A1 Horsham District Local Plan Land East of Dial Post Agricultural Land Classification ALC Map and Report

ł

February 1995

AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION REPORT

HORSHAM DISTRICT LOCAL PLAN LAND EAST OF DIAL POST

1 Summary

- 11 ADAS was commissioned by MAFF's Land Use Planning Unit to provide information on a number of sites in the Horsham district of West Sussex This work forms part of MAFF's statutory input to the preparation of the Horsham District Local Plan
- 1 2 Land to the east of Dial Post comprises 1 4 hectares of land situated between the A24 and the village itself An Agricultural Land Classification (ALC) survey was carried out during February 1995 The survey was undertaken at a detailed level of approximately 2 borings per hectare A total of 3 borings and one soil inspection pit were described in accordance with MAFF's revised guidelines and criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land (MAFF 1988) These guidelines provide a framework for classifying land according to the extent to which its physical or chemical characteristics impose a long term limitation on its use for agriculture
- 13 The work was carried out by members of the Resource Planning Team in the Guildford Statutory Group of ADAS
- 1 4 At the time of the survey the land was under permanent grassland
- 1 5 The distribution of grades and subgrades is shown on the attached ALC map The map has been drawn at a scale of 1 10 000 It is accurate at this scale but any enlargement would be misleading
- 16 Appendix 1 gives a general description of the grades and landuse categories identified in this survey. The main classes are described in terms of the type of limitation that can occur the typical cropping range and expected level and consistency of yield.
- 17 The entire site (1 4 ha) has been classified as moderate quality Subgrade 3b land due to a significant soil wetness limitation The soils are derived from Weald Clay and as such comprise poorly drained clayey soils

2 Climate

- 2 1 The climatic criteria are considered first when classifying land as climate can be overriding in the sense that severe climatic limitations will restrict land to low grades irrespective of favourable site or soil conditions
- 2 2 The main parameters used in the assessment of an overall climatic limitation are average annual rainfall as a measure of overall wetness and accumulated temperature as a measure of the relative warmth of a locality

- 2 3 A detailed assessment of the prevailing climate was made by interpolation from a 5km grid point dataset (Met Office 1989) The details are given in the table below and these show that there is no overall climatic limitation affecting the site However climatic factors do interact with soil factors to influence soil wetness and droughtiness limitations
- 2.4 No local climatic factors such as exposure or frost risk are believed to affect the site

Table 2 Climatic Interpolation

Grid Reference	TQ156195
Altitude (m AOD)	30
Accumulated Temperature	
(degree days Jan-June)	1502
Average Annual Rainfall (mm)	790
Field Capacity (days)	169
Moisture Deficit Wheat (mm)	111
Moisture Deficit Potatoes (mm)	106
Overall Climatic Grade	1

3 Relief

3 1 The site is relatively flat and lies at an altitude of approximately 30m AOD

4 Geology and Soil

- 4 1 British Geological Survey (1984) Sheet 318/333 maps the entire site as the Weald Clay
- 4 2 The published Soil Survey map (SSEW 1983) shows the entire site to comprise the Wickham 1 soil association These soils are described as slowly permeable seasonally waterlogged fine silty over clayey fine loamy over clayey and clayey soils (SSEW 1983)
- 4 3 Detailed field examination found the soils on the site to be consistent with those described in paragraph 4 2 comprising poorly drained loamy over clayey profiles

5 Agricultural Land Classification

- 5 1 The distribution of ALC grades is shown on the attached ALC map
- 5 2 The location of the soil observation points are shown on the attached sample point map

Subgrade 3b

5 3 The soil profiles across the site comprise medium clay loam topsoils over heavier subsoils which show signs of poor drainage ie gleying throughout Soil inspection pit 1 revealed both the heavy clay loam upper subsoil and clay lower subsoil to be poorly structured and slowly permeable and thus responsible for the significant drainage impedance Imperfect soil drainage is also evidenced by the presence of hydrophilic vegetation such as <u>Juncus</u> spp in small patches across the site This land has therefore been assigned to Wetness Class IV Subgrade 3b on the basis of a significant soil wetness limitation Poorly drained soils can inhibit plant and root development as well as influencing the sensitivity of the soil to structural damage through trafficking by agricultural machinery or poaching by grazing livestock

ADAS Ref 4205/42/95 MAFF Ref EL42/00130 Resource Planning Team Guildford Statutory Group ADAS Reading

SOURCES OF REFERENCE

British Geological Survey (1984) Sheet No 318/333, Brighton and Worthing 1 50 000 scale

MAFF (1988) Agricultural Land Classification of England and Wales Revised guidelines and criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land

Meteorological Office (1989) Climatological Data for Agricultural Land Classification

Soil Survey of England and Wales (1983) Sheet 6 Soils of South East England 1 250 000 and accompanying legend

