A1

Maidstone Borough Local Plan Site 7 Land North of Howland Road, Marden Agricultural Land Classification ALC Map and Report August 1994

AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION REPORT

MAIDSTONE BOROUGH LOCAL PLAN SITE 7 LAND NORTH OF HOWLAND ROAD, MARDEN

1 Summary

- 11 ADAS was commissioned by MAFF's Land Use Planning Unit to provide information on land quality for a number of sites in the Maidstone Borough of Kent The work forms part of MAFF's statutory input to the Maidstone Borough Local Plan
- 12 Site 7 comprises 3 hectares of land to the north east of Marden in Kent An Agricultural Land Classification (ALC) survey was carried out in July 1994 The survey was undertaken at a detailed level A total of 4 borings and one soil inspection pit were assessed in accordance with MAFF s revised guidelines and criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land (MAFF 1988) These guidelines provide a framework for classifying land according to the extent to which its physical or chemical characteristics impose long term limitations on its use for agriculture
- 1 3 The work was carried out by members of the Resource Planning Team in the Guildford Statutory Group of ADAS
- 14 At the time of the survey the agricultural land was unmanaged permanent grass The areas shown as Woodland were scrub and hawthorn woodland The areas of Urban are towards the west of the site a playing field and towards the east an area of garden associated with a house on Howland Road
- 15 The distribution of grades and subgrades is shown on the attached ALC map and the areas are given in the table below. The map has been drawn at a scale of 1 10 000 It is accurate at this scale but any enlargement would be misleading This map supersedes any previous ALC survey information for this site

Table 1 Distribution of Grades and Subgrades

Grade	Area (ha)	% of Site	% of Agricultural Land
2	03	10 0	18 7
3a	13	43 4	<u>813</u>
Woodland	07	23 3	100% (1 6ha)
Urban	<u>07</u>	<u>23 3</u>	
Total area of Site	3 0ha	100%	

16 Appendix I gives a general description of the grades subgrades and land use categories identified in the survey The main classes are described in terms of the type of limitation that can occur the typical cropping range and the expected level and consistency of yield 1 7 The agricultural land at this site has been classified very good (Grade 2) and good quality (Subgrade 3a) Limitations include soil wetness and soil droughtiness Where soil droughtiness is the overriding limitation hard stones in the soil profile restrict available water capacity such that there is a slight to moderate risk of drought stress affecting plant growth and yield Where soil wetness occurs it is evidenced by gleyed horizons occurring at shallow depth occasionally overlying deep slowly permeable clay layers This causes drainage to be slightly impeded such that there is a slight restriction on the number of days when cultivations and/or stocking may occur without causing structural damage to the soil

2 Climate

- 2.1 The climatic criteria are considered first when classifying land as climate can be overriding in the sense that severe limitations will restrict land to low grades irrespective of favourable site or soil conditions
- 2.2 The main parameters used in the assessment of an overall climatic limitation are average annual rainfall as a measure of overall wetness and accumulated temperature as a measure of the relative warmth of a locality
- 2 3 A detailed assessment of the prevailing climate was made by interpolation from a 5km gridpoint dataset (Met Office 1989) The details are given in the table below and these show that there is no overall climatic limitation affecting the site
- 2.4 No local climatic factors such as exposure or frost risk are believed to affect the site However climatic and soil factors interact to influence soil wetness and droughtiness limitations The local climatic parameters listed below in Table 2 show that the site is relatively dry in a national context and as such has relatively high moisture deficits for crops

Table 2 Climatic Interpolation

Grid Reference	TQ750446					
Altitude (m AOD)	30					
Accumulated Temperature	1478					
(°days Jan -June)						
Average Annual Rainfall (mm)	662					
Field Capacity Days	136					
Moisture deficit wheat (mm)	125					
Moisture deficit potatoes (mm)	122					
Overall Climatic Grade	1					

3 Relief

3 1 The site lies at an altitude of 29 30m AOD Overall it is flat Nowhere on the site does relief or gradient affect agricultural land quality

4 Geology and Soils

- 4 1 The published geological information (BGS 1976) shows the entire site to be underlain by Cretaceous Weald Clay
- 4 2 The published soils information (SSEW 1980 1983 and 1984) shows the site to be underlain by soils of the Wickham 1 Association The legend accompanying the map describes these as slowly permeable seasonally waterlogged fine silty over clayey fine loamy over clayey and clayey soils (SSEW 1983) Soils at this site commonly comprise clay loam topsoils and subsoils occasionally passing to clay at depth commonly with stony horizons in the subsoil

