8FCS 6358A

6

51/95

0

# NORTH WILTSHIRE LOCAL PLAN

## CHIPPENHAM

## AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION

CONTENTS		Page
SUMMARY		1
1.	INTRODUCTION	2
2.	CLIMATE	2
3.	RELIEF AND LANDCOVER	3
4.	GEOLOGY AND SOILS	3
5.	AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION	4
APPENDIX 1	References	7
APPENDIX 2	Description of the grades and subgrades	8
APPENDIX 3	Definition of Soil Wetness Classes	10
MAP		

## NORTH WILTSHIRE LOCAL PLAN

#### CHIPPENHAM

## AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION SURVEY

#### SUMMARY

The survey was carried out by ADAS on behalf of MAFF as part of its statutory role in the preparation of the North Wiltshire Local Plan. The fieldwork at Chippenham was completed at a semi-detailed level in November 1995 at a scale of 1:10,000. Data on climate, soils, geology and from previous Agricultural Land Classification (ALC) Surveys was used and is presented in the report. The distribution of grades is shown on the accompanying ALC map at 1:20,000 scale and is summarised below. Results from adjacent detailed level surveys at Showell Nurseries and Melbourne Farm (ADAS, 1993), Easton Lane and Rowden Lane (ADAS, 1994a), Hill Corner Road (ADAS, 1994 b) and Showell Farm Crematorium (ADAS, 1995) are also shown on the accompanying ALC map. Information is correct at this scale but could be misleading if enlarged.

#### Distribution of ALC grades: Chippenham

Grade	Area (ha)	% of Survey Area	% of Agricultural Land (595.8ha)
1	34.3	5.4	5.8
2	102.6	16.0	17.2
3a	93.6	14.6	15.7
3b	361.7	56.4	60.7
Agricultural Land Not Surveyed	3.6	0.6	0.6
Other Land	44.7	7.0	-
Total	640.5	100.0	100.0

Almost 40% of the agricultural land surveyed at Chippenham was found to be 'best and most versatile'. The areas of Grade 1 and 2 land consist of deep clay loams and sandy clay loams which are relatively well drained. Within the Subgrade 3a mapping units, which have moderate wetness limitations, there area also isolated areas of well drained land and areas with a moderate drought limitation. Most of the Subgrade 3b land has a moderate wetness limitation and consists of deep clays with poorly drained subsoils. The exception is the shallow area land on either side of the railway in the southern block which has a moderate drought limitation. A small area of land near Melbourne Farm has not been surveyed because access was not granted.

The data from these previous surveys are presented in their own reports and this report details only the findings of the most recent work.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

A semi-detailed Agricultural Land Classification (ALC) Survey was carried out in October and November 1995 at Chippenham on behalf of MAFF as part of its statutory role in the preparation of the North Wiltshire Local Plan. The most recent 1995 fieldwork covering 516.4 ha of land was conducted by ADAS at a scale of 1:10,000 with approximately one auger boring per two hectares of agricultural land. A total of 233 auger borings were examined and 12 soil profile pits used to assess subsoil conditions.

The published provisional one inch to the mile ALC map of this area (MAFF 1973) shows the grades of the site at a reconnaissance scale only. This shows most of the land around Chippenham to be Grades 2 and 3. the grade 2 land is mapped on the north eastern southern sides. A small area of Grade 1 land is mapped to the south of Showell Farm and Grade 4 land is shown either side of the River avon.

The area was also surveyed in 1978 and 1984 at a scale of 1:25,000 (ADAS; 1978, 1984). These maps show similar trends in the quality of the land. The trend in quality within the subdivided Grade 3 land in each block is for the quality to decrease towards the south west.

The recent survey supersedes the 1973, 1976 and 1984 maps having been carried out at a more detailed level and using the Revised Guidelines and Criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land (MAFF, 1988). These guidelines provide a framework for classifying land according to the extent to which its physical or chemical characteristics impose long-term limitations on agricultural use. The grading takes account of the top 120 cm of the soil profile. A description of the grades used in the ALC system can be found in Appendix 2.

## 2. CLIMATE

The grade of the land is determined by the most limiting factor present. The overall climate is considered first because it can have an overriding influence on restricting land to a lower grade despite other favourable conditions.

Estimates of climatic variables were interpolated from the published agricultural climate dataset (Meteorological Office, 1989). The parameters used for assessing overall climate are accumulated temperature, a measure of the relative warmth of a locality, and average annual rainfall, a measure of overall wetness. The results shown in Table 1 indicate there is no overall climatic limitation.

## Table 1: Climatic Interpolations: Chippenham

Grid Reference Altitude (m) Accumulated Temperatu Average Annual Rainfall Overall Climatic Grade Field Capacity Days Moisture deficit (mm):	ST 903 718 72 1461 766 1 172 100 91	ST 916 708 45 1491 736 1 167 105 98	ST 917 727 48 1487 743 1 168 105 98
Grid Reference Altitude (m) Accumulated Temperatu Average Annual Rainfall Overall Climatic Grade Field Capacity Days Moisture deficit (mm):	ST 939 736 60 1473 756 1 168 103 95	ST 929 743 70 1461 766 1 170 101 93	ST 931 72 45 1490 740 1 166 106 99

Climatic data on Field Capacity Days (FCD) and Moisture Deficits for wheat and potatoes are also shown. These data are used in assessing the soil wetness and droughtiness limitations referred to in later sections.

## 3. RELIEF AND LANDCOVER

The topography of a site will influence the use of agricultural machinery and hence the cropping potential of the land. Gradient is the most significant aspect which affects the mechanised farm operations since most farm machinery operates best on level ground. Guidelines drawn up for the grading of land on its gradient show that 'best and most versatile' land can not have a gradient of more than 7°.

The land to the west of the railway has a low point of 53 m Above Ordnance Datum (AOD), where the land is flat, before rising to 75 m AOD near Hunters Moor. There are some slopes with gradients of up to 11° here. At the time of the survey most of the fields were under permanent pasture but were are a few being used for cereal cultivation.

