A1 ARUN DISTRICT LOCAL PLAN SITE 31 LAND WEST OF NORTHWOOD HOUSE YAPTON AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION ALC MAP AND REPORT APRIL 1994

ARUN DISTRICT LOCAL PLAN SITE 31 LAND WEST OF NORTHWOOD HOUSE, YAPTON AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION REPORT

10 Summary

1 1 ADAS was commissioned by MAFF s Land Use Planning Unit to provide information on land quality on a number of sites in the Arun District of West Sussex The work forms part of MAFF's statutory input to the preparation of the Arun District Local Plan

1 2 Site 31 comprises approximately 5 hectares of land west of Northwood House at Yapton in West Sussex An Agricultural Land Classification (ALC) survey was carried out during April 1994 The survey was undertaken at a detailed level of approximately one boring per hectare A total of six soil auger borings and one soil inspection pit were described in accordance with MAFF's revised guidelines and criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land (MAFF 1988) These guidelines provide a framework for classifying land according to the extent to which its physical or chemical characteristics impose long-term limitations on its use for agriculture

1 3 Work was conducted by members of the Resource Planning Team in the Guildford Statutory Group

1 4 At the time of the survey the land in the west of the site was under cereals and in the east of the site in set aside

1 5 The distribution of grades and subgrades is shown on the ALC map and the areas are given in the table below. The map has been drawn at a scale of 1 5 000. It is accurate at this scale but any enlargement would be misleading. This map supersedes any previous information for this site.

Table 1 _ Distribution of Grades and Subgrades

<u>Grade</u>

Area (ha) % of Site

% of Agricultural Area

2 3a

Total area of site

1.6 Appendix 1 gives a general description of the grades subgrades and land use categories identified in the survey. The main classes are described in terms of the type of limitation that can occur the typical cropping range and the expected level and consistency of yield

1 7 The agricultural land on the site has been classified as Grade 2 and Subgrade 3a with soil wetness as the main limitation. Soil profiles typically comprise silt loam and medium silty clay loam topsoils which become heavier with depth. The lower subsoil consists of a slowly permeable clay horizon which causes a drainage impedance. The depth to this slowly permeable layer varies this being reflected in the presence of both good and very good quality land on the site. Poorly drained soils affect plant development and are more susceptible to damage from grazing livestock and agricultural machinery.

20 Climate

2.1 The climatic criteria are considered first when classifying land as climate can be overriding in the sense that severe limitations will restrict land to low grades irrespective of favourable site or soil conditions

2 2 The main parameters used in the assessment of an overall climatic limitation are average annual rainfall as a measure of overall wetness and accumulated temperature (degree days Jan-June) as a measure of the relative warmth of a locality

2.3 A detailed assessment of the prevailing climate was made by interpolation from a 5km gridpoint dataset (Met Office 1989) The details are given in the table below and these show that there is no overall climatic limitation affecting the site

2.4 No local climatic factors such as exposure or frost risk affect the site However climatic factors do interact with soil factors to influence soil wetness and droughtiness limitations. The Field Capacity Days are relatively low in a regional context thus the likelihood of any severe wetness limitation is decreased.

Table 2 _ Climatic Interpolation

Grid Reference	SU 985 028
Altıtude (m)	8
Accumulated Temperature (days)	1539
Average Annual Rainfall (mm)	750
Field Capacity (days)	154
Moisture Deficit Wheat (mm)	120
Moisture Deficit Potatoes (mm)	117

3 0 Relief

3 1 The site is flat and lies at an altitude of 8 metres. On no part of the site do gradient or relief pose any limitation to agricultural use

4.0 Geology and Soil

4 1 The published geology map for the site area (BGS 1975 Sheet 332 (Drift) Bognor) shows the underlying geology to be brickearth over Upper Chalk

4 2 The published soils information for the site area (SSGB 1967 Sheet SU 90 Bognor Regis) shows the soils mapped as two distinct series The majority of the site comprises soils of the Hook series these are described as 'deep silty brown earths with gleying' (SSGB 1967) A small area in the south of the site is mapped as the Park Gate series these are described as deep stoneless silty soils affected by seasonally high groundwater' (SSGB 1967) Detailed field examination broadly confirms this

