Gloucestershire Minerals Plan Cerney Wick

Agricultural Land Classification

September 1997

Resource Planning Team Bristol FRCA Western Region Job Number 56/97

MAFF Ref EL 14/976 ___



CERNEY WICK

AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION SURVEY

CONTENTS

		Page
INTRODUCTIO	N	1
SUMMARY		1
CLIMATE		2
RELIEF		3
GEOLOGY ANI	SOILS	3
AGRICULTURA	AL LAND CLASSIFICATION AND MAP	3
REFERENCES		5
APPENDIX I	Description of the Grades and Subgrades	6
APPENDIX II	Definition of Soil Wetness Classes	8
APPENDIX III	Survey Data	9
	Sample Point Location Map	
	Pit Descriptions	
	Boring Profile Data	
	Boring Horizon Data	
	Abbreviations and Terms used	ın Survey Data

_

CERNEY WICK

AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION SURVEY

INTRODUCTION

- This report presents the findings of a detailed Agricultural Land Classification (ALC) survey of 33 0 ha of land at Cerney Wick Circnester Field survey was based on 28 auger borings and 2 soil profile pits and was completed in September 1997 During the survey 1 sample was analysed for particle size distribution (PSD)
- The survey was conducted by the Resource Planning Team of FRCA Western Region on behalf of MAFF in its statutory role in the preparation of Gloucestershire Minerals Plan
- Information on climate geology and soils and from previous ALC surveys was considered and is presented in the relevant section. Apart from the published regional ALC map (MAFF 1977) which shows the sites at a reconnaissance scale as mainly Grade 3 with Grade 2 at the western site the area was previously surveyed in 1979 at a scale of 1 25 000 (ADAS 1979). However, the current survey uses the Revised Guidelines and Criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land (MAFF 1988) and supersedes any previous ALC survey. Grade descriptions are summarised in Appendix I.
- The sites were surveyed in 1979 to the Guidelines in force at that time as part of a much larger survey of the Cotswold Water Park. The southern block and the block east of Cerney Wick Farm were mapped as Subgrade 3b and 3c reflecting the poorly drained soils found in the current survey. The rest of the area was mapped as Subgrade 3a. In the Cerney Wick Farm block stony soils were so mapped and at Elm Lea mottled clays were mapped as Subgrade 3a based on 4 auger borings. The current survey found such soils but the Revised Guidelines takes account of the slowly permeable subsoils and downgrades such soils further. The 1979 Survey had no borings in the area now mapped as Grade 2 as it was a less detailed survey.
- At the time of survey land cover was grass. An area of 1.5 ha of agricultural land within the survey area was not surveyed because ownership could not be established. Other land which was not surveyed included two fields at Rosemary which had been converted into a garden and land associated with new houses at Cerney Wick Farm which was no longer in agricultural use

SUMMARY

The distribution of ALC grades is shown on the accompanying 1 10 000 scale ALC map. The detail of information shown at this scale is appropriate to the intensity of field survey but could be misleading if enlarged or applied to small areas. Areas are summarised in the Table 1

Table 1 Distribution of ALC grades Cerney Wick

Grade	Area (ha)	% Surveyed Area (28 0 ha)				
2	2 4	9				
$\overline{3}a$	$\bar{5}$ 3	19				
3b	20 3	72				
Agricultural land not surveyed	1 5					
Other land	3 5					
Total site area	33 0					

7 28 % of the site has been mapped as best and most versatile Grade 2 and Subgrade 3a These soils are well drained but are stony at depth experiencing minor and moderate droughtness limitations respectively. The Subgrade 3b land mapped in three locations has moderate wetness limitations imposed by slowly permeable clay subsoils

