A1

.

Horsham District Local Plan Land to the west of Church Road, Partridge Green. Agricultural Land Classification ALC Map and Report February 1995

AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION REPORT

HORSHAM DISTRICT LOCAL PLAN. LAND WEST OF CHURCH ROAD, PARTRIDGE GREEN.

1. Summary

- 1.1 ADAS was commissioned by MAFF's Land Use Planning Unit to provide information on land quality for a number of sites in the district of Horsham in West Sussex. The work forms part of MAFF's statutory input to the preparation of the Horsham District Local Plan.
- 1.2 The site comprises 4.6 hectares of land to the west of Church Road and east of the disused railway line in the village of Partridge Green, West Sussex. An Agricultural Land Classification (ALC) survey was carried out in January 1995. The survey was undertaken at a detailed level of approximately one boring per hectare of agricultural land. A total 4 borings and one soil inspection pit were described in accordance with MAFF's revised guidelines and criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land, (MAFF, 1988). These guidelines provide a framework for classifying land according to the extent to which its physical or chemical characteristics impose a long term limitation on its use for agriculture.
- 1.3 The survey work was carried out by members of the Resource Planning Team in the Guildford Statutory Group of ADAS.
- 1.4 At the time of the survey the agricultural land on the site comprised permanent grassland. An area of woodland has been mapped on the site.
- 1.5 The distribution of grades and subgrades is shown on the attached ALC map, and the areas and extent are given in the table below. The map has been drawn at a scale of 1:10,000. It is accurate at this scale, but any enlargement would be misleading.

Table 1 : Distribution of Grades and Subgrades

Grade	Area (ha)	% of Site
3b	4.5	97.8
Woodland	<u>0.1</u>	<u>2.2</u>
Total area of Site	4.6	100%

1.6 Appendix I gives a general description of the grades, subgrades and land use categories identified in the survey. The main classes are described in terms of the type of limitation that can occur, the typical cropping range and the expected level and consistency of yield.

1.7 All of the agricultural land on the site has been classified as Subgrade 3b, moderate quality land, with soil wetness as the main limitation. Soil profiles typically comprise medium silty clay loam topsoils resting upon clay subsoils, occasionally with a heavy silty clay loam upper subsoil. Profiles are commonly gleyed from the topsoil, and the clay subsoils are poorly structured and slowly permeable, with a resultant classification of Subgrade 3b due to a significant wetness limitation. Poorly drained wet soils restrict plant growth and development and may be more susceptible to structural damage through trafficking by agricultural machinery or poaching by grazing livestock.

2. Climate

- 2.1 The climatic criteria are considered first when classifying land as climate can be overriding in the sense that severe climatic limitations will restrict land to low grades irrespective of favourable site or soil conditions.
- 2.2 The main parameters used in the assessment of an overall climatic limitation are average annual rainfall, as a measure of overall wetness, and accumulated temperature (degree days Jan-June), as a measure of the relative warmth of a locality.
- 2.3 A detailed assessment of the prevailing climate was made by interpolation from a 5km gridpoint dataset (Met. Office 1989). The details are given in the table below and these show that there is no overall climatic limitation affecting the site.
- 2.4 However, climatic factors do interact with soil factors to influence soil wetness and droughtiness limitations. At this locality the climate is relatively warm and moist in a regional context, which may increase the likelihood of soil wetness limitations.
- 2.5 No local climatic factors such as exposure or frost risk are believed to affect the site.

Table 2 : Climatic Interpolation

Grid Reference	TQ 187 195
Altitude (m)	10
Accumulated Temperature	1524
(degree days, Jan-June)	
Average Annual Rainfall (mm)	798
Field Capacity (days)	170
Moisture Deficit, Wheat (mm)	114
Moisture Deficit, Potatoes (mm)	110
Overall Climatic Grade	1

- 3. Relief
- 3.1 The site is gently undulating, lying at an altitude of approximately 10-15m AOD.