50 Agricultural Land Classification

5 1 Table 1 provides the details of the area measurements for each grade and the distribution of each grade is shown on the attached ALC map

5 2 The location of the soil observation points are shown on the attached sample point map

5.3 <u>Grade 2</u> The majority of the agricultural land on the site has been classified as Grade 2 very good quality land with soil wetness as the main limitation. Profiles typically comprise non-calcareous silt loam topsoils which are stone free. These overlie a medium silty clay loam upper subsoil heavy silty clay loam lower subsoil which in turn rests upon a clay horizon commencing at variable depths. One soil observation (No. 3) showed soil profiles to be of a more sandy nature yet this is a localised feature and has no effect upon the final grade. The land is affected by imperfect soil drainage as evidenced by gleying in horizons below the upper subsoil. A soil inspection pit (Pit 1) proved that the clay subsoil is of a slowly permeable nature. The clay has a medium prismatic structure low porosity and is heavily gleyed suggesting that it causes a drainage impedance. Such drainage characteristics assign these soils to Wetness Class II. Land is thereby classified as Grade 2 on the basis of a slight soil wetness limitation given the local climatic regime and easily workable topsoil textures.

5 4 <u>Subgrade 3a</u> A small area of land of this quality occurs in the south of the site This reflects the change in soil type to the heavier Park Gate series soils. Soil profile typically comprise a medium silty clay loam topsoil gleyed heavy silty clay loam upper subsoil which rests upon a gleyed slowly permeable clay horizon at approximately 50cm. The slowly permeable characteristics of the clay subsoil are similar to that encountered in the soils of the Grade 2 mapping unit. However, in this area of the site the clay is encountered at a shallower depth which equates these soils to Wetness Class III. Land is thereby assigned to Subgrade 3a on the basis of a moderate wetness limitation.

ADAS Ref 4202/085/94 MAFF Ref EL 42/460 Resource Planning Team Guildford Statutory Group ADAS Reading

REFERENCES

* British Geological Survey (1975) Sheet No 332 (Drift) Bognor 1 50 000

* MAFF (1988) Agricultural Land Classification of England and Wales Revised guidelines and criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land

* Meteorological Office (1989) Climatological Data for Agricultural Land Classifica tion

* Soil Survey of Great Britain (1967) Bulletin 3 Soils of the West Sussex Coastal Plain

APPENDIX I

DESCRIPTION OF THE GRADES AND SUBGRADES

Grade 1 Excellent Quality Agricultural Land

Land with no or very minor limitations to agricultural use A very wide range of agricultural and horticultural crops can be grown and commonly includes top fruit soft fruit salad crops and winter harvested vegetables Yields are high and less variable than on land of lower quality

Grade 2 Very Good Quality Agricultural Land

Land with minor limitations which affect crop yield cultivations or harvesting A wide range of agricultural or horticultural crops can usually be grown but on some land of this grade there may be reduced flexibility due to difficulties with the production of the more demanding crops such as winter harvested vegetables and arable root crops The level of yield is generally high but may be lower or more variable than Grade 1 land

Grade 3 Good to Moderate Quality Land

Land with moderate limitations which affect the choice of crops the timing and type of cultivation harvesting or the level of yield When more demanding crops are grown yields are generally lower or more variable than on land in Grades 1 and 2

Subgrade 3a Good Quality Agricultural Land

Land capable of consistently producing moderate to high yields of a narrow range of arable crops especially cereals or moderate yields of a wide range of crops including cereals grass oilseed rape potatoes sugar beet and the less demanding horticultural crops

Subgrade 3b Moderate Quality Agricultural Land

Land capable of producing moderate yields of a narrow range of crops principally cereals and grass or lower yields of a wider range of crops or high yields of grass which can be grazed or harvested over most of the year

Grade 4 Poor Quality Agricultural Land

Land with severe limitations which significantly restrict the range of crops and/or the level of yields. It is mainly suited to grass with occasional arable crops (eg cereals and forage crops) the yields of which are variable. In moist climates yields of grass may be moderate to high but there may be difficulties in utilisation. The grade also includes very droughty arable land.

