A1
Lewes District Local Plan
Site 18: Land at Barcombe Cross
ALC Map & Report,
May 1995

AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION REPORT

LEWES DISTRICT LOCAL PLAN SITE 18: LAND AT BARCOMBE CROSS

1. Summary

- 1.1 ADAS was commissioned by MAFF's Land Use Planning Unit to provide information on land quality for a number of sites in the Lewes District of East Sussex. The work forms part of MAFF's statutory input to the preparation of the Lewes District Local Plan.
- 1.2 The site comprises approximately 2.4 hectares of land on the south eastern side of the village of Barcombe Cross, 4 km north of Lewes. An Agricultural Land Classification (ALC) survey was carried out in May 1995. The survey was undertaken at a detailed level of approximately one boring per hectare of agricultural land surveyed. A total of 4 auger borings and one soil inspection pit were described in accordance with MAFF's revised guidelines and criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land, (MAFF, 1988). These guidelines provide a framework for classifying land according to the extent to which its physical or chemical characteristics impose a long term limitation on its use for agriculture.
- 1.3 The survey work was carried out by members of the Resource Planning Team in the Guildford Statutory Group of ADAS.
- 1.4 At the time of survey, the two fields comprising the agricultural land were under grass. The central part of the site was occupied by a house and outbuildings some of which were used for business purposes.
- 1.5 The distribution of grades and subgrades is shown on the attached ALC map and the areas are given in the table below. The map has been drawn at a scale of 1:10,000. It is accurate at this scale, but any enlargement would be misleading.

Table 1: Distribution of Grades and Subgrades

Grade	Area (ha)	% of Site	% of Agricultural Land
3a	0.3	12.5	16.7
3b	1.5	62.5	<u>83.3</u>
Urban	<u>0.6</u>	<u>25.0</u>	100.0 (1.8 ha)
Total area of site	2.4	100.0	` ,

- 1.6 Appendix 1 gives a general description of the grades, subgrades and land use categories identified in this survey. The main classes are described in terms of the type of limitation that can occur, the typical cropping range and expected level and consistency of yield.
- 1.7 The majority of the agricultural land on the site has been classified as Subgrade 3b, moderate quality agricultural land. A small area of good quality agricultural land,

Subgrade 3a has been mapped at the northern end of the site. The major limitation associated with the majority of the site is soil wetness due to the presence of slowly permeable fine loamy over clayey soils. The interaction between these soils and the relatively moist climatic conditions that prevail in the area, mean that the land will suffer prolonged waterlogging during the wetter periods of the year restricting the period that it can be worked without causing structural damage, thereby limiting the land quality to Subgrade 3b. The main limitation associated with the better land at the north of the site is soil droughtiness. The soils in this area have fine loamy upper horizons over a sandy lower subsoil. Moisture reserves in these soils will therefore be limited, resulting in droughty conditions during the drier parts of the year and thereby restricting the land quality to Subgrade 3a.

2. Climate

- 2.1 The climatic criteria are considered first when classifying land as climate can be overriding in the sense that severe climatic limitations will restrict land to low grades irrespective of favourable site or soil conditions.
- 2.2 The main parameters used in the assessment of an overall climatic limitation are average annual rainfall, as a measure of overall wetness, and accumulated temperature, as a measure of the relative warmth of a locality.
- A detailed assessment of the prevailing climate was made by interpolation from a 5km grid point dataset (Met. Office, 1989). The details are given in the table below and these show that there is no overall climatic limitation affecting the site.
- 2.4 However, climatic factors do interact with soil factors to influence soil wetness and droughtiness limitations. The climate at this location is relatively warm and moist in a regional context and therefore the likelihood of a wetness or droughtiness limitation may be enhanced depending on the soil conditions.
- 2.4 No local climatic factors such as exposure or frost risk are believed to affect the site significantly.

Table 2: Climatic Interpolations

Grid Reference	TQ 418 157					
Altitude (m)	20					
Accumulated Temperature (Day °C, Jan-June)	1510					
Average Annual Rainfall (mm)	813					
Field Capacity (days)	173					
Moisture Deficit, Wheat (mm)	110					
Moisture Deficit, Potatoes (mm)	105					
Overall Climatic Grade	1					

3. Relief

3.1 The site is located on the south western side of the village of Barcombe Cross between the village development and a disused railway line. The altitude of the site ranges from approximately 25-30 m AOD and generally slopes toward the south west. Gradients on the site are relatively gentle, ranging from 2-4° over the southern part of the site and almost flat over the northern part. Relief and altitude therefore do not impose any limitation on the agricultural quality of the area.

