

**Stumpwell Lane North Nibley**  
**Agricultural Land Classification**  
**October 1997**

Resource Planning Team  
Bristol  
FRCA Western Region

Job Number 75/97

MAFF Ref EL14/1321



**STUMPWELL LANE NORTH NIBLEY GLOUCESTERSHIRE**

**AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION SURVEY**

**CONTENTS**

	<b>Page</b>
INTRODUCTION	1
SUMMARY	1
CLIMATE	1
RELIEF	2
GEOLOGY AND SOILS	2
AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION AND MAP	3
REFERENCES	4
APPENDIX I    Description of the Grades and Subgrades	5
APPENDIX II    Definition of Soil Wetness Classes	7
APPENDIX III    Survey Data	8
	Sample Point Location Map
	Pit Descriptions
	Boring Profile Data
	Boring Horizon Data
	Abbreviations and Terms used in Survey Data

## STUMPWELL LANE NORTH NIBLEY

### AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION SURVEY

#### INTRODUCTION

1 This report presents the findings of a detailed Agricultural Land Classification (ALC) survey of 2 ha of land at North Nibley. Field survey was based on 7 auger borings and 1 soil profile pits and was completed in October 1997.

2 The survey was conducted by the Resource Planning Team of FRCA Western Region on behalf of MAFF in its statutory role in connection with an application to regrade the land for agricultural purposes.

3 Information on climate, geology and soils and from previous ALC surveys was considered and is presented in the relevant section. Apart from the published regional ALC map (MAFF 1977) which shows the site at a reconnaissance scale to be Grade 3, the site had not been surveyed previously. The current survey uses the Revised Guidelines and Criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land (MAFF 1988) and therefore supersedes any previous ALC survey. Grade descriptions are summarised in Appendix I.

4 At the time of survey land cover was ploughed following a potato crop.

#### SUMMARY

5 The distribution of ALC grades is shown on the accompanying 1:25 000 scale ALC map. The detail of information shown at this scale is appropriate to the intensity of field survey but could be misleading if enlarged or applied to small areas. Areas are summarised in the Table 1.

**Table 1** Distribution of ALC grades Stumpwell Lane North Nibley

Grade	Area (ha)	% Surveyed Area (2.0 ha)
2	0.8	42
3b	0.5	21
5	0.7	37
Total site area	2.0	100

6 42% of the site is mapped as Grade 2. These soils have a minor workability limitation. The Subgrade 3b and Grade 5 land have slope restrictions.

#### CLIMATE

7 Estimates of climatic variables for this site were derived from the published agricultural climate dataset 'Climatological Data for Agricultural Land Classification' (Meteorological Office 1989) using standard interpolation procedures. Data for key points around the site are given in Table 2 below.



14 The Curtisen Association is described as having silty soils over siltstone with slowly permeable subsoils. In the recent survey the soils were found to have silty-clay loam textures.

## **AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION**

15 The distribution of ALC grades found by the current survey is shown on the accompanying 1:25,000 scale map and areas are summarised in Table 1. The detail of information shown at this scale is appropriate to the intensity of field survey but could be misleading if enlarged or applied to small areas.

### **Grade 2**

16 Land of very good agricultural quality covers 0.8 ha of the site area. The soils were described as heavy silty clay loam topsoils overlying a heavy silty clay loam subsoil which passes on to clay at depth. A soil profile pit confirmed that the soils were not gleyed above 80 cm and had no slowly permeable layer and the soils were assessed as Wetness Class I (see Appendix II). In this area with 193 FCD the heavy nature of the topsoil gives a minor workability restriction.

### **Subgrade 3b**

17 Land of moderate quality has been identified in three areas either side of the valley where slopes of up to 10% limit the agricultural use of the land.

### **Grade 5**

18 Land of very poor quality covers a significant area of the site in the East and South East where gradients up to 24% have been mapped.