The land between the railway and Patterdown and the River Avon is gently undulating with gradients of less than 8°. There is a low point of 41 m AOD near Lower Hodge Farm and high points of 60 m AOD near Thingley Junction and Elmtree Farm. There are notable breaks in slope coming up from the River Avon flood plain and again to the west of Rowden Farm and north of Patterdown Range. At the time of the survey all of the land was being used as ley and permanent pasture with some cereal cultivation.

The land to the east of the town in the northern block has three distinct landforms. The land to the west of the River Avon rises from 46 m AOD to 72 m AOD with slopes of up to 11° in places. To the east of the River Avon there is the flat flood plain of the Rivers Avon and Marden before the land rises gently up to 60 m AOD at New Leaze Farm and 65 m AOD along the A4. The land in this block was being used as permanent pasture, and for cereal and maize cultivation.

## 4. GEOLOGY AND SOILS

The geology of the site is shown on the published 1:50,000 scale solid and drift geology map, (Institute of Geological Sciences, 1990). The south west corner of the southern block is underlain by Combrash (rubbly Oolitic Limestone). The higher and sloping ground is underlain by Kellaways Clay (sity clay with sand lenses) while the flatter areas around Rowden Farm, and between Melbourne Farm and Showell Farm and the River Avon are underlain by variable gravel and alluvium. There is a narrow band of Kellaways Clay mapped along the sharp break of slope just above the River Avon.

The land to the west of the River Avon in the northern block is mainly underlain by Kellaways Sands. To the east of the River Avon, on the flood plain, is an area of variable gravel and alluvium while the slightly higher land around New Leaze Farm and from Harden's Farm to Stanley Lane is underlain by Kellaways Clay.

The soils were mapped by the Soil Survey of England and Wales in 1983 at a reconnaissance scale of 1:250,000 and in 1974 at a scale of 1:63,360. The soils shown on the soil series map are variable. The south west corner of the southern block is shown to consist of soils from the Sherborne Series which are shallow, well drained, fine loamy to clayey over Jurassic Limestone. The higher ground around Hunters Moon, Patterdown and the Chippenham Hospital has soils which are fine loamy over clayey, over Jurassic clays, from the Hardenhuish Series. The flood plain of the River Avon has poorly drained fine loamy to clayey soils over river alluvium from the Wyre Series. A small area of land to the south west of Showell Farm has been mapped as the Tetbury Series which are well drained loamy over clayey soils over inter-bedded Jurassic sandy limestone and clay. Between the River Avon's flood plain and the higher ground on the edge of the survey area the land is

mapped as belonging to the Sutton Series which are loamy soils over limestone gravel and river drift.

The land between the railway and the River Avon in the northern block is mapped as the Langley Series which has well drained loamy soils over inter-bedded sand and clay (Kellaways Beds). Immediately adjacent to the River Avon and along the break of slope to the west of New Leaze Farm and at Harden's Farm narrow bands of soil from the Badsey Series are mapped. These consist of loamy soils over limestone gravel and river drift or Head. The flood plain adjacent to the River Avon is again mapped as belonging to the Wyre Series. However, the flood plain adjacent to the River Marden is shown to consist of soils from the Isle Abbots Series which are loamy soils over Head or river drift over gravel and/or Jurassic clays. The land running from New Leaze Farm to the A4 is mapped as the Hardenhuish Series. The remainder of this block to the east of New Leaze Farm and to the north of Stanley is mapped as containing poorly drained clayey soils over Jurassic clay from the Denchworth Series.

The soils found during the recent survey were also variable. The broad trends found were similar to those described by the Soil Survey of England and Wales but within the soil units a mixture of profiles were found, for example well drained clayey loamy profiles were found in an area that was overall poorly drained. The south west area of the southern block is well drained but shallow over limestone. The land along the flood plain in both blocks is poorly drained and clayey although because of the high channel sides there are better drained areas adjacent to the rivers. The land to the north of Rowden Farm is deep, well drained and contains a negligible amount of stones. This is very similar to the land in the northern block between the railway and the River Avon. The rest of the land in the survey area consists of deep, poorly drained clay loams and clays over clay subsoils. There was some variation within the porosity of these subsoils.

## 5. AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION

The distribution of ALC grades for the most recent survey is shown in Table 2 and included on the accompanying ALC map. This information could be misleading if shown at a larger scale. The data from the previous surveys are presented in their own reports and only the findings of the most recent survey are detailed here.

Grade	Area (ha)	% of Survey Area	% of Agricultural Land (482.3 ha)
1	21.3	4.1	4.4
2	62.3	12.1	13.0
3a	78.8	15.3	16.3
3b	316.3	61.3	65.6
Agricultural land not surveyed	3.6	0.7	0.7
Other land	34.1	6.5	-
Total	516.4	100.0	100.0

### Table 2: Distribution of ALC grades: Chippenham

## Grade 1

The land mapped as Grade 1 in the recent survey has no limitation to its agricultural use. The profiles typically have medium clay loam topsoils over clay subsoils, although in places these may be a heavy clay loam or heavy silty clay loam horizon below the topsoil. Although the profiles have gleying starting below 40 cm the clay subsoils are too porous to be slowly permeable layers. These profiles were therefore assessed as Wetness Class I (see Appendix 3).

#### RPT52.afs

## Grade 2

There are three Grade 2 mapping units. The largest is between the railway and the River Avon in the northern block. The profiles in this unit show evidence of restricted drainage. They have gleying starting above 40 cm with slowly permeable layers starting below 45 cm, and gleying starting above 40 cm with slowly permeable layers starting below 60 cm. Both types of profile were assessed as Wetness Class II which with the medium sandy loam topsoils give a minor wetness limitation. Included in this mapping unit are some scattered Subgrade 3b profiles where the slowly permeable layer starts higher up and were therefore assessed as Wetness Class IV with a moderate wetness limitation. A few other profiles which had no restriction to their drainage and are Grade 1 are also included.

The area of Grade 2 land in the southern block on the higher ground overlooking Melbourne Farm has a minor workability limitation. These profiles are well drained and were assessed as Wetness Class II. The limitation is caused by the medium clay loam topsoils in conjunction with the local FCD value. The Grade 2 unit around Melbourne Farm itself is on the border between Wetness Classes II and III. The profiles are gleyed below 40 cm and have slowly permeable subsoils which on average start just below 60 cm. There is a gradual change in the porosity between the porous and low porosity horizons at this depth. These profiles have been mapped as Grade 2 as they are similar to the profiles from the adjacent previous survey.