CLIMATE

- 8 Estimates of climatic variables for this site were derived from the published agricultural climate dataset. Climatological Data for Agricultural Land Classification (Meteorological Office 1989) using standard interpolation procedures. Data for key points around the site are given in Table 2 below
- Since the ALC grade of land is determined by the most limiting factor present overall climate is considered first because it can have an overriding influence by restricting land to a lower grade despite more favourable site and soil conditions. Parameters used for assessing overall climate are accumulated temperature a measure of relative warmth and average annual rainfall a measure of overall wetness. The results shown in Table 2 indicate that there is no overall climatic limitation.
- Climatic variables also affect ALC grade through interactions with soil conditions. The most important interactive variables are Field Capacity Days (FCD) which are used in assessing soil wetness and potential Moisture Deficits calculated for wheat and potatoes which are compared with the moisture available in each profile in assessing soil droughtiness limitations. These are described in later sections.

Table 2 Climatic Interpolations Cerney Wick

Grid Reference	SU 078954	SU 078956	SU 085 949
Altıtude (m)	82	82	82
Accumulated Temperature (day C)	1435	1434	1435
Average Annual Rainfall (mm)	704	705	691
Overall Climatic Grade	1	1	1
Field Capacity Days	161	161	157
Moisture deficit (mm) Wheat -	- 102	102 -	- 103
Potatoes	93	93	95

RELIEF

Altitude at all sites is shown as 82 m on the Ordnance Survey 1 10 000 Scale map

GEOLOGY AND SOILS

- The underlying geology of the site is shown on the published geology map (IGS 1974) as First Terrace River deposit on the north west and alluvium in the south east of the site. The recent survey found a small patch of Kellaways Clay in the western site to be more widespread than indicated on the published map
- Soils were mapped by the Soil Survey of England and Wales at a reconnaissance scale of 1 250 000 (SSEW 1983) as Thames Association in the south along the River Thames and Kelmscot Association over the rest of the sites
- Thames Association is described as stoneless mainly calcareous clayey soils affected by ground water. Kelmscot Association is described as calcareous fine loamy soils over gravel variably affected by groundwater associated with non calcareous clayey soils over gravel. Both occur on flat land at risk from flooding
- The recent survey found more extensive poorly drained soils with slowly permeable subsoils than the published soils information would suggest. The soils map reflects the geology map which as indicated above was not found to be closely represented on the ground

AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION

The distribution of ALC grades found by the current survey is shown on the accompanying 1 10 000 scale map and areas are summarised in Table 1. The detail of information shown at this scale is appropriate to the intensity of field survey but could be misleading if enlarged or applied to small areas.

Grade 2

A small area of Grade 2 very good quality land has been mapped in the western site These soils have a minor workability limitation and are stony at depth also imposing a minor droughtness limitation. The upper horizons are clay loams becoming sandier with depth where the stone content increases. The soil profile is well drained. Wetness Class I (see Appendix II)

Subgrade 3a

Subgrade 3a good quality land has been mapped in two of the sites. These soils have a moderate droughtness limitation. The heavy clay loam topsoils and upper subsoils have few stones but the lower subsoils are stony with over 50% stone measured in a soil profile pit. These horizons are lighter textured which also reduces the available water in the profile. The soils are generally well drained. Wetness Class I

Subgrade 3b

The rest of the agricultural land has been mapped as Subgrade 3b moderate quality land. These soils are poorly drained. The southern site has heavy clay loam and heavy silty clay loam topsoils over clays which are slowly permeable. Gleying is often present from the surface, and these soils are assessed as Wetness Class IV. Similar soils are found in the Cerney Wick Farm site. The Subgrade 3b land in the western site also has a moderate Wetness limitation but in these soils the slowly permeable layer occurs in the lower subsoil. A soil profile pit in this area confirmed the slowly permeable layer and the soils are assessed as Wetness Class III. However, with the heavy clay loam topsoil these soils are also Subgrade 3b.