S K Hunter  
Resource Planning Team  
FRCA Bristol  
October 1997

## REFERENCES

INSTITUTE OF GEOLOGICAL SCIENCES (1970) Sheet 251 Malmesbury 1 50 000 series Solid and Drift edition (IGS) London

HODGSON J M (Ed) (1997) Soil Survey Field Handbook Soil Survey Technical Monograph No 5 SSLRC Cranfield University

MAFF (1977) 1 250 000 series Agricultural Land Classification South West Region MAFF Publications Alnwick

MAFF (1988) Agricultural Land Classification of England and Wales Revised Guidelines and Criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land MAFF Publications Alnwick

METEOROLOGICAL OFFICE (1989) Climatological Data for Agricultural Land Classification Meteorological Office Bracknell

SOIL SURVEY OF ENGLAND AND WALES (1983) Sheet 5 Soils of South West England 1 250 000 scale SSLRC Cranfield

SOIL SURVEY OF ENGLAND AND WALES (1984) Soils and Their Use in South West England Bulletin No 14 SSLRC Cranfield

## **APPENDIX I**

### **DESCRIPTION OF GRADES AND SUBGRADES**

#### **Grade 1 excellent quality agricultural land**

Land with no or very minor limitations to agricultural use. A very wide range of agricultural and horticultural crops can be grown and commonly include top fruit, soft fruit, salad crops and winter harvested vegetables. Yields are high and less variable than on land of lower quality.

#### **Grade 2 very good quality agricultural land**

Land with minor limitations which affect crop yield, cultivations or harvesting. A wide range of agricultural and horticultural crops can usually be grown but on some land in the grade there may be reduced flexibility due to difficulties with the production of the more demanding crops such as winter harvested vegetables and arable root crops. The level of yield is generally high but may be lower or more variable than Grade 1.

#### **Grade 3 good to moderate quality agricultural land**

Land with moderate limitations which affect the choice of crops, timing and type of cultivation, harvesting or the level of yield. Where more demanding crops are grown, yields are generally lower or more variable than on land in Grades 1 and 2.

##### **Subgrade 3a good quality agricultural land**

Land capable of consistently producing moderate to high yields of a narrow range of arable crops, especially cereals, or moderate yields of a wide range of crops including cereals, grass, oilseed rape, potatoes, sugar beet and the less demanding horticultural crops.

##### **Subgrade 3b moderate quality agricultural land**

Land capable of producing moderate yields of a narrow range of crops, principally cereals and grass, or lower yields of a wider range of crops or high yields of grass which can be grazed or harvested over most of the year.

#### **Grade 4 poor quality agricultural land**

Land with severe limitations which significantly restrict the range of crops and/or level of yields. It is mainly suited to grass with occasional arable crops (e.g. cereals and forage crops) the yields of which are variable. In most climates, yields of grass may be moderate to high but there may be difficulties in utilisation. The grade also includes very droughty arable land.

**Grade 5 very poor quality agricultural land**

- Land with very severe limitations which restrict use to permanent pasture or rough grazing except for occasional pioneer forage crops

**Source** MAFF (1988) Agricultural Land Classification of England and Wales Revised Guidelines and Criteria for Grading the Quality of Agricultural Land MAFF Publications Alnwick



## **APPENDIX II**

### **DEFINITION OF SOIL WETNESS CLASSES**

Soil wetness is classified according to the depth and duration of waterlogging in the soil profile

#### **Wetness Class I**

The soil profile is not wet within 70 cm depth for more than 30 days in most years

#### **Wetness Class II**

The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for 31-90 days in most years or if there is no slowly permeable layer within 80 cm depth it is wet within 70 cm for more than 90 days but not wet within 40 cm depth for more than 30 days in most years

#### **Wetness Class III**

The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for 91-180 days in most years or if there is no slowly permeable layer within 80 cm depth it is wet within 70 cm for more than 180 days but only wet within 40 cm depth for between 31 and 90 days in most years

#### **Wetness Class IV**

The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for more than 180 days but not within 40 cm depth for more than 210 days in most years or if there is no slowly permeable layer within 80 cm depth it is wet within 40 cm depth for 91-210 days in most years

