A1
South Oxfordshire District Local Plan
Site 194a Land at Wantage Road Didcot
Agricultural Land Classification
ALC Map and Report
December 1994

## AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION REPORT

# SOUTH OXFORDSHIRE DISTRICT LOCAL PLAN SITE 194A LAND AT WANTAGE ROAD DIDCOT

## 1 Summary

- ADAS was commissioned by MAFF's Land Use Planning Unit to provide information on land quality for a number of sites in the South Oxfordshire district. The work forms part of MAFF's statutory input to the South Oxfordshire District Local Plan
- Oxfordshire An Agricultural Land Classification (ALC) survey was carried out in November 1994. The survey was undertaken at a detailed level of approximately one boring per hectare. A total of 3 borings and one soil inspection pit were assessed in accordance with MAFF s revised guidelines and criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land (MAFF 1988). These guidelines provide a framework for classifying land according to the extent to which its physical or chemical characteristics impose long term limitations on its use for agriculture.
- The work was carried out by members of the Resource Planning Team in the Guildford Statutory Group of ADAS
- 1 4 At the time of the survey the agricultural land was under stubble from the 1994 harvest. The area shown as non agricultural comprises a low bank and the verge bordering the road at the site boundary.
- The distribution of grades and subgrades is shown on the attached ALC map and the areas are given in the table below. The map has been drawn at a scale of 1 10 000. It is accurate at this scale but any enlargement would be misleading. This map supersedes any previous ALC survey information for this site.

Table 1 Distribution of Grades and Subgrades

Grade	Area (ha)	/ of Site
3a	2 6	96 3
Non Agricultural	0 1	3 7
Total area of Site	<u>2 7ha</u>	<u>100%</u>

- Appendix I gives a general description of the grades subgrades and land use categories identified in the survey. The main classes are described in terms of the type of limitation that can occur the typical cropping range and the expected level and consistency of yield
- The agricultural land at this site has been classified Subgrade 3a good quality with soil wetness as the main limitation. The majority of observations encountered slowly permeable moderately and poorly structured clay horizons from between 30 and 60cm depth. This causes drainage to be moderately impeded such that the classification is appropriate given the local climatic regime. Poorly drained soils can inhibit plant and root development, and may be more susceptible to structural damage through trafficking by machinery or poaching by grazing livestock.

#### 2 Climate

- The climatic criteria are considered first when classifying land as climate can be overriding in the sense that severe limitations will restrict land to low grades irrespective of favourable site or soil conditions
- 2 2 The main parameters used in the assessment of an overall climatic limitation are average annual rainfall as a measure of overall wetness and accumulated temperature as a measure of the relative warmth of a locality
- A detailed assessment of the prevailing climate was made by interpolation from a 5km gridpoint dataset (Met Office 1989). The details are given in the table below and these show that there is no overall climatic limitation affecting the site.
- 2 4 No local climatic factors such as exposure or frost risk are believed to affect the site. However climatic and soil factors interact to influence soil wetness and droughtiness limitations.

**Table 2 Climatic Interpolation** 

Grid Reference	SU510899
Altitude (m AOD)	80
Accumulated Temperature	1429
(days Jan June)	
Average Annual Rainfall (mm)	588
Field Capacity Days	124
Moisture deficit wheat (mm)	114
Moisture deficit potatoes (mm)	108
Overall Climatic Grade	1

## 3 Relief

The site lies at approximately 80m AOD rising slightly from south to north Nowhere on the site does relief or gradient affect agricultural land quality

## 4 Geology and Soils

- The published geological information (BGS 1971) shows the entire site to be underlain by Cretaceous Upper Greensand
- The published soils information (SSEW 1971) shows the entire site to be underlain by Harwell Series soils. These are briefly described as a loamy brown earth over grey fine sandstone siltstone or silty marl. (SSEW 1971). These soils are also included in the Harwell Association SSEW 1983) and are described as well drained loamy soils over sandstone and some similar soils with slight seasonal waterlogging. Shallow stony soils locally. Some slowly permeable seasonally waterlogged fine loamy or fine silty over clayey mainly on scarp slopes. (SSEW 1983). Soils over the site were commonly found to be fine silty and loamy over slowly permeable clay horizons at variable depths.

