A1

Maidstone Borough Local Plan
Site 28 Land at Court Lodge Farm,
Lenham
Agricultural Land Classification
ALC Map and Report
October 1994

## AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION REPORT

## MAIDSTONE BOROUGH LOCAL PLAN SITE 28 LAND AT COURT LODGE FARM, LENHAM

## 1 Summary

- ADAS was commissioned by MAFF's Land Use Planning Unit to provide information on land quality for a number of sites in the Maidstone Borough of Kent. The work forms part of MAFF's statutory input to the Maidstone Borough Local Plan.
- 1 2 Site 28 comprises approximately 7 hectares of land to the east of Lenham in Kent An Agricultural Land Classification (ALC) survey was undertaken during October 1994. The survey was undertaken at a detailed level. A total of 8 borings and one soil inspection pit were assessed in accordance with MAFF s revised guidelines and criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land (MAFF 1988). These guidelines provide a framework for classifying land according to the extent to which its physical or chemical characteristics impose long term limitations on its use for agriculture.
- The work was carried out by members of the Resource Planning Team in the Guildford Statutory Group of ADAS
- At the time of survey the agricultural land at the site was under stubble from the 1994 harvest. The area of urban land shown towards the north west of the site comprises a dwelling its garden and outbuildings including a barn in addition a pond is shown as open water.
- The distribution of grades and subgrades is shown on the attached ALC map and the areas are given in the table below. The map has been drawn at a scale of 1 10 000. It is accurate at this scale but any enlargement would be misleading. This map supersedes any previous ALC survey information for this site.

Table 1 Distribution of Grades and Subgrades

Grade	Area (ha)	% of Site	% of Agricultural Land
3b	6 2	87 3	100% (6 2ha)
Urban	0 9	12 7	
Open Water	<0 1	<0 1	
Total area of site	<u>71</u>	<u>100%</u>	

Appendix I gives a general description of the grades subgrades and land use categories identified in the survey. The main classes are described in terms of the type of limitation that can occur the typical cropping range and the expected level and consistency of yield

1 7 The site has been graded as Subgrade 3b moderate quality land Poorly drained clayey soils derived from drift deposits overlying Lower Chalk cause the land to experience significant soil wetness and workability restrictions

#### 2 Climate

- The climatic criteria are considered first when classifying land as climate can be overriding in the sense that severe limitations will restrict land to low grades irrespective of favourable site or soil conditions
- The main parameters used in the assessment of an overall climatic limitation are average annual rainfall as a measure of overall wetness and accumulated temperature as a measure of the relative warmth of a locality
- A detailed assessment of the prevailing climate was made by interpolation from a 5km gridpoint dataset (Met Office 1989). The details are given in the table below and these show that there is no overall climatic limitation affecting the site.
- 2.4 No local climatic factors such as exposure or frost risk are believed to affect the site. However climatic and soil factors interact to influence soil wetness and droughtiness limitations.

## Table 2 Climatic Interpolation

Grid Reference	TQ898519		
Altitude (m AOD)	120		
Accumulated Temperature	1369		
(°days Jan June)			
Average Annual Rainfall (mm)	748		
Field Capacity Days	155		
Moisture deficit wheat (mm)	106		
Moisture deficit potatoes (mm)	97		
Overall Climatic Grade	1		

#### 3 Relief

The site lies between approximately 115 and 120m AOD. It rises from the south east towards the north west. Nowhere on the site does relief or gradient affect agricultural land quality.

## 4 Geology and Soils

The published geological information (BGS 1976) shows the majority of the site to be underlain by Cretaceous Lower Chalk Towards the west of the site a small area is shown as being underlain by head drift deposits

The published soils information (SSEW 1983) shows the majority of the site to be underlain by Coombe 2 Association soils. These are briefly described as well drained calcareous fine silty soils deep in valley bottoms and shallow to chalk on valley sides in places. (SSEW 1983) Towards the south east of the site a small area is mapped as comprising Denchworth Association soils, these are described as slowly permeable, seasonally waterlogged clayey soils with similar fine loamy over clayey soils. Some fine loamy over clayey soils with only slight seasonal waterlogging and slowly permeable calcareous soils. (SSEW 1983). Soils over the site were commonly found to be similar to the description for soils of the Denchworth Association, being clayey calcareous and slowly permeable in the subsoil.

