11/98

8FC56359C

BIXHEAD WALK, BROADWELL, COLEFORD

AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION SURVEY

| CONTENTS | | Page |
|--------------|---|----------------|
| INTRODUCTIO | N | 1 |
| SUMMARY | | 1 |
| CLIMATE | | 2 |
| RELIEF | | 2 |
| GEOLOGY ANI | D SOILS | 2 |
| AGRICULTURA | L LAND CLASSIFICATION AND MAP | 3 |
| REFERENCES | | 4 |
| APPENDIX I | Description of the Grades and Subgrades | 5 |
| APPENDIX II | Definition of Soil Wetness Classes | 7 |
| APPENDIX III | Survey Data: | 8 |
| | Sample Point Location Map | |
| | Boring Profile Data | |
| | Boring Horizon Data | |
| | Abbreviations and Terms used | in Survey Data |

BIXHEAD WALK, BROADWELL, COLEFORD

AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION SURVEY

INTRODUCTION

1. This report presents the findings of a semi-detailed Agricultural Land Classification (ALC) survey of 5.0 ha of land on Bixhead Walk, Broadwell, Coleford. Field survey was based on 5 auger borings, and was completed in February 1998.

2. The survey was conducted by the Resource Planning Team of FRCA Western Region on behalf of MAFF in its statutory role in the preparation of Forest of Dean Local Plan.

3. Information on climate, geology and soils, and from previous ALC surveys was considered and is presented in the relevant section. Apart from the published regional ALC map (MAFF, 1977), which shows the site at a reconnaissance scale as being non-agricultural, with Grade 3 to the west, the site had not been surveyed previously. However, the current survey uses the Revised Guidelines and Criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land (MAFF, 1988) and therefore supersedes any previous ALC survey. Grade descriptions are summarised in Appendix I.

4. Land on the western side of Broadwell was surveyed in January 1998 (FRCA, 1998). Most of the area surveyed was shown as Subgrade 3a although this includes many scattered borings which were found to be Grade 2 but which did not comprise a robust mapping unit. Soil profile pits which were examined showed the area to have moderate limitations due to wetness, droughtiness and soil depth. Due to the similarity between the previous site and the current site the soil profile pit descriptions have been taken into account during the current grading.

5. At the time of survey land cover was grass for grazing.

SUMMARY

6. The distribution of ALC grades is shown on the accompanying 1: 10 000 scale ALC map. The detail of information shown at this scale is appropriate to the intensity of field survey but could be misleading if enlarged or applied to small areas. Areas are summarised in the Table 1.

| Grade | Area (ha) | % Surveyed Area (5.0 ha) | |
|-----------------------|-----------|--------------------------|--|
| | 5.0 | 100 | |
| 3a Total site area | 5.0 | 100 | |

Table 1: Distribution of ALC grades: Bixhead Walk, Broadwell

7. This shows that the whole of the current site is found to be best and most versatile with either minor or moderate limitations due to droughtiness.

CLIMATE

8. Estimates of climatic variables for this site were derived from the published agricultural climate dataset "Climatological Data for Agricultural Land Classification" (Meteorological Office, 1989) using standard interpolation procedures. Data for key points around the site are given in Table 2 below.

9. Since the ALC grade of land is determined by the most limiting factor present, overall climate is considered first because it can have an overriding influence by restricting land to a lower grade despite more favourable site and soil conditions. Parameters used for assessing overall climate are accumulated temperature, a measure of relative warmth and average annual rainfall, a measure of overall wetness. The results shown in Table 2 indicate that there is an overall climatic limitation which limits the land to Grade 2.

10. Climatic variables also affect ALC grade through interactions with soil conditions. The most important interactive variables are Field Capacity Days (FCD) which are used in assessing soil wetness and potential Moisture Deficits calculated for wheat and potatoes, which are compared with the moisture available in each profile in assessing soil droughtiness limitations. These are described in later sections.

| Grid Reference | SO 591 108 | |
|----------------------------------|------------|--|
| Altitude (m) | 215 | |
| Accumulated Temperature (day °C) | 1287 | |
| Average Annual Rainfall (mm) | 1000 | |
| Overall Climatic Grade | 2 | |
| Field Capacity Days | 212 | |
| Moisture deficit (mm): Wheat | 69 | |
| Potatoes | 50 | |

Table 2: Climatic Interpolations: Bixhead Walk, Broadwell

RELIEF

10. Altitude ranges from 210 metres at Bixhead Walk to 215 metres in the centre of the site with gently sloping gradients which do not cause a limitation.

GEOLOGY AND SOILS

11. The underlying geology of the site is shown on the published geology map (IGS, 1974) as Upper Coal Measures Pennant Sandstone and this was confirmed by the current survey.