4. Geology and Soils

4.1 The relevant geological sheet (BGS, 1984) shows the site to be underlain by Weald Clay.

- 4.2 The published Soil Survey map (SSEW, 1983) shows the soils on the site to comprise those of the Wickham 1 association. These are described as 'slowly permeable, seasonally waterlogged, fine silty over clayey, fine loamy over clayey and clayey soils' (SSEW, 1983).
- 4.3 Detailed field examination found the soils on the site to be fine silty and clayey with slowly permeable clay subsoils.

5. Agricultural Land Classification

5.1 The location of the soil observation points are shown on the attached sample point map.

Subgrade 3b

5.2 All of the agricultural land on the site has been classified as Subgrade 3b due to a significant soil wetness limitation. Soil profiles were found to comprise medium silty clay loam topsoils resting upon clay subsoils. Occasionally, a heavy silty clay loam upper subsoil overlies a clay lower subsoil Profiles show evidence of imperfect drainage in the form of gleying throughout the topsoils and subsoils. A subsequent soil inspection pit found the clay subsoil to be poorly structured with low porosity. It is therefore classified as a slowly permeable layer which will significantly impede soil drainage. The presence of gleying and the shallow depth to the slowly permeable layer means that these soils are assigned to Wetness Class IV, with a resultant classification of Subgrade 3b given the prevailing climate. Poorly drained wet soils can inhibit plant and root development, and may be more susceptible to structural damage through trafficking by agricultural machinery or poaching by grazing livestock.

ADAS Ref: 4205/43/95 MAFF Ref: EL 42/130 Resource Planning Team Guildford Statutory Group ADAS Reading

SOURCES OF REFERENCE

ł

.

British Geological Survey (1984), Sheet No. 318/333, Brighton and Worthing, 1:50,000 Series (solid and drift edition).

MAFF (1988), Agricultural Land Classification of England and Wales : Revised guidelines and criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land.

Meteorological Office (1989), Climatological Data for Agricultural Land Classification.

Soil Survey of England and Wales (1983), Sheet 6, Soils of South East England, 1:250,000 and accompanying legend.

APPENDIX I

DESCRIPTION OF THE GRADES AND SUBGRADES

Grade 1 : Excellent Quality Agricultural Land

Land with no or very minor limitations to agricultural use. A very wide range of agricultural and horticultural crops can be grown and commonly includes top fruit, soft fruit, salad crops and winter harvested vegetables. Yields are high and less variable than on land of lower quality.

Grade 2 : Very Good Quality Agricultural Land

Land with minor limitations which affect crop yield, cultivations or harvesting. A wide range of agricultural or horticultural crops can usually be grown but on some land of this grade there may be reduced flexibility due to difficulties with the production of the more demanding crops such as winter harvested vegetables and arable root crops. The level of yield is generally high but may be lower or more variable than Grade 1 land.

Grade 3 : Good to Moderate Quality Land

Land with moderate limitations which affect the choice of crops, the timing and type of cultivation, harvesting or the level of yield. When more demanding crops are grown, yields are generally lower or more variable than on land in Grades 1 and 2.

Subgrade 3a : Good Quality Agricultural Land

Land capable of consistently producing moderate to high yields of a narrow range of arable crops, especially cereals, or moderate yields of a wide range of crops including cereals, grass, oilseed rape, potatoes, sugar beet and the less demanding horticultural crops.

Subgrade 3b : Moderate Quality Agricultural Land

Land capable of producing moderate yields of a narrow range of crops, principally cereals and grass, or lower yields of a wider range of crops or high yields of grass which can be grazed or harvested over most of the year.

Grade 4 : Poor Quality Agricultural Land

Land with severe limitations which significantly restrict the range of crops and/or the level of yields. It is mainly suited to grass with occasional arable crops (eg. cereals and forage crops) the yields of which are variable. In moist climates, yields of grass may be moderate to high but there may be difficulties in utilisation. The grade also includes very droughty arable land.

Grade 5 : Very Poor Quality Agricultural Land

Land with severe limitations which restrict use to permanent pasture or rough grazing, except for occasional pioneer forage crops.

Urban

Built-up or 'hard' uses with relatively little potential for a return to agriculture including: housing, industry, commerce, education, transport, religious buildings, cemeteries. Also, hard-surfaced sports facilities, permanent caravan sites and vacant land; all types of derelict land, including mineral workings which are only likely to be reclaimed using derelict land grants.

