

11196

Mendip District Local Plan Shepton Mallet

Agricultural Land Classification July 1996

Resource Planning Team Taunton Statutory Group ADAS Bristol Job Number 11/96 Commission 1020 MAFF Reference EL 548



MENDIP LOCAL PLAN SHEPTON MALLET

AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION SURVEY

ł

CONTENTS

		Page
INTRODUCTIO	'n	1
SUMMARY		1
CLIMATE		2
RELIEF		3
GEOLOGY ANI	D SOILS	3
AGRICULTURA	AL LAND CLASSIFICATION AND MAP	3
REFERENCES		5
APPENDIX I	Description of the Grades and Subgrades	6
APPENDIX II	Definition of Soil Wetness Classes	8
APPENDIX III	Survey Data	9
	Sample Point Location Map	
	Pit Descriptions	
	Boring Profile Data	
	Boring Horizon Data	
	Abbreviations and Terms used	in Survey Data

MENDIP LOCAL PLAN SHEPTON MALLET

AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION SURVEY

INTRODUCTION

1 This report presents the findings of a semi-detailed Agricultural Land Classification (ALC) survey of 433 8 ha of land at Shepton Mallet Field survey was based on 220 auger borings and 9 soil profile pits and was completed in April 1996

2 The survey was conducted by the Resource Planning Team of ADAS Taunton Statutory Group on behalf of MAFF Land Use Planning Unit in its statutory role in the preparation of Mendip Local Plan

3 Information on climate geology and soils and from previous ALC surveys was considered and is presented in the relevant section Apart from the published regional ALC map (MAFF 1977) which shows the site at a reconnaissance scale as mainly Grade 3 the site was previously surveyed in 1979 at a scale of 1 10 000 (ADAS 1979) This was carried out to previous guidelines and shows an intricate mixture of Subgrade 3b with Subgrade 3a and smaller areas of Subgrade 3c However the current survey uses the revised guidelines and criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land (MAFF 1988) and supersedes any previous ALC survey Grade descriptions are summarised in Appendix I

4 At the time of survey land cover was mainly grass for dairying with smaller areas of maize and potatoes Other land which was not surveyed was mainly urban, residential, commercial, roads sports fields and one small caravan site

SUMMARY

5 The distribution of ALC grades is shown on the accompanying 1 20 000 scale ALC map The detail of information shown at this scale is appropriate to the intensity of field survey but could be misleading if enlarged or applied to small areas Areas are summarised in the Table 1

Grade	Area (ha)	% Surveyed Area (361 4 ha)
3a 3b 4 5 Other land	76 8 189 0 84 9 10 7 72 4	21 3 52 3 23 5 3 0
Total site area	433 8	

Table 1 Distribution of ALC grades Shepton Mallet

6 This shows that only 21% of the surveyed area was found to be best and most versatile This was mainly Subgrade 3a with moderate limitations due to workability droughtiness and wetness The main block of Subgrade 3a is shown at the north of the site although one smaller area is shown in the south west and similar individual scattered borings may also be found within the area shown as Subgrade 3b The area shown as Subgrade 3b has mainly a more serious moderate limitation due to droughtiness and the Grade 4 is mainly severely limited by wetness Smaller areas of Grade 4 and 5 on the north side of the town were found to be severely or very severely limited by steep gradients

CLIMATE

7 Estimates of climatic variables for this site were derived from the published agricultural climate dataset "Climatological Data for Agricultural Land Classification" (Meteorological Office 1989) using standard interpolation procedures Data for key points around the site are given in Table 2 below

8 Since the ALC grade of land is determined by the most limiting factor present, overall climate is considered first because it can have an overriding influence by restricting land to a lower grade despite more favourable site and soil conditions Parameters used for assessing overall climate are accumulated temperature a measure of relative warmth and average annual rainfall, a measure of overall wetness The results shown in Table 2 indicate that there is an overall climatic limitation above 130m which limits the land to Grade 2

9 Climatic variables also affect ALC grade through interactions with soil conditions. The most important interactive variables are Field Capacity Days (FCD) which are used in assessing soil wetness and potential Moisture Deficits calculated for wheat and potatoes, which are compared with the moisture available in each profile in assessing soil droughtiness limitations. These are described in later sections.

Grid Reference		ST 637421	ST 603439	ST 629437
Altitude (m)		210	95	150
Accumulated Temperature (day C)		1322	1453	1390
Average Annual Rainfa	ll (mm)	1019	950	990
Overall Climatic Grade		2	1	2
Field Capacity Days		209	203	206
Moisture deficit (mm)	Wheat	73	90	80
	Potatoes	56	79	66

Table 2 Climatic Interpolations Shepton Mallet

RELIEF

10 Altitude ranges from 95 metres at Darshill Farm in the north west to 210 metres above Bullimore Farm in the south east with mainly gentle and moderate slopes which are not limiting However steeper slopes were found on the valley sides to the north of the town which were assessed as strongly to moderately steeply sloping, even steeply sloping in parts Gradient is mainly responsible for any downgrading in this area