12. Soils were mapped by the Soil Survey of England and Wales at a reconnaissance scale of 1: 250 000 (SSEW, 1983) as belonging to the Neath Association which is described as comprising well drained fine loamy soils often over rock. Small patches of similar soils with

slowly permeable subsoils and slight seasonal waterlogging may also exist. This description was entirely borne out by the findings of the current survey.

AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION

13. The distribution of ALC grades found by the current survey is shown on the accompanying 1: 10 000 scale map and areas are summarised in Table 1. The detail of information shown at this scale is appropriate to the intensity of field survey but could be misleading if enlarged or applied to small areas.

Subgrade 3a

14. The whole of the current survey area has been mapped as Subgrade 3a. The profiles were found to have medium clay loam and medium sandy silt loam topsoils with the borings being impenetrable at depths of 35-45 cm. Soil profile pits 3,4 and 6 of the earlier 1998 survey nearby (FRCA, 1988) found mainly minor and moderate drought limitations with some wetness in isolated patches. These are taken to represent the current survey area, so that this is also shown as Subgrade 3a.

H Lloyd Jones Resource Planning Team FRCA Bristol March 1998

REFERENCES

FRCA RESOURCE PLANNING TEAM, (1998) Agricultural Land Classification Survey of Coleford Scale 1: 10 000, Reference 82/97, FRCA Bristol.

INSTITUTE OF GEOLOGICAL SCIENCES (1974) Sheet 233, Monmouth 1:50 000 series Solid and Drift edition. IGS, London.

HODGSON, J M (Ed) (1997) Soil Survey Field Handbook. Soil Survey Technical Monograph No 5. SSLRC, Cranfield University.

MAFF (1977) 1:250 000 series Agricultural Land Classification, South West Region. MAFF Publications, Alnwick.

MAFF (1988) Agricultural Land Classification of England and Wales. Revised Guidelines and Criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land. MAFF Publications, Alnwick.

METEOROLOGICAL OFFICE (1989) Climatological Data for Agricultural Land Classification. Meteorological Office, Bracknell.

SOIL SURVEY OF ENGLAND AND WALES (1983) Sheet 5, Soils of South West England, 1:250 000 scale. SSEW, Harpenden..

SOIL SURVEY OF ENGLAND AND WALES (1984) Soils and Their Use in South West England, Bulletin No 14. SSEW, Harpenden.

APPENDIX I

DESCRIPTION OF GRADES AND SUBGRADES

Grade 1 - excellent quality agricultural land

Land with no or very minor limitations to agricultural use. A very wide range of agricultural and horticultural crops can be grown and commonly include top fruit, soft fruit, salad crops and winter harvested vegetables. Yields are high and less variable than on land of lower quality.

Grade 2 - very good quality agricultural land

Land with minor limitations which affect crop yield, cultivations or harvesting. A wide range of agricultural and horticultural crops can usually be grown but on some land in the grade there may be reduced flexibility due to difficulties with the production of the more demanding crops such as winter harvested vegetables and arable root crops. The level of yield is generally high but may be lower or more variable than Grade 1.

Grade 3 - good to moderate quality agricultural land

Land with moderate limitations which affect the choice of crops, timing and type of cultivation, harvesting or the level of yield. Where more demanding crops are grown yields are generally lower or more variable than on land in Grades 1 and 2.

Subgrade 3a - good quality agricultural land

Land capable of consistently producing moderate to high yields of a narrow range of arable crops, especially cereals, or moderate yields of a wide range of crops including cereals, grass, oilseed rape, potatoes, sugar beet and the less demanding horticultural crops.

Subgrade 3b - moderate quality agricultural land

Land capable of producing moderate yields of a narrow range of crops, principally cereals and grass, or lower yields of a wider range of crops or high yields of grass which can be grazed or harvested over most of the year.

Grade 4 - poor quality agricultural land

Land with severe limitations which significantly restrict the range of crops and/or level of yields. It is mainly suited to grass with occasional arable crops (e.g. cereals and forage crops) the yields of which are variable. In most climates, yields of grass may be moderate to high but there may be difficulties in utilisation. The grade also includes very droughty arable land.

Grade 5 - very poor quality agricultural land

Land with very severe limitations which restrict use to permanent pasture or rough grazing, except for occasional pioneer forage crops.

Source: MAFF (1988) Agricultural Land Classification of England and Wales Revised Guidelines and Criteria for Grading the Quality of Agricultural Land, MAFF Publications, Alnwick.

APPENDIX II

DEFINITION OF SOIL WETNESS CLASSES

Soil wetness is classified according to the depth and duration of waterlogging in the soil profile.

Wetness Class I

The soil profile is not wet within 70 cm depth for more than 30 days in most years.

Wetness Class II

The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for 31-90 days in most years or, if there is no slowly permeable layer within 80 cm depth, it is wet within 70 cm for more than 90 days, but not wet within 40 cm depth for more than 30 days in most years.

