ped colour	Gleyed	Stones >2/ Stones >6	Stone Total/ lithology	Structure⁺	Consistency	Subsoil structure	Porosity⁺	Impen- etrable	SPL	Comments
10	SP30406470	beans	1	0-34	MSL	75YR32					1 HR							
				34-45	MSL	75YR43					1 HR			М				
				45-64	MSL	75YR44					1 HR			М				
				64-77	SCL	75YR53	Mn/C		Y		0			М				
				77-100	С	05YR44	Mn/C		Y		0			Р			Y	
				100-120	С				Y		0			Р			Y	inferred

+ pits only Augered to 100cm, C horizon inferred to extend to 120cm.

Main limitation	None
Overall Grade	1
Wetness Grade	1
Droughtiness Grade	1
Potatoes MB	13
Wheat MB	33
Potatoes MD	98
Wheat MD	106
Potatoes AP	111
Wheat AP	139
Wetness Class	
SPL	77
Gleyed	64

Sample	Grid ref	Use	Aspect/ Gradient	depth	texture	Colour	Mottles colour/Abun dance	Ped colour	Gleyed	Stones >2/ Stones >6	Stone Total/ lithology	Structure ⁺	Consistency	Subsoil structure	Porosity⁺	Impen- etrable	SPL	Comments
11	SP30506470	beans	1	0-35	MSL	10YR32					1 HR							
				35-46	MSL	75YR54					1 HR			М				
				46-67	MSL	75YR53	75YR58/M		Y		1 HR			M				
			2	67-90	SCL	05YR44	Mn/C		Y		0			M				
	L			90-120	SCL				Y		0			М				Inferred

+ pits only Augered to 90cm, SCL horizon inferred to extend to 120cm.

Gleyed	46
SPL	None
Wetness Class	
Wheat AP	153
Potatoes AP	111
Wheat MD	106
Potatoes MD	98
Wheat MB	47
Potatoes MB	13
Droughtiness Grade	1
Wetness Grade	1
Overall Grade	1
Main limitation	None

Sample	Grid ref	Use	Aspect/ Gradient	depth	texture	Colour	Mottles colour/Abun dance	Ped colour	Gleyed	Stones >2/ Stones >6	Stone Total/ lithology	Structure⁺	Consistency	Subsoil structure	Porosity⁺	Impen- etrable	SPL	Comments
12	SP30606470	cereal	N/2	0-27	MSL	10YR32				1	1 HR							
				27-38	SCL	75YR44	75YR58/C				1 HR			М				
				38-80	C	05YR44	Mn/C				0			P			Y	
				80-120	C						0			Р			Y	Inferred

+ pits only Augered to 80cm, C horizon inferred to extend to 120cm.

Gleyed	Not
SPL	38
Wetness Class	
Wheat AP	126
Potatoes AP	103
Wheat MD	106
Potatoes MD	98
Wheat MB	20
Potatoes MB	5
Droughtiness Grade	2
Wetness Grade	2
Overall Grade	2
Main limitation	We/Dr

(The original data sheet in the report for this survey showed Sample point 12 final grade as 1. This is an error and should have shown 2. The wetness grade should be shown as Grade 2 rather than Subgrade 3a.)

Sample	Grid ref	Use	Aspect/ Gradient	depth	texture	Colour	Mottles colour/Abun dance	Ped colour	Gleyed	Stones >2/ Stones >6	Stone Total/ lithology	Structure⁺	Consistency	Subsoil structure	Porosity ⁺	Impen- etrable	SPL	Comments
14	SP30406460	beans	1	0-33	MSL	75YR43					1 HR							
				33-45	SCL	75YR53	Mn/F				1 HR			М				
				45-58	SCL	75YR53	75YR46/C		Y		0			М				
				58-120	С	05YR46	Mn/C		Y		0			Р			Y	
						×												

+ pits only Augered to 120 cm

Gleyed	45
SPL	58
Wetness Class	
Wheat AP	132
Potatoes AP	109
Wheat MD	106
Potatoes MD	98
Wheat MB	26
Potatoes MB	11
Droughtiness Grade	2
Wetness Grade	1
Overall Grade	2
Main limitation	Dr