Non-agricultural

'Soft' uses where most of the land could be returned relatively easily to agriculture, including: private parkland, public open spaces, sports fields, allotments and soft-surfaced areas on airports. Also active mineral workings and refuse tips where restoration conditions to 'soft' after-uses may apply.

Woodland

Includes commercial and non-commercial woodland. A distinction may be made as necessary between farm and non-farm woodland.

Agricultural Buildings

Includes the normal range of agricultural buildings as well as other relatively permanent structures such as glasshouses. Temporary structures (eg. polythene tunnels erected for lambing) may be ignored.

Open Water

Includes lakes, ponds and rivers as map scale permits.

Land Not Surveyed

Agricultural land which has not been surveyed.

Where the land use includes more than one of the above, eg. buildings in large grounds, and where map scale permits, the cover types may be shown separately. Otherwise, the most extensive cover type will be shown.

ļ

1

APPENDIX II

FIELD ASSESSMENT OF SOIL WETNESS CLASS

SOIL WETNESS CLASSIFICATION

Soil wetness is classified according to the depth and duration of waterlogging in the soil profile. Six soil wetness classes are identified and are defined in the table below.

Definition	of	Soil	Wetness	Classes
,				

Wet	ness Class	Duration of Waterlogging ¹
	I	The soil profile is not wet within 70 cm depth for more than 30 days in most years. ²
	П	The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for 31-90 days in most years or, if there is no slowly permeable layer within 80 cm depth, it is wet within 70 cm for more than 90 days, but only wet within 40 cm depth for 30 days in most years.
	ш	The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for 91-180 days in most years or, if there is no slowly permeable layer present within 80 cm depth, it is wet within 70 cm for more than 180 days, but only wet within 40 cm depth for between 31-90 days in most years.
(IV	The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for more than 180 days but not wet within 40 cm depth for more than 210 days in most years or , if there is no slowly permeable layer present within 80 cm depth, it is wet within 40 cm depth for 91-210 days in most years.
	V	The soil profile is wet within 40 cm depth for 211-335 days in most years.
	VI	The soil profile is wet within 40 cm depth for more than 335 days in most years.

Soils can be allocated to a wetness class on the basis of quantitative data recorded over a period of many years or by the interpretation of soil profile characteristics, site and climatic factors. Adequate quantitative data will rarely be available for ALC surveys and therefore the interpretative method of field assessment is used to identify soil wetness class in the field. The method adopted here is common to ADAS and the SSLRC.

..

¹The number of days specified is not necessarily a continuous period.

²'In most years' is defined as more than 10 out of 20 years.

APPENDIX III

SOIL PIT AND SOIL BORING DESCRIPTIONS

Contents :

í

Soil Abbreviations - Explanatory Note Soil Pit Descriptions Database Printout - Boring Level Information Database Printout - Horizon Level Information

į

-

.

SOIL PROFILE DESCRIPTIONS : EXPLANATORY NOTE

Soil pit and auger boring information collected during ALC fieldwork is held on a computer database. This uses notations and abbreviations as set out below.

Boring Header Information

- 1. GRID REF : national 100 km grid square and 8 figure grid reference.
- 2. USE : Land use at the time of survey. The following abbreviations are used.

ARA :	Arable	WHT :	Wheat	BAR : Barley
CER :	Cereals	OAT :	Oats	MZE Maize
OSR :	Oilseed rape	BEN:	Field Beans	BRA : Brassicae
POT :	Potatoes	SBT :	Sugar Beet	FCD : Fodder Crops
LIN :	Linseed	FRT :	Soft and Top Fruit	FLW : Fallow
PGR :	Permanent Pasture	ELEY :	Ley Grass	RGR : Rough Grazing
SCR :	Scrub	CFW :	Coniferous Woodland	DCW : Deciduous Wood
HTH :	Heathland	BOG :	Bog or Marsh	FLW : Fallow
PLO :	Ploughed	SAS :	Set aside	OTH : Other
HRT :	Horticultural Crop)S		

- 3. GRDNT : Gradient as estimated or measured by a hand-held optical clinometer.
- 4. GLEY/SPL : Depth in centimetres (cm) to gleying and/or slowly permeable layers.
- 5. AP (WHEAT/POTS) : Crop-adjusted available water capacity.
- 6. **MB (WHEAT/POTS)** : Moisture Balance. (Crop adjusted AP crop adjusted MD)
- 7. **DRT** : Best grade according to soil droughtiness.
- 8. If any of the following factors are considered significant, 'Y' will be entered in the relevant column.