GEOLOGY AND SOILS

11 The underlying geology of the site is shown on the published geology maps (BGS 1984 IGS 1973) as mainly Lower Lias limestone and clay with smaller areas of other deposits mainly also limestone

12 Soils were mapped by the Soil Survey of England and Wales at a reconnaissance scale of 1 250 000 (SSEW 1983) as mainly Sherborne Ston Easton and Curtisden associations with smaller areas of Crwbin, Evesham and Elmton 2 associations

13 The Sherborne association is described as shallow well drained brashy calcareous clay soils over limestone associated with slowly permeable calcareous clay soils Ston Easton association is described as well drained fine silty over clayey soils on limestone and Curtisden association is described as silty soils over siltstone with slowly permeable subsoils and slight seasonal water logging

14 The distribution of soils is also shown in greater detail as soil series on the published 1 63 360 scale Wells Sheet 280 (SSEW 1968) and Glastonbury Sheet 296 (SSEW 1955) These show soil series as defined at the time of publication, including Somerton (now Sherborne) and Evesham series mainly in the south of the site with Ston Easton series in the north and a mixture of Martock, Attrim and Long Load series on the wetter land in the east of the site

15 The published distribution of both associations and earlier series was largely borne out by the current ALC survey

AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION

16 The distribution of ALC grades found by the current survey is shown on the accompanying 1 20 000 scale map and areas are summarised in Table 1 The detail of information shown at this scale is appropriate to the intensity of field survey but could be misleading if enlarged or applied to small areas

Subgrade 3a

17 Subgrade 3a is consistently found mainly in the north of the site with mainly heavy clay loam topsoil texture and Wetness Class I (See Appendix II) a moderate limitation due to restricted workability This mapping unit generally shows deeper soil profiles although with stone contents of over 50% typically below 45 or 50cm 18 A small area of Subgrade 3a is also shown in the south of the site although even this is not consistent as laboratory analysis of topsoil at Pit 2 shows clay adjacent to a second sample at ASP 103 which shows heavy silty clay loam The survey also revealed scattered borings of Subgrade 3a within the larger areas shown as Subgrade 3b although these do not occur consistently over a wide enough area to be mapped However this survey was conducted at semi detailed intensity and it is quite possible that detailed survey of a smaller site within the area shown as Subgrade 3b may find small areas of Subgrade 3a This is illustrated by the appearance of Pit 3 as an isolated occurrence of Subgrade 3a, where sieving revealed stone contents of 30% below 21cm, 65% below 35cm and shattered rock below 50cm This is marginally deeper than other profiles assessed as Subgrade 3b and droughtiness calculation shows it to be within the limits for Subgrade 3a

Subgrade 3b

19 Much of the large area shown as Subgrade 3b was found to be shallow frequently impenetrable to the auger at 25 30cm Soil profile pits at Pits 1 5 & 7 revealed stone contents assessed by sieving as typically 65 70% below 20cm and 75 90% below around 45cm With Wetness Class I and topsoil textures ranging from medium clay loam to clay this implies a primary limitation due to droughtiness also limited by restricted workability where clay topsoil textures are found

20 Mainly in the north of the site gradients of 8 11° were found which in the absence of a higher wetness limitation indicate a more serious moderate limitation due to gradient

Grade 4

21 Large areas at the east of the site are found to be mainly Wetness Class III or IV with a slowly permeable layer starting generally in the upper subsoil With topsoil textures of heavy silty clay loam or clay this implies a severe limitation due to wetness

22 Small areas on the valley sides to the north of the town were found to have slopes of 12 15° representing a severe limitation due to gradient

Grade 5

Steeply sloping land with slopes measured over 19° was also found on the valley sides to the north west of town

P Barnett Resource Planning Team Taunton Statutory Group ADAS Bristol 16 July 1996

REFERENCES

ADAS RESOURCE PLANNING TEAM, (1979) Agricultural Land Classification Survey of Shepton Mallet Scale 1 10000 Reference 36 ADAS Bristol.

BRITISH GEOLOGICAL SURVEY (1984) Sheet 280 Wells 1 50 000 series Solid and Drift edition BGS London

HODGSON J M (Ed) (1974) Soil Survey Field Handbook, Technical Monograph No 5 Soil Survey of England and Wales Harpenden.

HODGSON J M (In preparation) Soil Survey Field Handbook, Revised edition

INSTITUTE OF GEOLOGICAL SCIENCES (1973) Sheet 296 Glastonbury 1 50 000 series Solid and Drift edition IGS London

MAFF (1977) 1 250 000 series Agricultural Land Classification, South West Region MAFF Publications Alnwick

MAFF (1988) Agricultural Land Classification of England and Wales Revised Guidelines and Criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land MAFF Publications Alnwick

METEOROLOGICAL OFFICE (1989) Climatological Data for Agricultural Land Classification Meteorological Office Bracknell

SOIL SURVEY OF ENGLAND AND WALES (1983) Sheet 5 Soils of South West England, 1 250 000 scale SSEW Harpenden

SOIL SURVEY OF ENGLAND AND WALES (1984) Soils and Their Use in South West England Bulletin No 14 SSEW Harpenden

