40/95

# South Somerset Local Plan Chard

**Agricultural Land Classification** 

Prepared for MAFF by H.C. Lloyd Jones
ADAS Statutory Unit
Bristol





# SOUTH SOMERSET LOCAL PLAN

# CHARD

# AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION

CONTENTS								
•			Page					
SUMM	IARY .		1					
1.	INTRODU	JCTION .	2					
2.	CLIMATE		2					
3.	RELIEF A	AND LANDCOVER	3					
4.	GEOLOG	Y AND SOILS	3					
<b>5</b> .	AGRICUL	TURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION	4					
APPEI	NDIX 1	References	6					
APPE	NDIX 2	Description of the grades and subgrades	7					
APPE	NDIX 3	Definition of Soil Wetness Classes	9					

#### SOUTH SOMERSET LOCAL PLAN

# **CHARD**

# AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION SURVEY

#### **SUMMARY**

The survey was carried out by ADAS on behalf of MAFF as part of its statutory role in the preparation of the South Somerset Local Plan. The fieldwork at Chard was completed in August, September and October 1995 at a scale of 1:10,000 and is added to the results of the 1993 survey on the accompanying map. Data on climate, soils, geology and from previous Agricultural Land Classification (ALC) Surveys was used and is presented in the report. Information is correct at this scale but could be misleading if enlarged.

Distribution of ALC grades: Chard

Grade	Area (ha)	% of Survey ' Area	<ul> <li>% of Agricultural Land (293.4ha)</li> </ul>
2	119.8	32.4	40.8
3a	119.4	32.3	40.7
3b	41.6	11.2	14.2
4	12.6	3.4	4.3
Urban	52.8	14.4	0.0
Non Agricultural	19.7	5.3	0.0
Agricultural Buildings	3.7	1.0	0.0
TOTAL	369.6	100.0	100.0

64.7% of the land surveyed has been mapped as "best and most versatile". Most of the Grade 2 land has a minor workability limitation, although there are some droughty profiles to the west of Chard. The rest of the land has moderate and severe wetness limitations for Subgrade 3a and 3b, and Grade 4 land respectively. The severity of the wetness limitation depends upon the combination of topsoil texture, the depth at which gleying starts, if it is present, and the depth to a slowly permeable layer in the subsoil.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

An Agricultural Land Classification (ALC) Survey was carried out in August, September and October 1995 at Chard, Somerset on behalf of MAFF as part of its statutory role in the preperation of the South Somerset Local Plan. The 1995 fieldwork covering 297ha of land was conducted by ADAS at a scale of 1:10,000 with approximately one boring per hectare of agricultural land. A total of 199 auger borings were examined and 14 soil profile pits used to assess subsoil conditions.

The published provisional one inch to the mile ALC map of this area (MAFF, 1974) shows the grade of the whole site at a reconnaissance scale. The land between Chardstock Lane and Cranway Farm, and around Crimchard is mapped as Grade 2. The rest of the land surrounding Chard is mapped as Grade 3, except for the shallow valleys near Chard Reservoir and the dismantled railway to the east of Chard which are mapped as Grade 4.

Much of the land around Chard was surveyed in 1979 and 1985. A few small sites were also resurveyed in 1983. An area around Holbear was re-surveyed in 1993 using the Revised Guidelines and Criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land (MAFF 1988). The current survey now completes the survey work surrounding Chard. The results of the 1993 survey are presented elsewhere (MAFF, 1993) and this report details the findings of the most recent work.

The 1993 and 1995 surveys supersede previous surveys having been carried out at a more detailed level and using the Revised Guidelines and Criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land (MAFF, 1988). These guidelines provide a framework for classifying land according to the extent to which its physical or chemical characteristics impose long-term limitations on agricultural use. The grading takes account of the top 120 cm of the soil profile. A description of the grades used in the ALC system can be found in Appendix 2.

#### 2. CLIMATE

The grade of the land is determined by the most limiting factor present. The overall climate is considered first because it can have an overriding influence on restricting land to a lower grade despite other favourable conditions.

Estimates of climatic variables were interpolated from the published agricultural climate dataset (Meteorological Office 1989). The parameters used for assessing overall climate are accumulated temperature, a measure of the relative warmth of a locality, and average annual rainfall, a measure of overall wetness. The results shown in Table 1 indicate there is an overall Grade 2 minor climatic limitation above 120 m. There is also a potentially significant change in Field Capacity Days (FCD) values at about 75-80 m where it changes from below to above 200 FCD.

Table 1:	Climatic	Interpolations:	Chard
----------	----------	-----------------	-------

Grid Reference		ST 324 096	ST 314 094	ST 336 082
Altitude (m)		120	176	85
<b>Accumulated Temperatur</b>	re (day °)	1447	1383	1486
Average Annual Rainfall		1055	1119	1018
Overall Climatic Grade	` ,	2	2	1
Field Capacity Days		210	220	203
Moisture deficit (mm):	Wheat	84	75	90
	Potatoes	72	59	79

#### Table 1 cont.

Grid Reference		ST 317 078	ST 338 095
Altitude (m)		163	75
Accumulated Temperature (d	iay °)	1398	1497
Average Annual Rainfall (mn	n) ¯	1105	995
Overall Climatic Grade		2	1
Field Capacity Days		217	199
	/heat	77	92
` ´ P	otatoes	` 62	81

Climatic data on FCD values and Moisture Deficits for wheat and potatoes are shown. These data are used in assessing the soil wetness and droughtiness limitations referred to in later sections.

#### 3. RELIEF AND LANDCOVER

The site covers land surrounding the town of Chard, Somerset. The land is all gently sloping, with gradients of less than 7°, and altitudes rise from 75 m Above Ordnance Datum (AOD) near Chard Reservoir to 180 m AOD above Newhouse Farm on the western side of the town. At the time of the survey the land was under permanent pasture, silage and grable cultivation.

