21/98

# CARISBROOK ROAD, MITCHELDEAN

# AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION SURVEY

CONTENTS		Page
INTRODUCTIO	N	1
SUMMARY		1
CLIMATE		1
RELIEF		2
GEOLOGY AND	O SOILS	2
AGRICULTURA	AL LAND CLASSIFICATION AND MAP	3
REFERENCES		4
APPENDIX I	Description of the Grades and Subgrades	5
APPENDIX II	Definition of Soil Wetness Classes	7
APPENDIX III	Survey Data:	8
	Sample Point Location Map	
	Pit Descriptions	
	Boring Profile Data	
	Boring Horizon Data	
	Abbreviations and Terms used	l in Survey Data

# CARISBROOK ROAD, MITCHELDEAN AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION SURVEY

# SUMMARY

1. This report presents the findings of a detailed Agricultural Land Classification (ALC) survey of 11.3 ha of land at Carisbrook Road, Mitcheldean. Field survey was based on 10 auger borings and 1 soil profile pit, and was completed in February 1998. During the survey 1 sample was analysed for particle size distribution (PSD).

2. The survey was conducted by the Resource Planning Team of FRCA Western Region on behalf of MAFF in its statutory role in the preparation of the Forest of Dean Local Plan.

3. Information on climate, geology and soils, and from previous ALC surveys was considered and is presented in the relevant section. Apart from the published regional ALC map (MAFF 1977), which shows the site at a reconnaissance scale as Grade 2 the site had not been surveyed previously. However, the current survey uses the Revised Guidelines and Criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land (MAFF, 1988) and therefore supersedes any previous ALC survey. Grade descriptions are summarised in Appendix I.

4. At the time of survey land cover was grass for grazing.

5. The distribution of ALC grades is shown on the accompanying 1: 10 000 scale ALC map. The detail of information shown at this scale is appropriate to the intensity of field survey but could be misleading if enlarged or applied to small areas. Areas are summarised in the Table 1.

Grade	Area (ha)	% Surveyed Area (11.1 ha)
1	10.6	95
3b	0.5	5
Other land	0.2	
Total site area	11.3	

## Table 1: Distribution of ALC grades: Carisbrook Road, Mitcheldean

6. This shows that 95% of the area surveyed was found to be Grade 1 with no significant limitation. A small field at the west of the site was found to be Subgrade 3b limited by gradient.

P BARNETT Resource Planning Team FRCA Bristol 11 March 1998

# CARISBROOK ROAD, MITCHELDEAN AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION SURVEY

# SUMMARY

1. This report presents the findings of a detailed Agricultural Land Classification (ALC) survey of 11.3 ha of land at Carisbrook Road, Mitcheldean. Field survey was based on 10 auger borings and 1 soil profile pit, and was completed in February 1998. During the survey 1 sample was analysed for particle size distribution (PSD).

2. The survey was conducted by the Resource Planning Team of FRCA Western Region on behalf of MAFF in its statutory role in the preparation of the Forest of Dean Local Plan.

3. Information on climate, geology and soils, and from previous ALC surveys was considered and is presented in the relevant section. Apart from the published regional ALC map (MAFF 1977), which shows the site at a reconnaissance scale as Grade 2 the site had not been surveyed previously. However, the current survey uses the Revised Guidelines and Criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land (MAFF, 1988) and therefore supersedes any previous ALC survey. Grade descriptions are summarised in Appendix I.

4. At the time of survey land cover was grass for grazing.

5. The distribution of ALC grades is shown on the accompanying 1: 10 000 scale ALC map. The detail of information shown at this scale is appropriate to the intensity of field survey but could be misleading if enlarged or applied to small areas. Areas are summarised in the Table 1.

Table 1:	Distribution of ALC grades:	Carisbrook Road, Mitcheldean
----------	-----------------------------	------------------------------

Grade	Area (ha)	% Surveyed Area (11.1 ha)
1	10.6	95
3b Other land	0.5 0.2	5
Total site area	11.3	

6. This shows that 95% of the area surveyed was found to be Grade 1 with no significant limitation. A small field at the west of the site was found to be Subgrade 3b limited by gradient.