SURVEY OF ENGLAND AND WALES (1955) 1 63 360 scale Glastonbury Sheet 296 SSEW Harpenden

APPENDIX I

DESCRIPTION OF GRADES AND SUBGRADES

Grade 1 - excellent quality agricultural land

Land with no or very minor limitations to agricultural use A very wide range of agricultural and horticultural crops can be grown and commonly include top fruit soft fruit salad crops and winter harvested vegetables Yields are high and less variable than on land of lower quality

Grade 2 - very good quality agricultural land

Land with minor limitations which affect crop yield, cultivations or harvesting A wide range of agricultural and horticultural crops can usually be grown but on some land in the grade there may be reduced flexibility due to difficulties with the production of the more demanding crops such as winter harvested vegetables and arable root crops The level of yield is generally high but may be lower or more variable than Grade 1

Grade 3 good to moderate quality agricultural land

Land with moderate limitations which affect the choice of crops timing and type of cultivation, harvesting or the level of yield Where more demanding crops are grown yields are generally lower or more variable than on land in Grades 1 and 2

Subgrade 3a good quality agricultural land

Land capable of consistently producing moderate to high yields of a narrow range of arable crops especially cereals or moderate yields of a wide range of crops including cereals, grass oilseed rape potatoes sugar beet and the less demanding horticultural crops

Subgrade 3b moderate quality agricultural land

Land capable of producing moderate yields of a narrow range of crops, principally cereals and grass or lower yields of a wider range of crops or high yields of grass which can be grazed or harvested over most of the year

Grade 4 poor quality agricultural land

Land with severe limitations which significantly restrict the range of crops and/or level of yields. It is mainly suited to grass with occasional arable crops (e.g. cereals and forage crops) the yields of which are variable. In most climates yields of grass may be moderate to high but there may be difficulties in utilisation. The grade also includes very droughty arable land.

Grade 5 - very poor quality agricultural land

Land with very severe limitations which restrict use to permanent pasture or rough grazing, except for occasional pioneer forage crops

Source MAFF (1988) Agricultural Land Classification of England and Wales Revised Guidelines and Criteria for Grading the Quality of Agricultural Land MAFF Publications Alnwick

APPENDIX II

DEFINITION OF SOIL WETNESS CLASSES

Soil wetness is classified according to the depth and duration of waterlogging in the soil profile

Wetness Class I

The soil profile is not wet within 70 cm depth for more than 30 days in most years

Wetness Class II

The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for 31 90 days in most years or if there is no slowly permeable layer within 80 cm depth, it is wet within 70 cm for more than 90 days but not wet within 40 cm depth for more than 30 days in most years

Wetness Class III

The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for 91 180 days in most years or if there is no slowly permeable layer within 80 cm depth, it is wet within 70 cm for more than 180 days but only wet within 40 cm depth for between 31 and 90 days in most years

Wetness Class IV

The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for more than 180 days but not within 40 cm depth for more than 210 days in most years or if there is no slowly permeable layer within 80 cm depth, it is wet within 40 cm depth for 91 210 days in most years

Wetness Class V

The soil profile is wet within 40 cm depth for 211 335 days in most years

Wetness Class VI

The soil profile is wet within 40 cm depth for more than 335 days in most years

Notes The number of days specified is not necessarily a continuous period

'In most years is defined as more than 10 out of 20 years

Source Hodgson, J M (In preparation) Soil Survey Field Handbook, Revised Edition

APPENDIX III

ABBREVIATIONS AND TERMS USED IN SURVEY DATA

Soil pit and auger boring information collected during ALC survey is held on a computer database and is reproduced in this report Terms used and abbreviations are set out below These conform to definitions contained in the Soil Survey Field Handbook (Hodgson, 1974)

1 Terms used on computer database, in order of occurrence.

GRID REF National 100 km grid square and 8 figure grid reference

LAND USE At the time of survey

WHT	Wheat	SBT	Sugar Beet	HTH	Heathland
BAR	Barley	BRA	Brassicas	BOG	Bog or Marsh
OAT	Oats	FCD	Fodder Crops	DCW	Deciduous Wood
CER	Cereals	FRT	Soft and Top Fruit	CFW	Coniferous Woodland
MZE	Maize	HRT	Horticultural Crops	PLO	Ploughed
OSR	Oilseed Rape	LEY	Ley Grass	FLW	Fallow (inc Set aside)
POT	Potatoes	PGR	Permanent Pasture	SAS	Set Aside (where known)
LIN	Linseed	RGR	Rough Grazing	OTH	Other
BEN	Field Beans	SCR	Scrub		

GRDNT Gradient as estimated or measured by hand held optical clinometer

GLEY, SPL Depth in centimetres to gleying or slowly permeable layer

AP (WHEAT/POTS)	Crop adjusted available water capacity				
MB (WHEAT/POTS)	Moisture Balance MD)	(Crop adjusted AP	crop potential		

DRT Best grade according to soil droughtiness

If any of the following factors are considered significant Y will be entered in the relevant column