## 5 Agricultural Land Classification

- Paragraph 1 5 provides the details of the area measurements for each grade and the distribution of each grade is shown on the attached ALC map
- The location of the soil observation points are shown on the attached sample point map

## Subgrade 3b

53 Land of moderate quality is shown for the whole of the agricultural land at this The principal limitation is soil wetness and workability due to poor soil Soil profiles typically comprise a stoneless or very slightly stony or chalky (up to 3% v/v flints or chalk fragments) calcareous heavy clay loam or clay topsoil which was occasionally slightly mottled. This passes to a similarly or slightly more stony / chalky (up to 8% v/v flints 5% v/v chalk fragments) commonly gleyed or slightly gleyed calcareous clay which has characteristics of a slowly permeable layer as observed in the pit 1p (see Appendix III) overlies in virtually all the observations a highly calcareous gleyed and slowly permeable clay lower subsoil horizon to depth Soil profiles with these drainage characteristics within the local climatic parameters lead to Wetness Class IV (see Appendix II) being assigned and subsequently Subgrade 3b given the topsoil workability class These factors lead to severe restrictions on the versatility of the land in terms of the timing of cropping and stocking if structural damage to the soil is to be avoided Excessive soil wetness will also adversely affect crop growth and development Occasional observations were of a slightly better quality but were of insufficient distribution to justify separate mapping

ADAS Ref 2007/222/94 MAFF Ref EL20/328 Resource Planning Team Guildford Statutory Group ADAS Reading

## SOURCES OF REFERENCE

British Geological Survey (1976) Sheet 288 Maidstone 1 50 000 Solid & Drift Edition

MAFF (1988) Agricultural Land Classification of England and Wales Revised guidelines and criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land

Meteorological Office (1989) Climatic datasets for Agricultural Land Classification

Soil Survey of England and Wales (1980) Soils of Kent Bulletin No 15 Map scale 1 250 000

Soil Survey of England and Wales (1983) Sheet No 6 Soils of South East England 1 250 000 and Accompanying Legend

Soil Survey of England and Wales (1984) Soils and their use in South-East England Bulletin No 15

## APPENDIX I

## **DESCRIPTION OF THE GRADES AND SUBGRADES**

## Grade 1 Excellent Quality Agricultural Land

Land with no or very minor limitations to agricultural use. A very wide range of agricultural and horticultural crops can be grown and commonly includes top fruit soft fruit salad crops and winter harvested vegetables. Yields are high and less variable than on land of lower quality.

### Grade 2 Very Good Quality Agricultural Land

Land with minor limitations which affect crop yield cultivations or harvesting. A wide range of agricultural or horticultural crops can usually be grown but on some land of this grade there may be reduced flexibility due to difficulties with the production of the more demanding crops such as winter harvested vegetables and arable root crops. The level of yield is generally high but may be lower or more variable than Grade 1 land.

## Grade 3 Good to Moderate Quality Land

Land with moderate limitations which affect the choice of crops the timing and type of cultivation harvesting or the level of yield. When more demanding crops are grown yields are generally lower or more variable than on land in Grades 1 and 2

## Subgrade 3a Good Quality Agricultural Land

Land capable of consistently producing moderate to high yields of a narrow range of arable crops especially cereals or moderate yields of a wide range of crops including cereals grass oilseed rape potatoes sugar beet and the less demanding horticultural crops

#### Subgrade 3b Moderate Quality Agricultural Land

Land capable of producing moderate yields of a narrow range of crops principally cereals and grass or lower yields of a wider range of crops or high yields of grass which can be grazed or harvested over most of the year

## Grade 4 Poor Quality Agricultural Land

Land with severe limitations which significantly restrict the range of crops and/or the level of yields. It is mainly suited to grass with occasional arable crops (e.g. cereals and forage crops) the yields of which are variable. In moist climates, yields of grass may be moderate to high but there may be difficulties in utilisation. The grade also includes very droughty arable land.

#### Grade 5 Very Poor Quality Agricultural Land

Land with severe limitations which restrict use to permanent pasture or rough grazing except for occasional pioneer forage crops

#### Urban

Built up or hard uses with relatively little potential for a return to agriculture including housing industry commerce education transport religious buildings cemeteries. Also hard surfaced sports facilities permanent caravan sites and vacant land all types of derelict land including mineral workings which are only likely to be reclaimed using derelict land grants.