#### **Wetness Class V**

The soil profile is wet within 40 cm depth for 211-335 days in most years

#### **Wetness Class VI**

The soil profile is wet within 40 cm depth for more than 335 days in most years

**Notes** The number of days specified is not necessarily a continuous period

In most years is defined as more than 10 out of 20 years

**Source** Hodgson J M (Ed) (1997) Soil Survey Field Handbook Soil Survey Technical Monograph No 5 SSLRC Cranfield

SITE NAME Land at Stumpwell Lane		PROFILE NO Pit 1 ASP 6	SLOPE AND ASPECT 4 North West	LAND USE PLO	Av Rainfall 770 mm	ATO 1410 day C	PARENT MATERIAL Cretaceous & Jurassic Siltstone and Sandstone	
JOB NO 75/97		DATE 1 10 97	GRID REFERENCE ST 74279495	DESCRIBED BY SH	FC Days 174	Climatic Grade 1	PSD SAMPLES TAKEN None	
Exposure Grade								

Horizon No	Lowest Av Depth (cm)	Texture	Matrix (Ped Face) Colours	Stoniness Size Type and Field Method	Mottling Abundance Contrast Size and Colour	Mangan Concs	Structure Ped Development Size and Shape	Consistence	Structural Condition	Pores (Fissures)	Roots Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary Distinctness and form
1	25	HZCL	10YR4/3	<1% HR	None	None	MDCSAB	Firm		Good	C fevf		Clear Smooth
2	72	HZCL	10YR4/4 4/6	1% HR	None	None	ST CSAB	Frable/Firm	Moderate	Good	f fevf		Gradual Smooth
3	80	C	10YR4/4 4/6	<1% HR	None	Few	MD CSAB	Firm	Moderate	Good	f fevf		Gradual Smooth
4	pit dug to 100cm	C	2Y5/3 Ped face 25Y5/3 but shiny clay coated	1% HR	Distinct 10YR 4/6 Common SM	Common	MD CPR	Firm	Poor	Good	f fevf		

Profile Gleyed From 80 cm

Slowly Permeable Horizon From no spl

Wetness Class I

Wetness Grade 2

Available Water Wheat 146 mm

Potatoes 124 mm

Moisture Deficit Wheat 93 mm

Potatoes 82 mm

Moisture Balance Wheat 53 mm

Potatoes 42mm

Droughtiness Grade 1 (Calculated to 120 cm)

Final ALC Grade 2

Main Limiting Factor(s) Workability

Remarks

Roots are not indicative of soil quality since crop has been harvested

## APPENDIX III

### ABBREVIATIONS AND TERMS USED IN SURVEY DATA

Soil pit and auger boring information collected during ALC survey is held on a computer database and is reproduced in this report. Terms used and abbreviations are set out below. These conform to definitions contained in the Soil Survey Field Handbook (Hodgson 1997)

#### 1 Terms used on computer database in order of occurrence

**GRID REF** National 100 km grid square and 8 figure grid reference

**LAND USE** At the time of survey

<b>WHT</b>	Wheat	<b>SBT</b>	Sugar Beet	<b>HTH</b>	Heathland
<b>BAR</b>	Barley	<b>BRA</b>	Brassicas	<b>BOG</b>	Bog or Marsh
<b>OAT</b>	Oats	<b>FCD</b>	Fodder Crops	<b>DCW</b>	Deciduous Wood
<b>CER</b>	Cereals	<b>FRT</b>	Soft and Top Fruit	<b>CFW</b>	Coniferous Woodland
<b>MZE</b>	Maize	<b>HRT</b>	Horticultural Crops	<b>PLO</b>	Ploughed
<b>OSR</b>	Oilseed Rape	<b>LEY</b>	Ley Grass	<b>FLW</b>	Fallow (inc Set aside)
<b>POT</b>	Potatoes	<b>PGR</b>	Permanent Pasture	<b>SAS</b>	Set Aside (where known)
<b>LIN</b>	Linseed	<b>RGR</b>	Rough Grazing	<b>OTH</b>	Other
<b>BEN</b>	Field Beans	<b>SCR</b>	Scrub		