4. Geology and Soil

- 4.1 The published geological map (BGS, 1979) shows the entire site to be underlain by Weald Clay.
- 4.2 The published Soil Survey map (SSEW, 1983) shows the soils on the site to comprise those of the Waterstock association. These soils are deep fine loamy, gleyic, argillic brown earths with ochreous mottling in the subsoil. Immediately to the west of the site soils of the Wickham 5 association have been mapped which comprise 'slowly permeable seasonally waterlogged fine loamy over clayey soils, with some coarse loamy soils with slowly permeable subsoils and slight seasonal waterlogging over sandstone'.
- 4.3 Detailed field examination showed two distinct soil types on the site. Over the majority of the area fine loamy over clayey soils were found. These soils typically have a medium clay loam topsoil over a faintly mottled heavy clay loam upper subsoil. Below 35-40 cm depth of the subsoil is a stoneless, strongly mottled clay with a coarse prismatic structure. These soils have slowly permeable lower subsoils and have been assessed as Wetness Class III or IV.
- 4.4 In the small field at the northern end of the site, fine loamy over sandy soils were mapped. The soil profile in this field had a medium clay loam topsoil over a faintly mottled sandy clay loam upper subsoil. Below 55 cm depth the subsoil texture became a loamy sand, with distinct ochreous mottles and clay lenses. Impenetrable rock was encountered at 80 cm depth.

5. Agricultural Land Classification

5.1 The location of the soil observation points are shown on the attached sample point map.

Subgrade 3a

5.2 The land in the small field at the northern end of the site has been classified as Subgrade 3a due to a moderate droughtiness limitation. The soils in this field have fine loamy upper horizons over a sandy lower subsoil overlying rock. Moisture reserves in these soils will therefore be limited and moisture balance calculations indicate that the interaction between these soils and the climatic conditions that prevail in the area will result in a moderate droughtiness limitation restricting the land to this subgrade.

Subgrade 3b

5.3 The southern part of the site has been classified as Subgrade 3b due to a significant wetness limitation as a result of the interaction between the heavy textured soils and the relatively moist climatic conditions that prevail in the area. The soils have been assessed as Wetness Class III or IV due to the presence of slowly permeable lower subsoil horizons which will result in prolonged periodic waterlogging. This wetness in association with the fine loamy topsoil textures makes the land very susceptible to structural damage through trafficking by agricultural machinery or poaching by livestock and thus the timing and frequency of such operations must be carefully controlled to prevent damage.

ADAS Ref: 4105/035/95 MAFF Ref: EL41/00232

Resource Planning Team Guildford Statutory Group ADAS Reading

SOURCES OF REFERENCE

British Geological Survey (1979), Sheet No 319, Lewes, 1:50,000 Series (solid and drift edition)

MAFF, (1988), Agricultural Land Classification of England and Wales: Revised guidelines and criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land.

Meteorological Office (1989), Climatological Data for Agricultural Land Classification.

Soil Survey of England and Wales (1983), Sheet 6, Soils of South East England, 1:250,000 and accompanying legend.

APPENDIX I

DESCRIPTION OF THE GRADES AND SUBGRADES

Grade 1: Excellent Quality Agricultural Land

Land with no or very minor limitations to agricultural use. A very wide range of agricultural and horticultural crops can be grown and commonly includes top fruit, soft fruit, salad crops and winter harvested vegetables. Yields are high and less variable than on land of lower quality.

Grade 2: Very Good Quality Agricultural Land

Land with minor limitations which affect crop yield, cultivations or harvesting. A wide range of agricultural or horticultural crops can usually be grown but on some land of this grade there may be reduced flexibility due to difficulties with the production of the more demanding crops such as winter harvested vegetables and arable root crops. The level of yield is generally high but may be lower or more variable than Grade 1 land.

Grade 3: Good to Moderate Quality Land

Land with moderate limitations which affect the choice of crops, the timing and type of cultivation, harvesting or the level of yield. When more demanding crops are grown, yields are generally lower or more variable than on land in Grades 1 and 2.

Subgrade 3a: Good Quality Agricultural Land

Land capable of consistently producing moderate to high yields of a narrow range of arable crops, especially cereals, or moderate yields of a wide range of crops including cereals, grass, oilseed rape, potatoes, sugar beet and the less demanding horticultural crops.

Subgrade 3b: Moderate Quality Agricultural Land

Land capable of producing moderate yields of a narrow range of crops, principally cereals and grass, or lower yields of a wider range of crops or high yields of grass which can be grazed or harvested over most of the year.