5 Agricultural Land Classification

- 5 1 Paragraph 1 5 provides the details of the area measurements for each grade and the distribution of each grade is shown on the attached ALC map
- 5 2 The location of the soil observation points are shown on the attached sample point map

Grade 2

53 Land of very good quality has been mapped towards the east of the site Principal limitations include soil wetness and soil droughtiness both of which occur to a similar degree in this area Soil wetness is evidenced by stoneless gleyed clay upper subsoils occurring at shallow depth beneath stoneless medium clay loam topsoils restricting the area to Wetness Class II (See Appendix II) The gleved upper subsoil passes to a moderately stony (c 30% v/v sandstone fragments and flints) heavy clay loam horizon between 65 and 85cm This passes to a gleyed and slowly permeable clay lower subsoil horizon occurring to depth Within the prevalent local climatic parameters a profile such as this also results in a slight soil droughtiness limitation as the sandstone fragments and flints between 65 and 85cm in the heavy clay loam horizon restrict the available water capacity of the soil such that there is a slight risk of drought stress which affects plant growth and vield

Subgrade 3a

5.4 Land of good quality is shown for the majority of the agricultural land at this site and is located towards the centre of the site The principal limitation is soil droughtiness Profiles are typified by the pit observation 1p (see Appendix III) and typically comprise stoneless or very slightly stony (c 2% v/v sandstone fragments and flints) medium clay loam or medium silty clay loam topsoils These pass to similar upper subsoils which commonly contain slight evidence of wetness in the form of a few ochreous mottles within a pale matrix. This overlies either a very slightly stony (c 5% v/v sandstone fragments and flints) gleyed or slightly gleyed medium clay loam horizon or a stoneless gleyed heavy clay loam horizon. Both pass to a very stony (c 35-45% v/v sandstone fragments and flints) gleyed medium clay loam lower subsoil horizon which became impenetrable to the soil auger between 90 and 100cm In the pit observation the stone content in the lower subsoil was measured at 45% v/v hard flint and sandstone fragments and became impenetrable at 90cm Assuming this horizon continues to 120cm this leads to Subgrade 3a being applied on the basis of soil droughtiness. The hard stones in the profile restrict the available water capacity of the soil to the extent that there is a moderate risk of drought stress which may affect plant growth and development. Within this mapping unit one observation was found to be of slightly better quality. On further investigation this area was found to be the site of an old pond now disused and overgrown but it is still occasionally wetter than the surrounding area especially in winter.

ADAS Ref 2007/153/94 MAFF Ref EL20/328 Resource Planning Team Guildford Statutory Group ADAS Reading

SOURCES OF REFERENCE

British Geological Survey (1976) Sheet 288 Maidstone 1 50 000 Solid & Drift Edition

MAFF (1988) Agricultural Land Classification of England and Wales Revised guidelines and criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land

Meteorological Office (1989) Climatic datasets for Agricultural Land Classification

Soil Survey of England and Wales (1980) Soils of Kent Bulletin No 15 Map scale 1 250 000

Soil Survey of England and Wales (1983) Sheet No 6 Soils of South-East England 1 250 000 and Accompanying Legend

Soil Survey of England and Wales (1984) Soils and their use in South-East England Bulletin No 15

APPENDIX I

DESCRIPTION OF THE GRADES AND SUBGRADES

Grade 1 Excellent Quality Agricultural Land

Land with no or very minor limitations to agricultural use A very wide range of agricultural and horticultural crops can be grown and commonly includes top fruit soft fruit salad crops and winter harvested vegetables Yields are high and less variable than on land of lower quality

Grade 2 Very Good Quality Agricultural Land

Land with minor limitations which affect crop yield cultivations or harvesting A wide range of agricultural or horticultural crops can usually be grown but on some land of this grade there may be reduced flexibility due to difficulties with the production of the more demanding crops such as winter harvested vegetables and arable root crops The level of yield is generally high but may be lower or more variable than Grade 1 land

Grade 3 Good to Moderate Quality Land

Land with moderate limitations which affect the choice of crops the timing and type of cultivation harvesting or the level of yield When more demanding crops are grown yields are generally lower or more variable than on land in Grades 1 and 2

Subgrade 3a Good Quality Agricultural Land

Land capable of consistently producing moderate to high yields of a narrow range of arable crops especially cereals or moderate yields of a wide range of crops including cereals grass oilseed rape potatoes sugar beet and the less demanding horticultural crops

