Improvement Programme for England's Natura 2000 Sites (IPENS) Planning for the Future

Site Improvement Plan River Clun

Site Improvement Plans (SIPs) have been developed for each Natura 2000 site in England as part of the Improvement Programme for England's Natura 2000 sites (IPENS). Natura 2000 sites is the combined term for sites designated as Special Areas of Conservation (SAC) and Special Protected Areas (SPA). This work has been financially supported by LIFE, a financial instrument of the European Community.

The plan provides a high level overview of the issues (both current and predicted) affecting the condition of the Natura 2000 features on the site(s) and outlines the priority measures required to improve the condition of the features. It does not cover issues where remedial actions are already in place or ongoing management activities which are required for maintenance.

The SIP consists of three parts: a Summary table, which sets out the priority Issues and Measures; a detailed Actions table, which sets out who needs to do what, when and how much it is estimated to cost; and a set of tables containing contextual information and links.

Once this current programme ends, it is anticipated that Natural England and others, working with landowners and managers, will all play a role in delivering the priority measures to improve the condition of the features on these sites.

The SIPs are based on Natural England's current evidence and knowledge. The SIPs are not legal documents, they are live documents that will be updated to reflect changes in our evidence/knowledge and as actions get underway. The information in the SIPs will be used to update England's contribution to the UK's Prioritised Action Framework (PAF).

The SIPs are not formal consultation documents, but if you have any comments about the SIP or would like more information please email us at IPENSLIFEProject@naturalengland.org.uk, or contact Natural England's Responsible Officer for the site via our enquiry service 0300 060 3900, or enquiries@naturalengland.org.uk

This Site Improvement Plan covers the following Natura 2000 site(s)

UK0030250 River Clun SAC

Site description

The River Clun SAC is important for its population of Freshwater Mussel (FWPM) *Margaritifera margaritifera* which has a very high water quality requirement. It is the southern most of only three SAC sites designated for Freshwater Mussel in England.

Plan Summary

This table shows the prioritised issues for the site(s), the features they affect, the proposed measures to address the issues and the delivery bodies whose involvement is required to deliver the measures. The list of delivery bodies will include those who have agreed to the actions as well as those where discussions over their role in delivering the actions is on-going.

| Priority & Issue | Pressure or Threat | Feature(s) affected | Measure | Delivery Bodies |
|---|-----------------------|-------------------------|---|--|
| 1 Siltation | Pressure | S1029 Freshwater mussel | Reduce siltation using voluntary measures and where this is not possible consider regulatory options | Defra, Environment Agency, Natural England, Rural Payments Agency (RPA), Severn Trent Water Ltd, Shropshire Hills AONB, Shropshire Wildlife Trust, National Farmers' Union (NFU) |
| 2 Water Pollution | Pressure | S1029 Freshwater mussel | Improve water quality by reducing river nutrient levels of nitrogen (N) and phosphorus (P) | Defra, Environment Agency, Natural England, Rural Payments Agency (RPA), Severn Trent Water Ltd, Shropshire Hills AONB, Shropshire Wildlife Trust, National Farmers' Union (NFU) |
| 3 Low breeding success/ poor recruitment | Pressure | S1029 Freshwater mussel | Intensive programme to safeguard and increase through breeding the vulnerable mussel population | Environment Agency, Natural England, Shropshire Hills AONB, Shropshire Wildlife Trust, Freshwater Biological Association, Severn Rivers Trust |
| 4 Disease | Pressure | S1029 Freshwater mussel | Manage the impacts caused as the result of Alder phytophthora disease | Environment Agency, Natural England, Shropshire Hills AONB, Shropshire Wildlife Trust, Woodland Trust, Freshwater Biological Association, Severn Rivers Trust |

| 5 Physical modification | Pressure | S1029 Freshwater mussel | Remove or modify inappropriate weirs | Environment Agency, Natural England, Shropshire Hills AONB, Shropshire Wildlife Trust, Freshwater Biological Association, Severn Rivers Trust |
|-----------------------------|----------|-------------------------|---|--|
| 6 Invasive species | Pressure | S1029 Freshwater mussel | Reduce the impact of Himalayan balsam | Environment Agency, Natural England, Shropshire Hills AONB, Shropshire Wildlife Trust, Freshwater Biological Association, Severn Rivers Trust |
| 7 Change in land management | Pressure | S1029 Freshwater mussel | Investigate and promote opportunities for more sustainable agricultural land use | Defra, Environment Agency, Natural England |