## Subgrade 3a

The two large areas of Subgrade 3a in the northern block are variable in nature due to the underlying geology. This land has a moderate wetness limitation with the profiles being gleyed below 40 cm and slowly permeable layers starting just above 60 cm. The profiles are therefore just Wetness Class III but are close to Wetness Class II. Included within the units are isolated profiles with much better drainage which have been graded individually as Grades 1 and 2. It was not possible to map these areas at the current level of survey.

In the southern block the land to the east of Rowden Farm has slightly better drainage than the rest of the flood plain because of the deep river channel. The profiles are gleyed below 40 cm and the clay subsoils only become slowly permeable at 70 cm. They were assessed as Wetness Class II and with their heavy clay loam topsoils have a moderate wetness limitation. The land mapped as Subgrade 3a at Hunters Moon, to the west of the railway, is gleyed above 40 cm and has slowly permeable subsoils starting at 40 cm. These profiles were assessed as Wetness Class IV but because of their medium sandy loam topsoils they are mapped as Subgrade 3a. A small area within this mapping unit has slightly better drainage and only suffers from minor wetness limitations.

## Subgrade 3b

The land to the east of the River Avon in the northern block is all relatively uniform having a moderate wetness limitation. The profiles are all either Wetness Class III or IV and have heavy clay loam and clay topsoils. Where the profiles are either not gleyed or are gleyed below 40 cm and have slowly permeable subsoils starting above 60 cm they were assessed as Wetness Class III. Where there was gleying above 40 cm and slowly permeable subsoils starting above 48 cm they were assessed as Wetness Class IV. In most of these cases the slowly permeable layers started immediately below the topsoil.

The two small areas of Subgrade 3b land to the west of the River Avon have moderate limitations imposed by their gradient and micro-relief. The gradients of up to 11° restrict the safe use of some agricultural machinery and the micro-relief would hinder the safe and accurate use of some machinery.

In the southern block there are two main types of Subgrade 3b profile. The land to the east of the A350 and the field opposite Showell Nurseries is very similar to the land in the northern block. It has also been assessed as Wetness Class IV, and in a few places Wetness Class III, and with heavy clay loam and clay topsoils has a moderate wetness limitation. However, the sloping land above

Patterdown Range and the flatter land to the east of the Range does include isolated areas which have slightly better drainage and a few Subgrade 3a profiles.

The flat land between Showell Farm and the railway and to the west of the railway lies over the Combrash limestone. These profiles are well drained and assessed as Wetness Class I but are shallow. They have stone contents by volume of Oolitic limestone of 23% and more than 70% in the topsoil and subsoil respectively. In places there may be a transitory upper subsoil which had a stone content of 57% by volume measured. These stones cause a moderate drought limitation and in places a moderate stoniness limitation in the topsoil.

The sloping land to the south and east of Hunters Moon has a moderate limitation due to its gradient. This was measured as being up to 11° which restricts the safe use of some agricultural machinery.

#### Other Land

This includes all land that is not in agricultural use and a couple of fields which were not surveyed owing to access not being granted.

H Lloyd Jones Resource Planning Team Taunton Statutory Unit November 1995

#### APPENDIX 1

#### REFERENCES

ADAS RESOURCE PLANNING TEAM, (1978) Agricultural Land Classification Survey of Chippenham. Scale 1:25 000, Reference 10, ADAS Bristol.

ADAS RESOURCE PLANNING TEAM, (1984) Agricultural Land Classification Survey of Chippenham. Scale 1:25 000, Reference 9, ADAS Bristol.

ADAS RESOURCE PLANNING TEAM, (1993) Agricultural Land Classification Survey of Showell Nurseries and Melbourne Farm, Chippenham. Scale 1:10 000, Reference 71/93, ADAS Bristol.

ADAS RESOURCE PLANNING TEAM, (1994a) Agricultural Land Classification Survey of Easton Lane and Rowden Lane, Chippenham. Scale 1:10 000, Reference 64/94, ADAS Bristol.

ADAS RESOURCE PLANNING TEAM, (1994b) Agricultural Land Classification Survey of Hill Corner Road, Chippenham. Scale 1:10 000, Reference 66/94, ADAS Bristol.

ADAS RESOURCE PLANNING TEAM, (1995) Agricultural Land Classification Survey of Showells Farm Crematorium, Chippenham. Scale 1:10 000, Reference 4/95, ADAS Bristol.

HODGSON, J M (Ed) (1974) Soil Survey Field Handbook, Technical Monograph No 5. Soil Survey of England and Wales, Harpenden.

HODGSON, J M (In preparation) Soil Survey Field Handbook, Revised edition.

INSTITUTE OF GEOLOGICAL SCIENCES (1990) Sheet 265, Bath 1:50,000, Solid and Drift Edition, IGS London.

MAFF (1973) Agricultural Land Classification Map, Sheet 157, Provisional 1:63,360 scale.

MAFF (1988) Agricultural Land Classification of England and Wales. Revised Guidelines and Criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land. MAFF Publications, Alnwick.

METEOROLOGICAL OFFICE (1989) Climatological Data for Agricultural Land Classification. Meteorological Office, Bracknell.

SOIL SURVEY OF ENGLAND AND WALES (1974). Sheet 251 and 265, Soils of the Southern Cotswolds, 1:63,360 Scale. SSEW, Harpenden.

SOIL SURVEY OF ENGLAND AND WALES (1983) Sheet 5, Soils of South West England, 1:250 000 scale. SSEW, Harpenden.

SOIL SURVEY OF ENGLAND AND WALES (1984) Soils and Their Use in South West England, Bulletin No 14. SSEW, Harpenden.

## **APPENDIX 2**

## **DESCRIPTION OF GRADES AND SUBGRADES**

## Grade 1 - excellent quality agricultural land

Land with no or very minor limitations to agricultural use. A very wide range of agricultural and horticultural crops can be grown and commonly include top fruit, soft fruit, salad crops and winter harvested vegetables. Yields are high and less variable than on land of lower quality.