## Non-agricultural

'Soft' uses where most of the land could be returned relatively easily to agriculture including private parkland public open spaces sports fields allotments and soft-surfaced areas on airports. Also active mineral workings and refuse tips where restoration conditions to soft' after uses may apply

#### Woodland

Includes commercial and non commercial woodland A distinction may be made as necessary between farm and non farm woodland

## Agricultural Buildings

Includes the normal range of agricultural buildings as well as other relatively permanent structures such as glasshouses Temporary structures (e.g. polythene tunnels erected for lambing) may be ignored

## Open Water

Includes lakes ponds and rivers as map scale permits

## Land Not Surveyed

Agricultural land which has not been surveyed

Where the land use includes more than one of the above e.g. buildings in large grounds and where map scale permits the cover types may be shown separately. Otherwise the most extensive cover type will be shown

#### APPENDIX II

## **DEFINITION OF SOIL WETNESS CLASS**

#### Wetness Class I

The soil profile is not wet within 70 cm depth for more than 30 days in most years

#### Wetness Class II

The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for 31-90 days in most years or if there is no slowly permeable layer within 80 cm depth it is wet within 70 cm for more than 180 days but only wet within 40 cm depth for 31-90 days in most years

#### Wetness Class III

The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for 91 180 days in most years or if there is no slowly permeable layer present within 80 cm depth it is wet within 70 cm for more than 180 days but only wet within 40 cm depth for between 31-90 days in most years

#### Wetness Class IV

The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for more than 180 days but not wet within 40 cm depth fro more than 210 days in most years or if there is no slowly permeable layer present within 80 cm depth it is wet within 40 cm depth for 91-210 days in most years

### Wetness Class V

The soil profile is wet within 40 cm depth for 211-335 days in most years

#### Wetness Class VI

The soil profile is wet within 40 cm depth for more than 335 days in most years

## APPENDIX III

# SOIL PIT AND SOIL BORING DESCRIPTIONS

## Contents

Sample Point Map

Soil Abbreviations - explanatory note

Database Printout - soil pit information

Database Printout - boring level information

Database Printout - horizon level information

## SOIL PROFILE DESCRIPTIONS EXPLANATORY NOTE

Soil pit and auger boring information collected during ALC fieldwork is held on a database. This has commonly used notations and abbreviations as set out below

## **Boring Header Information**

- 1 GRID REF national grid square and 8 figure grid reference
- 2 USE Land use at the time of survey The following abbreviations are used

ARA	Arable	WHT	Wheat	BAR	Barley
CER	Cereals	OAT	Oats	MZE	Maize
OSR	Oilseed rape	BEN	Field Beans	BRA	Brassicae
POT	Potatoes	SBT	Sugar Beet	FCD	Fodder Crops
LIN	Linseed	FRT	Soft and Top Fruit	FLW	Fallow
PGR	Permanent Pastur	re LEY	Ley Grass	RGR	Rough Grazing
SCR	Scrub	<b>CFW</b>	Coniferous Woodland	DCW	Deciduous Wood
HTH	Heathland	BOG	Bog or Marsh	FLW	Fallow
PLO	Ploughed	SAS	Set aside	OTH	Other
HRT	Horticultural Cro	ps			

- 3 GRDNT Gradient as measured by a hand held optical clinometer
- 4 GLEY/SPL Depth in cm to gleying or slowly permeable layers
- 5 AP (WHEAT/POTS) Crop adjusted available water capacity
- 6 MB (WHEAT/POTS) Moisture Balance
- 7 DRT Best grade according to soil droughtiness
- 8 If any of the following factors are considered significant an entry of Y' will be entered in the relevant column

MREL Microrelief limitation	FLOOD	Flood risk	EROSN	Soil erosion risk
EXP Exposure limitation	FROST	Frost	DIST	Disturbed land
CHEM Chemical limitation				

