A1
Canterbury District Local Plan
WHT16: Land near Prospect House
Farm.
Agricultural Land Classification
ALC Map and Report
June 1995

## AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION REPORT

# CANTERBURY DISTRICT LOCAL PLAN WHT 16: LAND NEAR PROSPECT HOUSE FARM

## 1. Summary

- 1.1 ADAS was commissioned by MAFF's Land Use Planning Unit to provide information on land quality for a number of sites in the Canterbury district of Kent. The work forms part of MAFF's statutory input to the Canterbury District Local Plan.
- 1.2 The site comprises 2 hectares of land to the south of the A299, south of Whitstable in Kent. An Agricultural Land Classification (ALC) survey was carried out during June 1995. The survey was undertaken at a detailed level of approximately one boring per hectare. A total of 2 borings and one soil inspection pit were described in accordance with MAFF's revised guidelines and criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land (MAFF, 1988). These guidelines provide a framework for classifying land according to the extent to which its physical or chemical characteristics impose a long term limitation on its use for agriculture.
- 1.3 The work was carried out by members of the Resource Planning Team in the Guildford Statutory Group of ADAS.
- 1.4 At the time of survey the agricultural land on the site comprised unmanaged rough grassland. The area mapped as urban includes the demolished buildings which were once Prospect House Farm. An area of scrubland towards the north-east of the site has been mapped as non-agricultural.
- 1.5 The distribution of grades and subgrades is shown on the attached ALC map and the areas and extent are given in the table below. The map has been drawn at a scale of 1:10,000. It is accurate at this scale, but any enlargement would be misleading.

Table 1: Distribution of Grades and Subgrades

Grade	Area (ha)	% of Site
3b	1.6	80.0
Urban	0.3	15.0
Non- agricultural	0.1	5.0
Total area of site	$\overline{2.0}$	$10\overline{0\%}$

- 1.6 Appendix I gives a general description of the grades, subgrades and land use categories identified in the survey. The main classes are described in terms of the type of limitation that can occur, the typical cropping range and the expected level and consistency of yield.
- 1.7 All of the agricultural land on the site has been classified as Subgrade 3b, moderate quality land, with soil wetness as the main limitation. Soil profiles typically comprise heavy silty clay loam topsoils resting directly upon clay subsoils. The clay subsoils are poorly structured and slowly permeable, causing a drainage impedance. Profiles show

evidence of a drainage imperfection in the form of gleying from the topsoil. Such drainage characteristics equate these soils to Wetness Class III, which in combination with the heavy topsoil texture and the local climatic regime means that a classification of Subgrade 3b is appropriate. Poorly drained wet soils can inhibit plant growth and rooting and may be more susceptible to structural damage through trafficking by agricultural machinery or poaching by grazing livestock.

#### 2. Climate

- 2.1 The climatic criteria are considered first when classifying land as climate can be overriding in the sense that severe climatic limitations will restrict land to low grades irrespective of favourable site or soil conditions.
- 2.2 The main parameters used in the assessment of an overall climatic limitation are average annual rainfall, as a measure of overall wetness, and accumulated temperature (degree days Jan-June), as a measure of the relative warmth of a locality.
- 2.3 A detailed assessment of the prevailing climate was made by interpolation from a 5km gridpoint dataset (Met. Office 1989). The details are given in the table below and these show that there is no overall climatic limitation affecting the site.
- 2.4 However, climatic factors do interact with soil factors to influence soil wetness and droughtiness limitations. At this locality Average Annual Rainfall and Field Capacity Days are relatively low in a regional context, and therefore soil wetness problems may be diminished.
- 2.5 No local climatic factors such as exposure or frost risk are believed to affect the site.