#### 4. GEOLOGY AND SOILS

The geology of the site is shown on the published 1:50,000 scale drift geology map, sheet 311 (Institute of Geological Sciences, 1973). This shows that most of the town and the surrounding land is underlain by Upper Greensand. Two areas of chalk are mapped, one around the A358 and Chardstock Lane to the south of Chard and the other along the high ground to the west of the town. Lower Lias (shales and limestones) are mapped on the eastern edge of the town, from Glynswood round to the dismantled railway with a small deposit of valley gravel being shown near Fordham Grange.

The soils were mapped by the Soil Survey of England and Wates in 1983 at a reconnaissance scale of 1:250,000 splitting the site into three areas. The first runs from the new business park on the northern edge of the town around to the dismantled railway. These soils belong to the Wickham 2 Association and are described as slowly permeable, seasonally waterlogged fine loamy over clayey, fine silty over clayey and clayey soils. The higher ground to the west and south of Chard is mapped as being the Batcombe Association which are fine silty over clayey and fine loamy over clayey soils with slowly permeable subsoils and slight seasonal waterlogging. Some well drained soils occur over chalk and these are variably flinty. The rest of the site has soils from the Charity 1 Association. These are well drained fine silty over clayey soils, locally very flinty and some shallow over flint gravel.

The soils found during the current survey were similar to those described by the Soil Survey. Most of the profiles have medium clay loam and medium silty clay loam topsoils over clayey subsoils which are slowly permeable. There are also areas of well drained soils over both the flint deposits and chalk.

# 5. AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION

The distribution of ALC grades is shown in Table 2 and on the accompanying ALC map. This information could be misleading if shown at a larger scale.

Table 2: Distribution of ALC grades: Chard

Grade	Area (ha)	% of Survey Area`	% of Agricultural Land (293.4ha)
2.	119.8	32.4	40.8
3a	119.4	32.3	40.7
3b	41.6	11.2	14.2
4	12.6	3.4	4.3
Urban	52.8	14.4	0.0
Non Agricultural	19.7	5.3	0.0
Agricultural Buildings	3.7	1:0	0.0
TOTAL	369.6	· 100.0	100.0

#### Grade 2

The land in these mapping units have minor workability and drought limitations. The profiles to the west of Crimchard have medium clay loam topsoils over heavy clay loam and clay subsoils, which were deep and well drained. They were assessed as Wetness Class I (see Appendix 3). Stone contents by volume of 34%, 34-39% and 5% hard rock (flint) were found in the topsoil and upper and lower subsoils respectively which cause the drought limitation. The medium clay loam and medium silty clay loam topsoils of the other Grade 2 mapping units lead to the workability limitation with the relatively high local FCD values of 200-220.

#### Subgrade 3a

This land mainly suffers from moderate wetness limitations. The profiles have medium clay loam topsoils over heavier subsoils. The clay lower subsoils are slowly permeable layers which start above 75 cm but the profiles are not gleyed, because of the matrix colours, or are gleyed below 40 cm. They were therefore assessed as Wetness Class III. Some of the slowly permeable layers only just have a low porosity and the profiles are therefore close to being assessed as Wetness Class I and Grade 2. There is an area of profiles to the north of Crimchard which are well drained but suffer from a moderate drought limitation. This is due to the high stone contents by volume of 5%, 38%, 54% and 65% hard rocks (limestone) found in the topsoil and subsoils respectively.

#### Subgrade 3b

The Subgrade 3b mapping units consist of several different groups of profiles but they all have a moderate wetness limitation. Some of the profiles are similar to the wet Subgrade 3a profiles and were also assessed as Wetness Class III but they have heavy clay loam topsoils which cause the greater limitation. Other profiles are gleyed above 40 cm and have the slowly permeable layers starting above 60 cm so they were assessed as Wetness Class IV but they have medium clay loam and medium silty clay loam topsoils.

#### Grade 4

The small areas of land mapped as Grade 4 have a severe wetness limitation to their agricultural use. The profiles are very similar to those in the Subgrade 3b mapping units that were assessed as Wetness Class IV except that they have heavy clay loam topsoils with clay subsoils at shallower depths.

# Other land

Land mapped as urban includes gardens, roads, hard-core tracks and land which was in the process of being developed on the northern edge of Chard. The areas of non-agricultural land include sports fields, allotment gardens and areas of scrub while agricultural buildings have been mapped as such.

Resource Planning Team Taunton Statutory Unit October 1995

# **APPENDIX 1**

# **REFERENCES**

INSTITUTE OF GEOLOGICAL SCIENCES (1976) Drift Edition, Sheet 311, Wellington, 1:50,000.

MAFF (1974) Agricultural Land Classification Map, Sheet 177, Provisional 1:63,360 scale.

MAFF (1988) Agricultural Land Classification of England and Wales (Revised Guidelines and Criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land), Alnwick.

MAFF (1993) Agricultural Land Classification, South Somerset Local Plan, Chard, 1:10,000

METEOROLOGICAL OFFICE (1989) Climatological Data for Agricultural Land Classification.

SOIL SURVEY OF ENGLAND AND WALES (1983) Sheet 5, Soils of South West England, 1:250,000 scale.

#### **APPENDIX 2**

#### **DESCRIPTION OF GRADES AND SUBGRADES**

# Grade 1 - excellent quality agricultural land

Land with no or very minor limitations to agricultural use. A very wide range of agricultural and horticultural crops can be grown and commonly include top fruit, soft fruit, salad crops and winter harvested vegetables. Yields are high and less variable than on land of lower quality.