# 5 Agricultural Land Classification

- Paragraph 1 5 provides the details of the area measurements for each grade and the distribution of each grade is shown on the attached ALC map
- The location of the soil observation points are shown on the attached sample point map

## Subgrade 3a

5 3 Land of good quality has been mapped for the agricultural area at this site. The principal limitation is soil wetness due to impeded drainage although soil droughtiness becomes dominant towards the north of the site Typical profiles comprise a very slightly stony (up to c 5% v/v soft fine sandstone fragments) medium silty clay loam topsoil. In the majority of the observations, this passes to a commonly gleyed very slightly to slightly stony (up to 15% v/v fine sandstone) medium or heavy silty clay loam upper subsoil overlying stoneless poorly structured gleved and slowly permeable clay between 30 and 60cm depth. Within the local climatic parameters profiles of this nature are assigned to Wetness Class III and subsequent Subgrade 3a given the medium workability status of the topsoils These soil wetness factors lead to moderate restrictions on the versatility of the land principally in terms of the timing of cultivations and stocking if structural damage to the soil is to be avoided. Towards the north of the site observations became impenetrable to the soil auger around 65cm in a slightly stony (c 15% v/v fine sandstone) heavy silty clay loam subsoil beneath a medium silty

clay loam topsoil as above. This leads to a moderate soil droughtiness limitation within the local climate as the stones in the profile restrict plant water availability leading to the possibility of drought stress affecting plant growth and yield therefore Subgrade 3a is appropriate here as well

ADAS Reference 3303/149/94 MAFF Reference EL33/278 Resource Planning Team Guildford Statutory Group ADAS Reading

# **SOURCES OF REFERENCE**

British Geological Survey (1971) Sheet 253 Abingdon Drift Edition

MAFF (1988) Agricultural Land Classification of England and Wales Revised guidelines and criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land

Meteorological Office (1989) Climatic datasets for Agricultural Land Classification

Soil Survey of England and Wales (1971) Sheet 253 Abingdon Map scale 1 63 360

Soil Survey of England and Wales (1983) Sheet No 6 Soils of South East England 1 250 000 and Accompanying Legend

Soil Survey of England and Wales (1984) Soils and their use in South East England Bulletin No 15

## APPENDIX I

#### DESCRIPTION OF THE GRADES AND SUBGRADES

## Grade 1 Excellent Quality Agricultural Land

Land with no or very minor limitations to agricultural use. A very wide range of agricultural and horticultural crops can be grown and commonly includes top fruit soft fruit salad crops and winter harvested vegetables. Yields are high and less variable than on land of lower quality.

# Grade 2 Very Good Quality Agricultural Land

Land with minor limitations which affect crop yield cultivations or harvesting. A wide range of agricultural or horticultural crops can usually be grown but on some land of this grade there may be reduced flexibility due to difficulties with the production of the more demanding crops such as winter harvested vegetables and arable root crops. The level of yield is generally high but may be lower or more variable than Grade 1 land.

## Grade 3 Good to Moderate Quality Land

Land with moderate limitations which affect the choice of crops the timing and type of cultivation harvesting or the level of yield. When more demanding crops are grown yields are generally lower or more variable than on land in Grades 1 and 2

# Subgrade 3a Good Quality Agricultural Land

Land capable of consistently producing moderate to high yields of a narrow range of arable crops especially cereals or moderate yields of a wide range of crops including cereals grass oilseed rape potatoes sugar beet and the less demanding horticultural crops

## Subgrade 3b Moderate Quality Agricultural Land

Land capable of producing moderate yields of a narrow range of crops principally cereals and grass or lower yields of a wider range of crops or high yields of grass which can be grazed or harvested over most of the year

### Grade 4 Poor Quality Agricultural Land

Land with severe limitations which significantly restrict the range of crops and/or the level of yields. It is mainly suited to grass with occasional arable crops (e.g. cereals and forage crops) the yields of which are variable. In moist climates, yields of grass may be moderate to high but there may be difficulties in utilisation. The grade also includes very droughty arable land.