2 LIMIT The main limitation to land quality The following abbreviations are used

OC	Overall Climate	ΑE	Aspect	EX	Exposure	
FR	Frost Risk	GR	Gradient	MR	Microrelief	
FL	Flood Risk	TX	Topsoil Texture	DP	Soil Depth ST	Topsoil Stones
CH	Chemical	$\mathbf{WE}$	Wetness	$\mathbf{W}\mathbf{K}$	Workability	_
DR	Drought	ER	Erosion Risk	WD	Soil Wetness/I	Droughtiness

## Soil Pits and Auger Borings

1 **TEXTURE** soil texture classes are denoted by the following abbreviations

S Sand LS Loamy Sand SL Sandy Loam

SZL Sandy Silt Loam CL Clay Loam

ZCL Silty Clay Loam SCL Sandy Clay Loam

C Clay SC Sandy Clay ZC Silty Clay
OL Organic Loam P Peat SP Sandy Peat
LP Loamy Peat PL Peaty Loam PS Peaty Sand

MZ Marine Light Silts

For the sand loamy sand sandy loam and sandy silt loam classes the predominant size of sand fraction will be indicated by the use of prefixes

F Fine (more than 66% of the sand less than 0 2mm)

M Medium (less than 66% fine sand and less than 33% coarse sand)

C Coarse (more than 33% of the sand larger than 0 6mm)

The clay loam and silty clay loam classes will be sub divided according to the clay content M Medium (<27% clay) H Heavy (27 35% clay)

- 2 MOTTLE COL Mottle colour
- 3 MOTTLE ABUN Mottle abundance expressed as a percentage of the matrix or surface described

F few <2% C common 2 20% M many 20 40 VM very many 40%

- 4 MOTTLE CONT Mottle contrast
  - F faint indistinct mottles evident only on close inspection
  - D distinct mottles are readily seen
  - P prominent mottling is conspicuous and one of the outstanding features of the horizon
- 5 PED COL Ped face colour
- 6 STONE LITH One of the following is used

HR all hard rocks and stones SLST soft oolitic or dolimitic limestone

CH chalk FSST soft fine grained sandstone

ZR soft argillaceous or silty rocks GH gravel with non porous (hard) stones MSST soft medium grained sandstone GH gravel with non porous (hard) stones

SI soft weathered igneous/metamorphic rock

Stone contents (>2cm >6cm and total) are given in percentages (by volume)

7 STRUCT the degree of development size and shape of soil peds are described using the following notation

<u>degree of development</u> WK weakly developed MD moderately developed ST strongly developed

ped sizeF fineM mediumC coarseVC very coarseped shapeS single grainM massiveGR granular AB angular blockySAB sub angular blockyPR prismatic PL platy

8 CONSIST Soil consistence is described using the following notation

L loose VF very friable FR friable FM firm VM very firm EM extremely firm
EH extremely hard

- 9 SUBS STR Subsoil structural condition recorded for the purpose of calculating profile droughtiness G good M moderate P poor
- 10 **POR** Soil porosity If a soil horizon has less than 0 5% biopores >0 5 mm a Y will appear in this column
- 11 IMP If the profile is impenetrable a 'Y will appear in this column at the appropriate horizon
- 12 SPL Slowly permeable layer If the soil horizon is slowly permeable a Y will appear in this column
- 13 CALC If the soil horizon is calcareous a 'Y' will appear in this column
- 14 Other notations

APW available water capacity (in mm) adjusted for wheat

APP available water capacity (in mm) adjusted for potatoes

MBW moisture balance wheat

MBP moisture balance potatoes

SOIL PIT DESCRIPTION

Site Name MAIDSTONE LP SITE 28 Pit Number 1P

Grid Reference TQ89805180 Average Annual Rainfall 748 mm

Accumulated Temperature 1369 degree days

Field Capacity Level 155 days

Land Use

\$lope and Aspect degrees

HORIZON	TEXTURE	COLOUR	STONES >2	TOT STONE	LITH	MOTTLES	STRUCTURE	CONSIST	SUBSTRUCTURE	CALC
0- 26	HCL	10YR32 00	0	3	CH					Υ
26- 53	С	25Y 53 00	0	5	CH	М	MDCAB	FM	Р	γ
53- 70	С	25Y 72 00	0	0		С	WKCSAB	FR	М	γ