**GRDNT** Gradient as estimated or measured by hand held optical clinometer

**GLEYSPL** Depth in centimetres to gleying or slowly permeable layer

**AP (WHEAT/POTS)** Crop adjusted available water capacity

**MB (WHEAT/POTS)** Moisture Balance (Crop adjusted AP - crop potential MD)

**DRT** Best grade according to soil droughtiness

If any of the following factors are considered significant Y will be entered in the relevant column

<b>MREL</b>	Microrelief limitation	<b>FLOOD</b>	Flood risk	<b>EROSN</b>	Soil erosion risk
<b>EXP</b>	Exposure limitation	<b>FROST</b>	Frost prone	<b>DIST</b>	Disturbed land
<b>CHEM</b>	Chemical limitation				

**LIMIT** The main limitation to land quality. The following abbreviations are used

<b>OC</b>	Overall Climate	<b>AE</b>	Aspect	<b>EX</b>	Exposure
<b>FR</b>	Frost Risk	<b>GR</b>	Gradient	<b>MR</b>	Microrelief

<b>FL</b>	Flood Risk	<b>TX</b>	Topsoil Texture	<b>DP</b>	Soil Depth
<b>CH</b>	Chemical	<b>WE</b>	Wetness	<b>WK</b>	Workability
<b>DR</b>	Drought	<b>ER</b>	Erosion Risk	<b>WD</b>	Soil Wetness/Droughtiness
<b>ST</b>	Topsoil Stoniness				

**TEXTURE** Soil texture classes are denoted by the following abbreviations

<b>S</b>	Sand	<b>LS</b>	Loamy Sand	<b>SL</b>	Sandy Loam
<b>SZL</b>	Sandy Silt Loam	<b>CL</b>	Clay Loam	<b>ZCL</b>	Silty Clay Loam
<b>ZL</b>	Silt Loam	<b>SCL</b>	Sandy Clay Loam	<b>C</b>	Clay
<b>SC</b>	Sandy clay	<b>ZC</b>	Silty clay	<b>OL</b>	Organic Loam
<b>P</b>	Peat	<b>SP</b>	Sandy Peat	<b>LP</b>	Loamy Peat
<b>PL</b>	Peaty Loam	<b>PS</b>	Peaty Sand	<b>MZ</b>	Marine Light Silts

For the sand loamy sand sandy loam and sandy silt loam classes the predominant size of sand fraction will be indicated by the use of the following prefixes

<b>F</b>	Fine (more than 66% of the sand less than 0.2mm)
<b>M</b>	Medium (less than 66% fine sand and less than 33% coarse sand)
<b>C</b>	Coarse (more than 33% of the sand larger than 0.6mm)

The clay loam and silty clay loam classes will be sub divided according to the clay content **M** Medium (< 27% clay) **H** heavy (27-35% clay)

**MOTTLE COL** Mottle colour using Munsell notation

**MOTTLE ABUN** Mottle abundance expressed as a percentage of the matrix or surface described

**F** few <2% **C** common 2-20% **M** many 20-40% **VM** very many 40%+

**MOTTLE CONT** Mottle contrast

<b>F</b>	faint indistinct mottles evident only on close inspection
<b>D</b>	distinct mottles are readily seen
<b>P</b>	Prominent mottling is conspicuous and one of the outstanding features of the horizon

**PED COL** Ped face colour using Munsell notation

**GLEYS** If the soil horizon is gleyed a **Y** will appear in this column If slightly gleyed an **S** will appear

**STONE LITH** Stone Lithology One of the following is used

<b>HR</b>	All hard rocks and stones	<b>SLST</b>	Soft oolitic or dolimitic limestone
-----------	---------------------------	-------------	-------------------------------------

<b>CH</b>	Chalk	<b>FSST</b>	Soft fine grained sandstone
<b>ZR</b>	Soft argillaceous or silty rocks	<b>GH</b>	Gravel with non porous (hard) stones
<b>MSST</b>	Soft medium grained sandstone	<b>GS</b>	Gravel with porous (soft) stones
<b>SI</b>	Soft weathered igneous or metamorphic rock		