G M SHAW Resource Planning Team FRCA Bristol September 1997

REFERENCES

ADAS RESOURCE PLANNING TEAM (1979) Agricultural Land Classification Survey of Cotswold Water Park Scale 1 25 000 Reference 9 ADAS Bristol

INSTITUTE OF GEOLOGICAL SCIENCES (1974) Sheet 252 Swindon 1 63 360 series Solid and Drift edition IGS London

HODGSON J M (Ed) (1997) Soil Survey Field Handbook Soil Survey Technical Monograph No 5 Silsoe

MAFF (1977) 1 250 000 series Agricultural Land Classification South West Region MAFF Publications Alnwick

MAFF (1988) Agricultural Land Classification of England and Wales Revised Guidelines and Criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land MAFF Publications Alnwick

METEOROLOGICAL OFFICE (1989) Climatological Data for Agricultural Land Classification Meteorological Office Bracknell

SOIL SURVEY OF ENGLAND AND WALES (1983) Sheet 5 Soils of South West England 1 250 000 scale SSEW Harpenden

SOIL SURVEY OF ENGLAND AND WALES (1984) Soils and Their Use in South West England Bulletin No 14 SSEW Harpenden

APPENDIX I

DESCRIPTION OF GRADES AND SUBGRADES

Grade 1 excellent quality agricultural land

Land with no or very minor limitations to agricultural use. A very wide range of agricultural and horticultural crops can be grown and commonly include top fruit soft fruit salad crops and winter harvested vegetables. Yields are high and less variable than on land of lower quality.

Grade 2 very good quality agricultural land

Land with minor limitations which affect crop yield cultivations or harvesting. A wide range of agricultural and horticultural crops can usually be grown but on some land in the grade there may be reduced flexibility due to difficulties with the production of the more demanding crops such as winter harvested vegetables and arable root crops. The level of yield is generally high but may be lower or more variable than Grade 1

Grade 3 good to moderate quality agricultural land

Land with moderate limitations which affect the choice of crops timing and type of cultivation harvesting or the level of yield. Where more demanding crops are grown yields are generally lower or more variable than on land in Grades 1 and 2

Subgrade 3a good quality agricultural land

Land capable of consistently producing moderate to high yields of a narrow range of arable crops especially cereals or moderate yields of a wide range of crops including cereals grass oilseed rape potatoes sugar beet and the less demanding horticultural crops

Subgrade 3b moderate quality agricultural land

Land capable of producing moderate yields of a narrow range of crops principally cereals and grass or lower yields of a wider range of crops or high yields of grass which can be grazed or harvested over most of the year

Grade 4 poor quality agricultural land

Land with severe limitations which significantly restrict the range of crops and/or level of yields. It is mainly suited to grass with occasional arable crops (e.g. cereals and forage crops) the yields of which are variable. In most climates, yields of grass may be moderate to high but there may be difficulties in utilisation. The grade also includes very droughty arable land.

Grade 5 very poor quality agricultural land

Land with very severe limitations which restrict use to permanent pasture or rough grazing except for occasional pioneer forage crops

Source MAFF (1988) Agricultural Land Classification of England and Wales Revised Guidelines and Criteria for Grading the Quality of Agricultural Land MAFF Publications Alnwick

APPENDIX II

DEFINITION OF SOIL WETNESS CLASSES

Soil wetness is classified according to the depth and duration of waterlogging in the soil profile

Wetness Class I

The soil profile is not wet within 70 cm depth for more than 30 days in most years

Wetness Class II

The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for 31 90 days in most years or if there is no slowly permeable layer within 80 cm depth it is wet within 70 cm for more than 90 days but not wet within 40 cm depth for more than 30 days in most years

Wetness Class III

The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for 91 180 days in most years or if there is no slowly permeable layer within 80 cm depth it is wet within 70 cm for more than 180 days but only wet within 40 cm depth for between 31 and 90 days in most years

Wetness Class IV

The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for more than 180 days but not within 40 cm depth for more than 210 days in most years or if there is no slowly permeable layer within 80 cm depth it is wet within 40 cm depth for 91 210 days in most years

