

8FCS 4707E

23/98

Sahara Sandpit, Bromham
Agricultural Land Classification
April 1998

Resource Planning Team
Bristol
FRCA Western Region

Job Number 23/98

MAFF Ref: EL45/00051



SAHARA SANDPIT, BROMHAM
AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION SURVEY
AND STATEMENT OF SITE PHYSICAL CHARACTERISTICS

CONTENTS

| | Page |
|---|-------------|
| INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| SUMMARY | 1 |
| CLIMATE | 1 |
| RELIEF | 2 |
| GEOLOGY AND SOILS | 2 |
| AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION AND MAP | 2 |
| SOIL RESOURCES AND MAP | 3 |
| RESTORATION | 4 |
| REFERENCES | 5 |
| APPENDIX I Description of the Grades and Subgrades | 6 |
| APPENDIX II Definition of Soil Wetness Classes | 8 |
| APPENDIX III Survey Data: | 9 |
| Sample Point Location Map | |
| Pit Descriptions | |
| Boring Profile Data | |
| Boring Horizon Data | |
| Abbreviations and Terms used in Survey Data | |

SAHARA SANDPIT, BROMHAM

AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION SURVEY AND STATEMENT OF SITE PHYSICAL CHARACTERISTICS

INTRODUCTION

1. This report presents the findings of a detailed Agricultural Land Classification (ALC) survey of 12.8 ha of land adjacent to the current Sahara Sandpit on Sandridge Hill, Melksham. Field survey was based on 12 auger borings and 1 soil profile pit, and was completed in March 1998. During the survey 2 samples were analysed for particle size distribution (PSD).
2. The survey was conducted by the Resource Planning Team of FRCA Western Region on behalf of MAFF in its statutory role in the preparation of the Wiltshire Minerals Plan.
3. Information on climate, geology and soils, and from previous ALC surveys was considered and is presented in the relevant section. Apart from the published regional ALC map (MAFF, 1977), which shows the site at a reconnaissance scale as Grade 3, the site had not been surveyed previously. However, the current survey uses the Revised Guidelines and Criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land (MAFF, 1988) and supersedes any previous ALC survey. Grade descriptions are summarised in Appendix I.
4. At the time of survey land cover was market gardening and maize stubble, with a small field of grass for grazing.

SUMMARY

5. The distribution of ALC grades is shown on the accompanying 1:10 000 scale ALC map. The detail of information shown at this scale is appropriate to the intensity of field survey but could be misleading if enlarged or applied to small areas. Areas are summarised in the Table 1.

Table 1: Distribution of ALC grades: Sahara Sandpit

| Grade | Area (ha) | % Surveyed Area (12.8 ha) |
|-----------------|-----------|---------------------------|
| 3a | 12.8 | 100 |
| Total site area | 12.8 | - |

6. The whole of the site has been mapped as Subgrade 3a with a moderate drought limitation.

CLIMATE

7. Estimates of climatic variables for this site were derived from the published agricultural climate dataset "Climatological Data for Agricultural Land Classification" (Meteorological Office, 1989) using standard interpolation procedures. Data for key points around the site are given in Table 2 below.

information shown at this scale is appropriate to the intensity of field survey but could be misleading if enlarged or applied to small areas.

Subgrade 3a

14. The whole of the site has been mapped as Subgrade 3a with a moderate drought limitation. The profiles are uniformly loamy medium sand topsoils over medium sand subsoils, confirmed by PSD, which are well drained and were assessed as Wetness Class I (see Appendix II). Clay and sandy clay lenses were found in the subsoils of two isolated profiles which may be gleyed and have a low porosity but which do not cause a primary limitation.

SOIL RESOURCES

15. The site consists of uniform soil types, shown as one Soil Unit on the attached map of soil resources. This is not a soil stripping map but is intended to illustrate the soil resources available for restoration. Topsoil and subsoil volumes for the Soil Unit are shown in Table 3.

Table 3: Soil Resources: Sahara Sandpit

| Map Unit | Depth, cm | Area, ha | Texture | Stones % | Volume, m ³ |
|----------------------|-----------|----------|--------------------------|----------|------------------------|
| Topsoil | | | | | |
| I | 0-35 | 12.8 | LMS | 0 | 44 800 |
| Total Topsoil | | | | | 44 800 m ³ |
| Subsoil | | | | | |
| I | 35-80 | 12.8 | MS (clay lenses locally) | | 57 600 |
| | 80-120 | 12.8 | MS | | 51 200 |
| Total Subsoil | | | | | 108 800 m ³ |

Soil Unit I

16. This is the only unit on the site, covering 12.8 ha and was assessed as Wetness Class I being illustrated by Pit 1.

17. The topsoil was found to be loamy medium sand, confirmed by PSD, with a fairly uniform depth of 30 cm. Colour was 10YR3/3. Consistence is friable with a weakly developed medium sub-angular blocky structure. Porosity was good and where the land use allowed it was well rooted. Abrupt smooth boundary.