# Grade 2 - very good quality agricultural land

Land with minor limitations which affect crop yield, cultivations or harvesting. A wide range of agricultural and horticultural crops can usually be grown but on some land in the grade there may be reduced flexibility due to difficulties with the production of the more demanding crops such as winter harvested vegetables and arable root crops. The level of yield is generally high but may be lower or more variable than Grade 1.

# Grade 3 - good to moderate quality agricultural land

Land with moderate limitations which affect the choice of crops, timing and type of cultivation, harvesting or the level of yield. Where more demanding crops are grown yields are generally lower or more variable than on land in Grades 1 and 2.

# Subgrade 3a - good quality agricultural land

Land capable of consistently producing moderate to high yields of a narrow range of arable crops, especially cereals, or moderate yields of a wide range of crops including cereals, grass, oilseed rape, potatoes, sugar beet and the less demanding horticultural crops.

# Subgrade 3b - moderate quality agricultural land

Land capable of producing moderate yields of a narrow range of crops, principally cereals and grass, or lower yields of a wider range of crops or high yields of grass which can be grazed or harvested over most of the year.

# Grade 4 - poor quality agricultural land

Land with severe limitations which significantly restrict the range of crops and/or level of yields. It is mainly suited to grass with occasional arable crops (e.g. cereals and forage crops) the yields of which are variable. In most climates, yields of grass may be moderate to high but there may be difficulties in utilisation. The grade also includes very droughty arable land.

#### Grade 5 - very poor quality agricultural land

Land with very severe limitations which restrict use to permanent pasture or rough grazing, except for occasional pioneer forage crops.

#### Descriptions of other land categories used on ALC maps

#### Urban

Built-up or 'hard' uses with relatively little potential for a return to agriculture including: housing, industry, commerce, education, transport, religious buildings, cemeteries. Also, hard-surfaced sports facilities, permanent caravan sites and vacant land; all types of derelict land, including mineral workings which are only likely to be reclaimed using derelict land grants.

# Non-agricultural

'Soft' uses where most of the land could be returned relatively easily to agriculture, including: private park land, public open spaces, sports fields, allotments and soft-surfaced areas on airports/airfields. Also active mineral workings and refuse tips where restoration conditions to 'soft' after-uses may apply.

# Agricultural buildings

Includes the normal range of agricultural buildings as well as other relatively permanent structures such as glasshouses. Temporary structures (e.g. polythene tunnels erected for lambing) may be ignored.

# Open water

Includes lakes, ponds and rivers as map scale permits.

#### Land not surveyed

Agricultural land which has not been surveyed.

Where the land use includes more than one of the above landcover types, e.g. buildings in large grounds, and where may be shown separately. Otherwise, the most extensive cover type will usually be shown

Source: MAFF (1988) Agricultural Land Classification of England and Wales (Revised Guidelines and Criteria for Grading the Quality of Agricultural Land), Alnwick.

#### **APPENDIX 3**

#### **DEFINITION OF SOIL WETNESS CLASSES**

# Wetness Class I

The soil profile is not wet within 70 cm depth for more than 30 days in most years.

#### Wetness Class II

The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for 31-90 days in most years or, if there is no slowly permeable layer within 80 cm depth, it is wet within 70 cm for more than 90 days, but not wet within 40 cm depth for more than 30 days in most years.

# Wetness Class III

The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for 91-180 days in most years or, if there is no slowly permeable layer within 80 cm depth, it is wet within 70 cm for more than 180 days, but only wet within 40 cm depth for between 31 and 90 days in most years.

# Wetness Class IV

The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for more than 180 days but not within 40 cm depth for more than 210 days in most years or, if there is no slowly permeable layer within 80 cm depth, it is wet within 40 cm depth for 91-210 days in most years.

#### Wetness Class V

The soil profile is wet within 40 cm depth for 211-335 days in most years.

## Wetness Class VI

The soil profile is wet within 40 cm depth for more than 335 days in most years.

Notes: The number of days specified is not necessarily a continuous period. 'In most years' is defined as more than 10 out of 20 years.

Source: Hodgson, J M (in preparation), Soil Survey Field Handbook (revised edition).

SITE NAME PROFILE NO. SLO				SLOPE	AND ASPE	ECT	LAN	ND USE		Av Ra	ninfall:	1055 mm		PARENT MA	TERIAL	
Chard		Pit	1 (ASP 244)	2° East	•		Ley			ATO:		1447 day	°C	Upper Green Sand		
JOB NO.		DA	TE	GRID	REFERENC	E	DES	CRIBED B	Y	FC Da	ays:	: 210		SOIL SAMPLE REFERENCES		
40/95		12/	7/95	ST 333	076	HLJ/PB		/PB			itic Grade:	2		RPT/HLJ/167		
Horizon No.	Lowest Av. Depth (cm)	Texture	Matrix (Ped Face) Colours	Field N	rpe, and fethod	Mottling Abundance Contrast, Size and Colour	lance, Mangan est, Concs		Structure: Ped Developme Size and Shape		Consistence	Structural Condition	Pores (Fissures)	Roots: Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary: Distinctness and form
1	27	MSZL	10YR54	8% HR 13% I	R 72 cm (S) < 2cm (S+D) HR TOTAL	FFFGM 10YR63		None	-		-	•	Good	MF, VF	-	Clear Smooth
2	65	HCL	10YR54	30% HR	R > 2cm (S) < 2cm (S+D) HR TOTAL	CDFG, O 10YR63,		None	MMSA	В	Friable	Good	Good	CF, VF	-	Gradual Smooth
3	95 +	С	10YR54	40%	HR (VIS)	MDMON 75YR56		None	WCSAI	В	Friable	Moderate	Poor	FVF	-	<u>-</u>
Profile G	leyed Fron	n: Not g	leyed		Available \	Water W	Vheat:	1	16 mm			Final ALC	Grade:	3a		
Depth to Permeabl Wetness	e Horizon Class:	: 65 cı III 3a	n		Moisture I	Deficit W	Potatoes: 96 mm  Wheat: 84 mm  Potatoes: 72 mm		4 mm			Main Limit	ing Factor(	s): Wetness		
wetness	Grade:	38			Moisture E		Vheat: Potatoe		8 mm 4 mm					us SPL : colours may give good		istinguish
					Droughtine	2 (Calculated to 12)			0 cm)							