APPENDIX I

DESCRIPTION OF THE GRADES AND SUBGRADES

Grade 1 Excellent Quality Agricultural Land

Land with no or very minor limitations to agricultural use A very wide range of agricultural and horticultural crops can be grown and commonly includes top fruit soft fruit salad crops and winter harvested vegetables Yields are high and less variable than on land of lower quality

Grade 2 Very Good Quality Agricultural Land

Land with minor limitations which affect crop yield cultivations or harvesting A wide range of agricultural or horticultural crops can usually be grown but on some land of this grade there may be reduced flexibility due to difficulties with the production of the more demanding crops such as winter harvested vegetables and arable root crops The level of yield is generally high but may be lower or more variable than Grade 1 land

Grade 3 Good to Moderate Quality Land

Land with moderate limitations which affect the choice of crops the timing and type of cultivation harvesting or the level of yield When more demanding crops are grown yields are generally lower or more variable than on land in Grades 1 and 2

Subgrade 3a Good Quality Agricultural Land

Land capable of consistently producing moderate to high yields of a narrow range of arable crops especially cereals or moderate yields of a wide range of crops including cereals grass oilseed rape potatoes sugar beet and the less demanding horticultural crops

Subgrade 3b Moderate Quality Agricultural Land

Land capable of producing moderate yields of a narrow range of crops principally cereals and grass or lower yields of a wider range of crops or high yields of grass which can be grazed or harvested over most of the year

Grade 4 Poor Quality Agricultural Land

Land with severe limitations which significantly restrict the range of crops and/or the level of yields. It is mainly suited to grass with occasional arable crops (eg cereals and forage crops) the yields of which are variable. In moist climates yields of grass may be moderate to high but there may be difficulties in utilisation. The grade also includes very droughty arable land.

Grade 5 Very Poor Quality Agricultural Land

Land with severe limitations which restrict use to permanent pasture or rough grazing except for occasional pioneer forage crops

Urban

Built up or 'hard' uses with relatively little potential for a return to agriculture including housing industry commerce education transport religous buildings cemetries. Also hard surfaced sports facilities permanent caravan sites and vacant land all types of derelict land including mineral workings which are only likely to be reclaimed using derelict land grants

Non-agricultural

'Soft' uses where most of the land could be returned relatively easily to agriculture including private parkland public open spaces sports fields allotments and soft-surfaced areas on airports. Also active mineral workings and refuse tips where restoration conditions to 'soft' after uses may apply

Woodland

Includes commercial and non commercial woodland A distinction may be made as necessary between farm and non farm woodland

Agricultural Buildings

Includes the normal range of agricultural buildings as well as other relatively permanent structures such as glasshouses Temporary structures (eg polythene tunnels erected for lambing) may be ignored

Open Water

Includes lakes ponds and rivers as map scale permits

Land Not Surveyed

Agricultural land which has not been surveyed

Where the land use includes more than one of the above eg buildings in large grounds and where map scale permits the cover types may be shown separately Otherwise the most extensive cover type will be shown

APPENDIX II

FIELD ASSESSMENT OF SOIL WETNESS CLASS

SOIL WETNESS CLASSIFICATION

Soil wetness is classified according to the depth and duration of waterlogging in the soil profile. Six soil wetness classes are identified and are defined in the table below

Wetness Class	Duration of Waterlogging ¹
I	The soil profile is not wet within 70 cm depth for more than 30 days in most years 2
п	The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for 31 90 days in most years or if there is no slowly permeable layer within 80 cm depth it is wet within 70 cm for more than 90 days but only wet within 40 cm depth for 30 days in most years
Ш	The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for 91 180 days in most years or if there is no slowly permeable layer present within 80 cm depth it is wet within 70 cm for more than 180 days but only wet within 40 cm depth for between 31-90 days in most years
IV	The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for more than 180 days but not wet within 40 cm depth for more than 210 days in most years or if there is no slowly permeable layer present within 80 cm depth it is wet within 40 cm depth for 91-210 days in most years
V	The soil profile is wet within 40 cm depth for 211 335 days in most years
VI	The soil profile is wet within 40 cm depth for more than 335 days in most years

Definition of Soil Wetness Classes

Soils can be allocated to a wetness class on the basis of quantitative data recorded over a period of many years or by the interpretation of soil profile characteristics site and climatic factors. Adequate quantitative data will rarely be available for ALC surveys and therefore the interpretative method of field assessment is used to identify soil wetness class in the field. The method adopted here is common to ADAS and the SSLRC