Issues and Actions

This table outlines the prioritised issues that are currently impacting or threatening the condition of the features, and the outstanding actions required to address them. It also shows, where possible, the estimated cost of the action and the delivery bodies whose involvement will be required to implement the action. Lead delivery bodies will be responsible for coordinating the implementation of the action, but not necessarily funding it. Delivery partners will need to support the lead delivery body in implementing the action. In the process of developing the SIPs Natural England has approached the delivery bodies to seek agreement on the actions and their roles in delivering them, although in some cases these discussions have not yet been concluded. Other interested parties, including landowners and managers, will be involved as the detailed actions are agreed and delivered. Funding options are indicated as potential (but not necessarily agreed or secured) sources to fund the actions.

1 Siltation

Siltation is a major issue affecting the health of Freshwater Mussel, both by acting directly on the adult mussels but also by preventing juvenile recruitment. Excessive delivery of fine sediment, from the catchment or artificially enhanced bank erosion, may lead to a range of problems relating to surface siltation, the compaction or concretion of river beds and to the in-filling of substrate interstices. This affects oxygen supply and exchange between the river water and the substrate as well as the ability of juvenile and adult mussels to burrow. Infiltration by fine sediments is one of the main causes of decline in juvenile recruitment for mussel populations. Fine sediments also subsequently provide a medium for macrophyte growth and further silt trapping, which makes the river bed habitat unsuitable for mussels. It should be noted that host salmonids also require clean gravels for spawning and are particularly sensitive to siltation of gravel beds.

The River Clun Restoration Plan and Nutrient Management Plan highlight the issues around increased sediment loads and siltation affecting the remaining freshwater mussels. The scientific and local stakeholder consensus is that agriculture is responsible for the majority of sediment loads in the River Clun.

| Action | Action description | Cost estimate | Timescale | Mechanism | Funding option | Delivery lead body | Delivery partner(s) |
|--------|--|-------------------------------------|-----------|---------------------------|------------------------|------------------------------|--|
| 1A | Refer to actions under Water Pollution. | See costs for Water Pollution | 2014-27 | See Water Pollution | see Water Pollution | see Water Pollution | see Water Pollution |
| Action | Action description | Cost estimate | Timescale | Mechanism | Funding option | Delivery lead body | Delivery partner(s) |
| 1B | Highways and associated drainage are key sediment and nutrient pathways to the River Clun. Agri- environment schemes and Local authorities could deliver a Rural Sustainable Drainage system. | Not yet determined | 2014-27 | Existing Local Project | Not yet determined | Shropshire County Council | Environment Agency, Natural England, Shropshire Hills AONB |

2 Water Pollution

Water quality is important for all life stages of Freshwater Mussel. Juvenile mussels, after they drop off the host fish and live within the river gravels, are most vulnerable to pollution events.

Phosphorus, together with nitrogen, is important in enhancing productivity and elevated levels from point and diffuse sources are an important factor in eutrophication. As with siltation, nutrient enrichment can have serious and ongoing impacts on juvenile and adult mussels. Increased inputs of dissolved nutrients tend to lead to filamentous algal and macrophyte growth. The respiration of artificially large growths of benthic or floating algae may generate large diurnal sags in dissolved oxygen and poor substrate conditions (increased siltation) for fish and invertebrate species. Macrophytes can also smother the mussel habitat even further, and trap more sediment, exacerbating the problem in the long term.