## Grade 5 Very Poor Quality Agricultural Land

Land with severe limitations which restrict use to permanent pasture or rough grazing except for occasional pioneer forage crops

#### Urban

Built up or hard uses with relatively little potential for a return to agriculture including housing industry commerce education transport religious buildings cemeteries. Also hard surfaced sports facilities permanent caravan sites and vacant land all types of derelict land including mineral workings which are only likely to be reclaimed using derelict land grants.

# Non agricultural

Soft uses where most of the land could be returned relatively easily to agriculture including private parkland public open spaces sports fields allotments and soft surfaced areas on airports. Also active mineral workings and refuse tips where restoration conditions to soft after uses may apply

#### Woodland

Includes commercial and non commercial woodland A distinction may be made as necessary between farm and non farm woodland

### Agricultural Buildings

Includes the normal range of agricultural buildings as well as other relatively permanent structures such as glasshouses Temporary structures (e.g. polythene tunnels erected for lambing) may be ignored

## Open Water

Includes lakes ponds and rivers as map scale permits

## Land Not Surveyed

Agricultural land which has not been surveyed

Where the land use includes more than one of the above e.g. buildings in large grounds and where map scale permits the cover types may be shown separately. Otherwise the most extensive cover type will be shown

# APPENDIX II

# **DEFINITION OF SOIL WETNESS CLASS**

#### Wetness Class I

The soil profile is not wet within 70 cm depth for more than 30 days in most years

#### Wetness Class II

The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for 31 90 days in most years or if there is no slowly permeable layer within 80 cm depth it is wet within 70 cm for more than 180 days but only wet within 40 cm depth for 31 90 days in most years

#### Wetness Class III

The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for 91 180 days in most years **or** if there is no slowly permeable layer present within 80 cm depth it is wet within 70 cm for more than 180 days but only wet within 40 cm depth for between 31 90 days in most years

#### Wetness Class IV

The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for more than 180 days but not wet within 40 cm depth fro more than 210 days in most years or if there is no slowly permeable layer present within 80 cm depth it is wet within 40 cm depth for 91 210 days in most years

#### Wetness Class V

The soil profile is wet within 40 cm depth for 211 335 days in most years

# Wetness Class VI

The soil profile is wet within 40 cm depth for more than 335 days in most years

# APPENDIX III

# SOIL PIT AND SOIL BORING DESCRIPTIONS

## Contents

Sample Point Map

Soil Abbreviations explanatory note

Database Printout soil pit information

Database Printout boring level information

Database Printout horizon level information

# SOIL PROFILE DESCRIPTIONS EXPLANATORY NOTE

Soil pit and auger boring information collected during ALC fieldwork is held on a database. This has commonly used notations and abbreviations as set out below

# **Boring Header Information**

1 GRID REF national grid square and 8 figure grid reference

\$\$ (FFF) 1171

2 USE Land use at the time of survey The following abbreviations are used

ARA Arable	WHT Wheat	BAR Barley
CER Cereals	OAT Oats	MZE Maize
OSR Oilseed rape	BEN Field Beans	BRA Brassicae
POT Potatoes	SBT Sugar Beet	FCD Fodder Crops
LIN Linseed	FRT Soft and Top Fruit	FLW Fallow
PGR Permanent P.	asture LEY Ley Grass	RGR Rough Grazing
SCR Scrub	CFW Coniferous Woodland	DCW Deciduous Wood
HTH Heathland	BOG Bog or Marsh	FLW Fallow
PLO Ploughed	SAS Set aside	OTH Other
HRT Horticultural	Crops	