#### Grade 2 - very good quality agricultural land

Land with minor limitations which affect crop yield, cultivations or harvesting. A wide range of agricultural and horticultural crops can usually be grown but on some land in the grade there may be reduced flexibility due to difficulties with the production of the more demanding crops such as winter harvested vegetables and arable root crops. The level of yield is generally high but may be lower or more variable than Grade 1.

## Grade 3 - good to moderate quality agricultural land

Land with moderate limitations which affect the choice of crops, timing and type of cultivation, harvesting or the level of yield. Where more demanding crops are grown yields are generally lower or more variable than on land in Grades 1 and 2.

## Subgrade 3a - good quality agricultural land

Land capable of consistently producing moderate to high yields of a narrow range of arable crops, especially cereals, or moderate yields of a wide range of crops including cereals, grass, oilseed rape, potatoes, sugar beet and the less demanding horticultural crops.

## Subgrade 3b - moderate quality agricultural land

Land capable of producing moderate yields of a narrow range of crops, principally cereals and grass, or lower yields of a wider range of crops or high yields of grass which can be grazed or harvested over most of the year.

## Grade 4 - poor quality agricultural land

Land with severe limitations which significantly restrict the range of crops and/or level of yields. It is mainly suited to grass with occasional arable crops (eg cereals and forage crops) the yields of which are variable. In most climates, yields of grass may be moderate to high but there may be difficulties in utilisation. The grade also includes very droughty arable land.

#### Grade 5 - very poor quality agricultural land

Land with very severe limitations which restrict use to permanent pasture or rough grazing, except for occasional pioneer forage crops.

## Descriptions of other land categories used on ALC maps

## Urban

Built-up or 'hard' uses with relatively little potential for a return to agriculture including: housing, industry, commerce, education, transport, religious buildings, cemeteries. Also, hard-surfaced sports facilities, permanent caravan sites and vacant land; all types of derelict land, including mineral workings which are only likely to be reclaimed using derelict land grants.

## Non-agricultural

'Soft' uses where most of the land could be returned relatively easily to agriculture, including: private park land, public open spaces, sports fields, allotments and soft-surfaced areas on airports/airfields. Also active mineral workings and refuse tips where restoration conditions to 'soft' after-uses may apply.

## **Agricultural buildings**

Includes the normal range of agricultural buildings as well as other relatively permanent structures such as glasshouses. Temporary structures (eg polythene tunnels erected for lambing) may be ignored.

## **Open water**

Includes lakes, ponds and rivers as map scale permits.

#### Land not surveyed

Agricultural land which has not been surveyed.

Where the land use includes more than one of the above landcover types, eg buildings in large grounds, and where may be shown separately. Otherwise, the most extensive cover type will usually be shown.

**Source:** MAFF (1988) Agricultural Land Classification of England and Wales (Revised Guidelines and Criteria for Grading the Quality of Agricultural Land), Alnwick.

## **APPENDIX 3**

## **DEFINITION OF SOIL WETNESS CLASSES**

## Wetness Class I

The soil profile is not wet within 70 cm depth for more than 30 days in most years.

## Wetness Class II

The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for 31-90 days in most years or, if there is no slowly permeable layer within 80 cm depth, it is wet within 70 cm for more than 90 days, but not wet within 40 cm depth for more than 30 days in most years.

#### Wetness Class III

The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for 91-180 days in most years or, if there is no slowly permeable layer within 80 cm depth, it is wet within 70 cm for more than 180 days, but only wet within 40 cm depth for between 31 and 90 days in most years.

#### Wetness Class IV

The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for more than 180 days but not within 40 cm depth for more than 210 days in most years or, if there is no slowly permeable layer within 80 cm depth, it is wet within 40 cm depth for 91-210 days in most years.

## Wetness Class V

The soil profile is wet within 40 cm depth for 211-335 days in most years.

## Wetness Class VI

The soil profile is wet within 40 cm depth for more than 335 days in most years.

**Notes:** The number of days specified is not necessarily a continuous period. 'In most years' is defined as more than 10 out of 20 years.

Source: Hodgson, J M (in preparation), Soil Survey Field Handbook (revised edition).

SITE NAI	ME	PRO	FILE NO.	SLOPE	AND ASPE	ECT	LAND USE		Av Rainfall:	756 mm		PARENT MA	TERIAL	
Chippenh	am	Pit 1	(ASP 266)	0°			FLW		ATO:	1473 day	°C	Cornbrash (Ru	bbly Limesto	ne)
JOB NO.		DAT	E	GRID I	REFERENC	E	DESCRIBED E	BY 1	FC Days:	168	) I	SOIL SAMPL	E REFEREN	CES
51/95		25/10	1/95	ST 901	711		PB/HLJ		Climatic Grade:	1				
			,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		, 11		I D/IILJ		Exposure Grade:	-				
Horizon No.	Lowest Av. Depth (cm)	Texture	Matrix (Ped Face) Colours	Field M	pe, and fethod	Mottling Abundance Contrast, Size and Colour	e, Mangan Concs	Structure: Ped Developmen Size and Shape		Structural Condition	Pores (Fissures)	Roots: Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary: Distinctness and form
	20	MCL	7.5¥R44	59 23% S	% > 2cm 6 < 2cm LST (S+D)	None	None	-	-	-	-	MF + VF	-	Abrupt Smooth
2	35	HCL	7.5YR56	7% 57% S	% > 2cm 6 < 2cm 3LST (S+D)	None	None	WCSAB	Friable	Moderate	Good	CF + VF	-	Gradual Smooth
3	68	HCL	7.5YR56	69	70% > 2cm 6% < 2cm 6 SLST (S+D) Not		None	-		Moderate	Fissued	CF + VF	-	Clear Smooth
4	90+	С	25¥74	> 70%	SLST (Vis)	CDFO (10YR66	None	-	-	Moderate	Fissued	FVF	-	-
Profile G	leyed Fron	n: 68 cm			Available	Water W	heat: 7	1 mm		Final ALC	Grade:	3Ь		
Depth to Permeable Wetness (	e Horizon	: No SP I	L		Moisture I	Deficit W	/heat: 1	52 mm 103 mm 25 mm		Main Limit	ting Factor(	s): Drought a	nd Topsoil Si	ones
Wetness	Grade:	2				F	otatoes: 5	<b>у</b> шиц						
					Moisture I	Balance W	'heat: -	32 mm		Remarks:	> 10% HR	. > 6cm in t/s.		
						F	otatoes: -	37 mm						
					Droughtin	ess Grade:	3b (Cale	culated to 100 d	cm)					