The River Clun Nutrient Management Plan identified agriculture as a significant contributor of P, N (also sediment). Agriculture (livestock and arable) are shown to contribute 61% of P and 92% of N. In addition Sewage treatment plants contribute 35% of P, at current levels. As there is pressure for more development this will only increase unless it is tackled.

| Action | Action description | Cost estimate | Timescale | Mechanism | Funding option | Delivery lead body | Delivery partner(s) |
|--------|---|---------------|-----------|---|---|--------------------|-----------------------|
| 2A | Deliver capital works through extant agri-environment agreements and undertake fine-tuning of existing agreements where necessary. | £250,000 | 2014-19 | Rural Development Programme for England (RDPE): Environmental Stewardship Higher Level Scheme (HLS) | Rural Development Programme (RDPE) | Natural England | Shropshire Hills AONB |
| Action | Action description | Cost estimate | Timescale | Mechanism | Funding option | Delivery lead body | Delivery partner(s) |
| 2B | Implement land management measures from the Nutrient Management Plan (NMP). | £23,000,000 | 2016-27 | Rural Development Programme for England (RDPE): Common Agricultural Policy 2014-20 (New Environmental Land Management Scheme) | Rural Development Programme (RDPE) | Natural England | Shropshire Hills AONB |

| | Action description | Cost estimate | Timescale | Mechanism | Funding option | Delivery lead body | Delivery partner(s) |
|--------|---|-----------------------|-----------|--|---|--------------------|--|
| 2C | Manage farm-based diffuse pollution. | £5,000,000 | 2014-27 | England Catchment Sensitive Farming (CSF) | Rural Development Programme (RDPE), Catchment Sensitive Farming (CSF) | Natural England | Environment Agency |
| Action | Action description | Cost estimate | Timescale | Mechanism | Funding option | Delivery lead body | Delivery partner(s) |
| 2D | Investigate amendments to the SSSI boundary, to include headwaters and/or to improve floodplain connectivity. | Not yet determined | 2014-27 | Designation strategy (SSSI) | Natural England | Natural England | Shropshire Hills AONB, Shropshire Wildlife Trust, National Farmers' Union (NFU) |
| Action | Action description | Cost estimate | Timescale | Mechanism | Funding option | Delivery lead body | Delivery partner(s) |
| 2E | Investigate whether there is a need to make more effective use of regulatory tools. | Not yet determined | 2014-27 | Enforcement | Not yet determined | Defra | Environment Agency, Natural England, Rural Payments Agency (RPA) |
| Action | Action description | Cost estimate | Timescale | Mechanism | Funding option | Delivery lead body | Delivery partner(s) |
| 2F | Investigate the potential for introducing a Water Protection Zone. | Not yet determined | 2017-19 | Integrated Nutrient Management Plan: Nutrient Reduction Management Plan | Not yet determined | Defra | n/a |

| Action 2G | Action description Implement measures from the Nutrient Management Plan 2014 to reduce point source pollution. | Cost estimate £2,700,000 | <i>Timescale</i> 2014-18 | <i>Mechanism</i> Integrated Nutrient Management Plan: Nutrient Reduction Management Plan | <i>Funding option</i> AMP6, Water company | <i>Delivery lead body</i> Severn Trent Water Ltd | <i>Delivery partner(s)</i> n/a |
|--------------|---|---|-----------------------------|--|---|---|--|
| Action 2H | Action description Implement the Nutrient Management Plan. | <i>Cost estimate</i> Not yet determined | <i>Timescale</i> 2014-27 | <i>Mechanism</i> Integrated Nutrient Management Plan: Nutrient Reduction Management Plan | <i>Funding option</i> Not yet determined | <i>Delivery lead body</i> Natural England | <i>Delivery partner(s)</i> Environment Agency |

3 Low breeding success/ poor recruitment

The stressed and aging population of Freshwater Mussel is very vulnerable to one off events (floods, drought, pollution). Surveys since 1995 show there has been no juvenile recruitment and there has been an overall loss of 60% of mussels between 1995 and 2013. Most of the remaining mussels are in very poor condition and although they are long lived, the remaining population may only survive for another 20 years without major intervention. This is an aging population which is very stressed, the remaining mussels have been found covered in silt and algae and sitting on the surface of the gravel rather than buried amongst it. The numbers of mussels may reach a point where there is insufficient genetic diversity to maintain a healthy population. Studies have shown that translocation of mussels from river to river is relatively unsuccessful with mortalities of over 50% in the first three years. There may be physiological accommodation or genetic adaptation to particular rivers. Therefore it is crucial to maintain the existing population in situ.