**HRT** Horticultural Crops

- 3 GRDNT Gradient as measured by a hand held optical clinometer
- 4 GLEY/SPL Depth in cm to gleying or slowly permeable layers
- 5 AP (WHEAT/POTS) Crop adjusted available water capacity
- 6 MB (WHEAT/POTS) Moisture Balance
- 7 DRT Best grade according to soil droughtiness
- 8 If any of the following factors are considered significant an entry of Y will be entered in the relevant column

MREL Microrelief limitation	<b>FLOOD</b>	Flood risk	<b>EROSN</b>	Soil erosion risk
EXP Exposure limitation	<b>FROST</b>	Frost	DIST D	isturbed land
CHEM Chemical limitation				

LIMIT The main limitation to land quality. The following abbreviations are used

OC	Overall Climate	ΑE	Aspect	EX	Exposure
FR	Frost Risk	GR	Gradient	$\mathbf{M}\mathbf{R}$	Microrelief
FL	Flood Risk	TX	<b>Topsoil Texture</b>	DP	Soil Depth ST Topsoil Stones
CH	Chemical	WE	Wetness	WK	Workability
DR	Drought	ER	Erosion Risk	$\boldsymbol{W}\boldsymbol{D}$	Soil Wetness/Droughtiness

# Soil Pits and Auger Borings

1 **TEXTURE** soil texture classes are denoted by the following abbreviations

S Sand LS Loamy Sand SL Sandy Loam

SZL Sandy Silt Loam CL Clay Loam

ZCL Silty Clay Loam SCL Sandy Clay Loam

C Clay SC Sandy Clay ZC Silty Clay OL Organic Loam P Peat SP Sandy Peat LP Loamy Peat PL Peaty Loam PS Peaty Sand

MZ Marine Light Silts

For the sand loamy sand sandy loam and sandy silt loam classes the predominant size of sand fraction will be indicated by the use of prefixes

F Fine (more than 66% of the sand less than 0 2mm)

M Medium (less than 66% fine sand and less than 33% coarse sand)

C Coarse (more than 33% of the sand larger than 0 6mm)

The clay loam and silty clay loam classes will be sub divided according to the clay content M Medium (<27% clay) H Heavy (27 35% clay)

- 2 MOTTLE COL Mottle colour
- 3 MOTTLE ABUN Mottle abundance expressed as a percentage of the matrix or surface described

F few <2% C common 2 20% M many 20 40 VM very many 40%

- 4 MOTTLE CONT Mottle contrast
  - F faint indistinct mottles evident only on close inspection
  - D distinct mottles are readily seen
  - P prominent mottling is conspicuous and one of the outstanding features of the horizon
- 5 PED COL Ped face colour
- 6 STONE LITH One of the following is used

HR all hard rocks and stones SLST soft oolitic or dolimitic limestone

CH chalk FSST soft fine grained sandstone

ZR soft argillaceous or silty rocks GH gravel with non porous (hard) stones MSST soft medium grained sandstone GH gravel with non porous (hard) stones SI soft weathered igneous/metamorphic rock

Stone contents (>2cm >6cm and total) are given in percentages (by volume)

7 STRUCT the degree of development size and shape of soil peds are described using the following notation

<u>degree of development</u> WK weakly developed MD moderately developed ST strongly developed

ped size
ped shape
S single grain
M medium
C coarse
VC very coarse
GR granular AB angular blocky
SAB sub angular blocky
PR prismatic PL platy

8 CONSIST Soil consistence is described using the following notation

L loose VF very friable FR friable FM firm VM very firm EM extremely firm EH extremely hard

- 9 SUBS STR Subsoil structural condition recorded for the purpose of calculating profile droughtiness G good M moderate P poor
- 10 **POR** Soil porosity If a soil horizon has less than 0 5% biopores >0 5 mm a Y will appear in this column
- 11 IMP If the profile is impenetrable a Y will appear in this column at the appropriate horizon
- 12 SPL Slowly permeable layer If the soil horizon is slowly permeable a Y will appear in this column
- 13 CALC If the soil horizon is calcareous a Y will appear in this column
- 14 Other notations