MREL	Microrelief limitation	FLOOD	Flood risk	EROSN	Soil erosion risk
EXP CHEM	Exposure limitation Chemical limitation	FROST	Frost prone	DIST	Disturbed land

LIMIT The main limitation to land quality The following abbreviations are used

OC	Overall Climate	AE	Aspect	EX	Exposure
FR.	Frost Risk	GR.	Gradient	MR.	Microrelief
FL	Flood Risk	TX	Topsoil Texture	DP	Soil Depth
СН	Chemical	WE	Wetness	WK	Workability
DR.	Drought	ER.	Erosion Risk	WD	Soil Wetness/Droughtiness
ST	Topsoil Stoniness				

TEXTURE Soil texture classes are denoted by the following abbreviations

S	Sand	LS	Loamy Sand	SL	Sandy Loam
SZL	Sandy Silt Loam	CL	Clay Loam	ZCL	Silty Clay Loam
ZL	Silt Loam	SCL	Sandy Clay Loam	С	Clay
SC	Sandy clay	ZC	Silty clay	OL	Organic Loam
P	Peat	SP	Sandy Peat	LP	Loamy Peat
PL	Peaty Loam	PS	Peaty Sand	MZ	Marine Light Silts

For the sand, loamy sand sandy loam and sandy silt loam classes the predominant size of sand fraction will be indicated by the use of the following prefixes

- **F** Fine (more than 66% of the sand less than 0 2mm)
- M Medium (less than 66% fine sand and less than 33% coarse sand)
- C Coarse (more than 33% of the sand larger than 0 6mm)

The clay loam and silty clay loam classes will be sub divided according to the clay content M Medium (< 27% clay) H heavy (27 35% clay)

- MOTTLE COL Mottle colour using Munsell notation
- MOTTLE ABUN Mottle abundance expressed as a percentage of the matrix or surface described
- F few <2% C common 2 20% M many 20 40% VM very many 40%+

MOTTLE CONT Mottle contrast

- **F** faint indistinct mottles evident only on close inspection
- **D** distinct mottles are readily seen
- P Prominent mottling is conspicuous and one of the outstanding features of the horizon
- PED COL Ped face colour using Munsell notation
- GLEY If the soil horizon is gleyed a Y will appear in this column If slightly gleyed an S will appear

STONE LITH Stone Lithology One of the following is used

HR	All hard rocks and stones	SLST	Soft oolitic or dolimitic limestone
СН	Chalk	FSST	Soft fine grained sandstone
ZR	Soft, argillaceous or silty rocks	GH	Gravel with non porous (hard) stones
MSST	Soft, medium grained sandstone	GS	Gravel with porous (soft) stones
SI	Soft weathered igneous or metamo	orphic rock	

Stone contents are given in % by volume for sizes >2cm, >6cm and total stone >2mm

STRUCT The degree of development size and shape of soil peds are described using the following notation

Degree of development	WK ST	Weakly developed Strongly developed	MD	Moderately developed
<u>Ped size</u>	F	Fine	М	Medium
	С	Coarse	VC	Very coarse
Ped Shape	S	Single grain	М	Massive
	GR.	Granular	AB	Angular blocky
	SAB	Sub-angular blocky	PR	Prismatic
	PL	Platy		

CONSIST Soil consistence is described using the following notation

L	Loose	VF	Very Friable	FR	Friable	FM	Fırm
VM	Very firm	EM	Extremely firm	EH	Extremely	Hard	

SUBS STRSubsoil structural condition recorded for the purpose of calculating
profile droughtinessG GoodM ModerateP Poor

- **POR** Soil porosity If a soil horizon has poor porosity with less than 0 5% biopores >0 5mm, a Y will appear in this column
- **IMP** If the profile is impenetrable to rooting a Y will appear in this column at the appropriate horizon
- SPL Slowly permeable layer If the soil horizon is slowly permeable a Y will appear in this column
- CALC If the soil horizon is calcareous with naturally occurring calcium carbonate exceeding 1% a Y will appear this column

