A1

Maidstone Borough Local Plan Site 80 Land At Ash Tree Farm, Grafty Green, Kent Agricultural Land Classification ALC Map and Report May 1995

AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION REPORT

MAIDSTONE BOROUGH LOCAL PLAN SITE 80 LAND AT ASH TREE FARM, GRAFTY GREEN

1 Summary

- ADAS was commissioned by MAFF's Land Use Planning Unit to provide information on land quality for a number of sites in the Maidstone Borough of Kent. The work forms part of MAFF's statutory input to the Maidstone Borough Local Plan.
- Site 80 comprises 2.6 hectares of land to the east of Grafty Green in Kent. An Agricultural Land Classification (ALC) survey was carried out in April 1995. The survey was undertaken at a detailed level of approximately one boring per hectare. A total of 2 borings and one soil inspection pit were assessed according to MAFF s revised guidelines and criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land (MAFF 1988). This is guidelines provide a framework for classifying land according to the extent to which its physical or chemical characteristics impose long term limitations on its use for agriculture.
- The work was carried out by members of the Resource Planning Team in the Guildford Statutory Group of ADAS
- 1 4 At the time of the survey the agricultural land was under permanent grass. The agricultura building is a poultry house
- The distribution of grades and subgrades is shown on the attached ALC map and the areas are given in the table below. The map has been drawn at a scale of 1 10 000. It is accurate at this scale but any enlargement would be misleading. This map supersedes any previous ALC survey information for this site.

Table 1 Distribution of Grades and Subgrades

Grade	Area (ha)	% of Site
3b	1 2	46 2
Agricultur il Buildings	<0 1	<0 1
Not Surveyed	<u>14</u>	<u>53 8</u>
Total area of Site	2 6ha	100%

- Appendix I gives a general description of the grades subgrades and land use categories identified in the survey. The main classes are described in terms of the type of limitation that can occur the typical cropping range and the expected level and consistency of yield.
- The agricultural land at this site has been classified as Subgrade 3b (moderate quality). The principal limitation to land quality is soil wetness. Clay loam topsoils overlie slowly permeable clay at shallow depths in the profile causing drainage to be severely impeded. This severely restricts land utilisation.

2 Climate

- The climatic criteria are considered first when classifying land as climate can be overriding in the sense that severe limitations will restrict land to low grades irrespective of favourable site or soil conditions
- The main parameters used in the assessment of an overall climatic limitation are average annual rainfall as a measure of overall wetness and accumulated temperature as a measure of the relative warmth of a locality
- A detailed assessment of the prevailing climate was made by interpolation from a 5km gridpoint dataset (Met Office 1989). The details are given in the table below and these show that there is no overall climatic limitation affecting the site.
- 2 4 No local climatic factors such as exposure or frost risk are believed to affect the site. However climatic and soil factors interact to influence soil wetness and droughtiness limitations.

Table 2 (limatic Interpolation

Grid Reference	TQ874489
Altitude (m AOD)	70
Accumulated Temperature	1428
(day degrees C Jan June)	
Average Annual Rainfall (mm)	694
Field Capacity Days	145
Moisture deficit wheat (mm)	115
Moisture deficit potatoes (mm)	109
Overall Climatic Grade	1

3 Relief

The site lies between approximately 65 and 70m AOD. The highest land is towards the west of the site falling gently towards the east. Nowhere in this area does relief or gradient affect agricultural land quality.

4 Geology and Soils

- The published geological information (BGS 1976) shows the site to be underlain by Cretaceous Weald Clay
- The most recent published soils information (SSEW 1983) shows the site to be underlain by soils from the Wickham I Association. The legend accompanying the map describes these as seasonally waterlogged fine silty over clayey fine loamy over clayey and clayey soils. (SSEW 1983). Soil of this broad type was found on the site.