Sample	Grid ref	Use	Aspect/ Gradient	depth	texture	Colour	Mottles colour/Abun dance	Ped colour	Gleyed	Stones >2/ Stones >6	Stone Total/ lithology	Structure ⁺	Consistency	Subsoil structure	Porosity⁺	Impen- etrable	SPL	Comments
15	SP30506457	Perm grass	1	0-33	MSL	75YR43									1			
				33-49	SCL	75YR54	75YR46/F							М				
				49-60	SCL	75YR53	75YR46/C		Y					М				
				60-100	С	05YR54	Mn/F		Y					Р			Y	
				100-120	С				Υ					Ρ			Υ	Inferred

+ pits only Augered to 100cm, C horizon inferred to extend to 120cm (see Sample point 14 as similar)

Gleyed	49
SPL	60
Wetness Class	11
Wheat AP	134
Potatoes AP	110
Wheat MD	106
Potatoes MD	98
Wheat MB	28
Potatoes MB	12
Droughtiness Grade	2
Wetness Grade	1
Overall Grade	2
Main limitation	Dr

Sample	Grid ref	Use	Aspect/ Gradient	depth	texture	Colour	Mottles colour/Abun dance	Ped colour	Gleyed	Stones >2/ Stones >6	Stone Total/ lithology	Structure⁺	Consistency	Subsoil structure	Porosity ⁺	Impen- etrable	SPL	Comments
21	SP30406450	Perm grass	1	0-24	MSL	75YR43												
				24-46	MSL	75YR44								M				
				46-66	SCL	75YR43	75YR46/C							М				
				66-80	C	05YR44	Mn/C		Y					Р			Y	
				80-120	C	05YR44			Y					P				Inferred

+ pits only Augered to 80cm, C horizon inferred to extend to 120cm.

Gleyed	66
SPL	66
Wetness Class	11
Wheat AP	134
Potatoes AP	109
Wheat MD	106
Potatoes MD	98
Wheat MB	28
Potatoes MB	11
Droughtiness Grade	2
Wetness Grade	1
Overall Grade	2
Main limitation	Dr

Sample	Grid ref	Use	Aspect/ Gradient	depth	texture	Colour	Mottles colour/Abun dance	Ped colour	Gleyed	Stones >2/ Stones >6	Stone Total/ lithology	Structure⁺	Consistency	Subsoil structure	Porosity ⁺	Impen- etrable	SPL	Comments
22	SP30506450	Perm grass	1	0-26	MSL	75YR43												
				26-57	SCL	75YR44	75YR46/C							М				
				57-100	С	05YR44	Mn/C		Y					Р			Y	
				100-120	С				Y					Ρ			Y	Inferred

+ pits only Augered to 100cm, C horizon inferred to extend to 120cm.

Gleyed	57
SPL	57
Wetness Class	
Wheat AP	131
Potatoes AP	108
Wheat MD	106
Potatoes MD	98
Wheat MB	25
Potatoes MB	10
Droughtiness Grade	2
Wetness Grade	1
Overall Grade	2
Main limitation	Dr

SITE NA	ME	PRO	FILE NO	SLOPE	AND ASPI	ECT	LAN	ND USE		Av	Rainfall	640mm		PARENT MA	TERIAL	
Land sout	th of Myto	n Pıt 1	(ASP54 59)	Level			CER	ł		AT	0	1488 day	с	River Terrace	gravels	
JOB NO		DAT	E	GRID F	REFERENC	E	DES	CRIBED B	Y	FC	Days			PSD SAMPLE	ES TAKEN	
78/97		17/12	2/97	SP3018	6385		JL/R	RR			matic Grade	1		TS (0 25cm) MSL s 69%	z 20% c 11	.%
Horizon No	Lowest Av Depth (cm)	Texture	Matrix (Ped Face) Colours	Stonine Size Ty Field M	pe and	Mottling Abundance Contrast Size and Colour		Mangan Concs	Structure Developme Size and Shape	Ped	Consistence	Structural Condition	Pores (Fissures)	Roots	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary Distinctness and form
1	37	MSL	10YR33	4% >2cm <u>2%</u> _2 m 6% HR					MDM CS	ĀB	FR		G	С		Clear wavy
2	78	MSL	75YR44	239 HR	(S&D)				MD CSA	<u>B</u>	FR	м	G	F	1	Clear wavy
3	90	LMS	75YR46	349 HR	(S&D)	<u> </u>			WD CA	В	FR	G	G		t t	Gradual wavy
4	115	MS	75YR46	449 HR ((S&D)				WD FSA	B	VFR	М	G			
Profile G	l leyed Fror	n			Available	l Water W	heat	112m	<u>ו</u> ווח		<u> </u> ,	Final ALC	Grade	2	ji	<u></u>
Slowly Pe Horizon I	From	·			Moisture I		otatoe: /heat	s 98m 105m				Maın Lımıt	ing Factor(s) DR		
Wetness Wetness		I 1				Рс	otatoe	s 97m	ım						i	
44 CUIC22 1	Grauc	L			Moisture I	Balance W	heat	7m	ım			Remarks				
						Po	tatoe	s 1m	ım							
					Droughtin	ess Grade		(Calc	ulated to 1	120 c	m)					