Subgrade 3b Moderate Quality Agricultural Land

Land capable of producing moderate yields of a narrow range of crops principally cereals and grass or lower yields of a wider range of crops or high yields of grass which can be grazed or harvested over most of the year

Grade 4 Poor Quality Agricultural Land

Land with severe limitations which significantly restrict the range of crops and/or the level of yields It is mainly suited to grass with occasional arable crops (e g cereals and forage crops) the yields of which are variable In moist climates yields of grass may be moderate to high but there may be difficulties in utilisation The grade also includes very droughty arable land

Grade 5 Very Poor Quality Agricultural Land

Land with severe limitations which restrict use to permanent pasture or rough grazing except for occasional pioneer forage crops

Urban

Built up or 'hard uses with relatively little potential for a return to agriculture including housing industry commerce education transport religious buildings cemeteries. Also hard surfaced sports facilities permanent caravan sites and vacant land all types of derelict land including mineral workings which are only likely to be reclaimed using derelict land grants

1 mg

ţ

Non-agricultural

Soft' uses where most of the land could be returned relatively easily to agriculture including private parkland public open spaces sports fields allotments and soft surfaced areas on airports Also active mineral workings and refuse tips where restoration conditions to 'soft' after-uses may apply

Woodland

Includes commercial and non commercial woodland A distinction may be made as necessary between farm and non farm woodland

Agricultural Buildings

Includes the normal range of agricultural buildings as well as other relatively permanent structures such as glasshouses Temporary structures (e g polythene tunnels erected for lambing) may be ignored

Open Water

Includes lakes ponds and rivers as map scale permits

Land Not Surveyed

Agricultural land which has not been surveyed

Where the land use includes more than one of the above e g buildings in large grounds and where map scale permits the cover types may be shown separately Otherwise the most extensive cover type will be shown

APPENDIX II

DEFINITION OF SOIL WETNESS CLASS

Wetness Class I

The soil profile is not wet within 70 cm depth for more than 30 days in most years

Wetness Class II

The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for 31-90 days in most years or if there is no slowly permeable layer within 80 cm depth it is wet within 70 cm for more than 180 days but only wet within 40 cm depth for 31 90 days in most years

Wetness Class III

The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for 91-180 days in most years or if there is no slowly permeable layer present within 80 cm depth it is wet within 70 cm for more than 180 days but only wet within 40 cm depth for between 31-90 days in most years

Wetness Class IV

The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for more than 180 days but not wet within 40 cm depth fro more than 210 days in most years or if there is no slowly permeable layer present within 80 cm depth it is wet within 40 cm depth for 91 210 days in most years

Wetness Class V

The soil profile is wet within 40 cm depth for 211 335 days in most years

Wetness Class VI

The soil profile is wet within 40 cm depth for more than 335 days in most years

APPENDIX III

SOIL PIT AND SOIL BORING DESCRIPTIONS

Contents

Sample Point Map Soil Abbreviations - explanatory note Database Printout - soil pit information Database Printout - boring level information Database Printout - horizon level information

SOIL PROFILE DESCRIPTIONS EXPLANATORY NOTE

Soil pit and auger boring information collected during ALC fieldwork is held on a database. This has commonly used notations and abbreviations as set out below

Boring Header Information

- 1 GRID REF national grid square and 8 figure grid reference
- 2 USE Land use at the time of survey The following abbreviations are used

ARA Arable	WHT Wheat	BAR	Barley
CER Cereals	OAT Oats	MZE	Maize
OSR Oilseed rape	BEN Field Beans	BRA	Brassicae
POT Potatoes	SBT Sugar Beet	FCD	Fodder Crops
LIN Linseed	FRT Soft and Top Fruit	FLW	Fallow
PGR Permanent Pastu	re LEY Ley Grass	RGR	Rough Grazing
SCR Scrub	CFW Coniferous Woodland	DCW	Deciduous Wood
HTH Heathland	BOG Bog or Marsh	FLW	Fallow
PLO Ploughed	SAS Set aside	ОТН	Other
HRT Horticultural Cr	ops		

- 3 **GRDNT** Gradient as measured by a hand held optical clinometer
- 4 GLEY/SPL Depth in cm to gleying or slowly permeable layers
- 5 AP (WHEAT/POTS) Crop adjusted available water capacity
- 6 MB (WHEAT/POTS) Moisture Balance
- 7 DRT Best grade according to soil droughtiness
- 8 If any of the following factors are considered significant an entry of 'Y will be entered in the relevant column