HORIZON BOUNDARY DISTINCTNESS

Sharp	<0 5cm	Gradual	6 13cm
Abrupt	05 25cm	Diffuse	>13cm
Clear.	25 6cm		

HORIZON BOUNDARY FORM Smooth, wavy irregular or broken *

* See Soil Survey Field Handbook (Hodgson, 1974) for details

SITE NAM	ME		PROF	TILE NO	SLOPE	AND ASPE	CT	LA	ND USE		A	v Rainfall	990 mm		PARENT MAT	TERIAL	
Shepton N	/lallet		Pit 1	(Asp 186)	1 N			PG	R		A	то	1390 day	с	Carboniferous	limestone	
JOB NO			DAT	E	GRID I	REFERENCI	Ξ	DE	SCRIBED B	Y	F	C Days	206		SOIL SAMPLE	EREFEREN	CES
11 96			1539	96	ST 616	34224		HL.	J/PB			limatic Grade	2	ĺ	HLJ/197		
Horizon No	Lowest Av Depth (cm)	Tex	ture	Matrix (Ped Face) Colours	Stomme Size Ty I icid M	ess /pe and /cthod	Mottling Abundanc Contrast Sizc Colour	æ and	Mangan Concs	Structure Ped Developme Size Shape	ent and	Consistence	Structural Condition	Pores (Fissures)	Roots Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary Distinctness and form
1	20	M		10YR42	4/6 > 2 c 6/6 2 c 10/6 HR	m m (SD)	0		0					G	MF VF	Y	Clear smooth
2	48		с	10YR54	55 % >2 0 20 % < 2 75% HR	cm cm: <u>(S_D)</u>	0		0	Too ston	ıy	Fr	(M)	(G)	CF VF	Y	Grad wavy
3	80	 	с	2 5¥73	65% > 2 11 / < 2 76 / 6 HR	cm cm (S + D)	0	1	0	Too ston	ıy	Fr	(M)	(G)	FF VF	Y	Absmooth
Profile G	leyed Fron	n			<u> </u>	Available	Water V	Vhea	t 31	3 mm			Final ALC	Grade	3b		<u> </u>
Depth to Permeabl	Slowly e Horizon					 Moisture I	Peficit V	Potate Vhea	oes 31	8 mm			Main Limi	ting Factor(s) Dr		
Wetness (Class]	I				T	Dotot		(
Wetness (Grade	2	2			{	1	rotat	ocs o	o mun							
					Moisture I	Balance V	Vhea	t -4	12 mm			Remarks	TS PSD b	orderline MCL/	MZCL		
						ļ	I	Potat	oes 2	28 mm							
						Droughtin	ess Grade	3b	(Calc	ulated to 80	cm)					

SITE NA	ME	1	PROF	TILE NO	SLOPE	AND ASPE	CT	LAN	ND USE		Av	Rainfall	990 mm		PARENT MAT	TERIAL	
Shepton 1	Mallet	1	Pit 2 ((Asp 116)	1 Nort	h		Perr	manent Gras	s	AT	o	1390 day	с	Lias limestone		
JOB NO	<u>_</u>	+	DATI	E	GRID F	EFERENCI	E	DES	SCRIBED B	Ŷ	FC	Days	206		SOIL SAMPLI	E REFEREN	CES
11/96			15/3/9	96	ST 610'	74280		HLJ	I/PB		Ch Ex	matic Grade	2		HLJ 198		
Horizon No	Lowest Av Dcpth (cm)	Text	ure	Matrix (Ped Face) Colours	Stonine Size Ty Field M	ss pe and lethod	Mottling Abundanc Contrast, Size a Colour	æ	Mangan Concs	Structure Ped Developme Size a Shape	int ind	Consistence	Structural Condition	Pores (Fissures)	Roots Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary Distinctness and form
1	20	C 10YR44		< 1% Tot	al (VIS)	None		None					G	MF VF		Clear smooth	
2	44	С		10YR54 56	< 1% Tot	al (VIS)	None		None*	MFSAB		Fırable	Good	G	CV VF		Gradual smooth
3	66	С		10YR54	13%>20 16%<20 29% SLS	em em T (S + D)	None		None	Too stony (WMSAE	y 3)	Friable	(G)	(G)	CF VF		Gradual smooth
4	95+	С		10YR66	45% > 2 (10% < 2 (55% SLS	ମ୍ମ ମ T (S + D)	None		None	Too stony	у	Firm	(P)	(G)	FF VF		
Profile G	leyed Fror	n N	lot gle	eyed		Available	Water V	Wheat	1 ·	40 mm			Final ALC	Grade	3b		
Depth to Permeab	Slowly le Horizon	N	lo spl	l		Moschure] Deficut N	Potato	pes 1	25 mm			Main Limi	ting Factor	(s) Workabılı	ty	
Wetness	Class	I				Interstate 1		Potote	 	6 mm							
Wetness	Grade	3	b				2 Deles		. <i>.</i>	0							
					Moisture i	Salance V	wneat	[0	U mm			Remarks	• H3	stone HR/SLST	r		
]	Potato	oes 5	9 mm				* H2 Mi	l some small ver	y hard concre	tions but not
						Droughtin	ess Grade	1	(Calc	rulated to 120) cm	1)	ĺ				
						1											