SITE NA	ME	PRC	FILE NO	SLOPE AND	ASPECT	LAND USE		Av Raınfall	613mm		PARENT MA	TERIAL	
Land sout	h of Myto	n Pıt 2	(ASP 131)	2 SE		CER		АТО	1413 day	С	Mercia Mudste	one Group	
OB NO		DA1	Γ E	GRID REFEI	RENCE	DESCRIBED	BY F	C Days	136	-	PSD SAMPLE	ES TAKEN	
78/97		17/1	2/97	SP31546268		 SH/SK(JL/RR)		Climatic Grade	1		TS (0 25CM)		
							F	Exposure Grade			SCL/MSL s	56% z 26%	c 189
Horizon No	Lowest Av Depth	Texture	Matrıx (Ped Face) Colours	Stoniness Size Type an Field Method	Size and	ce Mangan Concs	Structure Per Development Size and Shape		Structural Condition	Pores (Fissures)	Roots Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary Distinctnes and form
1	(cm) 30	MCL/ SCL	10YR 4/3		Colour	F	MDC MSAE	3 FR			CF		Smooth clear
2	50	SCL	75YR 4/4	37 2 m 247 2 m 267 HR (S&D)		F	MD SCAB	FR/FM	М	G	FFeVF	, I.,	Smooth clear
3	77	SCL layers of C	75YR 4/4			C/M	MD SCAB	FM	М	G	FFeVF	1	Gradual clear
4	90	SCL layers of C	75YR 5/3		cd f 75YR 5	/8 M	MD SCAB	FM	М	G			Wavy clea
5	120	MS					MDC MPL	FM	M	G		1	
Profile G	leyed Fron	n 71cm	1	Ava	lable Water V	Vheat 121	mm	<u>_</u>	Final ALC	Grade	2	<u>ا</u> ا	I
Slowly Pe Horizon I Wetness (I		Мог		otatoes 96 Wheat 106	mm		Main Limit	ting Factor(s) DR	i }	
Wetness		1			Р	otatoes 97	mm					ŀ	
		-		Мот	sture Balance V	Vheat +15	mm		Remarks				
					Р	otatoes 1n	າຄາ		*H3 Fe	-	59cm 69cm n and not cor		-
				Droi	ightiness Grade 2	2 (Cal	culated to 120	0 cm)	1	but broke			Juliu pit

ł

SITE NAME			PROFILE NO S		SLOPE	AND ASPE	ECT	LA	ND USE		A	v Raınfall	625 mm		PARENT MATERIAL			
Land sout	h of Myto	n	Pit 3(ASP 158)		2 SW			PL	0			ТО	1420 day	с	River Terrace Gravels			
JOB NO			DATE		GRID I	REFERENC	E	DE	ESCRIBED BY	,	F	°C Days	135		PSD SAMPLE			
78/97	78/97		17/12/97		SP3100	SP31006250		JL,	/RR (SH)			Climatic Grade	1		TS 0 25cm MSL s 66% 7 22% c 12%			
Horizon No	Lowest Av Depth (cm)	Text	exture (Ped S		Stonine Size Ty Field M	pe and	Mottling Abundanc Contrast Size and Colour	i ce	Mangan Concs	Structure Ped Developm Size and Shape			Structural Condition	Pores (Fissures)	Roots	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary Distinctness and form	
1	35	M	ISL	75YR3/3	<u>2%</u> 4%	2 > 2cm 2 < 2cm 5 HR (v1s)				MDCSA	ЪВ	FR	М	G	CF+VF		Smooth abrupt	
2	53	М	ISL	75YR4/4		<u> </u>		F		MDCA	B	FR	М	G	FF+VF		Irregular clear	
3	89	L	MS	75YR5/6					F	WDMP breaking MDMSA	; to	FR	М	G	FVF		Smooth sharp	
4	120	S	CL	75YR4/4 4/6			cdf 75YR 5/8 pth f 10YR 6/1			MDCPI	L	FR	Р	G				
Profile G	leyed Fror	n				Available	Water W	Vhea	t 125mn	n			Final ALC	Grade	2)		
Slowly Pe Horizon I Wetness	From	ſ				Moisture I	Deficit W	otato Vhea otato	t 107mn	n			Main Limi	ting Factor(s) DR			
Wetness	Grade	1	l			Moisture E	Balance W											
							P	otato	oes 2mm	1					l 2cm (53 55	cm) Fe pan	slightly	
						Droughtine	ess Grade 2	2	(Calcu	lated to 1	120	cm)	cemented	1				