SITE NA	ME	PRO	FILE NO.	SLOPE	AND ASPI	ECT	LAN	ND USE		Av Ra	ainfall:	1018 mm		PARENT MATERIAL		
Chard		Pit 2	(ASP 295)	1° East			PGR	Ł		ATO:		1486 day	<b>°</b> C	Upper Green Sand		
JOB NO.		DA	ΓE	GRID I	REFERENC	E	DES	CRIBED B	Y	FC Da	ays:	203		SOIL SAMPLI	E REFEREN	CES
40/95		19/7	/95	ST 333	069		PRW/NAD				itic Grade:	1		RPT/NAD/247		
Horizon No.	Lowest Av. Depth (cm)	Texture	Matrix (Ped Face) Colours	Stoning Size, Ty Field M	pe, and	Mottling Abundance Contrast, Size and Colour	- 1	Mangan Concs	Structure: Ped Developm Size and Shape		Consistence	Structural Condition	Pores (Fissures)	Roots: Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary: Distinctness and form
1	25	MCL	10YR43		AL HR (VIS)	None		None	-		-	-	Good	MF + VF	None	Gradual Smooth
2	60	MCL	10YR44	8% HR	R > 2cm (s) < 2cm (S+D) IR TOTAL	None		None	MMAE	3	Friable	Moderate	Good	MF + VF	None	Clear Smooth
3	80	С	10YR46		IR TOTAL (S+D)	None		None	MCSAI	3	Friable	Moderate	Good	CF + VF	None	Abrupt Smooth
4	95 +	С	05YR46	5% HR 7	TOTAL (VIS)	None	į	Common	WCSAI	3	Friable	Moderate	Poor	FF	None	-
Profile G	leyed Fron	n: Not g	leyed		Available '	Water W	Vheat:	13	32 mm			Final ALC	Grade:	2		
Depth to Permeabl	e Horizon	: 80 cm	1		Moisture I	Deficit W	Potatoes: 105 mm  Wheat: 84 mm  Potatoes: 72 mm					Main Limit	ing Factor(	s): Workabilit	ty	
Wetness	Grade:	2			Maisture F							<u> </u>				<del>. ,</del>
					Moisture Balance Wheat: 48 mm  Remarks: Borderline WC1 due to SPL						PL starting ju	st at 80 cm.				
						•	otatoe		3 mm							
					Droughtin	ess Grade:	1	(Calc	ulated to 120	0 <b>cm)</b>						

SITE NA	МE	P	ROFILE NO.	SLOPE	AND ASPE	CT	LAND USE		Av	Rainfall:	1018 mm	1	PARENT MATERIAL		
Chard		Pi	it 3 (ASP 208)	1° Sou	th		Ley		AT	<b>'O</b> :	1486 day	°C	Upper Green Sand		
JOB NO.		D	ATE	GRID	REFERENC	E	DESCRIBED	BY	FC	Days:	203		SOIL SAMPLE REFERENCES		
40/95		19	9/7/95	ST 337	079	PRW/NAD				matic Grade: posure Grade:	1		RPT/PRW/136		
Horizon No.	Lowest Av. Depth (cm)	Textu	Matrix (Ped Face) Colours	Field N	pe, and lethod	Mottling Abundance Contrast, Size and Colour	e, Mangan Concs	Structure: Ped Developm Size and Shape		Consistence	Structural Condition	Pores (Fissures)	Roots: Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary: Distinctness and form
1	32	MCI	L 10YR33	5%>	> 2mm (S) 2mm (S+D) IR TOTAL	Common rusty roo channels i top 18cm	t None	-		•	-	Good	MF + VF	None	Gradual Smooth
2	65	HCI	10YR44	6% HR	TOTAL (VIS)	CDFO 7.5YR58	None 3	MCSA	.В	Friable	Moderate	Good	CF	None	Gradual Smooth
3	95 +	С	10YR53	1% HR	TOTAL (VIS)	CDFO 7.5YR58		MCSA (borderli AB and	ine	Friable	Moderate	Poor (just < 0.5% biopores)		None	-
Profile G	leyed Fron	n: 65	cm		Available \	Water W	heat:	139 mm			Final ALC	Grade:	3 <b>a</b>		
Wetness	e Horizon Class:	III			Moisture I	Deficit W	Potatoes: 112 mm  Wheat: 84 mm  Potatoes: 72 mm				Main Limi	ting Factor(	s): Wetness		
Wetness	Grade:	3a			Moisture E		Theat: Potatoes:	55 mm 40 mm			Remarks:	If no SPL d Grade 3a.	at 65 cm then \	Wetness Class	II due to
					Droughtin	ess Grade:	1 (Ca	lculated to 12	20 cm)	)	1				