Stone contents are given in % by volume for sizes >2cm >6cm and total stone >2mm

**STRUCT** The degree of development size and shape of soil peds are described using the following notation

<b><u>Degree of development</u></b>	<b>WA</b>	Weakly developed Adherent	<b>WK</b>	Weakly developed
	<b>MD</b>	Moderately developed	<b>ST</b>	Strongly developed
<b><u>Ped size</u></b>	<b>F</b>	Fine	<b>M</b>	Medium
	<b>C</b>	Coarse	<b>VC</b>	Very coarse
<b><u>Ped Shape</u></b>	<b>S</b>	Single grain	<b>M</b>	Massive
	<b>GR</b>	Granular	<b>AB</b>	Angular blocky
	<b>SAB</b>	Sub angular blocky	<b>PR</b>	Prismatic
	<b>PL</b>	Platy		

**CONSIST** Soil consistence is described using the following notation

<b>L</b>	Loose	<b>VF</b>	Very Friable	<b>FR</b>	Friable	<b>FM</b>	Firm
<b>VM</b>	Very firm	<b>EM</b>	Extremely firm		<b>EH</b>	Extremely Hard	

**SUBS STR** Subsoil structural condition recorded for the purpose of calculating profile droughtiness **G** Good **M** Moderate **P** Poor

**POR** Soil porosity If a soil horizon has poor porosity with less than 0.5% biopores >0.5mm a **Y** will appear in this column

**IMP** If the profile is impenetrable to rooting a **Y** will appear in this column at the appropriate horizon

**SPL** Slowly permeable layer If the soil horizon is slowly permeable a **Y** will appear in this column

**CALC** If the soil horizon is calcareous with naturally occurring calcium carbonate exceeding 1% a **Y** will appear this column

## 2 Additional terms and abbreviations used mainly in soil pit descriptions

### STONE ASSESSMENT

<b>VIS</b>	Visual	<b>S</b>	Sieve	<b>D</b>	Displacement
------------	--------	----------	-------	----------	--------------

## MOTTLE SIZE

<b>EF</b>	Extremely fine <1mm	<b>M</b>	Medium 5-15mm
<b>VF</b>	Very fine 1-2mm	<b>C</b>	Coarse >15mm
<b>F</b>	Fine 2-5mm		

**MOTTLE COLOUR** May be described by Munsell notation or as ochreous (OM) or grey (GM)

**ROOT CHANNELS** In topsoil the presence of rusty root channels should also be noted

**MANGANESE CONCRETIONS** Assessed by volume

<b>N</b>	None	<b>M</b>	Many	20-40%
<b>F</b>	Few <2%	<b>VM</b>	Very Many	>40%
<b>C</b>	Common 2-20%			

## POROSITY

<b>P</b>	Poor	less than 0.5% biopores at least 0.5mm in diameter
<b>G</b>	Good	more than 0.5% biopores at least 0.5mm in diameter

## ROOT ABUNDANCE

The number of roots per 100cm <sup>2</sup>		Very Fine and Fine	Medium and Coarse
<b>F</b>	Few	1-10	1 or 2
<b>C</b>	Common	10-25	2-5
<b>M</b>	Many	25-200	>5
<b>A</b>	Abundant	>200	

## ROOT SIZE

<b>VF</b>	Very fine	<1mm	<b>M</b>	Medium	2-5mm
<b>F</b>	Fine	1-2mm	<b>C</b>	Coarse	>5mm

## HORIZON BOUNDARY DISTINCTNESS

<b>Sharp</b>	<0.5cm	<b>Gradual</b>	6-13cm
<b>Abrupt</b>	0.5-2.5cm	<b>Diffuse</b>	>13cm
<b>Clear</b>	2.5-6cm		

**HORIZON BOUNDARY FORM** Smooth wavy irregular or broken \*

\* See Soil Survey Field Handbook (Hodgson 1997) for details