SITE NA	ME		PROF	TLE NO.	SLOPE	AND ASPE	ECT	LAND USE		Av Rainfall:	756 mm		PARENT MAT	TERIAL	
Chippenh	nam.		Pit 2	(ASP 31)	0°			Fallow (stu	oble)	ATO:	1473 day	<sup>,</sup> ℃	Variable Grave	and Alluvia	um
JOB NO.			DAT	E	GRID F	EFERENC	E	DESCRIBE	D BY	FC Days:	168		SOIL SAMPLI	E REFEREN	CES
51/95			31/10	/95	ST 942	742		HLJ/PB		Climatic Grade:					
Horizon No.	Lowest Av. Depth (cm)	Tex	ture	Matrix (Ped Face) Colours	Stonine Size,Ty Field M	pe, and	Mottling Abundanc Contrast, Size and Colour	e, Manga Concs	a Structure Ped Developm Size and Shape		Structural	Pores (Fissures)	Roots: Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary: Distinctne and form
1	20	М	ICL	10YR43	< 1% T	OTAL (VIS)	None	None			-	Good	MF + VF	-	Abrupt Smooth
2	40		с	10YR43	< 1% T	OTAL (VIS)	None	None	wcsa	B Friable	Moderate	Good	CF + VF	-	Gradual Smooth
3	57		с	10YR44	< 1% TOTAL (VIS)		None	None	MCP: (WCA)		Moderate	Good	cvc	-	Gradual Smooth
4	80		C .	10YR64/ 53	< 1% TOTAL (VIS)		MDFO + (75YR5 10YR73	6	e WCP (breakin WCAI	g to	Poor	Poor (occasiona large worr channel)	n	•	Clear Smooth
5	100+		с	10YR53		IR TOTAL (VIS)	CDFO (10YR6		WCSA	B Firm	Poor	Poor	-	-	•
Profile G	ileyed Fror	n: :	57 cm			Available	Water V	Vheat:	126 mm		Final ALC	Grade:	3a		
	rofile Gleyed From: 57 cm Depth to Slowly ermeable Horizon: 57 cm Vetness Class: III					Moisture I		Potatoes: Vheat:	112 mm 103 mm		Main Limi	iting Factor(	(s): Wetness		
Wetness							I	Potatoes:	95 mm						
welliess	OISOC:	•	3a			Moistur <del>e</del> I	Balance V	Vheat:	23 mm		Remarks:	Close to V	WCII (Grade 2).		
							I	Potatoes:	17 mm				. ,		
						Droughtin	ess Grade:	2 (	Calculated to 12	20 cm)					

1 1 1

SITE NA	ME		PROF	TILE NO.	SLOPE A	ND ASPE	CT	LA	ND USE		Av Rainfa	all:	756 mm		PARENT MAT	TERIAL	
Chippenh	am		Pit 3 (	(ASP 106)	3° NW			PG	R		ATO:		1473 day	°C	Kellaways Clay lenses)	y (silty clay w	rith sand
JOB NO.	<u> </u>		DAT	E	GRID RE	FERENCI	E	DE	SCRIBED B	Y	FC Days:		168		SOIL SAMPL	E REFEREN	CES
51/95			01/11	/95	ST 935 73	30		HIL.	J/PB		Climatic ( Exposure		1				
Horizon No.	Lowest Av. Depth (cm)	Tex	cture	Matrix (Ped Face) Colours	Stoniness Size, Type Field Met	e, and	Mottling Abundanc Contrast, Size and Colour	æ,	Mangan Concs	Structure: Ped Developme Size and Shape		istence	Structural Condition	Pores (Fissures)	Roots: Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary: Distinctnes and form
1	22 MCL 10YR43		No	ne	CDFON (75YR58		None	-		•	-	-	MF, VF	-	Gradual Smooth		
2	46	м	ICL	10YR54	No	nc	CFFO, G (10YR5 10YR62	8	None	WCSAE	3 Fri	iable	Moderate	Good	CVF	-	Gradual Smooth
3	56	н	ICL	10YR63	No		CDFON (10YR5)		None	MCSAE	3 Fri	iable	Moderate	Good	CVF	-	Clear Wavy
4	80 +		с	25¥52	No	ne	MDMOI (10YR5		None	MCPr	Ver	y firm	Poor	Poor	FVF	-	-
Profile G	leyed From	n: 4	46 cm			Available '	Water W	Vheat	t: 1	35 mm		·	Final ALC	Grade:	2		
Depth to Permeabl Wetness	le Horizon Class:		56 cm II 2		P	Moisture I	Deficit V	Potato Wheat Potato	t: 1	12 mm 03 mm 5 mm			Main Limit	ing Factor(	s): Wetness		
			-		1	Moisture E		Wheat Potate		2 mm 7 mm			Remarks:	Borderlin	e WC II/III (3a)		
					1	Droughtin	ess Grade:			rulated to 120	) cm)						