SITE NA	ME	PR	OFILE NO	SLOPE	AND ASPE	ECT	LAND	O USE		Av R	Rainfall	990 mm		PARENT MA	TERIAL	<u> </u>
Shepton I	√allet	Pıt	3 (Asp 203)	1 Nort	h	Ì	Perma	ment Gras	ssland	ATC)	1390 day	с	Lias limestone		
JOB NO		D	ATE	GRID F	EFERENC	E	DESC	RIBED B	Y	FCI	Days	206		SOIL SAMPL	E REFEREN	CES
11/96		15	3 96	ST 624	74211		PB/HL	ĹĴ		Clim	natic Grade	2	1	HLJ 199		
Horizon No	Lowest Av Depth (cm)	Texture	Matrix (Ped Face) Colours	Stonine Size Ty Field M	rss pe and fcthod	Mottling Abundance Contrast Size i Colour	e M Co and	langan 'oncs	Structure Ped Developme Size Shape	ent ind	Consistence	Structural Condition	Pores (Fissures)	Roots Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary Distinctness and form
1	21	MCL	10YR54	<1 ⁄6 HR	(VIS)	None		None			-		Good	MF + VF	2	Abrupt wavy
2	35	с	10YR44	30 % > 2 <1 % < 2 30 % HR	cm cm (S)	None		None	MMSAI	в	Fnable	Good	Good	MVF	2	Clear
3	50	с	10YR46	65 % > 2 cm < 1 / < 2 cm 65 % HR (S)		None		None	Too stor	ny		м	(G)	CVF	2	Gradual smooth
4	65+	с	10YR56	90 ⁄4 HR	(VIS)	None		None	Too stor	ny		м	(G)	FVF	2	
Profile G	leyed Fror	n Not	gleyed	<u></u>	Available	Water W	/heat	6	3 mm			Final ALC	Grade	3a		
Depth to Permeabl	Profile Gleyed From Not gleyed Depth to Slowly Permeable Horizon No spl				Moisture I	P Defic1t W	Potatoes Vheat	s 6 8	3 mm 0 mm			Main Limi	ung Factor	(s) Drought		
Wetness	Crada	1				P	Potatoes	s 6	6 mm							
weiness	Grade	2			Moisture I	Balance W	Vheat		17 mm			Demodes	A			<u> </u>
						F	Potatoes	S	3a mm			Remarks	Assi 2 30	m C layer interr	m nittant betwee	en H3 and H4
					Droughtin	iess Grade 3	Ba	(Cale	culated to 10	00 cm)			but	no weiness evide	ent	

SITE NA	ME	PRO	FILE NO	SLOPE	AND ASPE	ECT	LAND U	JSE		Av Ran	nfall	990 mm		PARENT MA	TERIAL	
Shepton N	/allet	Pit 4	(Asp 198)	0			PGR			ATO		1390 day	с	Lias clay		
JOB NO		DAT	ГЕ	GRID F	EFERENC	E	DESCRI	BED B	Y	FC Day	ys	206		SOIL SAMPLI	E REFEREN	CES
11/96		19 3	96	ST 633	54224		PB/GMS	5		Climati	ic Grade	2		GMS 528		
Horizon No	Lowest Av Depth (cm)	Texture	Matrix (Ped Face) Colours	Stonine Size Ty 1 ield M	ess pe and lethod	Mottling Abundance Contrast Size a Colour	e Man Conc	ngan cs	Structure Ped Developme Size Shape	ent Co	onsistence	Structural Condition	Pores (Fissures)	Roots Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary Distinctness and form
1	8	HZCL/ ZC	10YR42	Non		FFFO 10YR56	5 N	lone						MF VF		Clear smooth
2	27	с	10YR52	Occas on	al larg	CDFO G 75YR58 10YR51	G B N	lone	MCSAE	3	Friable	Mod	Good	CVF		Gradual smooth
3	42	с	10YR63	None		MMO G 10YR58 7	3 72 N	lone	WCSAI	в	Fırm	Mod	Good	CVF		Clear smooth
4	58	с	10YR62	None		MMO G 10YR58,7	3 N 71	lone	WCPr		Fırm	Poor	Poor	FVF		
Profile G	leyed Fror	n 8 cm			Available	Water W	/heat	12	28 mm			Final ALC	Grade	4		
Depth to Permeabl	Slowly e Horizon	42 cn	n		Moisture I	P Deficit W	Potatoes Vheat	10 80	05 mm 0 mm			Main Limi	ing Factor	(s) Wetness		
Wetness	Class	IV				P	Potatoes	6	6 mm							
Wetness Grade		4			Moisture I	Balance W	Vheat	4	8 mm							<u> </u>
						P	Potatoes	+	39 mm			Remarks				
Droughtiness Grade 1 (Ca						(Calc	ulated to 12	0 cm)								

SITE NA	ME	PR	OFILE NO	SLOPE	AND ASPE	CT	LA	ND USE		Av R	Rainfall	990 mm		PARENT MA	TERIAL	
Shepton N	Mallet	Pit	5(nr Asp 125)	2⁰N			PG	iR		ATC)	1390 day	с	Lias limestone		
JOB NO		DA	ATE	GRID F	EFERENCI	E	DE	ESCRIBED B	Y	FCI	Days	206		SOIL SAMPL	E REFEREN	CES
11/96		19	3 95	ST 625	74274		PB	/GMS		Chr	natic Grade	2		PB352		
Horizon No	Lowest Av Depth (cm)	Texture	Matrix (Ped Face) Colours	Stonine Size Ty Field M	ss pc and lethod	Mottling Abundanc Contrast, Size Colour	æ and	Mangan Concs	Structure Ped Developm Size Shape	ent and	Consistence	Structural Condition	Pores (Fissures)	Roots Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary Distinctness and form
1	20 C 10YR32 44 79 60			3 %>2 ci 4 % < 2 ci 7% Total	n n HR (S + D)	None		None						MFVF		Clear wavy
2	60	С	7 5YR54	60% > 2 5% < 2 c 65% Tota	60% > 2 cm 5% < 2 cm 65% Total HR (S + D)			None	Too stony assess	y to				CVF		Clear 11regular
3	80+ C 10YR54 90%			90% HR	(S)	None		None	Too stor	ny				FVF		
Profile G	leyed From	n not	gleyed	A	Available	Water V	Whea	ut 5	8 mm			Final ALC	Grade	3b	L	·
Depth to Permeabl	Slowly e Horizon	no S	SPL		Moisture I] Deficit V	Potat Whea	toes 6 at 8	60 mm 80 mm			Main Limi	ung Factor	(s) Droughtin	ess workabıl	lıty
Wetness	Class	I				J	Potat	toes 6	56 mm							
Wetness	Grade	3Ъ			Moisture F	Ralance V	Whea	at	22 mm							<u>.</u>
							D-4-4					Remarks	Rock is li	mestone		
							Potat	toes -	6 mm							
					Droughtin	ess Grade	3b	(Cal	culated to 10	0 cm)						