APW available water capacity (in mm) adjusted for wheat APP available water capacity (in mm) adjusted for potatoes MBW moisture balance wheat MBP moisture balance potatoes

SOIL PIT DESCRIPTION

Site Name S OXON LP SITE 194A P t N mbe 1P

G d Refere ce SU51008990 A age A 1 Ra f 11 588 mm

Acc mulated Tempe at e 1429 deg ee day

F 1d Cpc ty Le 1 124 d ys

Land Use

Slope d A pect degree

HORI	ZON	TEXTURE	COLOUR	STONES	2	TOT STONE	LITH	MOTTLES	STRUCTURE	CONSIST	SUBSTRUCTURE	CALC
0	25	MZCL	25Y 41 00	0		5	FSST					
25	33	MZCL	25Y 42 00	0		15	FSST				М	
33	39	HZCL	05Y 53 00	0		15	FSST	С		FR	М	
39	60	С	05Y 53 00	0		0		М	WKCSAB	FM	м	
60	70	С	05Y 53 00	0		0		М	MDCAB	FM	Р	

Wet ss G de 3A Wetne C1 s III

Gley ng 33 cm SPL 39 cm

D ought Grade 3A APW 100mm MBW 14 mm

APP 114mm MBP 6mm

FINAL ALC GRADE 3A
MAIN LIMITATION W tness

J

	SAMPL	Ε.	ASPECT				WET	VESS	WHE	ΑT	P0	TS	М	REL	EROSN	FROST	CHEM	ALC	
ļ	NO	GRID REF	USE	GRDNT	GLEY	SPL	CLASS	GRADE	AP	MB	AP	MB	DRT	FLOOD	EX	P DIST	LIMIT		COMMENTS
_	1	SU51009000	STB		30		2	2	99	15	108	0	ЗА				DR	ЗА	IMPSANDST 65
	1P	SU51008990	STB		33	39	3	3A	100	14	114	6	3A				WE	3A	PIT 70 AUG 120
	2	SU51008990	STB		30	30	3	ЗА	134	20	113	5	2				WE	ЗА	SPL 30
	3	SU51008980	STB		33	60	3	ЗА	141	27	119	11	2				WE	ЗА	SPL 60

}

65

SAMPLE	DEPTH	TEXTURE		MOTTLES ABUN CON	PED T COL GLEY	2	STONES 6 LITH TO	STRUCT/ OT CONSIST		MP SPL CALC	
1	0 30	mzcl	25Y 42 00			n	0 FSST 5				
•	30 65	h cl	25Y 71 00 10YR6	8 00 M	Υ		0 FSST 15		М		IMP SAND/SILT ST
19	0 25	mzcl	25Y 41 00			0	0 FSST 5	5			
	25 33	mzcl	25Y 42 00			0	0 FSST 15	5	М		
	33 39	hzc1	05Y 53 00 10YR	58 00 C	Υ	0	0 FSST 15	5 (	R M		
	39 60	С	05Y 53 00 10YR	M 00 8	05Y 52 00 Y	0	0 0	WKCSAB	MM Y	Y	
	60 70	С	05Y 53 00 10YR	58 00 M	05Y 52 00 Y	0	0 (	) MDCAB	FMP Y	Y	
2	0 30	mzcl	25Y 41 00			0	0 FSST !	5			
	30 60	С	05Y 63 00 10YR	58 00 C	Υ	0	O FSST 10	)	М	Y	
	60 120	) с	05Y 63 00 10YR	58 00 M	Υ	0	0 (	)	Р	Y	
3	0 33	mzc1	25Y 41 00			0	O FSST	3			
	33 60	h c1	05Y 52 00 10YR	68 00 C	Υ	0	O FSST	3	М		
	60 120	) c	05Y 63 00 10YR	56 00 C	Y	0	0	3	P	Υ	