SITE NA	ME	PRO	FILE NO.	SLOPE	E AND ASPI	ECT	LAN	ND USE		Av Rainfall:	1105 mm	1	PARENT MA	TERIAL		
Chard		Pit 4	(ASP 205)	1º East	1		Whe	eat		ATO:	1398 day	°C	Upper Green Sand			
JOB NO.		DAT	TE	GRID :	REFERENC	E	DES	SCRIBED B	Y	FC Days:	217		SOIL SAMPL	E REFEREN	CES	
40/95		19/7	/95	ST 319	079		NAD/PRW			Climatic Grade: Exposure Grade:	2	:	RPT/PRW/137			
Horizon No.	Lowest Av. Depth (cm)	Texture	Matrix (Ped Face) Colours	Stoning Size, Ty Field N	ype, and	Mottling Abundanc Contrast, Size and Colour	-	Mangan Concs	Structure: Ped Developme Size and Shape		Structural Condition	Pores (Fissures)	Roots: Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary: Distinctness and form	
1	25	10YR43	MZCL	2% HR	TOTAL (VIS)	None		None	-	-	-	Good	MF + VF	-	Clear Wavy	
2	45	10YR44	MCL.	1	HR TOTAL (VIS)	l None		None	MCSAE (estimate		Moderate	Good	CF + VF	-	Gradual Smooth	
3	80 +	75YR46	HZCL		HR TOTAL (VIS)	None			MCSAI (estimate		Moderate	Poor	CV + VF	-	-	
Profile G	leyed Fron	n: Not g	leyed		Available	Water W	Wheat: 143 mm				Final ALC	Grade:	2			
Wetness	e Horizon Class:	I	PL		Moisture I	Deficit W	Potatoes: 112 mm  Wheat: 84 mm  Potatoes: 72 mm		4 mm		Main Limit	ting Factor(	s): Workabili	ty		
Wetness	Grade:	2			Moisture E		Vheat: Potato		9 mm 0 mm		Remarks:	Pit dug to	80cm			
					Droughtin		1 (Calculated to 12		ulated to 120	cm)						

SITE NA	ME	PRO	FILE NO.	SLOPE	AND ASPE	ECT	LAND U	JSE		Av Rainfall:	1055 mm	1	PARENT MATERIAL			
Chard		Pit 5	(ASP 26)	0°			Bare Pate	ch in B	eans	ATO:	1447 day	°C	Upper Green S	Sand		
JOB NO.		DA1	E	GRID I	REFERENC	E	DESCRI	BED B	Y	FC Days:	210		SOIL SAMPLE REFERENCES			
40/95		19/7	/95	ST 320	097	!	PB/GMS			Climatic Grade:	2		RPT/PB/298	RPT/PR/298		
										Exposure Grade	: 1			14 1/1 2/2/0		
Horizon No.	Lowest Av. Depth (cm)	Texture	Matrix (Ped Face) Colours	Field M	pe, and lethod	Mottling Abundance Contrast, Size and Colour	e, Man Cond		Structure: Ped Developme Size and Shape		Structural	Pores (Fissures)	Roots: Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary: Distinctness and form	
1	24	MCL	10YR43	4½% 5% TOT	> 2cm HR > 2mm HR AL HR (S+D)	None	No	one	•	-	-	Good	None	-	Abrupt Smooth	
2	40	HCL	10YR54	18% I 38% I	> 2cm HR > 2mm HR OTAL HR S+D)	None	No	one	WMAB	Friable	М	Low well	FVF	-	Gradual Smooth	
3	60	c	10YR46	14% 2 54% T	> 2cm HR > 2mm HR OTAL HR (S+D)	None	No	one	WCSAE	Friable	М	Low well fissured	FVF	-	Gradual Smooth	
4	80 +	С	7.5YR56	20% 2 65% T	> 2cm HR > 2mm HR OTAL HR (S+D)	None	Con	nmon	WCSAE	3 Friable	М	Low well	None	-	-	
Profile Gi	eyed Fron	ı: Not g	eyed	•	Available \	Water W	/heat:	88	3 mm		Final ALC	Grade:	3a			
Depth to Permeable	Horizon:		L		Moisture D		Potatoes: Theat:		) mm I mm		Main Limiting Factor		s): Drought			
Wetness (		I				P	otatoes:	72	2 mm							
Wetness (	Grade:	2			Moisture B	talanca II.	/heat:	ı.	4 mm							
					ivioisture D						Remarks:					
						otatoes:	+	8 mm								
					Droughtiness Grade:		3a	(Calcu	ılated to 120	cm)						

SITE NA	ME	PRC	FILE NO.	SLOPE	AND ASPE	ECT	LAND USE			Av Rainfall:	995 mm		PARENT MATERIAL			
Chard		Pit 6	(ASP 66)	3° Nort	th East		PGR			ATO:	1497 day	<sup>,</sup> ℃	Upper Green Sand			
JOB NO.		DA?	TE	GRID I	REFERENC	E	DESCRI	IBED B	Y	FC Days:	199	199		SOIL SAMPLE REFERENCES		
40/95		20/7	//95	ST 338	093	,	PB/GMS			Climatic Grade			RPT/PB/299			
Horizon No.	Lowest Av. Depth (cm)	Texture	Matrix (Ped Face) Colours	Stonine Size,Ty Field M	vpe, and	Mottling Abundance Contrast, Size and Colour	e, Mar Con	ngan ICS	Structure: Ped Developme Size and Shape	Exposure Grade	Structural	Pores (Fissures)	Roots: Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary: Distinctness and form	
1	20	FSZL	loYR42	(109 7%> 27% T	> 2cm HR % > 6cm) > 2mm HR FOTAL HR (S+D)	CDMO 10YR56	t t	lone	-	•	-	Good	MVF	-	Gradual Smooth	
2	46	SCL	10YR73		FOTAL HR Visual)	MDMG( 10YR56,6			WMSAI	B Friable	Good	Low	CF	-	Clear Wavy	
3	80 +	C (with SL lenses)	10YR62		POTAL HR Visual)	MDMOG 10YR68,6		lone	WCSAI	3 Friable	Moderate	Low (Fissures round stones)	FF	-	•	
Profile G	leyed Fron	n: Surfa	œ		Available '	Water W	/heat:	11	16 mm		Final ALC	Grade:	3b			
	e Horizon		1		Moisture I		Potatoes: 95 mm  Wheat: 84 mm				Main Limiting Fac		s): Wetness/te	op soil stones		
Wetness		IV				P	otatoes:	73	2 mm							
Wetness (	Grade:	3 <b>b</b>			Moisture F	Balance W	/heat:	+	32 mm		Remarks:	# Dec do	:	n at ton of IT?	<del></del>	
					Potatoes: + 25 mm						Remarks:	* Pregom	inantly ochreous	s at top of H3		
					Droughtin	ess Grade:	1	(Calc	ulated to 120	) cm)						