Wetness Class III

The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for 91-180 days in most years or, if there is no slowly permeable layer within 80 cm depth, it is wet within 70 cm for more than 180 days, but only wet within 40 cm depth for between 31 and 90 days in most years.

Wetness Class IV

The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for more than 180 days but not within 40 cm depth for more than 210 days in most years or, if there is no slowly permeable layer within 80 cm depth, it is wet within 40 cm depth for 91-210 days in most years.

Wetness Class V

The soil profile is wet within 40 cm depth for 211-335 days in most years.

Wetness Class VI

The soil profile is wet within 40 cm depth for more than 335 days in most years.

Notes: The number of days specified is not necessarily a continuous period.

'In most years' is defined as more than 10 out of 20 years.

Source: Hodgson, J M (Ed) (1997) Soil Survey Field Handbook. Soil Survey Technical Monograph No 5, SSLRC, Cranfield.

APPENDIX III

ABBREVIATIONS AND TERMS USED IN SURVEY DATA

Soil pit and auger boring information collected during ALC survey is held on a computer database and is reproduced in this report. Terms used and abbreviations are set out below. These conform to definitions contained in the Soil Survey Field Handbook (Hodgson, 1997).

1. Terms used on computer database, in order of occurrence.

GRID REF: National 100 km grid square and 8 figure grid reference.

LAND USE: At the time of survey

| WHT: | Wheat | SBT: | Sugar Beet | HTH: | Heathland |
|--------------|------------------------|--------------|------------------------|------|-------------------------|
| BAR: | Barley | BRA: | Brassicas | BOG: | Bog or Marsh |
| OAT: | Oats | FCD: | Fodder Crops | DCW: | Deciduous Wood |
| CER: | Cereals | FRT: | Soft and Top Fruit | CFW: | Coniferous Woodland |
| MZE: | Maize | HRT: | Horticultural Crops | PLO: | Ploughed |
| OSR: | Oilseed Rape | LEY: | Ley Grass | FLW: | Fallow (inc. Set aside) |
| POT: | Potatoes | PGR: | Permanent Pasture | SAS: | Set Aside (where known) |
| LIN: | Linseed | RGR: | Rough Grazing | OTH: | Other |
| LIN: BEN: | Linseed Field Beans | RGR: SCR: | Rough Grazing Scrub | ОТН: | Other |

GRDNT: Gradient as estimated or measured by hand-held optical clinometer.

GLEY, SPL: Depth in centimetres to gleying or slowly permeable layer.

AP (WHEAT/POTS): Crop-adjusted available water capacity.

MB (WHEAT/POTS): Moisture Balance. (Crop adjusted AP - crop potential MD)

DRT: Best grade according to soil droughtiness.

If any of the following factors are considered significant, 'Y' will be entered in the relevant column.

| EXP: | Microrelief limitation Exposure limitation Chemical limitation | Flood risk Frost prone | Soil erosion risk Disturbed land |
|------|--|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| | | | |

LIMIT: The main limitation to land quality: The following abbreviations are used.

| OC: | Overall Climate | AE: | Aspect | EX: | Exposure |
|-----|-----------------|-----|-----------------|-----|-------------|
| FR: | Frost Risk | GR: | Gradient | MR: | Microrelief |
| FL: | Flood Risk | TX: | Topsoil Texture | DP: | Soil Depth |

| CH: | Chemical | WE: | Wetness | WK: | Workability |
|-----|-------------------|-----|---------------------|-----|---------------------------|
| DR: | Drought | ER: | Erosion Risk | WD: | Soil Wetness/Droughtiness |
| ST: | Topsoil Stoniness | | | | |

TEXTURE: Soil texture classes are denoted by the following abbreviations:-

| S: | Sand | LS: | Loamy Sand | SL: | Sandy Loam |
|------------|-----------------|------|-----------------|-----|--------------------|
| SZL: | Sandy Silt Loam | CL: | Clay Loam | ZCL | Silty Clay Loam |
| ZL: | Silt Loam | SCL: | Sandy Clay Loam | C: | Clay |
| SC: | Sandy clay | ZC: | Silty clay | OL: | Organic Loam |
| P : | Peat | SP: | Sandy Peat | LP: | Loamy Peat |
| PL: | Peaty Loam | PS: | Peaty Sand | MZ: | Marine Light Silts |

For the sand, loamy sand, sandy loam and sandy silt loam classes, the predominant size of sand fraction will be indicated by the use of the following prefixes:-

- **F:** Fine (more than 66% of the sand less than 0.2mm)
- M: Medium (less than 66% fine sand and less than 33% coarse sand)
- C: Coarse (more than 33% of the sand larger than 0.6mm)

The clay loam and silty clay loam classes will be sub-divided according to the clay content: M: Medium (< 27% clay) H: heavy (27 - 35% clay)

MOTTLE COL: Mottle colour using Munsell notation.