18. The subsoil is medium sand, which was split into two horizons in the borings due to a colour change. In the Pit 1 there was also evidence of a change in soil structure. The upper subsoil was variable in depth, from 60 to 90 cm across the site, and was generally stone free. Colour is 10YR5/6 or 7.5YR5/6. Consistency was very friable with moderately developed coarse sub-angular blocky structure. The porosity was still good and the soil is rootable. Gradual smooth boundary.

19. The lower subsoil differs from the upper subsoil due to colour and structure. It is variable bleached grey or bright orange medium sand, 10YR72 and 78, or 7.5YR58. Structure is weakly developed coarse sub-angular blocky, tending to angular blocky, with a very friable consistence. Again the porosity and rooting are good.

20. Depths and volumes quoted should be treated with caution due to soil variability. Soil resources may extend below 120 cm.

RESTORATION

21. By making assumptions using typical profiles found on the site the minimum depth of material needed for restoration that will give a moderate drought limitation at Subgrade 3a can be calculated. The profile shown in Table 4 provides the necessary minimum water content for Subgrade 3a.

Table 4: Minimum Profile Depths

| Texture | Depth, cm | Stones, % | Structural Condition |
|------------------|-----------|-----------|----------------------|
| Profile 1 | | | |
| LMS | 35 | 0 | - |
| MS | 80 | 0 | G |
| MS | 116 | 0 | M |

22. Due to the light topsoils textures of the soil found on the site gradients after restoration may cause surface runoff and lead to surface erosion. The use of cover crops during the winter months may also be appropriate.

23. All restoration conditions depend on the quantities of material that are to be excavated and the final land level which can not be foreseen in the absence of detail proposals. The above paragraphs therefore only mention possible problems that may occur.

H Lloyd Jones
Resource Planning Team
FRCA Bristol
April 1998

REFERENCES

HODGSON, J M (Ed) (1997) Soil Survey Field Handbook. Soil Survey Technical Monograph No 5, Silsoe.

INSTITUTE OF GEOLOGICAL SCIENCES (1990) Sheet 265, Bath 1:50 000 series Solid and Drift edition. IGS, London.

MAFF (1977) 1:250 000 series Agricultural Land Classification, South West Region. MAFF Publications, Alnwick.

MAFF (1988) Agricultural Land Classification of England and Wales. Revised Guidelines and Criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land. MAFF Publications, Alnwick.

METEOROLOGICAL OFFICE (1989) Climatological Data for Agricultural Land Classification. Meteorological Office, Bracknell.

SOIL SURVEY OF ENGLAND AND WALES (1983) Sheet 5, Soils of South West England, 1:250 000 scale. SSEW, Harpenden.

SOIL SURVEY OF ENGLAND AND WALES (1984) Soils and Their Use in South West England, Bulletin No 14. SSEW, Harpenden.

APPENDIX I

DESCRIPTION OF GRADES AND SUBGRADES

Grade 1 - excellent quality agricultural land

Land with no or very minor limitations to agricultural use. A very wide range of agricultural and horticultural crops can be grown and commonly include top fruit, soft fruit, salad crops and winter harvested vegetables. Yields are high and less variable than on land of lower quality.

Grade 2 - very good quality agricultural land

Land with minor limitations which affect crop yield, cultivations or harvesting. A wide range of agricultural and horticultural crops can usually be grown but on some land in the grade there may be reduced flexibility due to difficulties with the production of the more demanding crops such as winter harvested vegetables and arable root crops. The level of yield is generally high but may be lower or more variable than Grade 1.

Grade 3 - good to moderate quality agricultural land

Land with moderate limitations which affect the choice of crops, timing and type of cultivation, harvesting or the level of yield. Where more demanding crops are grown yields are generally lower or more variable than on land in Grades 1 and 2.

Subgrade 3a - good quality agricultural land

Land capable of consistently producing moderate to high yields of a narrow range of arable crops, especially cereals, or moderate yields of a wide range of crops including cereals, grass, oilseed rape, potatoes, sugar beet and the less demanding horticultural crops.