SITE NA				SLOPE	AND ASPI	ECT	LAND U	JSE		Av Rainfall:	1018 mm		PARENT MA	TERIAL	
Chard		Pit	7 (ASP 88)	2º Nort	th East		PGR			ATO:	1486 day	°C	Upper Green S	Sand	
JOB NO.		DA	TE	GRID	REFERENC	E	DESCRI	IBED B	Y	FC Days:	203		SOIL SAMPL	E REFEREN	CES
40/95		20/	7/95	ST 337	090		PB/GMS			Climatic Grade: Exposure Grade:	1		RPT/HLJ/170		
Horizon No.	lo. Av. Texture (Ped Face) Size Colours Field					Mottling Abundanc Contrast, Size and Colour	e, Man Con	ngan ncs	Structure: Ped Developme Size and Shape		Structural Condition	Pores (Fissures)	Roots: Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary: Distinctness and form
i	30 MCI 10VP42 9					6 > 2cm HR > 2mm HR TOTAL HR CRR to 15cm		lone	-	-	-	Good	MF, VF	-	Gradual Smooth
2	65 MCI 10VR53 13				> 2cm HR > 2nm HR FOTAL HR	FFFO	Con	mmon	MCSAE	Friable	М	Poor	CF, VF	_	Gradual Smooth
3	110 +	SCL	2.5YR54	4% H	IR (Visual)	CDFO 75YR56		Few	WCAB	Friable	М	Poor	FVF	-	-
Profile G	leyed Fron	n: Not	gleyed		Available	Water W	/heat:	14	11 mm		Final ALC	Grade:	2		
Wetness	e Horizon Class:	I	SPL		Moisture I	Deficit W	Potatoes: 103 mm  Wheat: 85 mm  Potatoes: 72 mm				Main Limit	ing Factor(	s): Workabilit	ty	
Wetness	Grade:	2			Moisture Balance Wheat: + 56 mm						Remarks:	·			
					Potatoes: + 31 mm  Droughtiness Grade: 1 (Calculated to 12)				cm)						

SITE NA			OFILE NO.	SLOPE	E AND ASPE	ECT	LA	ND USE		A	v Rainfall:	1055 mm	,	PARENT MA	TERIAL	
Chard		Pit	8 (ASP 18)	0°		:	Bea	ans		A	ATO:	1447 day	°C	Lower Lias (SI	hales, Limest	one)
JOB NO.		DA	TE	GRID	REFERENC	E	DE	SCRIBED B	Y	F	C Days:	210		SOIL SAMPL	E REFEREN	CES
40/95		1/8	/95	ST 326	998	н		J	•		Climatic Grade: Exposure Grade:	2		RPT/HLJ/171		
Depth (cm)		Texture	Matrix (Ped Face) Colours	Stoning Size,Ty Field N	pe, and	Mottling Abundance Contrast, Size and Colour	æ,	Mangan Concs	Structure: Ped Developme Size and Shape			Structural Condition	Pores (Fissures)	Roots: Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary: Distinctness and form
1 22 MZCL			10YR44		HR TOTAL (VIS)	FDVFO (10YR66		None	-		<b>-</b>	-	Good	MF	-	Clear Smooth
2	40 HCL 10YR53 5% H			5% HR	TOTAL (VIS)	CDFO (10YR66		None	МСАВ	3	Friable	Moderate	Good	CF + VF	-	Clear Smooth
3	70 +	С	7.5YR54	5% HR	TOTAL (VIS)	CDFO, 0 (7.5YR5 10YR73	6	None	WCSA	В	Firm	Poor	Poor	FVF	-	-
Profile G	leyed Fron	n: 22 c	n		Available \	Water W	Vheat	t: 1:	24 mm			Final ALC	Grade:	3b		
Permeabl	Depth to Slowly Permeable Horizon: 40 cm  Wetness Class: IV					Deficit W	Potatoes: 102 mm  Wheat: 84 mm  Potatoes: 72 mm					Main Limit	ing Factor(	s): Wetness		
Wetness Grade: 3b					Moisture Balance V			Wheat: 40 mm			:	Remarks:				<del>.</del> .
					Droughtine		Potato 1		0 mm ulated to 120	0 cn	m)			•		

SITE NA	ME	PRO	FILE NO.	SLOPE	AND ASPE	СТ	LAND USE		Av Rainfall:	995 mm		PARENT MA	TERIAL	····-
Chard		Pit 9	(ASP 91)	0°			PGR		ATO:	1497 day	•C	Lower Lias (S	hales, Limest	one)
JOB NO.		DA1	ΠE	GRID I	REFERENC	E	DESCRIBED	BY	FC Days:	199		SOIL SAMPL	E REFEREN	CES
40/95		1/8/9	95	ST 340	090		HLJ		Climatic Grade: Exposure Grade:	1	;	RPT/HLJ/172		
Horizon No.	Lowest Av. Depth (cm)	Texture	Matrix (Ped Face) Colours	Stoning Size, Ty Field N	pe, and	Mottling Abundance Contrast, Size and Colour	e, Mangan Concs	Structure: Ped Developm Size and Shape		Structural Condition	Pores (Fissures)	Roots: Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary: Distinctness and form
1	1 28 HCL 10YR42				TOTAL (VIS)	CDFO (10YR56		-	<u>-</u>	•	Good	CF + VF	_	Clear Smooth
2	42 HCL 10YR52 109				IR TOTAL (VIS)	CDFO (10YR56		WCSA	B Friable	Moderate	Good	FF + VF	-	Gradual Smooth
3	65 +	c	10YR52	5% HR 1	TOTAL (VIS)	CDMO + 10YR56,0		WCAE	3 Firm	Poor	Poor	FVF	<u>-</u>	-
Profile Gi	leyed Fron	n: Surfac	æ		Available \	Water W	/heat:	125 mm		Final ALC	Grade:	4		
Wetness (	e Horizon Class:	lV	ı		Moisture D	Deficit W	/heat:	103 mm 84 mm 72 mm		Main Limiting Factor(s): Wetness				
Wetness (	Grade:	4.			Moisture Balance Wheat: 41 mm  Remarks: Augured to 100cm									
								31 mm						
•					Droughtine	ess Grade:	1 (Ca	culated to 12	0 cm)					