P BARNETT Resource Planning Team FRCA Bristol 11 March 1998

1

# CARISBROOK ROAD, MITCHELDEAN AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION SURVEY

# **INTRODUCTION**

1. This report presents the findings of a detailed Agricultural Land Classification (ALC) survey of 11.3 ha of land at Carisbrook Road, Mitcheldean. Field survey was based on 10 auger borings and 1 soil profile pit, and was completed in February 1998. During the survey 1 sample was analysed for particle size distribution (PSD).

2. The survey was conducted by the Resource Planning Team of FRCA Western Region on behalf of MAFF in its statutory role in the preparation of the Forest of Dean Local Plan.

3. Information on climate, geology and soils, and from previous ALC surveys was considered and is presented in the relevant section. Apart from the published regional ALC map (MAFF 1977), which shows the site at a reconnaissance scale as Grade 2 the site had not been surveyed previously. However, The current survey uses the Revised Guidelines and Criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land (MAFF, 1988) and therefore supersedes any previous ALC survey. Grade descriptions are summarised in Appendix I.

4. At the time of survey land cover was grass for grazing.

# SUMMARY

5. The distribution of ALC grades is shown on the accompanying 1: 10 000 scale ALC map. The detail of information shown at this scale is appropriate to the intensity of field survey but could be misleading if enlarged or applied to small areas. Areas are summarised in the Table 1.

Grade	Area (ha)	% Surveyed Area ( 11.1 ha)
1	10.6	95
3b	0.5	5
Other land	0.2	
Total site area	11.3	

## Table 1: Distribution of ALC grades: Carisbrook Road, Mitcheldean

6. This shows that 95% of the area surveyed was found to be Grade 1 with no significant limitation. A small field at the west of the site was found to be Subgrade 3b limited by gradient.

# CLIMATE

7. Estimates of climatic variables for this site were derived from the published agricultural climate dataset "Climatological Data for Agricultural Land Classification" (Meteorological Office 1989) using standard interpolation procedures. Data for key points around the site are given in Table 2 below.

8. Since the ALC grade of land is determined by the most limiting factor present, overall climate is considered first because it can have an overriding influence by restricting land to a lower grade despite more favourable site and soil conditions. Parameters used for assessing overall climate are accumulated temperature, a measure of relative warmth and average annual rainfall, a measure of overall wetness. The results shown in Table 2 indicate that there is no overall climatic limitation.

9. Climatic variables also affect ALC grade through interactions with soil conditions. The most important interactive variables are Field Capacity Days (FCD) which are used in assessing soil wetness and potential Moisture Deficits calculated for wheat and potatoes, which are compared with the moisture available in each profile in assessing soil droughtiness limitations. These are described in later section.

# Table 2: Climatic Interpolations: Carisbrook Road, Mitcheldean

Grid Reference	SO 664192	SO 663193
Altitude (m)	140	160
Accumulated Temperature (day °C)	1367	1345
Average Annual Rainfall (mm)	810	817
Overall Climatic Grade	1	1
Field Capacity Days	178	179
Moisture deficit (mm): Wheat	87	84
Potatoes	73	69

## RELIEF

10. Altitude ranges from 137 metres at the south of the site to 170 metres in the west with mainly gentle and moderate slopes which are not limiting. A small area at the west of the site has stronger slopes which limit that land to Subgrade 3b.

# **GEOLOGY AND SOILS**

11. The underlying geology of the site is shown on the published geology map (IGS 1975) as lower Old Red Sandstone and this was borne out by the auger borings in the current survey although only one boring was found to be impenetrable due to weathered rock. Other borings found the lower subsoil to be variable in texture. When the lower subsoil was examined at the soil profile pit, it was found to contain around 30% soft sandstone fragments which in the lower subsoil were soft to light finger pressure, but could, with care, be extracted intact and were recorded as stones. In the upper subsoil the remains of such stones were considered to be no more than small pockets of sandy material.