Grade 4: Poor Quality Agricultural Land

Land with severe limitations which significantly restrict the range of crops and/or the level of yields. It is mainly suited to grass with occasional arable crops (eg. cereals and forage crops) the yields of which are variable. In moist climates, yields of grass may be moderate to high but there may be difficulties in utilisation. The grade also includes very droughty arable land.

Grade 5: Very Poor Quality Agricultural Land

Land with severe limitations which restrict use to permanent pasture or rough grazing, except for occasional pioneer forage crops.

Urban

Built-up or 'hard' uses with relatively little potential for a return to agriculture including: housing, industry, commerce, education, transport, religous buildings, cemetries. Also, hard-surfaced sports facilities, permanent caravan sites and vacant land; all types of derelict land, including mineral workings which are only likely to be reclaimed using derelict land grants.

Non-agricultural

'Soft' uses where most of the land could be returned relatively easily to agriculture, including: private parkland, public open spaces, sports fields, allotments and soft-surfaced areas on airports. Also active mineral workings and refuse tips where restoration conditions to 'soft' after-uses may apply.

Woodland

Includes commercial and non-commercial woodland. A distinction may be made as necessary between farm and non-farm woodland.

Agricultural Buildings

Includes the normal range of agricultural buildings as well as other relatively permanent structures such as glasshouses. Temporary structures (eg. polythene tunnels erected for lambing) may be ignored.

Open Water

Includes lakes, ponds and rivers as map scale permits.

Land Not Surveyed

Agricultural land which has not been surveyed.

Where the land use includes more than one of the above, eg. buildings in large grounds, and where map scale permits, the cover types may be shown separately. Otherwise, the most extensive cover type will be shown.

APPENDIX II

FIELD ASSESSMENT OF SOIL WETNESS CLASS

SOIL WETNESS CLASSIFICATION

Soil wetness is classified according to the depth and duration of waterlogging in the soil profile. Six soil wetness classes are identified and are defined in the table below.

Definition of Soil Wetness Classes

Wetness Class	Duration of Waterlogging ¹								
I	The soil profile is not wet within 70 cm depth for more than 30 days in most years. ²								
II ·	The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for 31-90 days in most years or, if there is no slowly permeable layer within 80 cm depth, it is wet within 70 cm for more than 90 days, but only wet within 40 cm depth for 30 days in most years.								
ш	The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for 91-180 days in most years or, if there is no slowly permeable layer present within 80 cm depth, it is wet within 70 cm for more than 180 days, but only wet within 40 cm depth for between 31-90 days in most years.								
IV	The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for more than 180 days but not wet within 40 cm depth for more than 210 days in most years or, if there is no slowly permeable layer present within 80 cm depth, it is wet within 40 cm depth for 91-210 days in most years.								
V	The soil profile is wet within 40 cm depth for 211-335 days in most years.								
VI	The soil profile is wet within 40 cm depth for more than 335 days in most years.								

Soils can be allocated to a wetness class on the basis of quantitative data recorded over a period of many years or by the interpretation of soil profile characteristics, site and climatic factors. Adequate quantitative data will rarely be available for ALC surveys and therefore the interpretative method of field assessment is used to identify soil wetness class in the field. The method adopted here is common to ADAS and the SSLRC.

¹The number of days specified is not necessarily a continuous period.

²'In most years' is defined as more than 10 out of 20 years.

APPENDIX III

SOIL PIT AND SOIL BORING DESCRIPTIONS

Contents:

Soil Abbreviations - Explanatory Note

Soil Pit Descriptions

Database Printout - Boring Level Information

Database Printout - Horizon Level Information

SOIL PROFILE DESCRIPTIONS: EXPLANATORY NOTE

Soil pit and auger boring information collected during ALC fieldwork is held on a computer database. This uses notations and abbreviations as set out below.

Boring Header Information

- 1. GRID REF: national 100 km grid square and 8 figure grid reference.
- 2. USE: Land use at the time of survey. The following abbreviations are used.

