A1 Maidstone Borough Local Plan Site 23 Hayle Place Stud Farm, Maidstone Agricultural Land Classification ALC Map and Report August 1994

ſ

ł

í

AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION REPORT

MAIDSTONE BOROUGH LOCAL PLAN SITE 23 HAYLE PLACE STUD FARM, MAIDSTONE

1 Summary

í

í

- 11 ADAS was commissioned by MAFF's Land Use Planning Unit to provide information on land quality for a number of sites in the Maidstone district of Kent This work forms part of MAFF's statutory input to the Maidstone Borough Local Plan
- 12 Site 23 comprises approximately 15 hectares of land to the north of Hayle Place south of Maidstone Kent An Agricultural Land Classification (ALC) survey was carried out in August 1994 The survey was undertaken at a detailed level of approximately one boring per hectare of agricultural land A total of 9 borings and one soil inspection pit were assessed in accordance with MAFF's revised guidelines and criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land (MAFF 1988) These guidelines provide a framework for classifying land according to the extent to which its physical or chemical characteristics impose a long term limitation on its use for agriculture
- 13 The work was carried out by members of the Resource Planning Team in the Guildford Statutory Group of ADAS
- 14 At the time of the survey all of the agricultural land on the site was grassland being used as grazing land for horses Large areas of woodland and scrub are marked along with private dwellings and stable blocks
- 15 The distribution of grades and subgrades is shown on the attached ALC map and the areas are given in the table below The map has been drawn at a scale of 1 10 000 It is accurate at this scale but any enlargement would be misleading This map supersedes any previous survey information for this site

Table 1 Distribution of Grades and Subgrades

Grade	Area (ha)	% of Site	% of Agricultural Land
3b	79	50 6	<u>100%</u> (7 9 ha)
Non Agricultural	4 5	28 9	
Urban	02	13	
Woodland	29	186	
Agricultural buildings	<u>01</u>	06	
Total area of site	15 6	100%	

- 16 Appendix 1 gives a general description of the grades and land use categories identified in this survey. The main classes are described in terms of limitation that can occur the typical cropping range and expected level and consistency of yield
 - 1

17 All of the agricultural land on the site has been classified as Subgrade 3b moderate quality land with soil droughtiness as the main limitation Evidence from a soil inspection pit showed the existence of extremely stony (hard sandstone fragments derived from Hythe Beds) clay subsoils As a result profile available water is restricted such that significant soil droughtiness may have an adverse effect on yield potential

2 Climate

- 2.1 The climatic criteria are considered first when classifying land as climate can be overriding in the sense that severe limitations will restrict land to low grades irrespective of favourable site or soil conditions
- 2 2 The main parameters used in the assessment of an overall climatic limitation are average annual rainfall as a measure of overall wetness and accumulated temperature as a measure of the relative warmth of a locality
- 2 3 A detailed assessment of the prevailing climate was made by interpolation from a 5km grid point dataset (Met Office 1989) The details are given in the table below and these show that there is no overall climatic limitation affecting the site However climatic factors do interact with soil properties to influence soil wetness and soil droughtiness limitations. At this locality the climate is relatively dry in national terms. Low field capacity days and relatively high soil moisture deficits will enhance the likelihood of soil droughtiness limitations.
- 24 No local climatic factors such as exposure or frost risk are believed to affect the site

Table 2 Climatic Interpolation

Grid Reference	TQ758540
Altitude (m AOD)	50
Accumulated Temperature	
(degree days Jan June)	1450
Average Annual Rainfall (mm)	694
Field Capacity (days)	141
Moisture Deficit Wheat (mm)	117
Moisture Deficit Potatoes (mm)	112
Overall Climatic Grade	1

3 Relief

3 1 The site lies at an altitude of approximately 25 55 m AOD falling from east to west Although steep gradients were noted along the western site boundary this land is mapped as woodland and such slopes therefore do not affect agricultural land quality on this site

4 Geology and Soil

- 4 1 British Geological Survey (1976) shows the majority of the site to be underlain by Hythe Beds The afore mentioned steep slopes along the western edge of the site are underlain by Atherfield Clay
- 4 2 Soil Survey of England and Wales (1983), shows the site to comprise soils of the Malling association These are described as well drained fine loamy soils over limestone at variable depths (SSEW 1983)
- 43 Detailed field examination found the soils on the site to comprise fine loamy topsoils overlying extremely stony (limestone/sandstone brash derived from the Hythe Beds) clayey subsoils