5 Agricultural Land Classification

- Paragraph | 5 provides the details of the area measurements for each grade and the distribution of each grade is shown on the attached ALC map
- The location of the soil observation points are shown on the attached sample point map

Subgrade 3b

Land of moderate quality has been mapped for all the agricultural land at this site. The principal limitation is soil wetness due to poor drainage. Profiles typically comprise a stoneless gleyed medium clay loam topsoil. This passes directly to a deep gleyed and slowly permeable clay subsoil (see Pit 1 Appendix III). The nature of the local climate and the depth to the slowly permeable horizons leads to Wetness Class IV being applied which in combination with the workability status of the topsoils leads to Subgrade 3b being most appropriate. This degree of soil wetness will adversely affect crop growth and development and leads to severe restrictions on the opportunities for landwork and/or grazing by livestock.

ADAS Ref 2007/085/95 MAFF Ref EL20/862 Resource Planning Team Guildford Statutory Group ADAS Reading

SOURCES OF REFERENCE

British Geological Survey (1976) Sheet 288 Maidstone Solid & Drift Edition 1 50 000

MAFF (1988) Agricultural Land Classification of England and Wales Revised guidelines and criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land

Meteorological Office (1989) Climatic datasets for Agricultural Land Classification

Soil Survey of England and Wales (1980) Bulletin No 9 Soils of Kent

Soil Survey of England and Wales (1983) Sheet No 6 Soils of South East England 1 250 000 and Accompanying Legend

Soil Survey of England and Wales (1984) Bulletin No 15 Soils and their use in South East England

APPENDIX I

DESCRIPTION OF THE GRADES AND SUBGRADES

Grade 1 Excellent Quality Agricultural Land

Land with no or very minor limitations to agricultural use. A very wide range of agricultural and horticultural crops can be grown and commonly includes top fruit soft fruit salad crops and winter harvested vegetables. Yields are high and less variable than on land of lower quality.

Grade 2 Very Good Quality Agricultural Land

Land with minor limitations which affect crop yield cultivations or harvesting. A wide range of agricultural or horticultural crops can usually be grown but on some land of this grade there may be reduced flexibility due to difficulties with the production of the more demanding crops such as winter harve ted vegetables and arable root crops. The level of yield is generally high but may be lower or more variable than Grade 1 land.

Grade 3 Good to Moderate Quality Land

Land with moderate limitations which affect the choice of crops the timing and type of cultivation harvesting or the level of yield. When more demanding crops are grown yields are generally lower or more variable than on land in Grades 1 and 2

Subgrade 3a Good Quality Agricultural Land

Land capable of consistently producing moderate to high yields of a narrow range of arable crops especially cereals or moderate yields of a wide range of crops including cereals grass oilseed rape potatoes sugar beet and the less demanding horticultural crops

Subgrade 3b Moderate Quality Agricultural Land

Land capable of producing moderate yields of a narrow range of crops principally cereals and grass or lower yield, of a wider range of crops or high yields of grass which can be grazed or harvested over most of the year

Grade 4 Poor Quality Agricultural Land

Land with severe limitations which significantly restrict the range of crops and/or the level of yields. It is mainly suited to grass with occasional arable crops (eg. cereals and forage crops) the yields of which are variable. In moist climates, yields of grass may be moderate to high but there may be difficulties in utilisation. The grade also includes very droughty arable land.

Grade 5 Very Poor Quality Agricultural Land

Land with severe limitations which restrict use to permanent pasture or rough grazing except for occasional piones r forage crops

Urban

Built-up or 'hard' uses with relatively little potential for a return to agriculture including housing industry commerce education, transport religous buildings cemetries. Also hard surfaced sports facilities permanent caravan sites and vacant land all types of derelict land including mineral workings which are only likely to be reclaimed using derelict land grants.

Non-agricultural

'Soft' uses where most of the land could be returned relatively easily to agriculture including private parkland public open spaces sports fields allotments and soft-surfaced areas on airports. Also active nuneral workings and refuse tips where restoration conditions to 'soft' after uses may apply.