Wetness Class V

The soil profile is wet within 40 cm depth for 211 335 days in most years

Wetness Class VI

The soil profile is wet within 40 cm depth for more than 335 days in most years

Notes The number of days specified is not necessarily a continuous period

In most years is defined as more than 10 out of 20 years

Source Hodgson J M (Ed) (1997) Soil Survey Field Handbook Soil Survey Technical Monograph No 5 Silsoe

SITE NA Cerney W			OFILE NO 1 (ASP 7)	SLOPE Flat	AND ASPE	ECT	l .	AND USE	<u> </u>	Av	/ Rainfall	705 mm		PARENT MA	TERIAL	
,			, ,					•		ΑΊ	О	1434 day	С	River gravel		
JOB NO		DA	TE	GRID I	REFERENC	EFERENCE DESCRIBED BY		FC	C Days	161	PSD SAMPLES TAKEN					
56/97		17/	9/97	SU076	19558		HL	J		Clı	imatic Grade	1		Topsoil HCL (S34 Z 36 2	30%)	
						Mottling	<u> </u>		Structure	Ped	posure Grade	1				Horizon
Horizon No	Lowest Av Depth (cm)	Texture	Matrix (Ped Face) Colours	Stonine Size Ty Field M	pe and	Abundanc Contrast Size and Colour	e	Mangan Concs	Developme Size and Shape	ent	Consistence	Structural Condition	Pores (Fissures)	Roots Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Boundary Distinctness and form
1	18	HCL	10YR42	<1%> 2 2%< m 2% HR 7	(+d)	None		None	MMSAI	В	Friable	Good	Good	MF + VF		Clear Smooth
2	44	HCL	2 5Y54	<1% >2 2% < 2 2% HR T	m (s + d)	None		None	MCSAI	3	Friable	Moderate	Good	CF + VF		Abrupt Smooth
3	74	MSL	10YR66,68	50% HR	m (+ d) t t l	None		Few*	WFSAE	3	Friable	Good	Good	FF + VF		Clear Smooth
4	110	LMS	10YR 73 72	39 2 1 549 < 2 579 HR	cm (s +d)	None		None	Single Gr	aın	Loose	Moderate	Good	FF + VF		
Profile G	leyed Fror	n Not	gleyed		Available	Water W	hea	t 105 r	nm			Final ALC	Grade	3a	1	-
Horizon l	Slowly Permeable No spl Horizon From Potatoes 96 mm Moisture Deficit Wheat 102 mm					Main Limiting Factor(s) Droughtiness										
Wetness		^I 2				Po	otato	es 93	mm							
					Moisture F	Balance W	/hear	t 3	mm			Remarks *	on surface	of stones		
						Po	otato	pes 3	mm							
					Droughtine	ess Grade 3	a	(Calc	ulated to 120) cm))					

SITE NA			FILE NO (ASP 11)	SLOPE Flat	AND ASPE	CCT	1	ND USE		Av	Raınfall	704 mm		PARENT MA	TERIAL	
Ž		l I				!				ΑТ	О	1435 day	C	Kellaways Cla	ys	
JOB NO		DAT	Έ	GRID I	REFERENC	E	DE	ESCRIBED B	Y	FC	Days	161		PSD SAMPLE	S TAKEN	
56/97		18/9	97	SU0676	09562		HL	J			matic Grade	1				
Horizon No	Lowest Av Depth (cm)	Texture	Matrix (Ped Face) Colours	Stonine Size Ty Field M	pe and	Mottling Abundance Contrast Size and Colour	e	Mangan Concs	Structure I Developme Size and Shape	Ped	Consistence	Structural Condition	Pores (Fissures)	Roots Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary Distinctness and form
1	27	HCL	10YR42	1% HR (s)	None		None	MMSAI	В	Friable	Good	Good	MF + VF		Clear Smooth
2	43	C	10YR53,54	<1% HR	()	FDFO (10YR6		None	MCSAB ³	*1	Friable	Moderate	Good*2	CF + VF		Clear Smooth
3	115+	C	25Y 62,63	09 ()		MDFO (10YR5		Few*	МСАВ	}	Firm	Poor	Poor	FF + VF		
Profile G	leyed Fron	n 43 cm	- -		Available	Water W	/heat	t 129 ı	mm			Final ALC	Grade	3b		
Slowly Po Horizon I	From	43 cm			Moisture I	Deficit W	otato Vhea otato	t 102 r	nm			Main Limit	ing Factor(s	s) Wetness		
Wetness	Grade	3b			Moisture B	Balance W	Wheat 17 mm				Remarks *	¹ Close to V	WCSAB			
		1				Po	otato	es 16	mm			*	² borderline	etness Class 4		
		1			Droughtine	ess Grade 2	2	(Calc	ulated to 120) cm))					