MRELMicrorelief limitationFLOODFlood riskEROSNSoil erosion riskEXPExposure limitationFROSTFrostDISTDisturbed landCHEMChemical limitation

9 LIMIT The main limitation to land quality The following abbreviations are used

OC Overall Climate	AE	Aspect	EX	Exposure
FR Frost Risk	GR	Gradient	MR	Microrelief
FL Flood Risk	ТХ	Topsoil Texture	DP	Soil Depth ST Topsoil Stones
CH Chemical	WE	Wetness	WK	Workability
DR Drought	ER	Erosion Risk	WD	Soil Wetness/Droughtiness

Soil Pits and Auger Borings

1 **TEXTURE** soil texture classes are denoted by the following abbreviations

S SandLS Loamy SandSL Sandy LoamSZL Sandy Silt LoamCL Clay LoamCL Clay LoamZCL Silty Clay LoamSCL Sandy Clay LoamC ClayC ClaySC Sandy ClayZC Silty ClayOL Organic LoamP PeatSP Sandy PeatLP Loamy PeatPL Peaty LoamPS Peaty SandMZ Marine Light SiltsSI

For the sand loamy sand sandy loam and sandy silt loam classes the predominant size of sand fraction will be indicated by the use of prefixes

- **F** Fine (more than 66% of the sand less than 0 2mm)
- M Medium (less than 66% fine sand and less than 33% coarse sand)
- C Coarse (more than 33% of the sand larger than 0 6mm)

The clay loam and silty clay loam classes will be sub-divided according to the clay content M Medium (<27% clay) H Heavy (27 35% clay)

2 MOTTLE COL Mottle colour

3 MOTTLE ABUN Mottle abundance expressed as a percentage of the matrix or surface described

F few <2% C common 2-20% M many 20 40 VM very many 40%

- 4 MOTTLE CONT Mottle contrast
 - F faint indistinct mottles evident only on close inspection
 - D distinct mottles are readily seen

P prominent - mottling is conspicuous and one of the outstanding features of the horizon

5 **PED COL** Ped face colour

6 STONE LITH One of the following is used

HR all hard rocks and stonesSLST soft oolitic or dolimitic limestoneCH chalkFSST soft oolitic or dolimitic limestoneZR soft argillaceous or silty rocksGH gravel with non porous (hard) stonesMSST soft medium grained sandstoneGH gravel with non porous (hard) stonesSI soft weathered igneous/metamorphic rockStone contents (>2cm >6cm and total) are given in percentages (by volume)

7 STRUCT the degree of development size and shape of soil peds are described using the following notation

degree of developmentWK weakly developedMD moderately developedST strongly developedped sizeF fineM mediumC coarseVC very coarseped shapeS single grainM massiveGR granular AB angular blockySABsub angular blockyPR prismatic PL platy

8 **CONSIST** Soil consistence is described using the following notation

L loose VF very friable FR friable FM firm VM very firm EM extremely firm EH extremely hard

- 9 SUBS STR Subsoil structural condition recorded for the purpose of calculating profile droughtiness G good M moderate P poor
- 10 **POR** Soil porosity If a soil horizon has less than 0 5% biopores >0 5 mm a Y will appear in this column
- 11 IMP If the profile is impenetrable a Y will appear in this column at the appropriate horizon
- 12 SPL Slowly permeable layer If the soil horizon is slowly permeable a Y will appear in this column
- 13 CALC If the soil horizon is calcareous a Y will appear in this column

14 Other notations

- APW available water capacity (in mm) adjusted for wheat
- APP available water capacity (in mm) adjusted for potatoes
- MBW moisture balance wheat
- MBP moisture balance potatoes

SOIL PIT DESCRIPTION

Site Name MAIDSTONE LP SIT			Ε7	Pit Number	1	Ρ				
Grid Refe	erence TQ2	74924463	Average Annu			i2 mm				
			Accumulated			'8 degree	days			
			Field Capac	ity Level		i days				
			Land Use		Rou	ıgh Grazir	9			
			Slope and As	spect		degrees				
HORIZON	TEXTURE	COLOUR	STONES >2	tot stone	LITH	MOTTLES	STRUCTURE	CONSIST	SUBSTRUCTURE	CALC
0- 20	MCL	10YR43 0	0 0	2	HR					
20- 47	MCL	10YR56 0	0 0	2	HR	F	MDCSAB	FR	м	
47- 58	MCL.	10YR53 0	0 0	5	HR	С	MDCSAB	FR	м	
58- 90	MCL	10YR44 0	0 0	45	HR	С		FR	м	
Wetness (Grade 1		Wetness Clas	ss I						
			Gleying	47	сm					
			SPL		cm					
Drought (Grade 3A		APW 108mm	MBW -1	7 mm					
			APP 106mm	MBP -1	6 mm					
FINAL AL	C GRADE 3	BA								
MATN I TM		roughtang								