Woodland

Includes commercial and non commercial woodland A distinction may be made as necessary between farm and non farm woodland

Agricultural Buildings

Includes the normal range of agricultural buildings as well as other relatively permanent structures such as glasshouses Temporary structures (eg polythene tunnels erected for lambing) may be ignored

Open Water

Includes lakes ponds and rivers as map scale permits

Land Not Surveyed

Agricultural land which has not been surveyed

Where the land use includes more than one of the above eg buildings in large grounds and where map scale permits the cover types may be shown separately. Otherwise the most extensive cover type will be shown

APPENDIX II

FIELD ASSESSMENT OF SOIL WETNESS CLASS

SOIL WETNES'S CLASSIFICATION

Soil wetness is classified according to the depth and duration of waterlogging in the soil profile. Six soil wetness classes are identified and are defined in the table below.

Definition of Soil Wetness Classes

Wetness Clas,	Duration of Waterlogging ¹
1	The soil profile is not wet within 70 cm depth for more than 30 days in most years ²
π,	The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for 31-90 days in most years or if there is no slowly permeable layer within 80 cm depth it is wet within 70 cm for more than 90 days but only wet within 40 cm depth for 30 days in most years
m	The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for 91 180 days in most years or if there is no slowly permeable layer present within 80 cm depth it is wet within 70 cm for more than 180 days but only wet within 40 cm depth for between 31 90 days in most years
IV	The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for more than 180 days but not wet within 40 cm depth for more than 210 days in most years or if there is no slowly permeable layer present within 80 cm depth it is wet within 40 cm depth for 91-210 days in most years
V	The soil profile is wet within 40 cm depth for 211-335 days in most years
VI	The soil profile is wet within 40 cm depth for more than 335 days in most years

Soils can be allocated to a wetness class on the basis of quantitative data recorded over a period of many years or by the interpretation of soil profile characteristics site and climatic factors. Adequate quantitative data will rarely be available for ALC surveys and therefore the interpretative method of field assessment is used to identify soil wetness class in the field. The method adopted here is common to ADAS and the SSLRC

¹The number of days specified is not necessarily a continuous period

² In most years is defined as more than 10 out of 20 years

APPENDIX III

SOIL PIT AND SOIL BORING DESCRIPTIONS

Contents

Soil Abbreviations - Explanatory Note

Soil Pit Descriptions

Database Printout - Boring Level Information

Database Printout - Horizon Level Information

SOIL PROFILE DESCRIPTIONS EXPLANATORY NOTE

Soil pit and auger boring information collected during ALC fieldwork is held on a computer database. This use, notations and abbreviations as set out below

Boring Header Information

- 1 GRID REF national 100 km grid square and 8 figure grid reference
- 2 USE Land use at the time of survey The following abbreviations are used

Arabl:	WHT	Wheat	BAR	Barley
Cereals	OAT	Oats	MZE	Maize
Oilseed rape	BEN	Field Beans	BRA	Brassicae
Potatoes	SBT	Sugar Beet	FCD	Fodder Crops
Linse d	FRT	Soft and Top Fruit	FLW	Fallow
Permanent Pasture	eLEY	Ley Grass	RGR	Rough Grazing
Scrub	CFW	Conferous Woodland	DCW	Deciduous Wood
Heathland	BOG	Bog or Marsh	FLW	Fallow
Ploughed	SAS	Set aside	OTH	Other
Horticultural Crop	os			
	Cereals Oilseed rape Potatoes Linseed Permanent Pasture Scrub Heathland Ploughed	Cereals OAT Oilseed rape BEN Potatoes SBT Linseed FRT Permanent PastureLEY Scrub CFW Heathland BOG	Cereals OAT Oats Oilseed rape BEN Field Beans Potatoes SBT Sugar Beet Linseed FRT Soft and Top Fruit Permanent PastureLEY Ley Grass Scrub CFW Comferous Woodland Heathland BOG Bog or Marsh Ploughed SAS Set aside	Cereals OAT Oats MZE Oilse of rape BEN Field Beans BRA Potatoes SBT Sugar Beet FCD Linse of FRT Soft and Top Fruit FLW Permanent Pasture LEY Ley Grass RGR Scrub CFW Coniferous Woodland DCW Heathland BOG Bog or Marsh FLW Ploughed SAS Set aside OTH