Subgrade 3b - moderate quality agricultural land

Land capable of producing moderate yields of a narrow range of crops, principally cereals and grass, or lower yields of a wider range of crops or high yields of grass which can be grazed or harvested over most of the year.

Grade 4 - poor quality agricultural land

Land with severe limitations which significantly restrict the range of crops and/or level of yields. It is mainly suited to grass with occasional arable crops (eg cereals and forage crops) the yields of which are variable. In most climates, yields of grass may be moderate to high but there may be difficulties in utilisation. The grade also includes very droughty arable land.

Grade 5 - very poor quality agricultural land

Land with very severe limitations which restrict use to permanent pasture or rough grazing, except for occasional pioneer forage crops.

Source: MAFF (1988) Agricultural Land Classification of England and Wales Revised Guidelines and Criteria for Grading the Quality of Agricultural Land, MAFF Publications, Alnwick.

APPENDIX II

DEFINITION OF SOIL WETNESS CLASSES

Soil wetness is classified according to the depth and duration of waterlogging in the soil profile.

Wetness Class I

The soil profile is not wet within 70 cm depth for more than 30 days in most years.

Wetness Class II

The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for 31-90 days in most years or, if there is no slowly permeable layer within 80 cm depth, it is wet within 70 cm for more than 90 days, but not wet within 40 cm depth for more than 30 days in most years.

Wetness Class III

The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for 91-180 days in most years or, if there is no slowly permeable layer within 80 cm depth, it is wet within 70 cm for more than 180 days, but only wet within 40 cm depth for between 31 and 90 days in most years.

Wetness Class IV

The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for more than 180 days but not within 40 cm depth for more than 210 days in most years or, if there is no slowly permeable layer within 80 cm depth, it is wet within 40 cm depth for 91-210 days in most years.

Wetness Class V

The soil profile is wet within 40 cm depth for 211-335 days in most years.

Wetness Class VI

The soil profile is wet within 40 cm depth for more than 335 days in most years.

Notes: The number of days specified is not necessarily a continuous period.

'In most years' is defined as more than 10 out of 20 years.

Source: Hodgson, J M (Ed) (1997) Soil Survey Field Handbook. Soil Survey Technical Monograph No 5, Silsoe.

APPENDIX III

ABBREVIATIONS AND TERMS USED IN SURVEY DATA

Soil pit and auger boring information collected during ALC survey is held on a computer database and is reproduced in this report. Terms used and abbreviations are set out below. These conform to definitions contained in the Soil Survey Field Handbook (Hodgson, 1997).

1. Terms used on computer database, in order of occurrence.

GRID REF: National 100 km grid square and 8 figure grid reference.

LAND USE: At the time of survey

| | | | | | |
|-------------|--------------|-------------|---------------------|-------------|-------------------------|
| WHT: | Wheat | SBT: | Sugar Beet | HTH: | Heathland |
| BAR: | Barley | BRA: | Brassicas | BOG: | Bog or Marsh |
| OAT: | Oats | FCD: | Fodder Crops | DCW: | Deciduous Wood |
| CER: | Cereals | FRT: | Soft and Top Fruit | CFW: | Coniferous Woodland |
| MZE: | Maize | HRT: | Horticultural Crops | PLO: | Ploughed |
| OSR: | Oilseed Rape | LEY: | Ley Grass | FLW: | Fallow (inc. Set aside) |
| POT: | Potatoes | PGR: | Permanent Pasture | SAS: | Set Aside (where known) |
| LIN: | Linseed | RGR: | Rough Grazing | OTH: | Other |
| BEN: | Field Beans | SCR: | Scrub | | |

GRDNT: Gradient as estimated or measured by hand-held optical clinometer.

GLEY, SPL: Depth in centimetres to gleying or slowly permeable layer.

AP (WHEAT/POTS): Crop-adjusted available water capacity.

MB (WHEAT/POTS): Moisture Balance. (Crop adjusted AP - crop potential MD)

DRT: Best grade according to soil droughtiness.