5 Agricultural Land Classification

- 51 Table 1 provides the details of the area measurements for each grade and the distribution of each grade is shown on the attached ALC map
- 5 2 The location of the soil observation points are shown on the attached sample point map

Subgrade 3b

5 3 Agricultural land on this site is affected by severe soil droughtiness restrictions and is thereby assigned to Subgrade 3b moderate quality land Soil profiles tended to be impenetrable to the soil auger below non calcareous silt loam silty clay loam or medium clay loam topsoils A subsequent soil inspection pit (1p) was excavated in order to investigate subsoil characteristics. The subsoil was found to comprise clay containing 60% hard brashy sandstone fragments derived from the Hythe Beds. At approximately 50 cm the profile became even more stony passing to weathered bedrock. Rooting was absent beyond this depth As a result of the very high stone volumes in the subsoil and the restricted rooting profile available water is severely restricted. The interaction of these soil properties with the local relatively dry climatic regime results in a severe soil droughtiness limitation such that plants will suffer significant drought stress during the drier times of the year and yield potential will be adversely affected

ADAS Ref 2007/146/94 MAFF Ref EL20/328 Resource Planning Team Guildford Statutory Group ADAS Reading

SOURCES OF REFERENCE

ł

British Geological Survey (1976) Sheet No 288 Maidstone 1 50 000 Solid & Drift Edition

MAFF (1988), Agricultural Land Classification of England and Wales Revised guidelines and criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land

Meteorological Office (1989) Climatic datasets for Agricultural Land Classification

Soil Survey of England and Wales (1983) Sheet 6 Soils of South East England, 1 250 000 and accompanying legend

Soil Survey of England and Wales (1984) Soils and their use in South East England Bulletin No 15

APPENDIX I

DESCRIPTION OF THE GRADES AND SUBGRADES

Grade 1 Excellent Quality Agricultural Land

Land with no or very minor limitations to agricultural use A very wide range of agricultural and horticultural crops can be grown and commonly includes top fruit soft fruit salad crops and winter harvested vegetables Yields are high and less variable than on land of lower quality

Grade 2 Very Good Quality Agricultural Land

Land with minor limitations which affect crop yield cultivations or harvesting A wide range of agricultural or horticultural crops can usually be grown but on some land of this grade there may be reduced flexibility due to difficulties with the production of the more demanding crops such as winter harvested vegetables and arable root crops The level of yield is generally high but may be lower or more variable than Grade 1 land

Grade 3 Good to Moderate Quality Land

Land with moderate limitations which affect the choice of crops the timing and type of cultivation harvesting or the level of yield When more demanding crops are grown yields are generally lower or more variable than on land in Grades 1 and 2

Subgrade 3a Good Quality Agricultural Land

Land capable of consistently producing moderate to high yields of a narrow range of arable crops especially cereals or moderate yields of a wide range of crops including cereals grass oilseed rape potatoes sugar beet and the less demanding horticultural crops

Subgrade 3b Moderate Quality Agricultural Land

Land capable of producing moderate yields of a narrow range of crops principally cereals and grass or lower yields of a wider range of crops or high yields of grass which can be grazed or harvested over most of the year

Grade 4 Poor Quality Agricultural Land

Land with severe limitations which significantly restrict the range of crops and/or the level of yields. It is mainly suited to grass with occasional arable crops (eg cereals and forage crops) the yields of which are variable. In moist climates yields of grass may be moderate to high but there may be difficulties in utilisation. The grade also includes very droughty arable land.

Grade 5 Very Poor Quality Agricultural Land

Land with severe limitations which restrict use to permanent pasture or rough grazing except for occasional pioneer forage crops

Urban

Built up or 'hard' uses with relatively little potential for a return to agriculture including housing industry commerce education transport religous buildings cemetries. Also hard surfaced sports facilities permanent caravan sites and vacant land all types of derelict land including mineral workings which are only likely to be reclaimed using derelict land grants

Non-agricultural

'Soft' uses where most of the land could be returned relatively easily to agriculture including private parkland public open spaces sports fields allotments and soft surfaced areas on airports Also active mineral workings and refuse tips where restoration conditions to 'soft' after uses may apply