12. Soils were mapped by the Soil Survey of England and Wales at a reconnaissance scale of 1:250 000 (SSEW 1983) as Eardiston 1 Association which is described as well drained reddish coarse loamy soils over sandstone which may be shallow in places especially on brows. More detailed soils information is also available in the published 1: 25 000 scale survey of the Cinderford area (SSEW 1981) which shows Ross, Sellack, and Newent series. The current survey found soils most closely matching the description of Eardiston 1

Association but could not distinguish the soil series shown on the detailed soils map with only 10 auger borings across the site.

# AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION

13. The distribution of ALC grades found by the current survey is shown on the accompanying 1: 10 000 scale map and areas are summarised in Table 1. The detail of information shown at this scale is appropriate to the intensity of field survey but could be misleading if enlarged or applied to small areas.

# Grade 1

14. Most of the area surveyed has been shown as Grade 1. Auger borings found mainly medium sandy loam topsoil texture at Wetness Class I with no evidence of wetness, indicating no significant limitation. A few borings were found to have sandy clay loam topsoil, also at Wetness Class I, indicating Grade 2 with a minor limitation due to restricted workability. However, those were scattered borings which do not constitute a significant area and they have been included in the Grade 1 mapping unit. Any stone content revealed at Pit 1 was not identified in the auger borings as the stones were too soft to cause any obstruction to the auger.

# Subgrade 3b

15. The small area shown as Subgrade 3b was found to be limited by gradient with slopes of around 8 degrees.

P BARNETT Resource Planning Team FRCA Bristol 11 March 1998

## REFERENCES

INSTITUTE OF GEOLOGICAL SCIENCES (1975) Sheet No. 234 Gloucester, 1:50 000 series Solid and Drift edition. IGS, London.

HODGSON, J M (Ed) (1997) Soil Survey Field Handbook. Soil Survey Technical Monograph No 5. SSLRC, Cranfield University.

MAFF (1977) 1:250 000 series Agricultural Land Classification, South West Region. MAFF Publications, Alnwick.

MAFF (1988) Agricultural Land Classification of England and Wales. Revised Guidelines and Criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land. MAFF Publications, Alnwick.

METEOROLOGICAL OFFICE (1989) Climatological Data for Agricultural Land Classification. Meteorological Office, Bracknell.

SOIL SURVEY OF ENGLAND AND WALES (1983) Sheet 5, Soils of South West England, 1:250 000 scale. SSEW, Harpenden.

SOIL SURVEY OF ENGLAND AND WALES (1984) Soils and Their Use in South West England, Bulletin No 14. SSEW, Harpenden.

SOIL SURVEY OF ENGLAND AND WALES (1981) Sheet S061, Cinderford, 1: 25 000 scale. SSEW, Harpenden.

## **APPENDIX I**

# **DESCRIPTION OF GRADES AND SUBGRADES**

## Grade 1 - excellent quality agricultural land

Land with no or very minor limitations to agricultural use. A very wide range of agricultural and horticultural crops can be grown and commonly include top fruit, soft fruit, salad crops and winter harvested vegetables. Yields are high and less variable than on land of lower quality.

## Grade 2 - very good quality agricultural land

Land with minor limitations which affect crop yield, cultivations or harvesting. A wide range of agricultural and horticultural crops can usually be grown but on some land in the grade there may be reduced flexibility due to difficulties with the production of the more demanding crops such as winter harvested vegetables and arable root crops. The level of yield is generally high but may be lower or more variable than Grade 1.

# Grade 3 - good to moderate quality agricultural land

Land with moderate limitations which affect the choice of crops, timing and type of cultivation, harvesting or the level of yield. Where more demanding crops are grown yields are generally lower or more variable than on land in Grades 1 and 2.

## Subgrade 3a - good quality agricultural land

Land capable of consistently producing moderate to high yields of a narrow range of arable crops, especially cereals, or moderate yields of a wide range of crops including cereals, grass, oilseed rape, potatoes, sugar beet and the less demanding horticultural crops.

## Subgrade 3b - moderate quality agricultural land

Land capable of producing moderate yields of a narrow range of crops, principally cereals and grass, or lower yields of a wider range of crops or high yields of grass which can be grazed or harvested over most of the year.