ARA: Arable WHT: Wheat BAR: Barley CER: Cereals OAT: Oats MZE: Maize BEN: OSR: Oilseed rape Field Beans BRA: Brassicae POT: Potatoes SBT: Sugar Beet FCD: Fodder Crops LIN: Linseed FRT: Soft and Top Fruit FLW: Fallow

PGR: Permanent PastureLEY: Ley Grass RGR: Rough Grazing SCR: Scrub CFW: Coniferous Woodland DCW: Deciduous Wood

HTH: Heathland BOG: Bog or Marsh FLW: Fallow PLO: Ploughed SAS: Set aside OTH: Other

HRT: Horticultural Crops

- 3. GRDNT: Gradient as estimated or measured by a hand-held optical clinometer.
- 4. GLEY/SPL: Depth in centimetres (cm) to gleying and/or slowly permeable layers.
- 5. AP (WHEAT/POTS): Crop-adjusted available water capacity.
- 6. MB (WHEAT/POTS): Moisture Balance. (Crop adjusted AP crop adjusted MD)
- 7. DRT: Best grade according to soil droughtiness.
- 8. If any of the following factors are considered significant, 'Y' will be entered in the relevant column.

MREL: Microrelief limitation FLOOD: Flood risk EROSN: Soil erosion risk EXP: Exposure limitation FROST: Frost prone DIST: Disturbed land

CHEM: Chemical limitation

9. LIMIT: The main limitation to land quality. The following abbreviations are used.

OC: Overall Climate AE: Aspect EX: Exposure
FR: Frost Risk GR: Gradient MR: Microrelief
FL: Flood Risk TX: Topsoil Texture DP: Soil Depth
CH: Chemical WE: Wetness WK: Workability

DR: Drought ER: Erosion Risk WD: Soil Wetness/Droughtiness

ST: Topsoil Stoniness

Soil Pits and Auger Borings

1. TEXTURE: soil texture classes are denoted by the following abbreviations.

S: Sand LS: Loamy Sand SL: Sandy Loam SZL: Sandy Silt Loam CL: Clay Loam ZCL: Silty Clay Loam

ZL: Silt Loam SCL: Sandy Clay Loam C: Clay

SC: Sandy Clay **ZC**: Silty Clay OL: Organic Loam **P**: Peat SP: Sandy Peat LP: Loamy Peat PL: Peaty Loam Peaty Sand PS: MZ: Marine Light Silts

For the sand, loamy sand, sandy loam and sandy silt loam classes, the predominant size of sand fraction will be indicated by the use of the following prefixes:

F: Fine (more than 66% of the sand less than 0.2mm)

M: Medium (less than 66% fine sand and less than 33% coarse sand)

C: Coarse (more than 33% of the sand larger than 0.6mm)

The clay loam and silty clay loam classes will be sub-divided according to the clay content: M: Medium (<27% clay) H: Heavy (27-35% clay)

- 2. MOTTLE COL: Mottle colour using Munsell notation.
- 3. MOTTLE ABUN: Mottle abundance, expressed as a percentage of the matrix or surface described.

F: few <2% C: common 2-20% M: many 20-40% VM: very many 40% +

4. MOTTLE CONT : Mottle contrast

F: faint - indistinct mottles, evident only on close inspection

D: distinct - mottles are readily seen

P: prominent - mottling is conspicuous and one of the outstanding features of the

horizon

- 5. PED. COL: Ped face colour using Munsell notation.
- 6. GLEY: If the soil horizon is gleyed a 'Y' will appear in this column. If slightly gleyed, an 'S' will appear.
- 7. STONE LITH: Stone Lithology One of the following is used.

HR: all hard rocks and stones SLST: soft oolitic or dolimitic limestone

CH: chalk FSST: soft, fine grained sandstone

ZR: soft, argillaceous, or silty rocks GH: gravel with non-porous (hard) stones

MSST: soft, medium grained sandstone GS: gravel with porous (soft) stones

SI: soft weathered igneous/metamorphic rock

Stone contents (>2cm, >6cm and total) are given in percentages (by volume).

8. STRUCT: the degree of development, size and shape of soil peds are described using the following notation:

degree of development WK: weakly developed

MD: moderately developed

ST: strongly developed

ped size F: fine

C : coarse

M: medium VC: very coarse

ped shape

S : single grain

M: massive

GR: granular

AB: angular blocky

SAB: sub-angular blocky

PR: prismatic

PL: platy

9. CONSIST: Soil consistence is described using the following notation:

L: loose VF: very friable FR: friable

FM: firm VM

VM: very firm

EM: extremely firm

EH: extremely hard

10. SUBS.STR: Subsoil structural condition recorded for the purpose of calculating profile droughtiness: G: good M: moderate P: poor