MOTTLE ABUN: Mottle abundance, expressed as a percentage of the matrix or surface described.

F: few <2% C: common 2 - 20% M: many 20 - 40% VM: very many 40%+

MOTTLE CONT: Mottle contrast

- F: faint indistinct mottles, evident only on close inspection
- **D:** distinct mottles are readily seen
- **P:** Prominent mottling is conspicuous and one of the outstanding features of the horizon.
- PED. COL: Ped face colour using Munsell notation.
- GLEY: If the soil horizon is gleyed a 'Y' will appear in this column. If slightly gleyed, an 'S' will appear.

STONE LITH: Stone Lithology - One of the following is used.

| HR: | All hard rocks and stones | SLST: | Soft oolitic or dolimitic limestone |
|-------|------------------------------------|-------|--------------------------------------|
| CH: | Chalk | FSST: | Soft, fine grained sandstone |
| ZR: | Soft, argillaceous, or silty rocks | GH: | Gravel with non-porous (hard) stones |
| MSST: | Soft, medium grained sandstone | GS: | Gravel with porous (soft) stones |

SI: Soft weathered igneous or metamorphic rock

Stone contents are given in % by volume for sizes >2cm, >6cm and total stone >2mm.

STRUCT: The degree of development, size and shape of soil peds are described using the following notation

| Degree of development | WA: Adher | • • | WK: | Weakly developed |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|---|------------------|--|
| | MD: develo | Moderately pped | ST: | Strongly developed |
| <u>Ped size</u> | F: C: | Fine Coarse | M: VC: | Medium Very coarse |
| <u>Ped Shape</u> | S: GR: SAB: PL: | Single grain Granular Sub-angular blocky Platy | M: AB: PR: | Massive Angular blocky Prismatic |

CONSIST: Soil consistence is described using the following notation:

| L: | Loose | VF: | Very Friable | FR: | Friable | FM: | Firm |
|-----|-----------|-----|----------------|-----|-----------|------|------|
| VM: | Very firm | EM: | Extremely firm | EH: | Extremely | Hard | |

- SUBS STR: Subsoil structural condition recorded for the purpose of calculating profile droughtiness: G: Good M: Moderate P: Poor
- **POR:** Soil porosity. If a soil horizon has poor porosity with less than 0.5% biopores >0.5mm, a 'Y' will appear in this column.
- **IMP:** If the profile is impenetrable to rooting a 'Y' will appear in this column at the appropriate horizon.
- **SPL:** Slowly permeable layer. If the soil horizon is slowly permeable a 'Y' will appear in this column.
- CALC: If the soil horizon is calcareous with naturally occurring calcium carbonate exceeding 1% a 'Y' will appear this column.

2. Additional terms and abbreviations used mainly in soil pit descriptions.

STONE ASSESSMENT:

VIS: Visual S: Sieve D: Displacement

MOTTLE SIZE:

| EF: | Extremely fine <1mm | M: | Medium 5-15mm |
|-----|---------------------|----|---------------|
| VF: | Very fine 1-2mm> | C: | Coarse >15mm |

F: Fine 2-5mm

MOTTLE COLOUR:May be described by Munsell notation or as ochreous
(OM) or grey (GM).ROOT CHANNELS:In topsoil the presence of 'rusty root channels' should

also be noted.

MANGANESE CONCRETIONS: Assessed by volume

| N: | None | | M: | Many | 20-40% |
|------------|--------|-------|-----------|-----------|--------|
| F: | Few | <2% | VM: | Very Many | >40% |
| C : | Common | 2-20% | | | |

POROSITY:

G: Good - more than 0.5% biopores at least 0.5mm in diameter

ROOT ABUNDANCE:

| The number of | roots per 100cm ² : | Very Fine and Fine | Medium and Coarse |
|---------------|--------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|
| F: | Few | 1-10 | 1 or 2 |
| C: | Common | 10.25 | 2 - 5 |
| M: | Many | 25-200 | >5 |
| A: | Abundant | >200 | |

ROOT SIZE

| VF: | Very fine | <1mm | M: | Medium | 2 - 5mm |
|-----|-----------|-------|----|--------|---------|
| F: | Fine | 1-2mm | C: | Coarse | >5mm |

HORIZON BOUNDARY DISTINCTNESS:

| Sharp: | <0.5cm | Gradual: | 6 - 13cm |
|---------|-------------|----------|----------|
| Abrupt: | 0.5 - 2.5cm | Diffuse: | >13cm |
| Clear: | 2.5 - 6cm | | |

HORIZON BOUNDARY FORM: Smooth, wavy, irregular or broken.* * See Soil Survey Field Handbook (Hodgson, 1997) for details.