SITE NAME PROFILE NO			SLOPE	SLOPE AND ASPECT			ND USE		Av Raınfall	621mm		PARENT MAT	ERIAL		
Land sour	th of Myto	n Pit 4(A	Pit 4(ASP 182) 4 SW				Cer	eals		ΑΤΟ	1425 day	С	Mercia Mudsto	ne Group	
JOB NO		DATE		GRID	REFERENC	ÈE	DE	SCRIBED E	BY	FC Days	134		PSD SAMPLE	S TAKEN	
78/97	78/97		17/12/97		26230		RR	+ JLP		Climatic Grade Exposure Grade	1 1	i	Topsoil (0 25cm) ACL/C s 26% z 39% c 35%		9
Horizon No	Lowest Av Depth (cm)	Texture	Matrıx (Ped Face) Colours	Field N	ype and Contrast		ce	Mangan Concs	Structure Ped Developm Size and Shape		Structural	Pores (Fissures)	Roots	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary Distinctness and form
1	34	HCL	75YR 3/3	2% HR ()	9′ HR				MDCSA	B FM		G	CF+ VF	}	Abrupt smooth
2	70	С	5YR 44/34					F	MDCAI breaking down to MSAB	g >		G	FF + VF	1	Gradual smooth
3	80	C and mudstone fragments	25YR 34						MASS breaking small fragments mudston	to of		P		 	
Profile G	leyed From	n			Available	Water V	Whea	t 125 n	ım		Final ALC	Grade	3a		
Slowly P Horizon I Wetness Wetness	From	one	Moisture	Deficit V	Potato Whea Potato	ıt 107m	ım		Main Limi	ting Factor	(s) We	,			
								ut +18n Des +17n			Remarks	verv drv	Pit dug to 80		
					Droughtir	ess Grade				100 cm)	Augered		I it dag to ou	}	

ļ

SITE NAME PROFILE NO			FILE NO	SLOPE	AND ASP	ECT	LAND USE		Av Ra	unfall	636mm		PARENT MA	TERIAL			
Land sout	h of Myton	Pit5	Pit5 (ASP7)				CER			intan		a	Mercia Mudstone Group				
		1113	(1517)	1 N					ATO		1429 day	C	Î.				
IOB NO		DAT	Έ	GRID R	EFERENC	E	DESCRIBED	BY	FC Da	iys	139	ſ	PSD SAMPLES TAKEN				
78/79		17/1	17/12/97		5480		SH/SK		Clima	tic Grade	1		T S 0 25cm				
							<u> </u>		Exposure Grade		1		MSL s 63% z 23% c 14%				
Horizon No	Lowest Av Depth (cm)	Texture	Matrix (Ped Face) Colours	Stonines Size Tyj Field M	pe and Contrast		e Mangan Concs	Structure Ped Developm Size and Shape		onsistence	Structural Condition	Pores (Fissures)	Roots Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary Distinctnes and form		
1	36	MSL	10YR 3/2	19 HR ()							G	MF + VF	1	Wavy abrupt		
2	43	SCL	75YR 4/3	197 HR ()			MDCSA	AB	FR	M	G	CF	i	Sharp clear		
3	60	SCL	75YR4/3 4/4	19 HR ()		mn at bottom o horizon	f MDCA CPL towa bottom horizo	ards of	FR	М	G	FF+VF	ł	Sharp clear		
4	71	SCL	75YR5/3	249 HR (S	S & D)		common	MDCP breaking MAB	g to	FR	Р	G	FVF	i t	Sharp abrupt		
5	Dug to 95 augered to 120	С	05YR4/3 25YR4/6 (05YR5/2)	09		05YR5/	8 common	MASS	S	FM/VM	Р	ØP	FVF				
Profile Gl	eyed From	60			Avaılable	Water W	heat 130	mm 132			Final ALC	Grade	42				
Slowly Pe Horizon F		71			Moisture 1			^{mm} 106			Main Limit	ting Factor(s) N one D	rought	و25		
Wetness (Class	II			Moisture			mm						,	% c 14% i Horizon cium Boundary bonate Distinctness i Wavy i Sharp clear i Sharp clear i Sharp clear i Sharp clear i Sharp clear		
Wetness (Grade	1												 			
					Moisture Balance Wheat 30mm 26 Potatoes 12mm 8 Remarks												
					Droughtur	ess Grade 1		lculated to	120 cm)								