APPENDIX III

ABBREVIATIONS AND TERMS USED IN SURVEY DATA

Soil pit and auger boring information collected during ALC survey is held on a computer database and is reproduced in this report. Terms used and abbreviations are set out below. These conform to definitions contained in the Soil Survey Field Handbook (Hodgson 1997).

1 Terms used on computer database in order of occurrence

GRID REF National 100 km grid square and 8 figure grid reference

LAND USE At the time of survey

WHT	Wheat	SBT	Sugar Beet	HTH	Heathland
BAR	Barley	BRA	Brassicas	BOG	Bog or Marsh
OAT	Oats	FCD	Fodder Crops	DCW	Deciduous Wood
CER	Cereals	FRT	Soft and Top Fruit	CFW	Coniferous Woodland
MZE	Maıze	HRT	Horticultural Crops	PLO	Ploughed
OSR	Oilseed Rape	LEY	Ley Grass	FLW	Fallow (inc Set aside)
POT	Potatoes	PGR	Permanent Pasture	SAS	Set Aside (where known)
LIN	Linseed	RGR	Rough Grazing	OTH	Other
BEN	Field Beans	SCR	Scrub		

GRDNT Gradient as estimated or measured by hand held optical clinometer

GLEY SPL Depth in centimetres to gleying or slowly permeable layer

AP (WHEAT/POTS) Crop adjusted available water capacity

MB (WHEAT/POTS) Moisture Balance (Crop adjusted AP crop potential MD)

DRT Best grade according to soil droughtiness

If any of the following factors are considered significant Y will be entered in the relevant column

MREL	Microrelief limitation	FLOOD	Flood risk	EROSN	Soil erosion risk
EXP CHEM	Exposure limitation Chemical limitation	FROST	Frost prone	DIST	Disturbed land
T T3 4177	TPI		ation. The fell	lalla	

LIMIT The main limitation to land quality The following abbreviations are used

OC	Overall Climate	ΑE	Aspect	$\mathbf{E}\mathbf{X}$	Exposure
FR	Frost Risk	GR	Gradient	MR	Microrelief

FL	Flood Risk	TX	Topsoil Texture	DP	Soil Depth
CH	Chemical	WE	Wetness	WK	Workability
DR	Drought	ER	Erosion Risk	$\mathbf{W}\mathbf{D}$	Soil
					Wetness/Droughtiness
ST	Topsoil Stoniness				_

TEXTURE Soil texture classes are denoted by the following abbreviations

S	Sand	LS	Loamy Sand	SL	Sandy Loam
SZL	Sandy Silt Loam	\mathbf{CL}	Clay Loam	ZCL	Silty Clay Loam
ZL	Sılt Loam	SCL	Sandy Cla	у С	Clay
			Loam		
SC	Sandy clay	ZC	Silty clay	OL	Organic Loam
P	Peat	SP	Sandy Peat	LP	Loamy Peat
PL	Peaty Loam	PS	Peaty Sand	MZ	Marine Light Silts

For the sand loamy sand sandy loam and sandy silt loam classes the predominant size of sand fraction will be indicated by the use of the following prefixes

- Fine (more than 66% of the sand less than 0 2mm)
- M Medium (less than 66% fine sand and less than 33% coarse sand)
- C Coarse (more than 33% of the sand larger than 0 6mm)