•

SITE NA	ME	PRO	FILE NO.	SLOPE	E AND ASPE	ECT	LA	ND USE		A	v Rainfall:	756 mm		PARENT MA	TERIAL	
Chippent	am	Pit 4	(ASP 104)	0°			PG	R		A'	TO:	1473 day	°C	Variable Grave	and Alluviu	ım
JOB NO.		DAT	E	GRID	REFERENC	E	DE	SCRIBED B	Y	F	C Days:	168		SOIL SAMPL	E REFEREN	CES
51/95		01/1	1/95	ST 932	730		PB/	/HLJ			limatic Grade: xposure Grade:	1				
Horizon No.	Av. Texture (Ped Depth Colo (cm)			Stoning Size, Ty Field N	pe, and	Mottling Abundance Contrast, Size and Colour	xe,	Mangan Concs	Structure: Ped Developme Size and Shape		Consistence	- Structural Condition	Pores (Fissures)	Roots: Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary: Distinctness and form
1	25 C 10YR42				None	CDMC (7.5YR5		None	-			-	-	MF + VF	-	Gradual Smooth
2	60 + C 10YR62				None	MDMC (10YR5		Few	MCPr (breaking M+CAE	; to	Very firm	Poor	Poor	CF + VF	-	-
Profile G	leyed From	n: Surfac	æ		Available	Water V	Vheat	t: 1:	24 mm			Final ALC	Grade:	3Ь	-	
Permeabl	rofile Gleyed From: Surface epth to Slowly ermeable Horizon: 25 cm Vetness Class: IV				Moisture I	Deficit V	Potato Wheat	t: 10	01 mm 03 mm			Main Limit	ing Factor(	s): Wetness		
· Wetness	Wetness Grade: 3b						Potate		5 mm							
					Moisture I		Wheat					Remarks:	ļ			
							Potate		mm							
					Droughtin	ess Grade:		2 (Calc	ulated to 12	0 cn	n)					

RPT51.afs

SITE NA	ME	PRO	FILE NO.	SLOPE	AND ASPE	ECT	LAN	ND USE		Av	Rainfall:	756 mm		PARENT MA	TERIAL	
Chippenh	am	Pit 5	(ASP 136)	2° Nort	h		PGF	R.		AT	<b>O</b> :	1473 đay	°C	Kellaways Clay lenses)	y (silty clay w	vith sand
JOB NO.	·	DAT	Ē	GRID I	REFERENC	E	DES	SCRIBED B	Y	FC	Days:	168		SOIL SAMPL	E REFEREN	CES
51/95		02/11	/95	ST 944	728		HLJ	J/PB			matic Grade: posure Grade:	1				
Horizon No.	No. Av. Te Depth (cm)		Matrix (Ped Face) Colours	Stonine Size, Ty Field M	pe, and	Mottling Abundance Contrast, Size and Colour		Mangan Concs	Structure: Ped Developm Size and Shape		Consistence	Structural Condition	Pores (Fissures)	Roots: Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary: Distinctness and form
1	18	HCL	10YR43	< 1% T	OTAL (VIS)	CDFO (10YR6)	-	None	-		-	-	•	MF + VF	-	Abrupt Wavy
2 60 + C 10			10YR62	< 1% T	OTAL (VIS)	MDMC (10YR6		None	MCPr		Firm	Poor	Poor	CF + VF (ex-ped)	-	-
Profile G	leyed Fror	n: 18 cm			Available	Water V	Wheat	: 12	23 mm			Final ALC	Grade:	3b		
Depth to Permeable	e Horizon	: 18 cm IV			Moisture I	Deficit V	Potato Wheat Potato	:: 10	00 mm 03 mm 5 mm			Main Limit	ing Factor(	s): Wetness		
Wetness Grade: 3b					Moisture I	Balance V	Wheat Potato	.: 20	) mm mm			Remarks: 10 cm of po		a of profile is gl	eyed HCL the	n possibly
Droughtiness Grade: 2 (Calculated to								ulated to 12	0 cm)	)						

RPT51.afs

SITE NA	ME	PRC	FILE NO.	SLOPE	AND ASPI	ECT	LAND USE		Av Rainfall:	756 mm		PARENT MA	TERIAL	
Chippenh	am	Pit 6	(ASP 10)	0°			PGR		ATO:	1473 day	°C	Kellaways San	ds	
JOB NO.		DA	TE	GRID I	REFERENC	E	DESCRIBED F	BY	FC Days:	168		SOIL SAMPL	E REFEREN	CES
51/95		02/1	1/95	ST 930	743		PB/HLJ		Climatic Grade:	1				
									Exposure Grade:	•				
Horizon No.	Lowest Av. Depth (cm)	Texture	Matrix (Ped Face) Colours	Stonine Size,Ty Field N	pe, and	Mottling Abundance Contrast, Size and Colour	e, Mangan Concs	Structure: Ped Developm Size and Shape		Structural Condition	Pores (Fissures)	Roots: Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary: Distinctnes and form
1	18	MSL	10YR43	< 1% T	OTAL (VIS)	CDFO (75YR58		-	-	-	-	MF + VF	-	Gradual Smooth
2	52	MSL	25¥64	< 1% ]	HR TOTAL	CDFO (10YR58		WCSA	B Friable	Good	Good	CF + VF	-	Gradual Smooth
3	74	SC	25¥63	< 1%)	HR TOTAL	MDMO (10YR58		MCPr	Friable	Moderate	Poor	FVF	-	Clear Wavy
4	100 +	С	25Y62	< 1%	HR TOTAL	MDMO (10YR68		WACP	r Very firm	Poor	Poor	FVF	-	-
Profile G	leyed From	n: 18 cm	1		Available	Water W	Vheat: 1	42 mm		Final ALC	Grade:	2		
Depth to Permeabl Wetness	le Horizon Class:	: 52 cm III 2	1		Moisture I	Deficit W	Vheat:	15 mm 03 mm 95 mm		Main Limi	ting Factor(	s): Wetness		
weiness	Grade:	2			Moisture I			9 mm 20 mm		Remarks: variable te		nedium' Mn cor L, with sandier		
	Droughtiness Grade: 1 (Calculated to 120								0 cm)					

.

.