Woodland

Includes commercial and non-commercial woodland A distinction may be made as necessary between farm and non-farm woodland

Agricultural Buildings

Includes the normal range of agricultural buildings as well as other relatively permanent structures such as glasshouses Temporary structures (eg polythene tunnels erected for lambing) may be ignored

Open Water

Includes lakes ponds and rivers as map scale permits

Land Not Surveyed

Agricultural land which has not been surveyed

Where the land use includes more than one of the above eg buildings in large grounds and where map scale permits the cover types may be shown separately Otherwise the most extensive cover type will be shown

APPENDIX II

FIELD ASSESSMENT OF SOIL WETNESS CLASS

SOIL WETNESS CLASSIFICATION

Soil wetness is classified according to the depth and duration of waterlogging in the soil profile. Six soil wetness classes are identified and are defined in the table below

Definition of Soil Wetness Classes

Wetness Class	Duration of Waterlogging ¹
I	The soil profile is not wet within 70 cm depth for more than 30 days in most years 2
Π	The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for 31-90 days in most years or if there is no slowly permeable layer within 80 cm depth it is wet within 70 cm for more than 90 days but only wet within 40 cm depth for 30 days in most years
ш	The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for 91-180 days in most years or if there is no slowly permeable layer present within 80 cm depth it is wet within 70 cm for more than 180 days but only wet within 40 cm depth for between 31 90 days in most years
IV	The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for more than 180 days but not wet within 40 cm depth for more than 210 days in most years or if there is no slowly permeable layer present within 80 cm depth it is wet within 40 cm depth for 91-210 days in most years
v	The soil profile is wet within 40 cm depth for 211-335 days in most years
VI	The soil profile is wet within 40 cm depth for more than 335 days in most years

Soils can be allocated to a wetness class on the basis of quantitative data recorded over a period of many years or by the interpretation of soil profile characteristics site and climatic factors. Adequate quantitative data will rarely be available for ALC surveys and therefore the interpretative method of field assessment is used to identify soil wetness class in the field. The method adopted here is common to ADAS and the SSLRC

¹The number of days specified is not necessarily a continuous period

² In most years is defined as more than 10 out of 20 years

APPENDIX III

SOIL PIT AND SOIL BORING DESCRIPTIONS

Contents

Soil Abbreviations - Explanatory Note

Soil Pit Descriptions

Database Printout - Boring Level Information

Database Printout - Horizon Level Information

SOIL PROFILE DESCRIPTIONS EXPLANATORY NOTE

Soil pit and auger boring information collected during ALC fieldwork is held on a computer database. This uses notations and abbreviations as set out below

Boring Header Information

- 1 GRID REF national 100 km grid square and 8 figure grid reference
- 2 USE Land use at the time of survey The following abbreviations are used

ARA	Arable	WHT	Wheat	BAR	Barley
CER	Cereals	OAT	Oats	MZE	Maize
OSR	Oilseed rape	BEN	Field Beans	BRA	Brassicae
POT	Potatoes	SBT	Sugar Beet	FCD	Fodder Crops
LIN	Linseed	FRT	Soft and Top Fruit	FLW	Fallow
PGR	Permanent Pasture	eLEY	Ley Grass	RGR	Rough Grazing
SCR	Scrub	CFW	Coniferous Woodland	DCW	Deciduous Wood
HTH	Heathland	BOG	Bog or Marsh	FLW	Fallow
PLO	Ploughed	SAS	Set aside	ОТН	Other
HRT	Horticultural Cro	DS .			

- 3 **GRDNT** Gradient as estimated or measured by a hand held optical clinometer
- 4 GLEY/SPL Depth in centimetres (cm) to gleying and/or slowly permeable layers
- 5 AP (WHEAT/POTS) Crop adjusted available water capacity
- 6 **MB (WHEAT/POTS)** Moisture Balance (Crop adjusted AP crop adjusted MD)
- 7 **DRT** Best grade according to soil droughtiness
- 8 If any of the following factors are considered significant 'Y' will be entered in the relevant column

MRELMicrorelief limitationFLOODFlood riskEROSNSoil erosion riskEXPExposure limitationFROSTFrost proneDISTDisturbed landCHEMChemical limitation