¹The number of days specified is not necessarily a continuous period

² In most years is defined as more than 10 out of 20 years

APPENDIX III

SOIL PIT AND SOIL BORING DESCRIPTIONS

Contents

Soil Abbreviations - Explanatory Note

Soil Pit Descriptions

Database Printout - Boring Level Information

Database Printout - Horizon Level Information

SOIL PROFILE DESCRIPTIONS EXPLANATORY NOTE

Soil pit and auger boring information collected during ALC fieldwork is held on a computer database. This uses notations and abbreviations as set out below

Boring Header Information

- 1 GRID REF national 100 km grid square and 8 figure grid reference
- 2 USE Land use at the time of survey The following abbreviations are used

ARA	Arable	WHT	Wheat	BAR	Barley
CER	Cereals	OAT	Oats	MZE	Maize
OSR	Oilseed rape	BEN	Field Beans	BRA	Brassicae
РОТ	Potatoes	SBT	Sugar Beet	FCD	Fodder Crops
LIN	Linseed	FRT	Soft and Top Fruit	FLW	Failow
PGR	Permanent Pasture	eLEY	Ley Grass	RGR	Rough Grazing
SCR	Scrub	CFW	Coniferous Woodland	DCW	Deciduous Wood
HTH	Heathland	BOG	Bog or Marsh	FLW	Fallow
PLO	Ploughed	SAS	Set aside	ОТН	Other
HRT	Horticultural Crop)S			

- 3 GRDNT Gradient as estimated or measured by a hand-held optical clinometer
- 4 GLEY/SPL Depth in centimetres (cm) to gleying and/or slowly permeable layers
- 5 AP (WHEAT/POTS) Crop-adjusted available water capacity
- 6 MB (WHEAT/POTS) Moisture Balance (Crop adjusted AP crop adjusted MD)
- 7 DRT Best grade according to soil droughtiness
- 8 If any of the following factors are considered significant 'Y' will be entered in the relevant column

MRELMicrorelief limitationFLOODFlood riskEROSNSoil erosion riskEXPExposure limitationFROSTFrost proneDISTDisturbed landCHEMChemical limitation

9 LIMIT The main limitation to land quality The following abbreviations are used

OC	Overall Climate	AE	Aspect	EX	Exposure
FR	Frost Risk	GR	Gradient	MR	Microrelief
FL	Flood Risk	TX	Topsoil Texture	DP	Soil Depth
СН	Chemical	WE	Wetness	WK	Workability
DR	Drought	ER	Erosion Risk	WD	Soil Wetness/Droughtiness
ст	Topsoil Stoping				

ST Topsoil Stoniness

Soil Pits and Auger Borings

1

S	Sand	LS	Loamy Sand	SL	Sandy Loam
SZL	Sandy Silt Loam	CL	Clay Loam	ZCL	Silty Clay Loam
ZL	Silt Loam	SCL	Sandy Clay Loam	С	Clay
SC	Sandy Clay	ZC	Silty Clay	OL	Organic Loam
P	Peat	SP	Sandy Peat	LP	Loamy Peat
PL	Peaty Loam	PS	Peaty Sand	MZ	Marine Light Silts

TEXTURE soil texture classes are denoted by the following abbreviations

For the sand loamy sand sandy loam and sandy silt loam classes the predominant size of

sand fraction will be indicated by the use of the following prefixes

- **F** Fine (more than 66% of the sand less than 0 2mm)
- M Medium (less than 66% fine sand and less than 33% coarse sand)
- C Coarse (more than 33% of the sand larger than 0 6mm)

The clay loam and silty clay loam classes will be sub divided according to the clay content M Medium (<27% clay) H Heavy (27 35% clay)

- 2 MOTTLE COL Mottle colour using Munsell notation
- 3 MOTTLE ABUN Mottle abundance expressed as a percentage of the matrix or surface described

F few <2% C common 2-20% M many 20-40% VM very many 40% +

- 4 MOTTLE CONT Mottle contrast
 - **F** faint indistinct mottles, evident only on close inspection
 - **D** distinct mottles are readily seen
 - P prominent mottling is conspicuous and one of the outstanding features of the horizon
- 5 **PED COL** Ped face colour using Munsell notation
- 6 GLEY If the soil horizon is gleyed a Y will appear in this column If slightly gleyed an S will appear
- 7 STONE LITH Stone Lithology One of the following is used

HR	all hard rocks and stones	SLST	soft oolitic or dolimitic limestone
СН	chalk	FSST	soft fine grained sandstone
ZR	soft argillaceous or silty rocks	GH	gravel with non porous (hard) stones
MSST	soft medium grained sandstone	eGS	gravel with porous (soft) stones
SI	soft weathered igneous/metamo	orphic ro	ock

Stone contents (>2cm >6cm and total) are given in percentages (by volume)

8 STRUCT the degree of development size and shape of soil peds are described using the following notation

degree of development	WK weakly developed ST strongly developed	MD moderately developed
ped size	F fine	M medium
	C coarse	VC very coarse
ped shape	S single grain	M massive
	GR granular	AB angular blocky
	SAB sub angular blocky	PR prismatic
	PL platy	
CONSIST Soil consis	tence is described using the fo	llowing notation
L loose VF very fr	nable FR friable FM	firm VM very firm