Grade 5 Very Poor Quality Agricultural Land

Land with severe limitations which restrict use to permanent pasture or rough grazing except for occasional pioneer forage crops

Urban

Built-up or hard uses with relatively little potential for a return to agriculture including housing industry commerce education transport religous buildings cemetries. Also hardsurfaced sports facilities permanent caravan sites and vacant land all types of derelict land including mineral workings which are only likely to be reclaimed using derelict land grants

Non-agricultural

'Soft' uses where most of the land could be returned relatively easily to agriculture including private parkland public open spaces sports fields allotments and soft-surfaced areas on airports Also active mineral workings and refuse tips where restoration conditions to 'soft' after-uses may apply

Woodland

Includes commercial and non commercial woodland A distinction may be made as necessary between farm and non-farm woodland

Agricultural Buildings

Includes the normal range of agricultural buildings as well as other relatively permanent structures such as glasshouses Temporary structures (eg polythene tunnels erected for lambing) may be ignored

Open Water

Includes lakes ponds and rivers as map scale permits

Land Not Surveyed

Agricultural land which has not been surveyed

Where the land use includes more than one of the above eg buildings in large grounds and where map scale permits the cover types may be shown separately Otherwise the most extensive cover type will be shown ľ

APPENDIX II

FIELD ASSESSMENT OF SOIL WETNESS CLASS

SOIL WETNESS CLASSIFICATION

í

Soil wetness is classified according to the depth and duration of waterlogging in the soil profile. Six soil wetness classes are identified and are defined in the table below

Definition of Soil Wetness Classes

Wetness Class	Duration of Waterlogging ¹
I	The soil profile is not wet within 70 cm depth for more than 30 days in most years ²
Ш	The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for 31-90 days in most years or if there is no slowly permeable layer within 80 cm depth it is wet within 70 cm for more than 90 days but only wet within 40 cm depth for 30 days in most years
ш	The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for 91-180 days in most years or if there is no slowly permeable layer present within 80 cm depth it is wet within 70 cm for more than 180 days but only wet within 40 cm depth for between 31 90 days in most years
IV	The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for more than 180 days but not wet within 40 cm depth for more than 210 days in most years or if there is no slowly permeable layer present within 80 cm depth it is wet within 40 cm depth for 91-210 days in most years
V	The soil profile is wet within 40 cm depth for 211-335 days in most years
VI	The soil profile is wet within 40 cm depth for more than 335 days in most years

Soils can be allocated to a wetness class on the basis of quantitative data recorded over a period of many years or by the interpretation of soil profile characteristics site and climatic factors. Adequate quantitative data will rarely be available for ALC surveys and therefore the interpretative method of field assessment is used to identify soil wetness class in the field. The method adopted here is common to ADAS and the SSLRC

¹The number of days specified is not necessarily a continuous period

² In most years is defined as more than 10 out of 20 years

APPENDIX III

SOIL PIT AND SOIL BORING DESCRIPTIONS

Contents

{

_

Soil Abbreviations - Explanatory Note Soil Pit Descriptions

Database Printout - Boring Level Information

Database Printout - Horizon Level Information

SOIL PROFILE DESCRIPTIONS EXPLANATORY NOTE

Soil pit and auger boring information collected during ALC fieldwork is held on a computer database. This uses notations and abbreviations as set out below

Boring Header Information

- 1 GRID REF national 100 km grid square and 8 figure grid reference
- 2 USE Land use at the time of survey The following abbreviations are used

ARA	Arable	WHT	Wheat	BAR	Barley
CER	Cereals	OAT	Oats	MZE	Maize
OSR	Oilseed rape	BEN	Field Beans	BRA	Brassicae
РОТ	Potatoes	SBT	Sugar Beet	FCD	Fodder Crops
LIN	Linseed	FRT	Soft and Top Fruit	FLW	Fallow
PGR	Permanent Pasture	LEY	Ley Grass	RGR	Rough Grazing
SCR	Scrub	CFW	Coniferous Woodland	DCW	Deciduous Wood
HTH	Heathland	BOG	Bog or Marsh	FLW	Fallow
PLO	Ploughed	SAS	Set aside	ОТН	Other
HRT	Horticultural Crop	S			

- 3 **GRDNT** Gradient as estimated or measured by a hand held optical clinometer
- 4 GLEY/SPL Depth in centimetres (cm) to gleying and/or slowly permeable layers
- 5 AP (WHEAT/POTS) Crop adjusted available water capacity
- 6 MB (WHEAT/POTS) Moisture Balance (Crop adjusted AP crop adjusted MD)
- 7 **DRT** Best grade according to soil droughtiness