SITE NA				ILE NO.	SLOPE	AND ASP	ECT	LAND USE		Av Rainfall:	1105 mm	1	PARENT MA	TERIAL	
Chard		]	Pit 10	(ASP 253)	3° Nor	th		Wheat		ATO:	1398 day	, °C	Chalk		
JOB NO.		1	DATI	E	GRID	REFERENC	E ·	DESCRIBED	ВҮ	FC Days:	217		SOIL SAMPL	E REFEREN	CES
40/95			2/8/95	5	ST 321	075		HILJ		Climatic Grade: Exposure Grade:	2		RPT/HLJ/173		
Horizon No.	No. Av. To Depth (cm)			Matrix (Ped Face) Colours	Stoning Size,Ty Field M	pe, and	Mottling Abundance Contrast, Size and Colour	ee, Mangan Concs	Structure: Pcd Developm Size and Shape		Structural Condition	Pores (Fissures)	Roots: Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary: Distinctness and form
1	27		CL	10YR54		HR TOTAL (VIS)	None	None	-	-	-	Good	CF + VF	-	Clear Smooth
2	70 +	C	C 10YR46			IR TOTAL (VIS)	CDFO 10YR66	I	WCAE	3 Firm	Poor	Poor	CF + VF (decreasing to FVF)	-	-
Profile G	leyed Fror	n: N	lot gle	yed		Available	Water W	Vheat:	1 16 mm		Final ALC	Grade:	3 <b>b</b>		
Depth to Permeabl	e Horizon	: 27 III	7 cm			Moisture D	Peficit W	Vheat:	95 mm 34 mm 72 mm		Main Limi	ting Factor(s	s): Wetness		
Wetness	Wetness Grade: 3b					Moisture E			32 mm		Remarks:	marks: Dug to 70 cm, augured to 110 cm.			
							F	Potatoes: 23 mm			Acidans.	Dug to 70	om, augurou to	TIO CILL	
						Droughtin	ess Grade:	l (Cal	culated to 12	0 cm)					

RPT38.afs

SITE NA	ME	PRC	FILE NO.	SLOPE	AND ASPI	ECT	LAND USE		Av Rainfall:	1119 mm		PARENT MA	TERIAL		
Chard		Pit 1	11 (ASP 47)	4° East			PGR		ATO:	1383 day	<b>°</b> C	Upper Green S	Sand		
JOB NO.		DA	TÉ	GRID I	REFERENC	E	DESCRIBE	BY	FC Days:	220		SOIL SAMPL	E REFEREN	CES	
40/95		2/8/	95	ST 315	094		HLJ		Climatic Grade:	2		RPT/HLJ/174			
Horizon No.	1		Matrix (Ped Face) Colours	Stonine Size, Ty Field M	pe, and	Mottling Abundance Contrast, Size and Colour	e, Mangan Concs	Structure: Ped Developm Size and Shape		Structural Condition	Pores (Fissures)	Roots: Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary: Distinctness and form	
1	15	MCL	10YR43	24% HR 34% I	R > 2cm (S) < 2cm (S+D) HR TOTAL	None	None	-	-	-	Good	MF + VF		Clear Smooth	
2 50 N		MCL	10YR44	15% HR > 2cm (S) 19% HR < 2cm (S+D) 34% HR TOTAL		None	None	WCSA	B Firm	Moderate	Good	CF + VF	-	Gradual Smooth	
3	88	HCL	10YR54	34% HR	R > 2cm (S) < 2cm (S+D) IR TOTAL	FFFO (10YR66	Few 5)	WCA	3 Firm	Poor	Good	FF	-	Clear Smooth	
4	95 +	C	10YR46	5% HR 7	TOTAL (VIS)	FFFG (10YR62	None	WCSA	B Firm	Moderate	Poor	FVF	-	-	
Profile G	leyed Fron	n: Not g	leyed		Available	Water W	/heat:	98 mm		Final ALC	Grade:	2			
Depth to Permeabl		: 88 cn	ı		Moisture I		Potatoes: /heat:	72 mm 84 mm		Main Limi	ting Factor(	s): Workabili	ty and Droug	ht	
Wetness Class: I							otatoes:								
Wetness Grade: 2															
					Moisture Balance Wheat: 14 mm					Remarks: H4 porosity is borderline; overall just poor.					
						P	otatoes:	0 mm		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				•	
				:	Droughtin	ess Grade:	2 (C	alculated to 12	0 cm)						