SITE NAME		PR	PROFILE NO		AND ASP	ECT	LĀ	ND USE		Av Raınfall	640mm		PARENT MATE	RIAL	
Land of M	Aynton (ASP 33 Pit 6				PG	βR		ΑΤΟ	1418day	С	Mercia Mudstone Group		
JOB NO		DA	DATE GR		RID REFERENCE			SCRIBED BY	,	FC Days	139		PSD SAMPLES TAKEN		
78/97		17.	/12/98	SP30206430		SK/SH			Climatic Grade Exposure Grade	1		TS 0 25cm MSL s 62% z 25% c 13%)	
Horizon Lowest No Av T Depth (cm)		Texture	Matrix (Ped Face) Colours	Stoniness Size Type and Field Method		Mottling Abundanc Contrast Size and Colour	nce Mangan Concs		Structure Ped Developme Size and Shape		Structural Condition	Pores (Fissures)	Roots	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary Distinctne and form
1	32	MSL	75YR43	27 HR ()								CF+VF		Smooth abrupt
2	37 58	SCL	75YR53/52 (75YR52)	40%HR	0%HR (S&D) 7.			common	MDMSA	B FR	G	G	FF+VF		Wavy gradual
3	Dug 70 Augered 120	С	5YR44	0%		75YR 4/ Many		common	Massive (WKCPR		Р	Р	FVF		
Profile Gl	leyed From	32			Available	Water V	Whea	124mn	1		Final ALC	Grade	2		
Slowly Pe Horizon I	From	37 5	58		Moisture I		Potato Whea				Main Limi	ting Factor	(s) We /Dr	 }	
Wetness (III 2				Р	otate	oes 97mm	n						
	U.L.U	-			Moisture I		Whea				Remarks				
					Droughtin	P ess Grade 2	Potato 2			20cm)	· · ·		wavy lower bound t depths fall with	-	

SITE NAME PRO			FILE NO	SLOPE	AND ASPE	ECT	LANI	D USE		Av Rainfall	6 31 mm		PARENT MATERIAL			
South of I	Myton	Pit 7	Pit 7 (ASP 92) 1 N				CER			АТО	1424 day	с	River Terrace	Gravels		
JOB NO		DAT	ſE	REFERENC	E	DESC	CRIBED B	Y	FC Days	137		PSD SAMPLE	S TAKEN			
78/97	78/97		7/12		8 6308		SK/SI	H		Climatic Grade Exposure Grade	1		TS 0 25 cm MSL/SCL s 569 z 279 c 179			
Horizon No	Lowest Av Depth (cm)	Texture	Matrix (Ped Face) Colours	Stonine Size Ty Field M	ype and Contrast			Structure P		ed	Structural Condition	Pores (Fissures)	Roots Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary Distinctness and form	
1	32	SCL	75YR43	297 VIS 1	HR								CF	ļ	Smooth gradual	
2	49	SCL	75YR53	17 HR V	/IS	Commo 75YR46		Common	MDC SAE	3 FR	М	G	FF	1	Smooth gradual	
3	88	SCL	10YR52 From 77 88 to 25Y62	Ba ds of m 40% HR 77 m		c d f 75YR4/0		С	MDCPL breaking to MDCAB	FR	Р	G P from 77cm	-		Smooth abrupt	
4	dug to 90 120	С	25762			c d f 75 YR 41		С	MDCPL CF	PR FM	М	Р		1		
Profile G	leyed Fron	n 32			Available	Water W	Vheat	124m	m		Final ALC	Grade	2			
Slowly Permeable 77 Horizon From					Potatoes 96mm Moisture Deficit Wheat 106mm							Main Limiting Factor(s) We/Dr				
Wetness Wetness		11 2				Ро	otatoes	98m	ım					i		
					Moisture E		Vheat otatoes	18m 2m			Remarks * Below					
					Droughtine	Droughtiness Grade 2 (Calculated to 120 cm) $SCL = MDCPL FR < 0.5 porosity (Fr$							osity (P)			