Wetness Grade 3B Wetness Class IV

Gleying 26 cm SPL 26 cm

Drought Grade APW mm MBW 0 mm

APP mm MBP 0 mm

FINAL ALC GRADE 38
MAIN LIMITATION Wetness

orogram ALCO12

6 TQ89805190 STB

7 TQ89905190 STB

8 TQ89705180 STB

9 TQ89805180 STB

## LIST OF BORINGS HEADERS 11/10/94 MAIDSTONE LP SITE 28

<del>- ---</del> -- -

3B 0

3B

35 35 4 3B 30 30 4 3B

45 45 3 3B

26 26 3

page 1

WE 3B SPL 35

WE 3B SPL 30

WE 3B SPL 45

WE 3B SPL 26

AMPLE ASPECT - WETNESS -WHEAT POTS M REL EROSN FROST CHEM ALC NO GRID-REF USE GRONT-GLEY-SPL CLASS CRADE AP MB AP MB DRT FLOOD EXP DIST LIMIT COMMENTS 1 TQ90005210 STB 70 70 2 0 2 0 WE 2 SPL 70 IMP 100 1P TQ89805180 STB 26 26 4 3B 0 0 WE 3B SPL 26 PIT 70 3 TQ89905200 STB WE 3B SPL45 SLGLEY30 45 45 3 3B 0 4 TQ89615190 STB 38 38 4 38 0 0 WE 3B SPL 38 50 50 5 TQ89705190 STB 0 3 3B 0 WE 3B SPL 50

0

0

0

0

0

10YR42 00

10YR53 00 10YR68 00 C

10YR62 72 10YR56 72 C

10YR82 00 10YR58 00 C

0-26 hc1

26 55 c

55 75 c

75-100 hc1

STONES STRUCT/ SUBS MOTTLES - PED MPLE DEPTH TEXTURE COLOUR COL ABUN CONT COL GLEY 2 >6 LITH TOT CONSIST STR POR IMP SPL CALC 10YR42 00 0 0 CH 2 0-28 mzcl 28-35 hzcl 10YR63 64 0 0 CH 20 35-70 10YR63 00 0 0 CH 5 М mzcl 25Y 63 72 10YR66 00 C Y 0 0 CH YY 70-100 c 5 М IMP CH RUBBLE 100 0-26 hc1 10YR32 00 0 0 CH 10YR53 00 Y 0 0 CH 5 MDCAB FM P Y 25Y 53 00 10YR68 00 M Υ 26-53 c 53-70 25Y 72 00 10YR66 00 C 0 0 0 WKCSAB FR M Y 10YR32 00 0 0 030 с 0 30 45 10YR54 00 10YR56 00 M S 0 0 0 SLIGHTLY GLEYED 30 C М 45-100 c 10YR53 00 10YR56 00 M 00MN00 00 Y 0 0 0 Ρ 100 120 c 05Y 51 62 10YR56 00 C Y 0 0 Y Y 0 М 020 с 10YR54 00 Υ 0 0 HR 2 20 38 10YR54 00 10YR56 00 F 0 0 HR 5 М 38 50 25Y 53 00 10YR56 58 M Υ C 0 0 HR 5 Υ 00MN00 00 Y 0 0 50 70 c 25Y 53 63 10YR58 00 M 0 Ρ 030 с 10YR42 00 0 0 HR 30-36 с 10YR42 00 0 0 HR γ 10YR54 00 10YR68 00 F 36-50 c 0 0 0 50 60 10YR62 00 10YR68 00 M 0 0 0 C 25Y 72 63 10YR56 00 M 60 100 c 0 М 0-25 c 10YR42 00 10YR56 00 F 0 0 HR 3 Υ 10YR42 00 10YR56 00 F 25-35 c 0 0 HR Υ 35-50 c 10YR53 00 10YR56 00 C 00MN00 00 Y 0 0 HR 00MN00 00 Y 0 0 HR 50 100 c 10YR53 00 10YR56 00 C 1 0-30 10YR32 42 0 0 hc1 10YR63 72 10YR56 00 C 30 40 Υ 0 0 C 0 40 90 25Y 72 73 10YR56 00 C 0 0 10YR42 00 0 0 0 30 0 hcl 30 45 C 10YR62 72 0 0 45-120 c 10YR72 81 10YR58 00 C

0 0 HR

00MN00 00 Y

Y 0 0

Y 0 0

2

0

0

P

М

М