Table 2: Climatic Interpolation

Grid Reference	TR 101 646
Altitude (m)	50
Accumulated Temperature	1438
(Day °C, Jan-June)	
Average Annual Rainfall (mm)	590
Field Capacity (days)	117
Moisture Deficit, Wheat (mm)	123
Moisture Deficit, Potatoes (mm)	120
Overall Climatic Grade	1

#### 3. Relief

3.1 The site slopes gently downwards to the north-west, lying at an altitude of 50-55 metres.

## 4. Geology and Soils

4.1 The published geological map (BGS, 1974) shows the entire site to be underlain by London Clay.

- 4.2 The published Soil Survey map (SSEW, 1983) shows the soils on the site to comprise those of the Windsor association. These are described as 'slowly permeable seasonally waterlogged clayey soils mostly with brown subsoils. Some fine loamy over clayey and fine silty over clayey' (SSEW 1983).
- 4.3 Detailed field examination found the soils on the site to be loamy and clayey with slowly permeable subsoils.

## 5. Agricultural Land Classification

5.1 The location of the soil observation points are shown on the attached sample point map.

#### Subgrade 3b

Moderate quality Subgrade 3b land has been mapped across the entire site, where soils are derived from the London Clay. Within this mapping unit soil profiles typically comprise gleyed heavy silty clay loam topsoils resting upon gleyed clay subsoils. A subsequent soil inspection pit (Pit no.1) found the clay subsoil to be poorly structured with low porosity, and it is therefore slowly permeable causing a significant drainage impedance. Consequently, these soils are assigned to Wetness Class III. The presence of a heavy silty clay loam topsoil means that a resultant classification of Subgrade 3b is appropriate. Poorly drained wet soils can inhibit plant rooting and development and may be more susceptible to structural damage through trafficking by agricultural machinery or poaching by grazing livestock.

ADAS Ref: 2002/101/95 MAFF Ref: EL 20/642 Resource Planning Team Guildford Statutory Group ADAS Reading

## SOURCES OF REFERENCE

British Geological Survey (1974), Sheet No. 273, Faversham, 1:50,000 Series (solid and drift edition).

MAFF (1988), Agricultural Land Classification of England and Wales: Revised guidelines and criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land.

Meteorological Office (1989), Climatological Data for Agricultural Land Classification.

Soil Survey of England and Wales (1983), Sheet 6: Soils of South East England, 1:250,000 and accompanying legend.

#### APPENDIX I

#### DESCRIPTION OF THE GRADES AND SUBGRADES

# Grade 1: Excellent Quality Agricultural Land

Land with no or very minor limitations to agricultural use. A very wide range of agricultural and horticultural crops can be grown and commonly includes top fruit, soft fruit, salad crops and winter harvested vegetables. Yields are high and less variable than on land of lower quality.

#### Grade 2: Very Good Quality Agricultural Land

Land with minor limitations which affect crop yield, cultivations or harvesting. A wide range of agricultural or horticultural crops can usually be grown but on some land of this grade there may be reduced flexibility due to difficulties with the production of the more demanding crops such as winter harvested vegetables and arable root crops. The level of yield is generally high but may be lower or more variable than Grade 1 land.

#### Grade 3: Good to Moderate Quality Land

Land with moderate limitations which affect the choice of crops, the timing and type of cultivation, harvesting or the level of yield. When more demanding crops are grown, yields are generally lower or more variable than on land in Grades 1 and 2.

## Subgrade 3a: Good Quality Agricultural Land

Land capable of consistently producing moderate to high yields of a narrow range of arable crops, especially cereals, or moderate yields of a wide range of crops including cereals, grass, oilseed rape, potatoes, sugar beet and the less demanding horticultural crops.

#### Subgrade 3b: Moderate Quality Agricultural Land

Land capable of producing moderate yields of a narrow range of crops, principally cereals and grass, or lower yields of a wider range of crops or high yields of grass which can be grazed or harvested over most of the year.

## Grade 4: Poor Quality Agricultural Land

Land with severe limitations which significantly restrict the range of crops and/or the level of yields. It is mainly suited to grass with occasional arable crops (eg. cereals and forage crops) the yields of which are variable. In moist climates, yields of grass may be moderate to high but there may be difficulties in utilisation. The grade also includes very droughty arable land.