{

8 If any of the following factors are considered significant Y' will be entered in the relevant column

MRELMicrorelief limitationFLOODFlood riskEROSNSoil erosion riskEXPExposure limitationFROSTFrost proneDISTDisturbed landCHEMChemical limitation

9 LIMIT The main limitation to land quality The following abbreviations are used

OC	Overall Climate	AE	Aspect	EX	Exposure
FR	Frost Risk	GR	Gradient	MR	Microrelief
FL	Flood Risk	ТХ	Topsoil Texture	DP	Soil Depth
СН	Chemical	WE	Wetness	WK	Workability
DR	Drought	ER	Erosion Risk	WD	Soil Wetness/Droughtiness
ST	Topsoil Stonine	SS			

Soil Pits and Auger Borings

1 **TEXTURE** soil texture classes are denoted by the following abbreviations

S	Sand	LS	Loamy Sand	SL	Sandy Loam
SZL	Sandy Silt Loam	CL	Clay Loam	ZCL	Silty Clay Loam
ZL	Silt Loam	SCL	Sandy Clay Loam	С	Clay
SC	Sandy Clay	ZC	Silty Clay	OL	Organic Loam
Р	Peat	SP	Sandy Peat	LP	Loamy Peat
PL	Peaty Loam	PS	Peaty Sand	MZ	Marine Light Silts

For the sand loamy sand sandy loam and sandy silt loam classes the predominant size of sand fraction will be indicated by the use of the following prefixes

- **F** Fine (more than 66% of the sand less than 0 2mm)
- M Medium (less than 66% fine sand and less than 33% coarse sand)
- C Coarse (more than 33% of the sand larger than 0 6mm)

The clay loam and silty clay loam classes will be sub-divided according to the clay content M Medium (<27% clay) H Heavy (27-35% clay)

- 2 MOTTLE COL Mottle colour using Munsell notation
- 3 MOTTLE ABUN Mottle abundance expressed as a percentage of the matrix or surface described

F few <2% C common 2-20% M many 20 40% VM very many 40% +

- 4 **MOTTLE CONT** Mottle contrast
- \mathbf{F} faint indistinct mottles evident only on close inspection
 - **D** distinct mottles are readily seen
 - P prominent mottling is conspicuous and one of the outstanding features of the horizon
- 5 **PED COL** Ped face colour using Munsell notation
- 6 GLEY If the soil horizon is gleyed a Y will appear in this column If slightly gleyed an S will appear
- 7 STONE LITH Stone Lithology One of the following is used

HR	all hard rocks and stones	SLST	soft oolitic or dolimitic limestone
СН	chalk	FSST	soft fine grained sandstone
ZR	soft argillaceous or silty rocks	GH	gravel with non-porous (hard) stones
MSST	soft medium grained sandstone	eGS	gravel with porous (soft) stones
SI	soft weathered igneous/metamo	orphic ro	ck

Stone contents (>2cm >6cm and total) are given in percentages (by volume)

SOIL PIT DESCRIPTION

Site Name	ARUN LP	SITE 31		Pit Number	• 1P					
Grid Refe	erence SU9	3300280	Average Annu Accumulated Field Capaci Land Use Slope and As	al Rainfall Temperature ty Level	750 mm 1539 degree days 154 days Cereals degrees					
HORIZON	TEXTURE	COLOUR	STONES >2	TOT STONE	MOTTLES	STRUCTURE				
0- 29	ZL	10YR52 00) 0	1						
29- 46	MZCL	10YR53 00) 0	0		MDCSAB				
46- 72	HZCL	75YR53 00) 0	0	С	MDCSAB				
72-100	С	75YR52 00) 0	0	м	MDMPR				
Wetness (Grade 2		Wetness Clas Gleying SPL	ss II 046 072	cm cm					
Drought (Grade		APW mm APP mm	MBW MBP	0mm 0mm					
FINAL ALC	C GRADE 2									