- 3 GRDNT Gradient as estimated or measured by a hand-held optical clinometer
- 4 GLEY/SPL Depth in centimetres (cm) to gleying and/or slowly permeable layers
- 5 AP (WHEAT/POTS) Crop adjusted available water capacity
- 6 MB (WHEA I/POTS) Moisture Balance (Crop adjusted AP crop adjusted MD)
- 7 DRT Best grade according to soil droughtiness
- 8 If any of the following factors are considered significant 'Y' will be entered in the relevant column

MREL	Microrelief limitation	FLOOD	Flood risk	EROSN	Soil erosion risk
EXP	Exposure limitation	FROST	Frost prone	DIST	Disturbed land
CHEM	Chemical limitation		_		

9 LIMIT The main limitation to land quality The following abbreviations are used

OC	Overall Climate	\mathbf{AE}	Aspect	$\mathbf{E}\mathbf{X}$	Exposure
FR	Frost Risk	GR	Gradient	MR	Microrelief
FL	Flood Fask	TX	Topsoil Texture	DP	Soil Depth
CH	Chemical	WE	Wetness	WK	Workability
DR	Drought	ER	Erosion Risk	WD	Soil Wetness/Droughtiness
ST	Topsoil Stonine	SS			•

Soil Pits and Auger Borings

1 TEXTURE soil texture classes are denoted by the following abbreviations

S	Sand	LS	Loamy Sand	\mathbf{SL}	Sandy Loam
SZL	Sandy Silt Loam	CL	Clay Loam	ZCL	Silty Clay Loam
\mathbf{ZL}	Silt Loam	SCL	Sandy Clay Loam	C	Clay
SC	Sandy Clay	ZC	Silty Clay	OL	Organic Loam
P	Peat	SP	Sandy Peat	LP	Loamy Peat
PL	Peaty Loam	PS	Peaty Sand	MZ	Marine Light Silts

For the sand loamy sand sandy loam and sandy silt loam classes, the predominant size of sand fraction will be indicated by the use of the following prefixes

- Fine (more than 66% of the sand less than 0 2mm)
- M Medium (less than 66% fine sand and less than 33% coarse sand)
- Coarse (more than 33% of the sand larger than 0 6mm)

The clay loam and silty clay loam classes will be sub-divided according to the clay content M Medium (<27% clay) H Heavy (27 35% clay)

- 2 MOTTLE COL Mottle colour using Munsell notation
- 3 MOTTLE ABUN Mottle abundance expressed as a percentage of the matrix or surface described

F few <2% C common 2 20% M many 20-40% VM very many 40% +

- 4 MOTTLE CONT Mottle contrast
 - F faint indistinct mottles evident only on close inspection
 - **D** distinct mottles are readily seen
 - P prominent mottling is conspicuous and one of the outstanding features of the horizon
- 5 PED COL Ped face colour using Munsell notation
- 6 GLEY If the soil horizon is gleyed a Y will appear in this column. If slightly gleyed an S will appear
- 7 STONE LITH Stone Lithology One of the following is used

HR	all hard rocks and stones	SLST	soft oolitic or dolimitic limestone
CH	chalk	FSST	soft fine grained sandstone
ZR	soft argillaceous or silty rocks	s GH	gravel with non porous (hard) stone

MSST soft medium grained sandstone GS gravel with porous (soft) stones

SI soft weathered igneous/metamorphic rock

Stone contents (>2cm >6cm and total) are given in percentages (by volume)

8 STRUCT the degree of development size and shape of soil peds are described using the following notation

degree of development WK weakly developed MD moderately developed

ST strongly developed

ped size F fine M medium

C coarse VC very coarse

ped shape S single grain M massive

GR granular AB angular blocky

SAB sub angular blocky PR prismatic

PL platy

9 CONSIST 'soil consistence is described using the following notation

L loose VF very friable FR friable FM firm VM very firm EM extremely firm EH extremely hard