SITE NA	ME	PR	OFILE NO.	SLOPE	AND ASPE	ECT	LA	ND USE	<u> </u>	Av Rair	nfall:	 1105 mm	l	PARENT MA	TERIAL	
Chard		Pit	12 (ASP 190)	2° East	t		Plot	ughed		АТО:		1398 day	°C	Chalk		
JOB NO.		DA	TE	GRID	REFERENC	E	DES	SCRIBED B	Y	FC Day	<b>'</b> S:	217		SOIL SAMPL	E REFEREN	CES
40/95		2/8	/95	ST 314	080		HL	J			c Grade:	2		RPT/HLJ/175		
Horizon No.			Matrix (Ped Face) Colours	Field N	pe, and lethod	Mottling Abundanc Contrast, Size and Colour	ce,	Mangan Concs	Structure: Ped Developme Size and Shape		nsistence	Structural Condition	Pores (Fissures)	Roots: Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary: Distinctness and form
1	1 30 MCL 10YR44			9% HR	IR 72 cm (S) S < 2cm (S+D) HR TOTAL None		None		-		-	<u>.</u>	Good	FF + VF	-	Clear Smooth
2	65 HCH 7.5VD46 8%		8% HR	IR > 2m (S) < 2cm (S+D) IR TOTAL	FDFO, (		Few	WCSAE	3 1	Friable	Moderate	Good	FVF	•	Clear Smooth	
3	90 +	С	7.5YR56		HR TOTAL (VIS)	CDMO, (10YR66,		Many	WCSAE	3	Firm	Poor	Poor	None	-	-
Profile G	leyed Fron	n: Not	gleyed		Available '	Water W	Vheat	: 1	19 mm			Final ALC	Grade:	3a		
Depth to Permeabl	e Horizon	: 65 ci	n		Moisture I	Deficit W	Potato Vheat Potato	:: 84	9 mm 4 mm 2 mm			Main Limit	ing Factor(	s): Wetness		
Wetness (	Wetness Grade: 3a				Moisture E		rotato Vheat:		2 mm							
					Ivioistuic L		Potato		7 mm			Remarks:				
						Droughtiness Grade:			ulated to 120	cm)						

RPT38.afs

SITE NA	ME	P	PROF	ILE NO.	SLOPE	AND ASPE	CT	LANI	D USE		Av Rainfall:	1018 mm	<u> </u>	PARENT MA	TERIAL	
Chard		P	Pit 13	(ASP 126)	2° Sout	th		PGR			ATO:	1486 day	°C	Upper Green S	Sand	
JOB NO.		Г	DATE	3	GRID I	REFERENCI	E	DESC	CRIBED B	Y	FC Days:	203		SOIL SAMPL	E REFEREN	CES
40/95		3	3/8/95	;	ST 337 086			HLJ			Climatic Grade: Exposure Grade:	1		RPT/HLJ/176		
Horizon No.					Field M	rpe, and fethod	Mottling Abundance Contrast, Size and Colour	, I	Mangan Concs	Structure: Ped Developme Size and Shape		Structural Condition	Pores (Fissures)	Roots: Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary: Distinctness and form
1	23 MCL 10YR44 13%					IR > 2cm (s) R < 2cm (S+D) HR TOTAL		None		-	-	-	Good	MF + VF	-	Clear Smooth
2	65 NGI 75VD44 9%1			9% HR	R > 2cm (S) < 2cm (S+D) HR TOTAL	None		None	MCSAB	Firm	Moderate	Good	FF + VF	-	Abrupt Smooth	
3	90 +	MC	L	7.5YR54	17% HR	R > 2cm (S) R < 2cm (S+D) HR TOTAL None			None	MCSAB	Firm	Moderate	Good	FVF	-	-
Profile G	leyed Fron	n: No	ot gle	yed		Available \	Water W	/heat:	12	29 mm		Final ALC	Grade:	2		
Depth to Permeabl	e Horizon	: No	ot SPI	L		Moisture D		otatoes /heat:	84	7 mm 4 mm		Main Limit	ing Factor(	s): Wetness		
Wetness	Grade:	2						otatoes		2 mm						
						Moisture B		/heat:		5 mm		Remarks:				
							P	otatoes	s: 2:	5 mm						
						Droughtine	ess Grade:	1	(Calc	ulated to 120	cm)					

SITE NA	ME	PR	OFILE NO.	SLOPE	AND ASPE	ECT	LAND I	USE		Av Rainfall:	1105 mm	1	PARENT MA	TERIAL	
Chard		Pit	14 (ASP 155)	3° East	i	·	Wheat			ATO:	1398 day	• <b>°</b> C	Chalk		
JOB NO.		DA	TE	GRID	REFERENC	E	DESCR	IBED B	Υ	FC Days:	217		SOIL SAMPL	E REFEREN	CES
40/95		3/8	/95	ST 314	083		HLJ			Climatic Grade			None		
Horizon No.	i i		Matrix (Ped Face) Colours	Field N	ype, and Method	Mottling Abundanc Contrast, Size and Colour	re, Mai	ngan ncs	Structure: Ped Developme Size and Shape		Structural	Pores (Fissures)	Roots: Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary: Distinctness and form
1 22		MCL	10YR43	16% HR	IR > 2cm (s) 2 < 2cm (S+D) 4R TOTAL	None	N	None	: :	-	-	Good	CF + VF	_	Clear Smooth
2	2 65 HCL 10YR44		10YR44	11% HR	IR > 2cm (s) L < 2cm (S+D) HR TOTAL	FFFO (10YR66	1	Few	WCSAE	3 Friable	Moderate	Good	FVF	-	Clear Smooth
3	90 +	С	10YR46		HR TOTAL CDF(VIS) (10YR			Few	WCSAE	3 Firm	Moderate	Poor	FVF	-	-
Profile G	leyed Fron	n: Not	gleyed		Available '	Water W	/heat:	1	14 mm		Final ALC	Grade:	3a		
	e Horizon	: 65 III			Moisture E		otatoes: Vheat:		8 mm 4 mm		Main Limi	ting Factor(	s): Wetness		
	Wetness Class: III Wetness Grade: 3a					P	otatoes:	7:	2 mm						
weiness					Moisture E	Balance W	/heat:	30	0 mm		D				<u> </u>
						P	Potatoes: 16 mm				Remarks:				
					Droughtine	1 (Calculated to 12)			em)						