If any of the following factors are considered significant, 'Y' will be entered in the relevant column.

| | | | | | |
|--------------|------------------------|---------------|-------------|---------------|-------------------|
| MREL: | Microrelief limitation | FLOOD: | Flood risk | EROSN: | Soil erosion risk |
| EXP: | Exposure limitation | FROST: | Frost prone | DIST: | Disturbed land |
| CHEM: | Chemical limitation | | | | |

LIMIT: The main limitation to land quality: The following abbreviations are used.

| | | | | | |
|------------|-----------------|------------|-----------------|------------|-------------|
| OC: | Overall Climate | AE: | Aspect | EX: | Exposure |
| FR: | Frost Risk | GR: | Gradient | MR: | Microrelief |
| FL: | Flood Risk | TX: | Topsoil Texture | DP: | Soil Depth |

| | | |
|------------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| CH: Chemical | WE: Wetness | WK: Workability |
| DR: Drought | ER: Erosion Risk | WD: Soil Wetness/Droughtiness |
| ST: Topsoil Stoniness | | |

TEXTURE: Soil texture classes are denoted by the following abbreviations:-

| | | |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|
| S: Sand | LS: Loamy Sand | SL: Sandy Loam |
| SZL: Sandy Silt Loam | CL: Clay Loam | ZCL: Silty Clay Loam |
| ZL: Silt Loam | SCL: Sandy Clay Loam | C: Clay |
| SC: Sandy clay | ZC: Silty clay | OL: Organic Loam |
| P: Peat | SP: Sandy Peat | LP: Loamy Peat |
| PL: Peaty Loam | PS: Peaty Sand | MZ: Marine Light Silts |

For the sand, loamy sand, sandy loam and sandy silt loam classes, the predominant size of sand fraction will be indicated by the use of the following prefixes:-

| |
|--|
| F: Fine (more than 66% of the sand less than 0.2mm) |
| M: Medium (less than 66% fine sand and less than 33% coarse sand) |
| C: Coarse (more than 33% of the sand larger than 0.6mm) |

The clay loam and silty clay loam classes will be sub-divided according to the clay content: **M:** Medium (< 27% clay) **H:** heavy (27 - 35% clay)

MOTTLE COL: Mottle colour using Munsell notation.

MOTTLE ABUN: Mottle abundance, expressed as a percentage of the matrix or surface described.

F: few <2% **C:** common 2 - 20% **M:** many 20 - 40% **VM:** very many 40%+

MOTTLE CONT: Mottle contrast

| |
|---|
| F: faint - indistinct mottles, evident only on close inspection |
| D: distinct - mottles are readily seen |
| P: Prominent - mottling is conspicuous and one of the outstanding features of the horizon. |

PED. COL: Ped face colour using Munsell notation.

GLEYS: If the soil horizon is gleyed a 'Y' will appear in this column. If slightly gleyed, an 'S' will appear.

STONE LITH: Stone Lithology - One of the following is used.

| | |
|---|--|
| HR: All hard rocks and stones | SLST: Soft oolitic or dolimitic limestone |
| CH: Chalk | FSST: Soft, fine grained sandstone |
| ZR: Soft, argillaceous, or silty rocks | GH: Gravel with non-porous (hard) stones |
| MSST: Soft, medium grained sandstone | GS: Gravel with porous (soft) stones |

SI: Soft weathered igneous or metamorphic rock

Stone contents are given in % by volume for sizes >2cm, >6cm and total stone >2mm.

STRUCT: The degree of development, size and shape of soil peds are described using the following notation

Degree of development **WA:** Weakly developed Adherent **WK:** Weakly developed
MD: Moderately developed **ST:** Strongly developed

Ped size **F:** Fine **M:** Medium
C: Coarse **VC:** Very coarse

Ped Shape **S:** Single grain **M:** Massive
GR: Granular **AB:** Angular blocky
SAB: Sub-angular blocky **PR:** Prismatic
PL: Platy

CONSIST: Soil consistence is described using the following notation:

L: Loose **VF:** Very Friable **FR:** Friable **FM:** Firm
VM: Very firm **EM:** Extremely firm **EH:** Extremely Hard

SUBS STR: Subsoil structural condition recorded for the purpose of calculating profile droughtiness: **G:** Good **M:** Moderate **P:** Poor

POR: Soil porosity. If a soil horizon has poor porosity with less than 0.5% biopores >0.5mm, a 'Y' will appear in this column.

IMP: If the profile is impenetrable to rooting a 'Y' will appear in this column at the appropriate horizon.

SPL: Slowly permeable layer. If the soil horizon is slowly permeable a 'Y' will appear in this column.

CALC: If the soil horizon is calcareous with naturally occurring calcium carbonate exceeding 1% a 'Y' will appear this column.