9 LIMIT The main limitation to land quality The following abbreviations are used

OC	Overall Climate	AE	Aspect	EX	Exposure
FR	Frost Risk	GR	Gradient	MR	Microrelief
FL	Flood Risk	ТХ	Topsoil Texture	DP	Soil Depth
CH	Chemical	WE	Wetness	WK	Workability
DR	Drought	ER	Erosion Risk	WD	Soil Wetness/Droughtiness
ST	Topsoil Stonine	SS			•

05 94

Soil Pits and Auger Borings

1 **TEXTURE** soil texture classes are denoted by the following abbreviations

3

S	Sand	LS	Loamy Saud	Sl	Sandy I ohm
SZL	Sandy Silt Loam	CL	Clay Leam	ZCL	Sity Chy form
ZL	Silt I oam	SCL	Sandy Ciay Loam	C	Сну
SC	Sandy Clay	ZC	Silty Clay	OL	Organic Lorm
P	Peat	SP	Sandy Peat	LP	Loam, Peau
PL	Peaty Loam	PS	Peaty SanJ	MZ	M time Ligit Silts

For the sand loarny sand sandy loarn and sandy silt loan classes the p edominant size of sand fraction will be indicated by the use of the following prefixes

- **F** Fine (more than 66% of the same less than 0.2mm)
- M Medium (less than 66% fire sand and less than 33% coarse sand)
- C Coarse (more than 33% of the shid larger than 0 6mm)

The clay loam and silty clay loam classes will be sub-divided according to the clay content M Medium ($\leq 27\%$ clay) H Heavy (27-35% clay)

- 2 MOTTLE COL Mottle colour using Mursell notation
- 3 MOTTLE ABUN Mottle abundance expressed as a purcentage of the matrix or surface described
 - F few <2% C common 2 20% M nany 20 40% VM zery many 40% +
- 4 MOTTLE CONI Mottle contrast
 - F faint indistinct metties evident only on close inspection
 - D distinct mottles are readily seen
 - P prominent mol ling is conspicuous and one of the outstanding features of the horizon
- 5 PED COL Ped face colour using Munsell notation
- 6 GLEY If the son horizon is gloyed a Y will uppear in this column. If Jughtly gleyed an S will appear
- 7 STONE LITH Stone Lithology One of the following is used

IIRall hard rocks at distonesSLS1soft politic or dolimitic time toneCHchalkFSS1soft ine grained sandstoneZRsoft argillaceous of silty rocks GHgravel with non porou(held) atomsMSS1soft mediam grained sandstone GSgravel with porous (soft) stonesSIsoft weathered igneous/netnorphic rock

Stone contents (>2cm >6cm and total) are given in percentages (by volume)

05.94

8 STRUCT the degree of development size and shape of soil peds are described using the following notation

degree of development	WK weakly developed ST strongly developed	MD moderately developed
ped size	F fine	M medium
	C coarse	VC very coarse
ped shape	S single grain	M massive
	GR granular	AB angular blocky
	SAB sub-angular blocky	PR prismatic
	PL platy	

9 **CONSIST** Soil consistence is described using the following notation

L loose VF very friable FR friable FM firm VM very firm EM extremely firm EH extremely hard

- 10 SUBS STR Subsoil structural condition recorded for the purpose of calculating profile droughtiness G good M moderate P poor
- 11 **POR** Soil porosity If a soil horizon has less than 0.5% biopores >0.5 mm a 'Y' will appear in this column
- 12 IMP If the profile is impenetrable to rooting a 'Y' will appear in this column at the appropriate horizon
- 13 SPL Slowly permeable layer If the soil horizon is slowly permeable a 'Y' will appear in this column
- 14 CALC If the soil horizon is calcareous a 'Y' will appear in this column

15 Other notations *

- **APW** available water capacity (in mm) adjusted for wheat
- **APP** available water capacity (in mm) adjusted for potatoes
- MBW moisture balance wheat
- **MBP** moisture balance potatoes