EM extremely firm EH extremely hard

- 10 SUBS STR Subsoil structural condition recorded for the purpose of calculating profile droughtiness G good M moderate P poor
- 11 **POR** Soil porosity If a soil horizon has less than 0.5% biopores >0.5 mm a Y will appear in this column
- 12 IMP If the profile is impenetrable to rooting a Y will appear in this column at the appropriate horizon
- 13 SPL Slowly permeable layer If the soil horizon is slowly permeable a 'Y' will appear in this column
- 14 CALC If the soil horizon is calcareous a Y' will appear in this column

15 Other notations

9

- **APW** available water capacity (in mm) adjusted for wheat
- **APP** available water capacity (in mm) adjusted for potatoes
- MBW moisture balance wheat
- MBP moisture balance potatoes

page l

MPL	-E	ASPECT				WET	NESS -	WH E	AT	PO	TS-	м	REL	EROSN	FROST	СНЕМ	ALC	
NO	GRID REF	USE	GRDNT	GLEY	SPL	CLASS	GRADE	AP	MB	AP	MB	DRT	FLOOD	EX	P DIST	LIMIT		COMMENTS
1 1P 2	TQ15651962 TQ15571955	PGR PGR PGR		0 0	030 030 025	4 4 4	38 38 38		0 0		0 0 0					WE WE WF	38 38 38	A few rushes A few rushes A few rushes
3	TQ15601945	PGR		0	028	4	3B		0		Ō					WE	3B	A few rushes

ogram ALCO11 COMPLETE LIST OF PROFILES 24/02/95 HORSHAM LP 23 DIALPOST

- -- - -- -- ----

SAMF	LE	DEPTH	TEXTURE	COLOUR	M COL	IOTTLES ABUN	CONT	PED COL	GL	EY.	- >2	51 >6	TONES LITH	тот	STRUCT, CONSIS	/ 9 F 9	SUBS STR	s Por	IMP	SPL	CALC
	1	0 30	mcl	10YR53 00	107758	00 C		00мм00	00	Y	0	0	HR	2							
		30 38	hc1	25Y 53 00	10YR58	00 C		00MN00	00	Y	0	0	HR	2			Ρ	Y		Υ	
_		38 60	c	25Y 71 00	75YR58	00 M				Y	Ö	0		0			Ρ	Y		Y	
	1P	0-30	mcl	10YR53 00	10YR58	00 C				γ	1	D	HR	2							
		30 53	hc1	25Y 63 00	10YR58	00 C				Y	0	0		0	MDCPR	FR	Р	Y		Y	
		53-75	с	25Y 71 00	75YR58	00 M				Y	0	0		0	WKCSAB	FM	₽	Y		Y	
	2	0 25	mcl	10YR53 00	10YR56	00 C				Y	0	0	HR	2							
		25 38	hcl	25Y 53 00	10YR58	00 C		0011100	00	Y	0	0	HR	2			Ρ	Y		Y	
		38 70	с	25Y 63 00	10YR58	00 M				Y	0	0	HR	2			Ρ	Y		Y	
-	3	0 28	mc1	25Y 53 00	10YR56	00 C				Y	0	0	HR	2							
		28 38	hc]	25Y 63 00	10YR56	6 00 C		00MN00	00	Y	0	0	HR	2			Ρ	Y		Y	
		38 60	c	257 71 00	75YR58	3 00 M				Y	0	0		0			Ρ	Y		Y	

page 1

SOIL PIT DESCRIPTION

S te	Name	e HORSHA	MLP23 D	IALPOST		Pit M	lumber	- 1	Ρ				
Griđ	Refi	erence TQ	15571955	Average Accumcla Field Ca Land Usa Slope an	Annu ated apaci e nd As	al Ra Temper ty Lev pect	infal] rature /el	9 79 9 150 169 Per	0 mm 2 degree days manent Gr degrees	days ass			
HORI	ZON	TEXTURE	COLOUR		S 2	TOT S	STONE	L'ITH HB	MOTTLES	STRUCTURE	CONSIST	SUBSTRUCTURE	CALC
30	53	HCL	25Y 63 0	ю Ю		(-)	TIK	c	MDCPR	FR	P	
53	75	С	25Y 71 0	0 0		()		м	WKCSAB	FM	Ρ	
Wetne	ess (Grade 38	i	Wetness Gleying SPL	Clas	S	IV 0 030	cm cm					
Droug	ght (Crade		APW APP	നന നന	MBW MBP		0 mm 0 mm					
FINA	L AL	C CRADE	38										

MAIN LIMITATION Wetness