1

I

SITE NAME		PRO	PROFILE NO SLOPE		AND ASPE	CT	LAN	ND USE	,	Av	Raınfall	631 mm		PARENT MAT	FERIAL	
South of	Myton	Pit 8	Pit 8 ASP 106			:	CER	ર		AT	°O	1413 day	с	Mercia Mudsto	one Group	
JOB NO		DAT	Ë	GRID F	REFERENCE	<u>.</u>	DES	SCRIBED B	Y	FC	Days	136		PSD SAMPLE	STAKEN	
78/97	78/97		17/12/97 S		230606480			SH/SK			matic Grade posure Grade	1		TS (0 25 cm) MCL s 4997 z 3097 c 2		1%
Horizon No	4		Matrix (Ped Face) Colours		ess Mottling Abundan ype and Contrast Method Size and Colour			Mangan Concs	Structure Developme Size and Shape	Ped	Consistence	Structural Condition	Pores (Fissures)	Roots	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary Distinctness and form
1	30	MCL	75YR3/2	39 HR ()								G	CF+VF	Ì	Wavy clear
2	43	HCL	75YR5/2	57 HR (() c distinct f 75YR 5				WKCSA		FM	М	G	FF+VF	! !	Smooth abrupt
3	70 augered to 120	С	25YR3/4 4/6	0		c d f 5YR 5/6	6	С	MASS		FM		Р	FVF		
Profile G	leyed Fron	n 30			Available V	Water W	/heat	130m	m			Final ALC	Grade	3a) L	
Slowly Pe Horizon I		43			Moisture D		otatoe Vh c at					Maın Lımıt	ing Factor(s	s) We	! 1	
Wetness	Class	III			Moisture E		otatoe)	
Wetness	Grade	3a			Moisture B	alance W	/heat	24mm					· · ·			
					MOISIURE E		otatoe					Remarks *H2 at top	p of horiz	on 8% HR be	coming les	s with
					Droughtiness Grade 2			2 (Calculated to 120 c			120 cm) depth to 1 2% at			ottom (overal	1 5%)	

1

SITE NAME		PRO	PROFILE NO		AND ASPE	CT	LAND U	JSE		Av	Rainfall	621mm		PARENT MATERIAL			
Land sou	th of Myto	n Pit 9	Pit 9 (ASP)		S		CER			AT		1425 day	С	Alluvium			
JOB NO		DAT	DATE		REFERENCI	E	DESCRI	IBED B	Y	FC	Days	134		PSD SAMPLE	STAKEN		
78/97	78/97		22/1/98		SP31086215		SH & SH	ĸ			matic Grade	1		NONE			
Horizon No	Lowest Av Depth (cm)	Texture	Matrıx (Ped Face) Colours	Size Ty	Stoniness Abo Size Type and Con Field Method Size Col		ce Mangan Concs		Structure I Developme Size and Shape	Ped	Consistence	Structural Condition	Pores (Fissures)	Roots Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary Distinctness and form	
1				0		0		0					CF		Smooth clear		
2	30	С	25YR52		m 75 46		F	Few WKCSA		B	FM		P	FF+VF		Smooth clear	
3	60	С	05Y 51/52		m d f 75YR 46/56		ŀ	Few	MDCPR	2	FM		Р	FF + VF	I		
															I		
Profile G	leyed From	n 22cm			Available '	Water W	heat	mm				Final ALC	Grade	3b			
Slowly P Horizon I		30cm			Moisture I		otatoes mm Vheat mm					Main Limit	ing Factor(s	s) We			
Wetness	Class	IV					otatoes	mm									
Wetness	Grade	3b			Moisture E		heat	mm				Remarks					
			Po Droughtiness Grade				Potatoes mm (Calculated to cm)										