The clay loam and silty clay loam classes will be sub divided according to the clay content M Medium (< 27% clay) H heavy (27 35% clay)

MOTTLE COL Mottle colour using Munsell notation

MOTTLE ABUN Mottle abundance expressed as a percentage of the matrix or surface described

F few <2% C common 2 20% M many 20 40% VM very many 40%+

MOTTLE CONT Mottle contrast

- F faint indistinct mottles evident only on close inspection
- **D** distinct mottles are readily seen
- P Prominent mottling is conspicuous and one of the outstanding features of the horizon

PED COL Ped face colour using Munsell notation

GLEY If the soil horizon is gleyed a Y will appear in this column If slightly gleyed an S will appear

STONE LITH Stone Lithology One of the following is used

HR All hard rocks and stones SLST Soft oolitic or dolumitic limestone

CH	Chalk	FSST	Soft fine grained sandstone
ZR	Soft argillaceous or silty rocks	GH	Gravel with non porous (hard) stones
MSST	Soft medium grained sandstone	GS	Gravel with porous (soft) stones
SI	Soft weathered igneous or metamorr	hic rock	·

Stone contents are given in % by volume for sizes >2cm >6cm and total stone >2mm

STRUCT The degree of development size and shape of soil peds are described using the following notation

Degree of development	WA Adhei	Weakly developed rent	WK	Weakly developed
	MD develo	Moderately oped	ST	Strongly developed
Ped size	F C	Fine Coarse	M VC	Medium Very coarse
Ped Shape	S GR SAB PL	Single grain Granular Sub angular blocky Platy	M AB PR	Massive Angular blocky Prismatic

CONSIST Soil consistence is described using the following notation

L	Loose	VF	Very Friable	FR	Friable	: FM	Fırm
VM	Very firm	EM	Extremely firm		EH	Extremely H	ard

SUBS STR Subsoil structural condition recorded for the purpose of calculating profile droughtiness G Good M Moderate P Poor

POR Soil porosity If a soil horizon has poor porosity with less than 0.5% biopores >0.5mm a Y will appear in this column

IMP If the profile is impenetrable to rooting a Y will appear in this column at the appropriate horizon

SPL Slowly permeable layer If the soil horizon is slowly permeable a Y will appear in this column

CALC If the soil horizon is calcareous with naturally occurring calcium carbonate exceeding 1% a Y will appear this column

2 Additional terms and abbreviations used mainly in soil pit descriptions

STONE ASSESSMENT

VIS Visual S Sieve D Displacement

MOTTLE SIZE

EF Extremely fine <1mm M Medium 5 15mm VF Very fine 1 2mm> C Coarse >15mm

F Fine 2 5mm

MOTTLE COLOUR May be described by Munsell notation or as ochreous

(OM) or grey (GM)

ROOT CHANNELS In topsoil the presence of rusty root channels should

also be noted

MANGANESE CONCRETIONS Assessed by volume

 N
 None
 M
 Many
 20 40%

 F
 Few
 <2%</th>
 VM
 Very Many
 >40%

C Common 2 20%

POROSITY

P Poor less than 0 5% biopores at least 0 5mm in diameter G Good more than 0 5% biopores at least 0 5mm in diameter

ROOT ABUNDANCE

The number of	roots per 100cm ²	Very Fine and Fine	Medium and Coarse
F	Few	1 10	1 or 2
C	Common	10 25	2 5
M	Many	25 200	>5
A	Abundant	>200	

ROOT SIZE

VF	Very fine	<1mm	M	Medium	2 5mm
F	Fine	1 2mm	C	Coarse	>5mm

HORIZON BOUNDARY DISTINCTNESS

Sharp	<0 5cm	Gradual	6 13cm
Abrupt	0 5 2 5cm	Dıffuse	>13cm

Clear 2.5 6cm

HORIZON BOUNDARY FORM Smooth wavy irregular or broken *

^{*} See Soil Survey Field Handbook (Hodgson 1997) for details