#### Grade 5: Very Poor Quality Agricultural Land

Land with severe limitations which restrict use to permanent pasture or rough grazing, except for occasional pioneer forage crops.

#### Urban

Built-up or 'hard' uses with relatively little potential for a return to agriculture including: housing, industry, commerce, education, transport, religous buildings, cemetries. Also, hard-surfaced sports facilities, permanent caravan sites and vacant land; all types of derelict land, including mineral workings which are only likely to be reclaimed using derelict land grants.

#### Non-agricultural

'Soft' uses where most of the land could be returned relatively easily to agriculture, including: private parkland, public open spaces, sports fields, allotments and soft-surfaced areas on airports. Also active mineral workings and refuse tips where restoration conditions to 'soft' after-uses may apply.

#### Woodland

Includes commercial and non-commercial woodland. A distinction may be made as necessary between farm and non-farm woodland.

#### Agricultural Buildings

Includes the normal range of agricultural buildings as well as other relatively permanent structures such as glasshouses. Temporary structures (eg. polythene tunnels erected for lambing) may be ignored.

#### Open Water

Includes lakes, ponds and rivers as map scale permits.

#### Land Not Surveyed

Agricultural land which has not been surveyed.

Where the land use includes more than one of the above, eg. buildings in large grounds, and where map scale permits, the cover types may be shown separately. Otherwise, the most extensive cover type will be shown.

#### APPENDIX II

#### FIELD ASSESSMENT OF SOIL WETNESS CLASS

#### SOIL WETNESS CLASSIFICATION

Soil wetness is classified according to the depth and duration of waterlogging in the soil profile. Six soil wetness classes are identified and are defined in the table below.

#### **Definition of Soil Wetness Classes**

Wetness Class	Duration of Waterlogging <sup>1</sup>
I	The soil profile is not wet within 70 cm depth for more than 30 days in most years. <sup>2</sup>
π	The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for 31-90 days in most years or, if there is no slowly permeable layer within 80 cm depth, it is wet within 70 cm for more than 90 days, but only wet within 40 cm depth for 30 days in most years.
Ш	The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for 91-180 days in most years or, if there is no slowly permeable layer present within 80 cm depth, it is wet within 70 cm for more than 180 days, but only wet within 40 cm depth for between 31-90 days in most years.
IV	The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for more than 180 days but not wet within 40 cm depth for more than 210 days in most years or, if there is no slowly permeable layer present within 80 cm depth, it is wet within 40 cm depth for 91-210 days in most years.
V	The soil profile is wet within 40 cm depth for 211-335 days in most years.
VI	The soil profile is wet within 40 cm depth for more than 335 days in most years.

Soils can be allocated to a wetness class on the basis of quantitative data recorded over a period of many years or by the interpretation of soil profile characteristics, site and climatic factors. Adequate quantitative data will rarely be available for ALC surveys and therefore the interpretative method of field assessment is used to identify soil wetness class in the field. The method adopted here is common to ADAS and the SSLRC.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The number of days specified is not necessarily a continuous period.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>'In most years' is defined as more than 10 out of 20 years.

## **APPENDIX III**

# SOIL PIT AND SOIL BORING DESCRIPTIONS

## Contents:

Soil Abbreviations - Explanatory Note

Soil Pit Descriptions

**Database Printout - Boring Level Information** 

**Database Printout - Horizon Level Information** 

#### SOIL PROFILE DESCRIPTIONS: EXPLANATORY NOTE

Soil pit and auger boring information collected during ALC fieldwork is held on a computer database. This uses notations and abbreviations as set out below.

## **Boring Header Information**

- 1. GRID REF: national 100 km grid square and 8 figure grid reference.
- 2. USE: Land use at the time of survey. The following abbreviations are used.