- 11. POR: Soil porosity. If a soil horizon has less than 0.5% biopores >0.5 mm, a 'Y' will appear in this column.
- 12. IMP: If the profile is impenetrable to rooting a 'Y' will appear in this column at the appropriate horizon.
- 13. SPL: Slowly permeable layer. If the soil horizon is slowly permeable a 'Y' will appear in this column.
- 14. CALC: If the soil horizon is calcareous, a 'Y' will appear in this column.
- 15. Other notations

APW: available water capacity (in mm) adjusted for wheat

APP: available water capacity (in mm) adjusted for potatoes

MBW: moisture balance, wheat MBP: moisture balance, potatoes

SOIL PIT DESCRIPTION

Site Name: LEWES LP, SITE 18

Pit Number: 1P

Grid Reference: TQ41881570 Average Annual Rainfall: 813 mm

Accumulated Temperature: 1510 degree days

Field Capacity Level : 173 days

: Permanent Grass

Slope and Aspect

: 02 degrees W

HORIZON	TEXTURE	COLOUR	STONES >2	TOT.STONE	LITH	MOTTLES	STRUCTURE	CONSIST	SUBSTRUCTURE	CALC
0- 25	MCL	10YR43 00	1	3	HR					
25- 45	С	10YR54 00	0	0		С	STCAB	FM	м	
45-120	С	25Y 73 00	0	0		М	STCPR	FM	Р	

Wetness Grade : 3A

Wetness Class : III

Gleying

:045 cm

SPL

:025 cm

Drought Grade : 2

APW: 131mm MBW: 21 mm

APP: 108mm MBP: 3 mm

FINAL ALC GRADE : 3A MAIN LIMITATION : Wetness rogram: ALC012

LIST OF BORINGS HEADERS 14/08/95 LEWES LP, SITE 18

page 1

MPLE ASPECT --WETNESS-- -WHEAT- -POTS- M. REL EROSN FROST CHEM ALC D. GRID REF USE GRONT GLEY SPL CLASS GRADE AP MB AP MB DRT FLOOD EXP DIST LIMIT COMMENTS 3B 1 TQ41801580 PGR SW 04 037 037 4 000 0 000 WE 3B SL. GLEY 25 0 1P TQ41881570 PGR W 02 045 025 3 3A 131 21 108 3 2 WE 3A 2 TQ41801570 PGR SW 04 055 055 3 3A 000 0 000 0 WE 3A SL. GLEY 27 3 TQ41881586 PGR SW 03 035 035 4 3B 125 15 103 -2 2 WE 3B SL. GLEY 25 4 TQ41861586 PGR NW 01 055 2 2 099 -11 100 -5 3A DR 3A SL. GLEY 30 pragram: ALCO11

					10TTLES	;	PED			S	TONES	-	STRUCT	/ S	SUBS	3			
AMPLE	DEPTH	TEXTURE	COLOUR	COL	ABUN	CONT	COL.	GLEY	>2	>6	LITH	TOT	CONSIST	5	ΣTR	POR	IMP	SPL.	CALC
1	0-25	mc1	10YR43 00						2	0	HR	5							
	25~37	hc1	10YR54 00	10YR6	5 00 F			S	0	0		0			M				
_	37-120	С	25Y 63 00	10YR6	3 71 M			Y	0	0		0			P			Y	
1P	0-25	mc1	10YR43 00						1	0	HR	3							
_	25-45	С	10YR54 00		5 00 C			s	0	0		0	STCAB	FM	М			Υ	
1	45-120	с	25Y 73 00			2	25Y 63	00 Y	0	0		0	STCPR	FM	Ρ			Y	
■ ₂	0~27	mc1	10YR43 00						1	0	HR	3							
_	27-55	hc1	10YR54 00	00MN0	00 F			\$	0	0	HR	3			М				
Ŧ	55-80	С	25Y 63 00	75YR6	3 61 M			Y	0	0		0			P			Υ	
•	80-120	С	25Y 72 00	75YR6	B 00 M			Y	0	0		0			P			Y	
3	0-25	mc1	10YR33 00						3	0	HR	6							
ı	25-35	hc1	10YR54 00	75YR5	3 00 C			s	0	0	HŘ	3			М				
_	35-60	С	10YR63 00	10YR6	3 61 M			Υ	0	0		0			Р			Υ	
	60-120	С	05Y 71 00	75YR5	3 00 M			Y	0	0	HR	3			Р			Y	
4	0-30	mc1	10YR33 00						0	0	HR	4							
	30-55	scl	10YR54 00	10YR6	5 00 C			S	0	0		0			М				
	55-120	โตร	10YR64 00	10YR6	3 00 C			Υ	0	0		0			М				