MAIN LIMITATION Droughtiness

program ALCO12

LIST OF BORINGS HEADERS 04/11/94 MAIDSTONE LP SITE 7

--WETNESS-- -WHEAT- POTS- M REL EROSN FROST CHEM ALC SAMPLE ASPECT NO GRID REF USE GRDNT GLEY SPL CLASS GRADE AP MB AP MB DRT FLOOD EXP DIST LIMIT COMMENTS 1 T075034460 RGR 30 2 2 156 31 118 -4 2 WD 2 OLD POND 47 1 1 108 17 106 -16 3A 1 1 104 -21 114 -8 3B 1P TQ74924463 RGR DR 3A PIT 82 IMPST90 2 TQ74924463 RGR DR 3A IMP68 SLGLEY45 28 85 2 2 136 11 115 -7 2 30 2 2 129 4 116 -6 3A 3 TQ75184466 PGR WD 2 SPL 85 DR 3A IMP 100 SEE 1P 4 TQ75084465 RGR

page 1

program ALCO11

COMPLETE LIST OF PROFILES 04/11/94 MAIDSTONE LP SITE 7

				1	OTTLES	5	PED				-ST	ONES		STRUCT/	SL	BS							
SAMPLE	DEPTH	TEXTURE	COLOUR	COL	ABUN	CONT	COL	GL	EY	>2 :	>6	LITH	тот	CONSIST	ST	r po	R IMF	° Spl	CALC	;			
1	0-30	mcl	10YR42 00							0	0		0										
	30-45	mcl	25Y 51 00	75YR58	B 00 M				Y	0	0		0		۲								
-	45-60	hc1	25Y 51 61	75YR58	B 00 M				Y	0	0		0		۲					NOT I	MPENETR	ABLE	
	60-120	hc1	257 62 00	75YR56	5 00 M				Y	0	0		0		۲					SITE	OF OLD	POND	
1 P	0-20	mcl	10YR43 00							0	0	HR	2										
	20-47	mcl	10YR56 00	10YR58	5 00 F					0	0	HR	2	MDCSAB	FR M	i							
	47-58	mcl	10YR53 00	10YR68	B 00 C	C)omnoo	00	Y	0	0	HR	5	MDCSAB	FR M	l				PIT 8	2 IMP A	UG 90	I.
	58 90	mc1	10YR44 00	10YR68	B 00 C	C	OMN00	00	S	0	0	HR	45		FR M	l				2mm S	IEVED		
2	0-20	mzcl	10YR43 00							0	0		0										
	20-45	mzcl	10YR46 00							0	0	HR	1		۲	l							
-	45-60	mc1	10YR54 00	10YR56	5 00 M	C	DOMNOO	00	S	0	0	HR	5		۲	l							
	60-68	mcl	10YR54 00	10YR50	5 00 M	C	OOMNOO	00	S	0	0	HR	15		۲					IMP H	ARD STO	NES 6	8
3	0-28	mc]	10YR43 00							0	0		0										
	28-65	с	25Y 51 52	10YR58	8 00 M				Y	0	0		0		١	I							
	65 85	hc1	10YR53 00	75YR68	B 00 M	C	OMNOO	00	Y	0	0	HR	30		٨	l				DIFF	TO PENE	TRATE	
	85-120	с	05Y 51 00	75YR58	B 00 M				Y	0	0		0		P			Y		WET C	LAY DEF	SPL	
4	0-30	mcl	10YR43 00							0	0	HR	2										
	30 50	mcl	10YR53 52	10YR58	3 00 C				Y	0	0	HR	2		۲								
	50 85	hc1	25Y 52 53	10YR68	3 00 M				Y	0	0		0		۲								
	85 100	mcl	10YR53 00	10YR58	368 M	C	OMNOO	00	Y	0	0	HR	35		۲					IMP S	TONES 1	00	