SOIL PIT DESCRIPTION

Site Name	e MAIDST	ONE LP SI	TE 23		Pit Nu	mber	1	Р							
Grid Refi	erence TQ	75805400	Averag Accumu Field Land I Slope	ge Annu ulated Capaci Jse and As	al Rair Tempera ty Leve pect	nfall ature el	694 mm 1450 degree days 141 days Rough Grazing 02 degrees W								
HORIZON	TEXTURE	COLOUR	STO	NES >2	TOT S	FONE	LITH	MOTTLES	STRUCTURE	CONSIST	SUBSTRUCTURE	CALC			
0- 20 ZL 10YR42 0		00	0	0											
20 28	20 28 MCL 10YR53 0		00	0	7		HR		м	м					
28 50	С	10YR44 (00	0	60		HR				Р				
Wetness	Grade 1		Wetne	ss Clas	s	I									
			Gleyı	ng			CM								
i			\$PL			No	SPL								
Drought	Grade 38	3	APW	071mm	MBW	4	6 mm								
			APP	071mm	M8P	4	3 mm								
FINAL AL	.C GRADE	3B													

MAIN LIMITATION Droughtiness

í

program ALCO11 COMPLETE LIST OF PROFILES 11/11/94 MAIDSTONE LP SITE 23

page 1

-					MOTTLES	5	PED		S	TONES		STRUCT/	SUB	s				
	SAMPLE	DEPTH	TEXTURE	COLOUR	COL ABUN	CONT	COL	GLEY >2	>6	5 LITH	TOT	CONSIST	STR	POR	IMP SI	PL CALC		
_	19	0-20	zl	10YR42 00				C	()	ο							
		20-28	mc]	10YR53 00				C	() hr	7		М					
		28-50	с	10YR44 00				C) () hr	60		Ρ				PIT TO	50
	2	0-20	-1	107643 00				c	. J	าบอ	2							
	2	0-20	41	101845 00							-							04440000040
		20-45	mzc I	101854 00				Ĺ		ј нк	5		М				1MP 45	SANDSTUNE
_	3	0-25	zl	10YR43 00				C) (O HR	2							
		25-40	mzcl	10YR54 00				C) (0 HR	5		Μ				IMP 40	SANDSTONE
	4	0-25	zl	10YR44 00				()	0 HR	2						IMP 25	SANDSTONE
	5	0 20	zl	10YR43 00				()	0 HR	2						IMP 20	SANDSTONE
	8	0 25	zì	10YR42 00				ſ)	0	0						IMP 25	SANDSTONE
-	9	0 20	mzcl	10YR43 00	10YR58 00 F			(כ	0 HR	2						IMP 20	SANDSTONE
				10000000000					~	0 UD	-						110D 00	
	13	0 20	mzci	TUYR43 00				I	J	и нк	5						1MP 20	J SANUSTURE
-	14	0.22		100043 00					n	∩ нр	5						TMD 22	
	1-4	0 22	ilke i	101845 00					~	U 111	5						110 22	
	15	0 20	mcl	10YR43 00				I	0	0 HR	5						IMP 20) SANDSTONE

- --- ---- ------ ----

SAMP	_E	A	SPECT				WÊTI	VESS	WHE	EAT-	-P0	TS	М	REL	EROSN	FROST	CHEM	ALC			
NO	GRID REF	USE		GRDNT	GLEY	SPL	CLASS	GRADE	AP	MB	AP	MB	DRT	FLOOD	EX	P DIST	LIMIT		COMMEN	rs	
1P	TQ75805400	RGR	W	02			1	1	071	46	071	43	3B				DR	3B	ROOTING	TO 50	0
2	TQ75805420	PGR					1	1	086	-31	086	28	38				DR	38	IMP 45	SEE	۱P
3	TQ75705408	PGR					1	1	081	36	081	-33	38				DR	3B	IMP 40	SEE	1P
4	TQ75805410	PGR					1	1	056	61	056	-58	4				DR	3B	IMP 25	SEE	1P
5	TQ75905410	PGR					ו	1	045	-72	045	-69	4				DR	3B	IMP 20	SEE	1P
8	TQ75705400	RGR	М	02			٦	1	058	-59	058	-56	4				DR	ЗB	IMP 25	SEE	۱P
9	TQ75805400	PGR					1	1	037	-80	037	-77	4				DR	3B	IMP 20	SEE	1P
13	TQ75705390	RGR	М	02			1	1	036	~81	036	-78	4				DR	3B	IMP 20	SEE	1P
14	TQ75805390	ŔGR	W	02			1	1	038	-79	038	-76	4				DR	ЗB	IMP 20	SEE	1P
15	TQ75905390	RGR	W	01			1	1	034	83	034	80	4				DR	3B	IMP 20	SEE	1P

page 1