1.1

SITE NA	ME		PROI	FILE NO.	SLOPE	AND ASPE	ECT	LA	ND USE		Av I	Rainfall:	756 mm		PARENT MA	TERIAL	
Chippenh	am		Pit 7	(ASP 156)	0°			PG	iR.		ATC	<b>)</b> :	1473 day	°C	Variable Grave	el and Alluvi	um
JOB NO.			DAT	Ê	GRID I	REFERENC	E	DE	SCRIBED B	Y	FC I	Days:	168	ł	SOIL SAMPL	E REFEREN	CES
51/95			02/11	/95	ST 917	722		PB	/HLJ			natic Grade: osure Grade:	1				
Horizon No.	Lowest Av. Depth (cm)	Te	kture	Matrix (Ped Face) Colours	Stoning Size,Ty Field N	pe, and	Mottling Abundanc Contrast, Size and Colour	ce,	Mangan Concs	Structure: Ped Developme Size and Shape		Consistence	Structural Condition	Pores (Fissures)	Roots: Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary: Distinctness and form
1	22	N	1CL	10YR43	< 19	6 TOTAL	None		None			-	-	-	MF + VF	-	Gradual Smooth
2	42	н	ZCL	CL 10YR44 <19		6 TOTAL	None		None	MF + MS.	AB	Friable	Good	Good	CF + VF	-	Gradual Smooth
3	64		с	10YR54	< 19	6 TOTAL	FF, FC (10YR5		Common	MCPr		Friable ·	Moderate	Good	• CVF	-	Gradual Smooth
4	92		с	10YR53	< 19	6 TOTAL	MF + DI (10YR66,		Common	MCPr		Firm	Poor	Good	FVF	· .	Clear Smooth
5	110 +		с	10YR64		IR TOTAL (VIS)	MFFO (10YR5		Common	WF + MS	AB	Very Friable	Good	Good	None	-	-
Profile G	leyed From	n:	64 cm			Available	Water V	Whea	ıt: 14	47 mm			Final ALC	Grade:	1		
Permeabl	rofile Gleyed From: 64 cm epth to Slowly ermeable Horizon: No SPL /etness Class: I					Moisture I		Potat Whea	at: 1	25 mm 03 mm			Main Limit	ting Factor(	s):		
Wetness Grade: 1								Potat		5 mm							
					Moisture I		Whea		4 mm 0 mm			Remarks:	I				
								Potat			<b>.</b> .						
						Droughtin	ess Grade:		1 (Calc	ulated to 120	U cm)						

SITE NA	ME	F	PROF	ILE NO.	SLOPE	AND ASPE	CT	LANI	D USE		Av	Rainfall:	756 mm		PARENT MA	TERIAL	
Chippenh	am	F	Pit 8 (	(ASP 196)	0°			PGR			AT	<b>:</b>	1473 day	°C ·	Variable Grave	el and Alluvia	ım
JOB NO.		† I	DATE	E	GRID F	EFERENC	E	DESC	CRIBED B	Y	FC	Days:	168		SOIL SAMPL	E REFEREN	CES
51/95			0 <b>2/1</b> 1/	/95	ST 917	717		PB/H	LJ			imatic Grade:	1				
Horizon No.	Lowest Av. Depth (cm)	Textu	ure	Matrix (Ped Face) Colours	Stonine Size,Ty Field M	pe, and	Mottling Abundance Contrast, Size and Colour	-	Mangan Concs	Structure: Ped Developme Size and Shape		posure Grade: Consistence	- Structural Condition	Pores (Fissures)	Roots: Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary: Distinctnes and form
1	22 C 10YR54 58 C 10YR53				1	None	None		None	•		-	-	Good	MF, VF	-	Clear Smooth
2	58	с	;	10YR53		None	None		None	MF, MSA	AB	Friable	Good	Good	MF, VF	-	Gradual Smooth
3	75	C 10YR63		:	None	None		None	WCSAI	В	Friable	Moderate	Good	FVF	-	Clear Smooth	
4	100 +	с	2	25Y63		None	CFFOM (10YR56		F	WACSA	B	Firm	Poor	Poor	FVF	-	-
Profile G	leyed Fror	n: 7:	5 cm			Available	Water W	Vheat:	1	53 mm			Final ALC	Grade:	3Ъ		
Depth to Slowly Permeable Horizon: Wetness Class:			<b>5 cm</b>			Moisture I	Deficit W	Potatoe: Vheat: Potatoe:	1	32 mm 03 mm 5 mm			Main Limi	ting Factor(	s): Wetness		
Wetness Grade: 3b						Moisture F		Vheat: Potatoe:		0 mm 7 mm			Remarks: topography		idence indicates Borderline Subj	-	, but no
						Droughtin	ess Grade:	1	(Calc	rulated to 120	0 cm	ı)					

SITE NAME		PROFILE NO.		SLOPE AND ASPECT			LAND USE			Av Rainfall:	756 mm	756 mm		PARENT MATERIAL			
Chippenham		Pit 9 (ASP 252)		2° North East			Ley			ATO:	1473 day	1473 day °C		Cornbrash (Rubbly Limestone)			
JOB NO. DATE			<u> </u>	GRID I	EFERENC	CE		DESCRIBED BY		FC Days:	Days: 168		SOIL SAMPLE REFERENCES				
51/95		02/11/95		ST 908 707			PB/HLJ			Climatic Grade:	1	1					
Horizon Lowest No. Av. Te Depth (cm)		Te	xture	re (Ped Face) Si		Stoniness: Abu Size,Type, and Cor Field Method Sizu Col		ce, Mangan Ped Concs Develop		Developmo	Exposure Grade:	- Structural Condition	Pores (Fissures)	Roots: Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary: Distinctness and form	
1	24	N	ACL	75YR54	11% SLST > 2cm (S+) 11% SLST < 2cm (S+D) 22% SLST TOTAL		None		None	-	-	-		MF + VF	-	Abrupt Smooth	
2	70 +	ł	ICL	75YR56	70% SLST > 2cm (S) 15% SLST < 2cm (S+D) 85% SLST TOTAL		None		None	-	-	M (assumed)	Well fissued	CF + VF	-	-	
Profile Gleyed From: Not gleyed Available						Available	Available Water Wheat: 68 mm					Final ALC	Grade:	3b	-		
Depth to Slowly Permeable Horizon: No SPL						Moisture I		Potat Whea	otatoes: 55 mm heat: 103 mm			Main Limiting Factor(s): Drought					
Wetness Grade: 1					Potatoes: 95 mm												
					Moisture Balance Wheat: - 35 mm Potatoes: - 40 mm						Remarks: Probed to 95 cm. Roots to 70 cm +. Too stony for a structure in H2.						
					-	Droughtin	ess Grade:		3b (Calc	culated to 12	0 cm)						