MREL: Microrelief limitation **FLOOD**: Flood risk **EROSN**: Soil erosion risk **EXP**: Exposure limitation **FROST**: Frost prone **DIST**: Disturbed land **CHEM**: Chemical limitation

9. LIMIT : The main limitation to land quality. The following abbreviations are used.

	OC: Overall Climate	AE : Aspect	EX :	Exposure
	FR : Frost Risk	GR : Gradient	MR :	Microrelief
7	FL : Flood Risk	TX : Topsoil Texture	DP :	Soil Depth
1	CH: Chemical	WE : Wetness	WK :	Workability
	DR : Drought	ER : Erosion Risk	WD :	Soil Wetness/Droughtiness
	ST: Topsoil Stonine	SS		

Soil Pits and Auger Borings

1. **TEXTURE** : soil texture classes are denoted by the following abbreviations.

S :	Sand	LS :	Loamy Sand	SL :	Sandy Loam
SZL :	Sandy Silt Loam	CL :	Clay Loam	ZCL :	Silty Clay Loam
ZL :	Silt Loam	SCL :	Sandy Clay Loam	C :	Clay
SC :	Sandy Clay	ZC :	Silty Clay	OL :	Organic Loam
P :	Peat	SP :	Sandy Peat	LP :	Loamy Peat
PL:	Peaty Loam	PS :	Peaty Sand	MZ :	Marine Light Silts

For the sand, loamy sand, sandy loam and sandy silt loam classes, the predominant size of sand fraction will be indicated by the use of the following prefixes:

F: Fine (more than 66% of the sand less than 0.2mm)

M: Medium (less than 66% fine sand and less than 33% coarse sand)

f C: Coarse (more than 33% of the sand larger than 0.6mm)

The clay loam and silty clay loam classes will be sub-divided according to the clay content: M: Medium (<27% clay) H: Heavy (27-35% clay)

- 2. MOTTLE COL : Mottle colour using Munsell notation.
- 3. MOTTLE ABUN : Mottle abundance, expressed as a percentage of the matrix or surface described.

F: few <2% C: common 2-20% M: many 20-40% VM: very many 40% +

- 4. MOTTLE CONT : Mottle contrast
 - **F**: faint indistinct mottles, evident only on close inspection
 - **D**: distinct mottles are readily seen
 - **P**: prominent mottling is conspicuous and one of the outstanding features of the horizon
- 5. **PED. COL** : Ped face colour using Munsell notation.
- 6. GLEY: If the soil horizon is gleyed a 'Y' will appear in this column. If slightly gleyed, an 'S' will appear.
- 7. **STONE LITH** : Stone Lithology One of the following is used.

HR :	all hard rocks and stones	SLST	soft oolitic or dolimitic limestone
CH :	chalk	FSST	soft, fine grained sandstone
ZR :	soft, argillaceous, or silty rocks	GH :	gravel with non-porous (hard) stones
MSST :	soft, medium grained sandstone	GS :	gravel with porous (soft) stones
SI :	soft weathered igneous/metamo	orphic re	ock

Stone contents (>2cm, >6cm and total) are given in percentages (by volume).