## Grade 4 - poor quality agricultural land

Land with severe limitations which significantly restrict the range of crops and/or level of yields. It is mainly suited to grass with occasional arable crops (eg cereals and forage crops) the yields of which are variable. In most climates, yields of grass may be moderate to high but there may be difficulties in utilisation. The grade also includes very droughty arable land.

# Grade 5 - very poor quality agricultural land

Land with very severe limitations which restrict use to permanent pasture or rough grazing, except for occasional pioneer forage crops.

**Source:** MAFF (1988) Agricultural Land Classification of England and Wales Revised Guidelines and Criteria for Grading the Quality of Agricultural Land, MAFF Publications, Alnwick.

#### **APPENDIX II**

#### **DEFINITION OF SOIL WETNESS CLASSES**

Soil wetness is classified according to the depth and duration of waterlogging in the soil profile.

#### Wetness Class I

The soil profile is not wet within 70 cm depth for more than 30 days in most years.

#### Wetness Class II

The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for 31-90 days in most years or, if there is no slowly permeable layer within 80 cm depth, it is wet within 70 cm for more than 90 days, but not wet within 40 cm depth for more than 30 days in most years.

#### Wetness Class III

The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for 91-180 days in most years or, if there is no slowly permeable layer within 80 cm depth, it is wet within 70 cm for more than 180 days, but only wet within 40 cm depth for between 31 and 90 days in most years.

#### Wetness Class IV

The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for more than 180 days but not within 40 cm depth for more than 210 days in most years or, if there is no slowly permeable layer within 80 cm depth, it is wet within 40 cm depth for 91-210 days in most years.

#### Wetness Class V

The soil profile is wet within 40 cm depth for 211-335 days in most years.

#### Wetness Class VI

The soil profile is wet within 40 cm depth for more than 335 days in most years.

Notes: The number of days specified is not necessarily a continuous period.

'In most years' is defined as more than 10 out of 20 years.

Source: Hodgson, J M (Ed) (1997) Soil Survey Field Handbook. Soil Survey Technical Monograph No 5, SSLRC, Cranfield.

## **APPENDIX III**

## ABBREVIATIONS AND TERMS USED IN SURVEY DATA

Soil pit and auger boring information collected during ALC survey is held on a computer database and is reproduced in this report. Terms used and abbreviations are set out below. These conform to definitions contained in the Soil Survey Field Handbook (Hodgson, 1997).

## 1. Terms used on computer database, in order of occurrence.

GRID REF: National 100 km grid square and 8 figure grid reference.

LAND USE: At the time of survey

WHT:	Wheat	SBT:	Sugar Beet	HTH:	Heathland
BAR:	Barley	BRA:	Brassicas	BOG:	Bog or Marsh
OAT:	Oats	FCD:	Fodder Crops	DCW:	Deciduous Wood
CER:	Cereals	FRT:	Soft and Top Fruit	CFW:	Coniferous Woodland
MZE: OSR: POT: LIN: BEN:	Maize Oilseed Rape Potatoes Linseed Field Beans	HRT: LEY: PGR: RGR: SCR:	Horticultural Crops Ley Grass Permanent Pasture Rough Grazing Scrub	PLO: FLW: SAS: OTH:	Ploughed Fallow (inc. Set aside) Set Aside (where known) Other

**GRDNT**: Gradient as estimated or measured by hand-held optical clinometer.

GLEY, SPL: Depth in centimetres to gleying or slowly permeable layer.

AP (WHEAT/POTS):	Crop-adjusted available water capacity.		
MB (WHEAT/POTS):	Moisture Balance. (Crop adjusted AP - crop potential MD)		

**DRT:** Best grade according to soil droughtiness.

If any of the following factors are considered significant, 'Y' will be entered in the relevant column.