10 SUBS STR Subsoil structural condition recorded for the purpose of calculating profile droughtiness G good M moderate P poor

- 11 **POR** Soil porosity If a soil horizon has less than 0.5% biopores >0.5 mm a 'Y' will appear in this column
- 12 IMP If the profile is impenetrable to rooting a Y' will appear in this column at the appropriate horizon
- 13 SPL Slowly permeable layer If the soil horizon is slowly permeable a 'Y' will appear in this column
- 14 CALC If the soil horizon is calcareous a 'Y' will appear in this column
- 15 Other notations

APW available water capacity (in mm) adjusted for wheat

APP available water capacity (in mm) adjusted for potatoes

MBW moisture balance wheat MBP moisture balance potatoes

SOIL PIT DESCRIPTION

Site Name MAIDSTONE LP SITE EO Pit Number 1P

Grid Reference TQ87474886 Average Annual Rainfall 691 mm

Accumulated Temperature 1428 degree days

Field Capacity Level 144 days
Land Use Permanent Grass

Slope and Aspect degrees

HORIZON	TEXTURE	COLOUR	STONES >2	TOT STONE	LITH	MOTTLES	STRUCTURE	CONSIST	SUBSTRUCTURE	CALC
0- 29	MCL	10YR53 52	0	0		С				
29- 56	С	25Y 52 00	0	0		M	WKCSAB	FM	Р	
56- 75	С	25Y 61 71	0	0		М	MDCAB	VM	Р	

Wetness Grade 38 Wetness Class IV Gleying 0 cm SPL 29 cm

 Drought Grade
 APW
 mm
 MBW
 0 mm

 APP
 mm
 MBP
 0 mm

FINAL ALC GRADE 38
MAIN LIMITATION Wetness

program ALCO11

2 0-30 mc1

program ALC012 LIST OF BORINGS HEADERS 04/05/95 MAIDSTONE LP SITE 80

___ _ _ _____

page 1

page 1

WETNESS WHEAT- -POTS M REL EROSN FROST CHEM ALC SAMPLE ASPECT NO GRID REF USE GRONT GLEY SPL CLASS GRADE AP MB AP MB DRT FLOOD EXP DIST LIMIT COMMENTS 1 TQ87404892 PGR 0 29 4 38 0 0 1P TQ87474886 PGR 0 29 4 3B 0 0 2 TQ87474886 PGR 0 30 4 3B 0 0 WE 3B WE 3B PIT 75 WE 3B

OMPLETE LIST OF PROFILES 21/04/95 MAIDSTONE LP SITE 80

Y 0 0

0

Υ

- ---- ----- ------ MOTTLES---- PED ----STONES - STRUCT/ SUBS SAMPLE DEPTH TEXTURE COLOUR COL ABUN CONT COL GLEY >2 6 LITH TOT CONSIST STR POR IMP SPL CALC 1 0 29 mc1 10YR53 00 10YR58 61 C Y 0 0 0 0 29 55 c 10YR52 00 10YR58 72 M 00MN00 00 Y 0 0 0 55 70 c 10YR62 00 10YR68 71 M 00MN00 00 Y 0 0 0 Р 10YR53 52 |0YR58 00 C Y 0 0 0 25Y 52 00 0YR58 00 M 00MN00 00 Y 0 0 0 WKCSAB FM P Y 25Y 61 71 '5YR58 00 M 00MN00 00 Y 0 0 0 MDCAB VM P Y 1P 0 29 mc1 29 56 c 56 75 c

0-30 mc1 10YR53 52 10YR58 00 C Y 0 0 0 30 50 50 c 25Y 52 00 10YR58 00 M 00MN00 00 Y 0 0 P 50-80 c 25Y 61 00 5YR58 00 M 00MN00 00 Y 0 0 P

10YR53 52 10YR58 00 C