MAIN LIMITATION Wetness

program ALCO12 LIST OF BORINGS HEADERS 16/05/94 ARUN LP SITE 31

	SAMP	LE	ASPECT				WET	NESS	-WH8	EAT	-PC	DTS-	M	REL	EROSN	FROST	CHEM	ALC	
	ю	GRID REF	USE	GRONT	GLEY	SPL	CLASS	GRADE	AP	MB	AP	MB	DRT	FLOOD	E	KP DIST	LIMIT		COMMENTS
•	1	SU98300280	CER		047	065	2	2		0		0					WE	2	
	1P	SU98300280	CER		046	072	2	2		0		0					WE	2	
-	2	SU98400280	CER		065	075	2	2		0		0					WE	2	
-	3	SU98500280	SAS		045	065	2	2		0		0					WE	2	SANDY
	4	SU98540280	CER		030	052	3	3A		0		0					WE	ЗA	
	5	SU98300270	SAS		055	075	2	2		0		0					WE	2	
I	6	SU98300287	CER		051	075	2	2		0		0					WE	2	

page 1

program ALCOll

COMPLETE LIST OF PROFILES 16/05/94 ARUN LP SITE 31

				!	10TTL	ES	- PED				-51	FONES-		STRUCT,	/ :	SUBS	5			
SAMPLE	DEPTH	TEXTURE	COLOUR	COL	ABUN	CON	ПТ СО L	G	LEY	>2	>6	LITH	TOT	CONSIS	Г	STR	POR	IMP	SPL	CALC
a 1	0-28	zì	10YR52 00							0	0		0							
	28-47	mzcl	10YR54 00							0	0		0			м				
	47-65	hzc]	75YR53 00	10YR58	3 00	с			Y	0	0		0			M				
_	65-120	с	75YR63 00	10YR58	3 71	M	COMINOO	00	Ŷ	0	0		0			M			Y	
		-								-	-		-							
■ 1P	0-29	zl	10YR52 00							0	0	HR	1							
	29-46	mzcl	10YR53 00	09						0	0		0	MDCSAB	FR	М				
	46-72	hzcl	75YR53 00	75YR58	3 72	С			Y	0	0		0	MDCSAB	FR	Μ				
	72-100	с	75YR52 00	75YR58	372	M	00MN00	00	Y	0	0		0	MDMPR	FR	M	Y		Y	
_ 2	0_32	-1	107652 00							0	0		0							
	32_65	21	757854 00		n nn	5				0	ĥ		0			м				
	52-05 65-75	hzel	757853 00	107250	2 71	r M	namnan	00	v	n N	0		n			M				
	75_120	nzei	75YP63 00	10785	3 71	M		00	v	ñ	ñ		ñ			M			v	
	73-120	C	751105 00	10110.0		••	001 1100	00	•	Ū	Ŭ		Ŭ						T	
3	0-29	msz]	75YR53 00							0	0		0							
	29-45	mzcl	10YR54 00							0	0		0			м				
•	45-65	hc1	10YR53 00	10YR58	3 OO 8	с			Y	0	0		0			м				
	65-120	с	25Y 73 00	10YR5	3 71	м			Y	0	0		0			Μ			Y	
A	0.20		104053 00							0	^		0							
	30-52	hzcl	10VP64_00	107050	9 62	c			v	n	0		n			м				
	52_80	0	107863 00	107053	3 62	м	OOMNOO	00	÷	n	n n		ñ			M	v		v	
	52.00	C	101100 00	101100		••	001 1100		•	Ŭ	v		Ŭ				•		•	
5	0-30	zl	10YR52 00							0	0		0							
	30-55	mzc]	10YR54 00	OOMNOO	00 0	F				0	0		0			М				
	55-75	hzcl	10YR63 00	10YR58	3 OO E	С			Y	0	0		0			м				
	75-120	с	10YR64 00	10YR68	3 72	м	00MN00	00	Y	0	0		0			Μ			Y	
	0.00									~	~		•							
6	0-28	zl	TUYR52 00							Ű	U O		U C							
	28-51	mzc I	TUYK54 00	10/05		~				U	0		0			M				
	51-75	nzcl	75YR53 00	TUYR5	3 72	с м	0010100	~~	Y	0	0		0			M				
-	75-120	С	124K03 00	TUYK5	5 72	M	UUMNUU	00	Ŷ	U	U		U			M			Ŷ	

page 1