SITE NA	ME	PRO	OFILE NO	SLOPE	AND ASPE	CT	LANDU	USE		Av	Rainfall	990 mm		PARENT MA	TERIAL	
Shepton M	Aallet	Pit	6 (Asp 75)	3 Sout	h West		Permane	ent Gras	S		0	1390 day	с	Lias clay		
JOB NO		DA	TE	GRID F	EFERENC	Ē	DESCR	IBED B	Y	FC	Days	206		SOIL SAMPL	E REFEREN	CES
11/96		20	3 96	ST 630	34350		HLJ/PB			Chi Evi	matic Grade	2		HLJ 202		
Horizon No	Lowest Av Depth (cm)	Iexture	Matrix (Ped Face) Colours	Stonine Size Ty Field M	ss pe and lethod	Mottling Abundance Contrast, Size a Colour	e Mai Con und	ngan ncs	Structure Ped Developm Size Shape	ent and	Consistence	Structural Condition	Pores (Fissures)	Roots Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary Distinctness and form
1	18	HCL	10YR42	< 1% HR	T tal (VIS)	T tal (VIS) None		None					Good	MVF	Y	Gradual smooth
2	32	HCL	10YR52	1% HR (% HR (VIS) 0			0	MCSAI lending WMPV	3 to 7	Fr	М	G	CVF	Y	Gradual smooth
3	42	с	10YR54	0	1		3	0	MM,CSA	ЪB	Fr	G	G	FVF	Y	Clear wavy
4	75+	с	25YR53	0		CDMO 10YR58	3	0	MCAB	3	VFm	м	Р	FVF	Y	
Profile G	leyed Fror	n 42			Available	Water W	Vheat	1	42 mm			Final ALC	Grade	4		
Depth to Permeabl Wetness	Slowly e Horizon Class	42 III			Moisture I	P Deficit W	Potatoes Wheat	1 8	18 mm 0 mm			Main Limi	ting Factor	(s) We		
Wetness	Grade	4				F	Potatoes	6	6 mm							
						Balance W	Vheat	+6	52 mm			Remarks				
						F	Potatoes	+5	2 mm							
				Droughtiness Grade 1 (Calculated to 120 cm)												

SITE NA	ME	PRO	FILE NO	SLOPE	AND ASPE	CT	LAI	ND USE		Av Rainfall	990 mm		PARENT MA	TERIAL	
Shepton 1	Mailet	Pit 7	(Asp 77)	2 W			PGI	R		ATO	1390 day	c	Lias limestone	:	
JOB NO		DAT	E	GRID I	REFERENCI	E	DE	SCRIBED B	BY	FC Days	206	ł	SOIL SAMPL	E REFEREN	CES
11 96		20 3	96	ST 604	84329		HL	J/PB		Climatic Grade Exposure Grade	2		HLJ 203		
Horizon No	Lowest Av Depth (cm)	Texture	Matrix (Ped Face) Colours	Stonine Size Ty Field M	ess /pe and fethod	Mottling Abundanc Contrast, Size Colour	æ	Mangan Concs	Structure Ped Developme Size Shape	ent Consistence and	Structural Condition	Pores (Fissures)	Roots Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary Distinctness and form
I	22	с	10YR52	2% HR (VIS)	0	}	0				G	MF VF	Y	Clear wavy
2	46	С	10YR54	60 /6 >2 (2% < 2 c 62% HR	60 % >2 cm 2% < 2 cm 62% HR (S + D) 87% > 2 cm			0	Too stor	у	(M)	(G)	CVF	Y	Clear wavy
3	70+	с	2 5YR64	10 Y R54 62% HR (S + D) 0 87% > 2 cm 3 % < 2 cm		0		0	Too stor	y	(P)	(G)	FVF	Y	
Profile G	leyed Fron	n	<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>		Available	Water V	Wheat	t 6	52 mm	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Final ALC	Grade	3b	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	· - <u></u>
Depth to Permeabl	Profile Gleyed From Depth to Slowly Permeable Horizon				Moisture I	l Deficit V	Potato Wheat	oes 6 t 8	50 mm 30 mm		Main Limi	ting Factor(s) Wk		
Wetness	Class	1				J	Potato	oes 6	66 mm						
Wetness	Grade	3Ъ			Moisture I	Balance V	Wheat	t	18 mm						
							Potat	065 -	<u> </u>		Remarks				
Dr					Droughtin	ess Grade	3a	(Cal	culated to 10	0 cm)					