| Action | Action description | Cost estimate | Timescale | Mechanism | Funding option | Delivery lead body | Delivery partner(s) |
|--------|--|-----------------------|-----------|---|---|--------------------|---|
| 3A | Implement the River Restoration Plan. | Not yet determined | 2014-27 | River Restoration Plan: Restoration Project | LIFE, Heritage Lottery Fund (HLF) | Local partnership | Environment Agency, Natural England, Shropshire Hills AONB, Shropshire Wildlife Trust, Severn Rivers Trust |
| | | | | | | | |

| A | Action | Action description | Cost estimate | Timescale | Mechanism | Funding option | Delivery lead body | Delivery partner(s) |
|--------|------------------|--|--|--------------------------------------|---|--|---|---|
| 3 | B | Maintain the existing Freshwater mussel 'ark' site in Cumbria. | Not yet determined | 2014-27 | Existing Local Project | LIFE, Heritage Lottery Fund (HLF), Landfill tax | Natural England | Freshwater Biological Association |
| A | Action | Action description | Cost estimate | Timescale | Mechanism | Funding option | Delivery lead body | Delivery partner(s) |
| 3 | C | Implement the River Clun conservation plan for the freshwater mussel population (March 2014). | £6,400,000 | 2014-27 | Habitat creation / restoration strategy: Creation of new habitat | LIFE, Heritage Lottery Fund (HLF) | Local partnership | Environment Agency, Natural England, Shropshire Hills AONB, Shropshire Wildlife Trust, Severn Rivers Trust |
| 4 | l Dis | sease | | | | | | |
| a A | are lea As we | leath is compounding other problems s ading to less stable banksides and con Il as adding silt to the river, over time th on. Tree shade also helps to keep the | tributing directly this will effectively | to bankside eros widen the river, | sion/increased siltation. causing slower and sh | Occasional trees allower water whic | are falling into river and put the will compound the other | ulling out whole bank side. issues of siltation and |
| A | Action | Action description | Cost estimate | Timescale | Mechanism | Funding option | Delivery lead body | Delivery partner(s) |
| 4 | A | Coppicing of <i>Phytophthora</i> infected alder trees, and planting with alternative species. | Not yet determined | 2014-27 | River Restoration Plan: Restoration Project | LIFE, Heritage Lottery Fund (HLF) | Local partnership | Environment Agency, Natural England, Shropshire Hills AONB, Shropshire Wildlife Trust, Woodland Trust, Severn Rivers Trust |

5 Physical modification

The Freshwater mussel has a commensal relationship with migratory salmonids (salmon and trout), as the glochidia (larval stage) attach themselves to the gills of the fish before dropping off to bury themselves in clean gravels. Weirs and dams (not all of which are in the SAC itself, some are downstream in River Teme SSSI) affect the movement of migratory salmonids on which the mussels depend. Although salmonids are arriving in the headwaters they are likely to be less healthy than if progress upstream was unimpeded.

| Action 5A | n Action description Remove (or modify) weirs. | <i>Cost estimate</i> Not yet determined | <i>Timescale</i> 2014-27 | <i>Mechanism</i> River Restoration Plan: Restoration Project | Funding option EU Life, Heritage Lottery Fund (HLF) | <i>Delivery lead body</i> Environment Agency | <i>Delivery partner(s)</i> Natural England, Shropshire Hills AONB, Shropshire Wildlife Trust, Severn Rivers Trust |
|--------------------------|--|---|-----------------------------|---|---|---|--|
| Hima back | vasive species layan balsam in the main problem spci in winter, it often leaves bare banks du elalthough this has not actually been q | iring the winter se | ason making the | em more vulnerable to | erosion. This will a | add to the siltation problem | is faced by the Freshwater |
| happ | ening elsewhere. | | | | | | |
| ACTIO | n Action description | Cost estimate | Timescale | Mechanism | Funding option | Delivery lead body | Delivery partner(s) |
| 6A | Control Himalayan balsam. | £50,000 | 2014-20 | Invasive Control Plan: Invasive Species Control Programme | LIFE, Heritage Lottery Fund (HLF) | Local partnership | Environment Agency, Natural England, Shropshire Hills AONB, Shropshire Wildlife Trust, Severn Rivers Trust |
| 7 Cł | nange in land management | | | | | | |
| Curre replae steep | ent and future changes in land manage cing grazed grasslands. Changes in lar er slopes higher up in the catchment. at affecting the Freshwater mussel pop | nd use are a featu The soil types are | ire across the ca | atchment. Arable, includ | ding potato growing | g has increased in the last | decade, including on the |
| Action | n Action description | Cost estimate | Timescale | Mechanism | Funding option | Delivery lead body | Delivery partner(s) |
| 7A | Investigate options to reduce agricultural land intensification through incentives, advice and | Not yet determined | 2014-27 | Mechanism not identified / develop mechanism | Natural England | Natural England | Defra, Environment Agency |