ARA: Arable WHT: Wheat BAR: Barley
CER: Cereals OAT: Oats MZE: Maize
OSR: Oilseed rape BEN: Field Beans BRA: Brassicae
POT: Potatoes SBT: Sugar Beet FCD: Fodder Crops

LIN: Linseed FRT: Soft and Top Fruit FLW: Fallow

PGR: Permanent Pasture LEY: Ley Grass RGR: Rough Grazing SCR: Scrub CFW: Coniferous Woodland DCW: Deciduous Wood

HTH: Heathland BOG: Bog or Marsh FLW: Fallow PLO: Ploughed SAS: Set aside OTH: Other

**HRT**: Horticultural Crops

- 3. GRDNT: Gradient as estimated or measured by a hand-held optical clinometer.
- 4. GLEY/SPL: Depth in centimetres (cm) to gleying and/or slowly permeable layers.
- 5. AP (WHEAT/POTS): Crop-adjusted available water capacity.
- 6. MB (WHEAT/POTS): Moisture Balance. (Crop adjusted AP crop adjusted MD)
- 7. **DRT**: Best grade according to soil droughtiness.
- 8. If any of the following factors are considered significant, 'Y' will be entered in the relevant column.

MREL: Microrelief limitation FLOOD: Flood risk EROSN: Soil erosion risk EXP: Exposure limitation FROST: Frost prone DIST: Disturbed land

CHEM: Chemical limitation

9. **LIMIT**: The main limitation to land quality. The following abbreviations are used.

OC: Overall Climate AE: Aspect EX: Exposure FR: Frost Risk GR: Gradient MR: Microrelief FL: Flood Risk TX: Topsoil Texture DP: Soil Depth CH: Chemical WE: Wetness WK: Workability

**DR**: Drought **ER**: Erosion Risk **WD**: Soil Wetness/Droughtiness

ST: Topsoil Stoniness

#### Soil Pits and Auger Borings

1. TEXTURE: soil texture classes are denoted by the following abbreviations.

S: Sand LS: Loamy Sand SL: Sandy Loam SZL: Sandy Silt Loam CL: Clay Loam ZCL: Silty Clay Loam

**ZL**: Silt Loam SCL: Sandy Clay Loam C: Clay

SC: Sandy Clay ZC: Silty Clay OL: Organic Loam **P**: Peat SP: Sandy Peat LP: Loamy Peat PL: Peaty Loam PS: Peaty Sand MZ: Marine Light Silts

For the sand, loamy sand, sandy loam and sandy silt loam classes, the predominant size of sand fraction will be indicated by the use of the following prefixes:

F: Fine (more than 66% of the sand less than 0.2mm)

M: Medium (less than 66% fine sand and less than 33% coarse sand)

C: Coarse (more than 33% of the sand larger than 0.6mm)

The clay loam and silty clay loam classes will be sub-divided according to the clay content: M: Medium (<27% clay) H: Heavy (27-35% clay)

- 2. **MOTTLE COL**: Mottle colour using Munsell notation.
- 3. MOTTLE ABUN: Mottle abundance, expressed as a percentage of the matrix or surface described.

F: few <2% C: common 2-20% M: many 20-40% VM: very many 40% +

4. **MOTTLE CONT**: Mottle contrast

F: faint - indistinct mottles, evident only on close inspection

**D**: distinct - mottles are readily seen

P: prominent - mottling is conspicuous and one of the outstanding features of the horizon

- 5. **PED. COL**: Ped face colour using Munsell notation.
- 6. GLEY: If the soil horizon is gleyed a 'Y' will appear in this column. If slightly gleyed, an 'S' will appear.
- 7. STONE LITH: Stone Lithology One of the following is used.

HR: all hard rocks and stones SLST: soft oolitic or dolimitic limestone

**CH**: chalk **FSST**: soft, fine grained sandstone

**ZR**: soft, argillaceous, or silty rocks **GH**: gravel with non-porous (hard) stones

MSST: soft, medium grained sandstone GS: gravel with porous (soft) stones

SI: soft weathered igneous/metamorphic rock

Stone contents (>2cm, >6cm and totai) are given in percentages (by volume).