SITE NA	ME	PRC	FILE NO	SLOPE	AND ASPE	ECT	LAND U	SE		Av	Rainfall	989 mm		PARENT MA	TERIAL	
Shepton I	Mallet	Pit 8	ASP15	5 Sout	h		PGR			AT	С	1391 day	с	Lias Limeston	e	
JOB NO		DA	TE	GRID I	REFERENC	E	DESCRI	BED B	Y	FC	Days	209		SOIL SAMPL	E REFEREN	CES
11/96		21 3	96	ST 605	04418		GMS			Clu	natic Grade	2		GMS 524		
Horizon No	Lowest Av Depth (cm)	Texture	Matrix (Ped Face) Colours	Stonine Size Ty Field M	ess pe and lethod	Mottling Abundance Contrast Size a Colour	e Man Cond	igan cs	Structure Ped Developme Size Shape	ent and	Consistence	Structural Condition	Pores (Fissures)	Roots Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary Distinctness and form
1	30	HCL	10YR42	1% >2 cm 1% < 2 c 2.6 T tal	n m HR (S + D)	None	N	one					Good	MVF		Gradual wavy
2	50	HCL	10YR42	50% > 2 4% < 2 c 54% Tot	0% > 2 cm % < 2 cm 4% Total HR (S + D) 0% > 2 cm		N	one	Too stony assess	7 to			Good	MVF		Gradual wavy
3	80+	с	10YR43	50% > 2 4% < 2 c 54% Tot	cm m tal HR (S + D) None		N	one					Good	CVF		
Profile G	ileyed From	m not g	leyed		Available	Water W	/heat	8	9 mm			Final ALC	Grade	3a		
Depth to Permeab	rofile Gleyed From not gleyed epth to Slowly ermeable Horizon no SPL					P Deficit W	Potatoes Wheat	8 8	5 mm 0 mm			Main Limi	ung Factor(s) workabilit	ty	
wetness	Class	1			ļ	P	otatoes	6	6 mm							
Wetness	Grade	3a			Moisture I	Balance W	Vheat	+	-9 mm			Dementes				
					l	F	Potatoes	+	-19 mm			limestone	and harder	in norizons 2 a	Some large t	blocks but of
					Droughtun	ess Grade 2	2	(Calc	culated to 10	0 cm))	representat	imestone ive	so quoted si	ione conten	considered

SITE NA	ME	PROI	FILE NO	SLOPE	AND ASPE	ECT	LA	ND USE		A	v Rainfall	 990 mm		PARENT MA	TERIAL	·
Shepton M	Mallet	Pit 9	ASP42	3 Sout	h		PG	R		A	то	1390 day	с	Lias Limeston	e	
JOB NO		DAT	E	GRID I	EFERENC	E	DE	SCRIBED	BY	FC	C Days	206	}	SOIL SAMPL	EREFEREN	CES
11/96		21 3	96	ST 605	0418		GN	⁄IS		CI	limatic Grade	2		GMS 525		
Horizon No	Lowest Av Depth (cm)	lexture	Matrix (Ped Face) Colours	Stoning Size Ty Field M	ss pe and lethod	Mottling Abundanc Contrast, Size Colour	æ anđ	Mangan Concs	Structure Pcd Developm Size Shape	ent and	Consistence	Structural Condition	Porcs (Fissures)	Roots Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary Distinctness and form
1	20	HCL	10YR42	Neg		None		None					Good	MVF		Abrupt Smooth
2	45	HCL	7 5YR54	35 % > 2 2% < 2 c 37% Totu	> 2 cm 2 cm Total HR (S + D) 2 2 cm			None	MCSA	B	Fnable	Mod	Good	MVF		Clear wavy
3	45 HCL 7 STR34 37% 80+ HCL 7 SYR56 50% 80+ HCL 7 SYR56 54%		50% > 2 4 ⁄6 < 2 c 54% Tota	am n 1 HR (S + D)	None		None	Too Story assess	y to			Good	CVF			
Profile G	leyed Fron	n not gle	eyed		Available	Water V	Vhea	t	92 mm			Final ALC	Grade	3a		
Depth to Permeabl	Slowly le Horizon	no SP	L		Moreture 1] Deficit N	Potat	oes	84 mm			Main Limit	ung Factor((s) workabılıt	у	
Wetness	Wetness Class I						Potat	toes	66 mm							
Wetness	Wetness Grade 3a				Moisture I	Balance N	Whea	nt	+12 mm			ļ				
						:	Potat	toes	+18 mm			Remarks	Horizon 3	3 quite sandy		
					Droughtin	ess Grade	2	(Ca	lculated to 10	00 cn	n)					