2. Additional terms and abbreviations used mainly in soil pit descriptions.

STONE ASSESSMENT:

VIS: Visual **S:** Sieve **D:** Displacement

MOTTLE SIZE:

| | |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------|
| EF: Extremely fine <1mm | M: Medium 5-15mm |
| VF: Very fine 1-2mm> | C: Coarse >15mm |
| F: Fine 2-5mm | |

MOTTLE COLOUR: May be described by Munsell notation or as ochreous (OM) or grey (GM).

ROOT CHANNELS: In topsoil the presence of 'rusty root channels' should also be noted.

MANGANESE CONCRETIONS: Assessed by volume

| | | |
|------------------------|----------------------|--------|
| N: None | M: Many | 20-40% |
| F: Few <2% | VM: Very Many | >40% |
| C: Common 2-20% | | |

STRUCTURE: Ped Development *

| | |
|----------------------------|--------------------------------|
| WA: Weakly adherent | M: Moderately developed |
| W: Weakly developed | S: Strongly developed |

POROSITY:

P: Poor - less than 0.5% biopores at least 0.5mm in diameter
G: Good - more than 0.5% biopores at least 0.5mm in diameter

ROOT ABUNDANCE:

| The number of roots per 100cm ² : | Very Fine and Fine | Medium and Coarse |
|--|--------------------|-------------------|
| F: Few | 1-10 | 1 or 2 |
| C: Common | 10-25 | 2 - 5 |
| M: Many | 25-200 | >5 |
| A: Abundant | >200 | |

ROOT SIZE

| | |
|---------------------------|--------------------------|
| VF: Very fine <1mm | M: Medium 2 - 5mm |
| F: Fine 1-2mm | C: Coarse >5mm |

HORIZON BOUNDARY DISTINCTNESS:

| | |
|----------------------------|--------------------------|
| Sharp: <0.5cm | Gradual: 6 - 13cm |
| Abrupt: 0.5 - 2.5cm | Diffuse: >13cm |
| Clear: 2.5 - 6cm | |

HORIZON BOUNDARY FORM: Smooth, wavy, irregular or broken.*

* See Soil Survey Field Handbook (Hodgson, 1997) for details.

| | | | | | | |
|---|--|------------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------------|---|---|
| SITE NAME Sahara Sandpit, Bromham | | PROFILE NO. Pit 1 (Asp 7) | SLOPE AND ASPECT 1° North | LAND USE Fallow/horticulture | Av Rainfall: 744 mm ATO: 1436 day °C FC Days: 167 Climatic Grade: 1 Exposure Grade: 1 | PARENT MATERIAL Upper Jurassic Corallian Beds |
| JOB NO. 23/98 | | DATE 25/2/98 | GRID REFERENCE ST 942 650 | DESCRIBED BY HLJ | | SOIL SAMPLE REFERENCES T/S 0-25 cm: LMS (S86; Z6; C8) H2 : MS (S91; Z4; C5) |

| Horizon No. | Lowest Av. Depth (cm) | Texture | Matrix (Ped Face) Colours | Stoniness: Size, Type, and Field Method | Mottling Abundance, Contrast, Size and Colour | Mangan Concs | Structure: Ped Development Size and Shape | Consistence | Structural Condition | Pores (Fissures) | Roots: Abundance and Size | Calcium Carbonate Content | Horizon Boundary: Distinctness and form |
|-------------|-----------------------|---------|---------------------------|---|---|--------------|---|--------------|----------------------|------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---|
| 1 | 33 | LMS | 10YR33,43 | 0% (Vis) | None | None | WKCSAB | Friable | Good | Good | FF & VF | - | Abrupt Smooth |
| 2 | 84 | MS | 7.5YR56 | 0% (Vis) | None | None | MDCSAB* ¹ | Very Friable | Good | Good | FVF | - | Gradual Smooth |
| 3 | 120 | MS | 10YR78 | 0% (Vis) | None | None | WKCSAB* ² | Very Friable | Moderate | Good | FVF | - | - |

Profile Gleyed From: Not gleyed
 Depth to Slowly Permeable Horizon: No spl
 Wetness Class: I
 Wetness Grade: 1

Available Water Wheat: 80 mm
 Potatoes: 64 mm
 Moisture Deficit Wheat: 100 mm
 Potatoes: 90 mm
 Moisture Balance Wheat: -20 mm
 Potatoes: -26 mm
 Droughtiness Grade: 3a (Calculated to 120 cm)

Final ALC Grade: 3a
 Main Limiting Factor(s): Drought

Remarks: *¹ & *² close to angular blocky