8. STRUCT: the degree of development, size and shape of soil peds are described using the following notation:

degree of development WK: weakly developed MD: moderately developed

ST: strongly developed

ped size F: fine M: medium

C: coarse VC: very coarse

ped shape S: single grain M: massive

GR: granular AB: angular blocky

**SAB**: sub-angular blocky **PR**: prismatic

PL: platy

9. **CONSIST**: Soil consistence is described using the following notation:

L: loose VF: very friable FR: friable FM: firm VM: very firm

**EM**: extremely firm **EH**: extremely hard

10. SUBS STR: Subsoil structural condition recorded for the purpose of calculating profile droughtiness: G: good M: moderate P: poor

11. POR: Soil porosity. If a soil horizon has less than 0.5% biopores >0.5 mm, a 'Y' will appear in this column.

12. IMP: If the profile is impenetrable to rooting a 'Y' will appear in this column at the appropriate horizon.

13. SPL: Slowly permeable layer. If the soil horizon is slowly permeable a 'Y' will appear in this column.

14. CALC: If the soil horizon is calcareous, a 'Y' will appear in this column.

15. Other notations

APW: available water capacity (in mm) adjusted for wheat APP: available water capacity (in mm) adjusted for potatoes

MBW: moisture balance, wheat MBP: moisture balance, potatoes

#### SOIL PIT DESCRIPTION

Site Name : CANTERBURY LP WHT 16 Pit Number : 1P

Grid Reference: TR Average Annual Rainfall: 590 mm

Accumulated Temperature: 1438 degree days

Field Capacity Level : 117 days
Land Use : Rough Grazing
Slope and Aspect : degrees

HORIZON TEXTURE COLOUR STONES >2 TOT.STONE LITH MOTTLES STRUCTURE CONSIST SUBSTRUCTURE CALC

0- 25 HZCL 10YR42 00 0 2 HR C

25- 65 C 10YR62 00 0 2 HR M STCAB VM P

Wetness Grade : 3B Wetness Class : III

Gleying :000 cm SPL :025 cm

Drought Grade: APW: 000mm MBW: 0 mm

APP: 000mm MBP: 0 mm

FINAL ALC GRADE : 3B
MAIN LIMITATION : Wetness

program: ALCO12

## LIST OF BORINGS HEADERS 18/08/95 CANTERBURY LP WHT 16

SAMPL	.E	ASPECT			_	WETNESS		-WHEAT-		-POTS-		M.REL		EROSN	FROST	CHEM	ALC	
NO.	GRID REF	USE	GRDNT	GLEY SP	L C	LASS	GRADE	AP	MB	AP	MB	DRT	FLOOD	E	KP DI:	T LIMIT		COMMENTS
1	TR10106460	RGR		0 026		3	38	000	0	000	0					WE	3B	
1P	TR10106460	RGR		0 025		3	3B	000	0	000	0					WE	3B	
2	TR10206464	RGR		0 027		3	38	000	0	000	Q					WE	3B	

page 1

program: ALCO11 \_\_\_\_\_

COMPLETE LIST OF PROFILES 23/06/95 CANTERBURY LP WHT 16 page 1

				M	OTTLES	PED			STONES			STRUCT/		SUBS					
SAMPLE	DEPTH	TEXTURE	COLOUR	COL	ABUN	CONT	COL.	GLEY	>2	>6	LITH	TOT	CONSIST		STR	POR	IMP	SPL	CALC
1	0-26	hzc1	10YR42 52	10YR68	71 M			Υ	0	0	HR	3							
	26-70	c	10YR62 00	10YR68	71 M			Υ	0	0	HR	5			Р			Y	
1P	0-25	hzcl	10YR42 00	10YR58	61 C			Y	0	0	HR	2							
	25-65	С	10YR62 00	10YR68	71 M			Y	0	0	HR	2	STCAB	VM	P	Υ		Υ	
2	0-27	hzcl	10YR42 00	10YR58	61 C			Y	0	0	HR	2							
	27-70	C	10YR62 00	10YR68	71 M			Υ	0	0		0			Ρ			Y	