MREL EXP: CHEM	Exposure limitation	FI	LOOD: ROST:	Flood risk Frost prone	EROS DIST	
LIMIT	The main limitation used.	tion to	land qua	llity: The foll	owing a	abbreviations are
OC: F <b>R</b> :	Overall Climate Frost Risk	AE: GR:	Aspect Gradier	EZ nt M		Exposure Aicrorelief

FL: CH:	Flood Risk Chemical		Topsoil Texture Wetness		Soil Depth Workability
DR:	Drought	ER:	Erosion Risk	WD:	Soil Wetness/Droughtiness

ST: Topsoil Stoniness

TEXTURE: Soil texture classes are denoted by the following abbreviations:-

S: SZL:	Sand Sandy Silt Loam	LS: CL:	Loamy Sand Clay Loam	SL: ZCL	Sandy Loam Silty Clay Loam
ZL:	Silt Loam	SCL:	Sandy Clay Loam	C:	Clay
SC:	Sandy clay	ZC:	Silty clay	OL:	Organic Loam
Р:	Peat	SP:	Sandy Peat	LP:	Loamy Peat
PL:	Peaty Loam	PS:	Peaty Sand	MZ:	Marine Light Silts

For the sand, loamy sand, sandy loam and sandy silt loam classes, the predominant size of sand fraction will be indicated by the use of the following prefixes:-

- **F:** Fine (more than 66% of the sand less than 0.2mm)
- M: Medium (less than 66% fine sand and less than 33% coarse sand)

C: Coarse (more than 33% of the sand larger than 0.6mm)

The clay loam and silty clay loam classes will be sub-divided according to the clay content: M: Medium (< 27% clay) H: heavy (27 - 35% clay)

**MOTTLE COL:** Mottle colour using Munsell notation.

**MOTTLE ABUN:** Mottle abundance, expressed as a percentage of the matrix or surface described.

F: few <2% C: common 2 - 20% M: many 20 - 40% VM: very many 40%+

MOTTLE CONT: Mottle contrast

- **F:** faint indistinct mottles, evident only on close inspection
- **D:** distinct mottles are readily seen
- **P:** Prominent mottling is conspicuous and one of the outstanding features of the horizon.

**PED. COL:** Ped face colour using Munsell notation.

GLEY: If the soil horizon is gleyed a 'Y' will appear in this column. If slightly gleyed, an 'S' will appear.

**STONE LITH:** Stone Lithology - One of the following is used.

HR: All hard rocks and stones SLST: Soft oolitic or dolimitic limestone

CH:	Chalk	FSST:	Soft, fine grained sandstone
ZR:	Soft, argillaceous, or silty rocks	GH:	Gravel with non-porous (hard) stones
MSST:	Soft, medium grained sandstone	GS:	Gravel with porous (soft) stones
SI:	Soft weathered igneous or metamorphic rock		-

Stone contents are given in % by volume for sizes >2cm, >6cm and total stone >2mm.

**STRUCT:** The degree of development, size and shape of soil peds are described using the following notation

Degree of development	WA: Adher	• •	WK:	Weakly developed
	MD: develo	Moderately oped	ST:	Strongly developed
<u>Ped size</u>	F: C:	Fine Coarse	M: VC:	Medium Very coarse
<u>Ped Shape</u>	S: GR: SAB: PL:	Single grain Granular Sub-angular blocky Platy	M: AB: PR:	Massive Angular blocky Prismatic

**CONSIST:** Soil consistence is described using the following notation:

L:	Loose	VF:	Very Friable	FR:	Friable	FM:	Firm
VM:	Very firm	EM:	Extremely firm		EH:	Extremely H	ard

SUBS STR: Subsoil structural condition recorded for the purpose of calculating profile droughtiness: G: Good M: Moderate P: Poor

- **POR:** Soil porosity. If a soil horizon has poor porosity with less than 0.5% biopores >0.5mm, a 'Y' will appear in this column.
- **IMP:** If the profile is impenetrable to rooting a 'Y' will appear in this column at the appropriate horizon.
- **SPL:** Slowly permeable layer. If the soil horizon is slowly permeable a 'Y' will appear in this column.

CALC: If the soil horizon is calcareous with naturally occurring calcium carbonate exceeding 1% a 'Y' will appear this column.

## 2. Additional terms and abbreviations used mainly in soil pit descriptions.

## **STONE ASSESSMENT:**

VIS: Visual S: Sieve D: Displacement

# **MOTTLE SIZE:**

EF:	Extremely fine <1mm	<b>M:</b>	Medium 5-15mm
VF:	Very fine 1-2mm>	<b>C</b> :	Coarse >15mm
F:	Fine 2-5mm		

MOTTLE COLOUR:	May be described by Munsell notation or as ochreous (OM) or grey (GM).
ROOT CHANNELS:	In topsoil the presence of 'rusty root channels' should also be noted.