regulation.

Site details

The tables in this section contain site-relevant contextual information and links

Qualifying features

#UK Special responsibility

River Clun SAC

S1029 Margaritifera margaritifera: Freshwater mussel

| Site location and links | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| River Clun SAC | | | | | |
| Area (ha) 14.93 Grid reference SO393754 | Map link | | | | |
| Local Authorities | Herefordshire; Shropshire | | | | |
| Site Conservation Objectives | European Site Conservation Objectives for River Clun SAC | | | | |
| European Marine Site conservation advice | <u>n/a</u> | | | | |
| Regulation 33/35 Package | <u>n/a</u> | | | | |
| Marine Management Organisation site plan | <u>n/a</u> | | | | |

Water Framework Directive (WFD)

The Water Framework Directive (WFD) provides the main framework for managing the water environment throughout Europe. Under the WFD a management plan must be developed for each river basin district. The River Basin Management Plans (RMBP) include a summary of the measures needed for water dependent Natura 2000 sites to meet their conservation objectives. For the second round of RBMPs, SIPs are being used to capture the priorities and new measures required for water dependent habitats on Natura 2000 sites. SIP actions for non-water dependent sites/habitats do not form part of the RBMPs and associated consultation.

Additional information is provided on targets for flow and some water quality parameters, in order to meet the conservation objectives for certain Natura 2000 sites. The relevant targets are identified in the revised conservation objectives document (see link to PDF below).

These targets have been revised for a number of Natura 2000 rivers and lakes, following a review by the conservation agencies of Common Standards Monitoring Guidance. For rivers, this is done through local discussions between Natural England and Environment Agency staff. For lake sites, the only parameter where alignment of standards was reviewed was phosphorus and so this work was undertaken jointly at a national level.

The linked PDF documents include the proposed target values, and also set out an 'interim progress goal', that will need to be achieved by 2021. Where sufficient information is available the document also identifies a timescale for achievement of the longer-term target. For any sites where it has not been possible to agree specific targets, usually because further technical work is required, these will be indicated in the documents by an asterisk. For further information please see Part 2 of the River Basin Plan

River Clun SAC

| River basin | Severn | Severn RBMP |
|--|---|--|
| WFD Management catchment | Teme | |
| WFD Waterbody ID (Cycle 2 draft) | GB109054043950, GB10905 | 4043990 |
| Locally revised Conservation Objectives | Moving towards common star guidance targets for SAC rive | |
| Additional information on locally revised Conservation Objectives | <u>n/a</u> | |
| EA/ NE agreed RBMP lake SAC targets | <u>n/a</u> | |
| River Restoration Plan | | |
| Source of information on river restoration plans for SAC | C rivers where these are in place | e or planned, with links to documentation where this is available. |
| Webpage link: Restoring Designated Rivers | <u>n/a</u> | |
| River Restoration Plan document | <u>n/a</u> | |

| Overlapping or adjacent protected sites | | | | | |
|---|--------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Site(s) of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI) | | | | | |
| River Clun SAC | River Teme SSSI | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| National Nature Reserve (NNR) | | | | | |
| River Clun SAC | n/a | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Ramsar | | | | | |
| River Clun SAC | n/a | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Special Areas of Conservation (SAC) and | Special Protection Areas (SPA) | | | | |
| River Clun SAC | n/a | | | | |

| Version | Date | Comment |
|---------|------------|---------|
| 1.0 | 07/10/2014 | |



www.naturalengland.org.uk/ipens2000