•

RPT51.afs

1

SITE NA	ME	PRO	FILE NO.	E NO. SLOPE AND ASPECT						A	v Rainfall:	756 mm		PARENT MATERIAL			
Chippent	Chippenham		Pit 10 (ASP 202)		0°			Ploughed			TO:	1473 day °C		Variable Gravel and Alluvium			
JOB NO.	JOB NO.		DATE		GRID REFERENCE			DESCRIBED BY			C Days:	168		SOIL SAMPLE REFERENCES			
51/95	51/95		06/11/95		ST 903 715		HLJ	HLJ			limatic Grade: xposure Grade:	1					
Horizon No.	Lowest Av. Depth (cm)	Texture	Matrix (Ped Face) Colours	Stoniness: Size, Type, and Field Method		Mottling Abundance, Mangan Contrast, Concs Size and Colour		¥	Structure: Ped Developmo Size and Shape		Consistence	Structural Condition	Pores (Fissures)	Roots: Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary: Distinctness and form	
1	20	HCL	HCL 10YR34		< 1% HR (VIS)		;	None	-		-	-	Good	CF + VF	-	Clear Smooth	
2	55	c	10YR64	15%	HR (VIS)	CDFO (10YR68)		Common	WCSAI	B	Firm	Poor	Poor	CF + VF	-	Gradual Smooth	
3	80 +	С	25Y63		20% HR (VIS)		) 8)	None	WCPr (breaking WCAB	g to	Firm	Poor	Poor	FVF	-	-	
Profile G	leyed Fron	n: 20 cm		Available Water Wheat: 124 mm							Final ALC Grade: 3b						
Wetness	le Horizon Class:	IV			Potatoes: 101 mm Moisture Deficit Wheat: 103 mm Potatoes: 95 mm							Main Limiting Factor(s): Wetness					
Wetness	Grade:	36			Moisture Balance Wheat: 21 mm Potatoes: 6 mm							Remarks:					
					Droughtin	ess Grade:	2	? (Calc	ulated to 12	0 cr	n)						

RPT51.afs

SITE NAME PROFIL			FILE NO.	OPE AND ASPECT			LAND USE			Rainfall:	756 mm		PARENT MATERIAL			
Chippenham Pit 11 (AS			l (ASP 186)	0°	(			Ley			ATO: 1473 day °C		Variable Gravel and Alluvium			
JOB NO.	JOB NO.		DATE		GRID REFERENCE		DESCRIBED BY		Y	FCE	Days:	168		SOIL SAMPLE REFERENCES		
51/95		06/1	06/11/95		ST 912 718		HLJ		T		Climatic Grade: 1 Exposure Grade: -					
Horizon No.	Lowest Av. Depth (cm)	Texture			ess: Mottling Abundance, Vpe, and Contrast, Method Size and Colour		-	Mangan Concs	Structure: Ped Developm Size and Shape		Consistence	Structural Condition	Pores (Fissures)	Roots: Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary: Distinctness and form
1	28	MCL	10YR44	< 1%	HR (VIS) None			None	-		-	-	Good	MF + VF	-	Clear Smooth
2	60	HCL	10YR54	< 1% HR (VIS)		CDFO (10YR66)		Few	MCSAI	в	Friable	Moderate	Good	CF + VF	-	Gradual Smooth
3	90 +	с	C 2.5Y63 <19		CDFC (10YR				WCAE	3	Very firm	Poor	Poor	FVF	-	-
Profile G	leyed From	n: 60 cm		-	Available	Water V	Vheat	:: 1	38 mm			Final ALC	Grade:	3a		
Depth to Slowly Permeable Horizon: 60 cm Wetness Class: III					Moisture I	Deficit V	Potato Wheat Potato	i: 10	115 mm 103 mm 95 mm			Main Limiting Factor(s): Wetness				
Wetness Grade: 3a					Moisture I	Balance W	Vheat: 35 mm					Remarks:	Borderlin	e WCII/III. SPI	L slightly hig	her up than
						I	Potato	oes: 2	0 mm			adjacent pr				-
					Droughtin	ess Grade:	1	1 (Calc	ulated to 12	0 cm)						

.

RPT51.afs

.

.

SITE NAME PROFIL			OFILE NO.	OPE AND ASPECT			ND USE		Av Rainfall:	756 mm			PARENT MATERIAL				
Chippenham		Pit	Pit 12 (ASP 64)		3° North		Fallow			ATO:	1473 day	1473 day °C		Kellaways Clay (silty clay with sand lenses)			
JOB NO.	JOB NO. DA'			GRID I	REFERENCE		DESCRIBED BY		FC Days:	168	168		SOIL SAMPLE REFERENCES				
51/95		06/	06/11/95		ST 942 737		нг			Climatic Grade Exposure Grade		-					
Horizon No.	Lowest Av. Depth (cm)	Texture	Matrix (Ped Face) Colours	Stoniness: Size, Type, and Field Method		Mottling Abundanc Contrast, Size and Colour	ndance, Mangan rast, Concs and		Structure: Ped Developme Size and Shape		Structural	Pores (Fissures)	Roots: Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary: Distinctness and form		
1	28	MCL	10YR43	< 1% HR (VIS)		None		None	-	-	-	Good	CF + VF	-	Clear Smooth		
2	65	HCL	10 <b>YR5</b> 3/ 63	< 1% HR (VIS)		CDFO (10YR58)		None	WCSAI	B Friable	Moderate	Good	CF + VF		Clear Smooth		
3	90 +	C	2.5Y63	< 1%	< 1% HR (VIS)		) (8)	Few	WCPr (breaking WCSAE	to	Poor	Poor	FVF	-			
Profile G	leyed Fron	n: 28 ci	n	·	Available	Water V	Wheat		39 mm		Final ALC	Final ALC Grade: 3a					
' Wetness	le Horizon Class:	m		Moisture I	Deficit V	Potato Wheat Potato	t: 1	16 mm 03 mm 5 mm		Main Lim	Main Limiting Factor(s): Wetness						
Wetness Grade:       3a         Moisture Balance       Wheat:       36 mm         Potatoes:       21 mm         Droughtiness Grade:       1       (Calculated to 120 cm)											at farmers						

4.

1 .