# MANGANESE CONCRETIONS: Assessed by volume

N:	None		<b>M:</b>	Many	20-40%
F:	Few	<2%	VM:	Very Many	>40%
C:	Common	2-20%			

# **POROSITY:**

<b>P:</b>	Poor	- less than 0.5% biopores at least 0.5mm in diameter
G:	Good	- more than 0.5% biopores at least 0.5mm in diameter

#### **ROOT ABUNDANCE:**

The number of	roots per 100cm <sup>2</sup> :	Very Fine and Fine	Medium and Coarse
F:	Few	1-10	1 or 2
C:	Common	10.25	2 - 5
<b>M</b> :	Many	25-200	>5
<b>A:</b>	Abundant	>200	

.

#### **ROOT SIZE**

VF:	Very fine	<1mm	<b>M:</b>	Medium	2 - 5mm
F:	Fine	1-2mm	C:	Coarse	>5mm

#### HORIZON BOUNDARY DISTINCTNESS:

Sharp:	<0.5cm	Gradual:	6 - 13cm
Abrupt:	0.5 - 2.5cm	Diffuse:	>13cm
Clear:	2.5 - 6cm		

HORIZON BOUNDARY FORM: Smooth, wavy, irregular or broken.\* \* See Soil Survey Field Handbook (Hodgson, 1997) for details.

SITE NA	MĒ	PRO	FILE NO.	SLOPE	AND ASPE	CT	LAND US	E	A	v Rainfall:	817 mm		PARENT MA	TERIAL	
Carisbroo Mitchelde		Pit 1	(ASP 2)	2° Sout	h East		Ley		1	ГO:	1345 day °	°C	Sandstone		
JOB NO.		DAT	`E	GRID I	REFERENCI	E	DESCRIBI	ED BY	FC	C Days:	179		PSD SAMPLE	S TAKEN	<u>_</u>
21/98		18/2	/98	SO 663	91940		PB/HLJ			imatic Grade:	1		T/S 0-25 cm :	SL (S69:Z17:	:C14%)
Horizon No.	Lowest Av. Depth (cm)	Texture	Matrix (Ped Face) Colours	Stonine Size,Ty Field M	pe, and	Mottling Abundance Contrast, Size and Colour	e, Manga Concs		: Ped	Consistence	Structural Condition	Pores (Fissures)	Roots: Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary: Distinctness and form
1	23	MSL	5YR42	0% (vis)		None	Nor	ne -		-	-	Good	MF+VF	-	Sharp Smooth
2	50	SCL*1	2.5YR44,33	0% (vis)	۴2	None	Nor	ne MDCS	AB	Friable	Moderate	Good	CVF	-	Gradual Irregular
3	95+	SCL*3	2.5YR44,33	30%MS	ST (vis) *4	None	Nor	ne WKCS	AB	Friable	Moderate	Good	FVF	-	-
3a <sup>*5</sup>	-	С	-	0% (vis)		None	Nor	ne -		Firm	-	Good	-	-	-
Profile G	leyed From	n: Not g	leyed		Available '	Water W	heat:	133 mm		·	Final ALC	Grade:	1	I	<u> </u>
Slowly Po Horizon I Wetness ( Wetness (	From: Class:	No sp I 1	1		Moisture E	Deficit W	otatoes: /heat: otatoes:	102 mm 87 mm 73 mm			Main Limit	ing Factor(	s): Workabili	ty	
					Moisture E	alance W	heat:	46 mm			Remarks:		ered to 120 cm	anh laver	
					Droughtine	Pc ess Grade: 1	otatoes:	29 mm (Calculated to 1.	20 cm	)	Compact below plough layer. *1 & *3 redder material is heavier (SC↔LC *2 browner material is very weathered SST *4 very weathered and can just be picked up before breaking to sandy material. *5 a clay lense (porous) at varying depths				red SST bicked up