05.94

8. **STRUCT** : the degree of development, size and shape of soil peds are described using the following notation:

degree of development	WK : weakly developed ST : strongly developed	MD : moderately developed
ped size	F : fine C : coarse	M : medium VC : very coarse
ped shape	S : single grain GR : granular SAB : sub-angular blocky PL : platy	M : massive AB : angular blocky PR : prismatic

9. **CONSIST** : Soil consistence is described using the following notation:

L: loose VF: very friable FR: friable FM: firm VM: very firm EM: extremely firm EH: extremely hard

- 10. SUBS STR : Subsoil structural condition recorded for the purpose of calculating profile droughtiness : G : good M : moderate P : poor
- 11. **POR** : Soil porosity. If a soil horizon has less than 0.5% biopores >0.5 mm, a 'Y' will appear in this column.
- 12. **IMP** : If the profile is impenetrable to rooting a 'Y' will appear in this column at the appropriate horizon.
- 13. **SPL** : Slowly permeable layer. If the soil horizon is slowly permeable a 'Y' will appear in this column.

ļ

14. CALC : If the soil horizon is calcareous, a 'Y' will appear in this column.

15. Other notations

- APW : available water capacity (in mm) adjusted for wheat
- **APP**: available water capacity (in mm) adjusted for potatoes
- **MBW**: moisture balance, wheat
- **MBP** : moisture balance, potatoes

SOIL PIT DESCRIPTION

Site Name : HORSHAM LP:24,PA	ARTRIDGE Pit Number	·: 1P						
Grid Reference: TQ18601950	Average Annual Rainfall Accumulated Temperature Field Capacity Level Land Use Slope and Aspect	: 1524 degree days						
HORIZON TEXTURE COLOUR 0- 22 MZCL 25Y 42 0 22- 60 C 25Y 63 0		LITH MOTTLES STRUCTURE CONSIST SUBSTRUCTURE CALC HR C M MDCAB FM P						
Wetness Grade : 3B	Wetness Class : IV Gleying : O SPL :022							
Drought Grade :		0 mm 0 mm						
FINAL ALG GRADE : 38								

.

MAIN LIMITATION : Wetness

SAMPLE ASPECT ---WETNESS- --WHEAT -POTS M. REL EROSN FROST CHEM ALC NO. GRID REF USE GRDNT GLEY SPL CLASS GRADE AP MB AP MB DRT FLOOD EXP DIST LIMIT COMMENTS 1 TQ18601950 PGR W 01 0 025 4 3B 0 0 0 WE 3B SPL 25 1P TQ18601950 PGR W 01 0 022 4 3B 0 0 0 WE 3B SPL 25 2 TQ18701950 PGR NE 01 0 029 4 3B 0 0 0 WE 3B SPL 29 3 TQ18701940 PGR W 01 0 029 4 3B 0 0 0 WE 3B SPL 29 3 TQ18701940 PGR W 01 0 029 4 3B <

.

.

program: ALCO11

				M	OTTL	E\$	- PED				S1	TONES-		STRUCT/	S	SUBS	5			
SAMPLE	DEPTH	TEXTURE	COLOUR	COL	ABUN	CONT	COL.	GL	ΕY	>2	>6	LITH	TOT	CONSIST	Ş	STR	POR	IMP	SPL	CALC
1	0-25	mzcl	10YR52 00	10YR58	00	с			Y	0	0	HR	1							
	25-55	с	10YR63 00	10YR58	00	м			Y	0	0		0			P	Y		Y	
10	0-22	mzcl	25Y 42 00	107059	00	c			Y	0	0	HR	1							
1F	22-60	c	25Y 63 00			-			Ý	+	0			MDCAB	FM	Ρ	Y		Y	
		_				_														
2	0-29	mzcl	10YR52 00	10YR56	00	С				0	0	HR	1							
	29–60	с	10YR63 00	10YR58	00	м			Y	0	0	HR	5			Ρ	Y		Y	
3	0-25	mzcl	10YR52 00	10YR56	00	с			Y	0	0	HR	1							
	25-42	hzcl	10YR53 00	10YR58	00	с			Y	0	0	HR	1			м				
	42-70	с	25Y 63 00	75YR58	71	м	00MN00	00	Y	0	0		0			Ρ	Y		Y	
4	0-29	mzcl	10YR42 52	10YR56	00	с			Y	0	0	HR	1							
	29-60	с	10YR63 00	10YR68	71	м			Y	0	0		0			Ρ	Y		Y	

page 1