A1

TEST VALLEY BOROUGH LOCAL PLAN Land West of Enham Alamein Hampshire

Agricultural Land Classification Semi Detailed Survey ALC Map and Report

February 1998

Resource Planning Team Eastern Region FRCA Reading RPT Job Number 1512/008/98 MAFF Reference EL 15/01484

AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION REPORT

TEST VALLEY BOROUGH LOCAL PLAN LAND WEST OF ENHAM ALAMEIN HAMPSHIRE SEMI DETAILED SURVEY

INTRODUCTION

1 This report presents the findings of a semi detailed Agricultural Land Classification (ALC) survey of approximately 48 hectares of land west of Enham Alamein north of Andover in Hampshire The survey was carried out during February 1998

2 The survey was undertaken by the Farming and Rural Conservation Agency (FRCA)¹ on behalf of the Ministry of Agriculture Fisheries and Food (MAFF) in connection with MAFF s statutory input to the Test Valley Borough Local Plan This survey supersedes any previous ALC information for this land

3 The work was conducted by members of the Resource Planning Team in the Eastern Region of FRCA The land has been graded in accordance with the published MAFF ALC guidelines and criteria (MAFF 1988) A description of the ALC grades and subgrades is given in Appendix I

4 At the time of survey most of the land was under winter cereal production with one field ploughed The areas mapped as Other land include wooded field boundaries and the A343 Newbury Road which bisects the survey area in the north east

SUMMARY

5 The findings of the survey are shown on the enclosed ALC map The map has been drawn at a scale of 1 10 000 It is accurate at this scale but any enlargement would be misleading

6 The area and proportions of the ALC grades and subgrades on the surveyed land are summarised in Table 1

Grade/Other land	Area (hectares)	𝐬 surveyed area	% site area
2 3a Other land	35 3 10 5 1 8	77 1 22 9	74 1 22 1 3 8
Total surveyed area Total site area	45 8 47 6	100	96 2 100

Table 1	Area of grades and other land	
---------	-------------------------------	--

7 The fieldwork was conducted at an average density of 1 boring every 2 hectares of agricultural land In total 24 borings and 3 soil pits were described

¹ FRCA is an executive agency of MAFF and the Welsh Office

8 Most of the site has been mapped as Grade 2 very good quality agricultural land with the remainder classified as Subgrade 3a good quality agricultural land. The majority of the land suffers from a soil droughtiness limitation. In places topsoil stoniness and/or topsoil workability are equally restricting

9 Grade 2 agricultural land typically comprises fine silty topsoils which overlie heavier subsoils Soils are slightly to moderately flinty throughout Moisture balance calculations which take account of the soil properties and the prevailing local climate indicate that there is minor soil droughtiness which restricts the amount of available water for crops Occasionally soil profiles are equally limited by a topsoil stone restriction and/or topsoil workability

10 Subgrade 3a agricultural land typically comprises soil profiles which are variably calcareous and distinguished by shallower depth over stony or chalky horizons. The stony (predominantly chalky rubble) subsoils restrict the amount of water the soil profile can hold and this is reflected in the moisture balance calculations which suggest more significant soil droughtiness than land assigned to Grade 2 Subgrade 3a is therefore appropriate

FACTORS INFLUENCING ALC GRADE

Climate

11 Climate affects the grading of land through the assessment of an overall climatic limitation and also through interactions with soil characteristics

17 The key climatic variables used for grading this site are given in Table 2 and were obtained from the published 5km grid datasets using the standard interpolation procedures (Met Office 1989)

Factor	Units	Values				
Grid reference	N/A	SU 364 497	SU 367 499			
Altitude	m AOD	100	110			
Accumulated Temperature	day C (Jan June)	1427	1416			
Average Annual Rainfall	mm	784	786			
Field Capacity Days	days	173	173			
Moisture Deficit Wheat	mm	99	98			
Moisture Deficit Potatoes	mm	89	87			
Overall climatic grade	N/A	Grade 1	Grade 1			

Table 2 Climatic and altitude data

13 The climatic criteria are considered first when classifying land as climate can be overriding in the sense that severe limitations will restrict land to low grades irrespective of favourable site or soil conditions

14 The main parameters used in the assessment of an overall climatic limitation are average annual rainfall (AAR) as a measure of overall wetness and accumulated temperature (AT0 January to June) as a measure of the relative warmth of a locality 15 The combination of rainfall and temperature at this site mean that there is no overall climatic limitation. Local climatic factors such as exposure and frost risk do not affect land quality at this location. The site is climatically Grade 1. However, climatic factors do interact with soil properties to influence soil wetness and soil droughtiness. At this locality the climate is moist with average temperatures in regional terms.

Site

16 The site lies at altitudes in the range 95 115 m AOD The highest ground occurs along the north eastern boundary and falls gently to the west where the lowest land is found in a small valley Elsewhere the majority of the land is relatively flat in topographical terms Site restrictions (i.e. gradient micro relief or flooding) do not affect this site

Geology and soils

17 The most detailed published geological information for the site (BGS 1974) maps most of the area as Upper Chalk the remainder of the land along the north east boundary is shown as clay with flints

18 The most detailed published soils information covering the area (SSEW 1983) shows most of it to be soils of the Carstens association. These soils are described as well drained fine silty over clayey clayey and fine silty soils often very flinty (SSEW 1983). Soils consistent with this description are found over most of the site well drained fine silty over clayey soils variably flinty. Along the eastern boundary a slither of Charity 2 association soils is shown. These soils are described as well drained flinty fine silty soils in valley bottoms. Calcareous fine silty soils over chalk or chalk rubble on valley sides sometimes shallow (SSEW 1983). Soils consistent with this description are found in two discrete areas well drained fine silty soils over chalk or chalk rubble.

AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION

19 The details of the classification of the site are shown on the attached ALC map and the area statistics of each grade are given in Table 1 page 1

20 The location of the auger borings and pits is shown on the attached sample location map and the details of the soils data are presented in Appendix II

Grade 2

21 Land of very good quality is found over the majority of the site Soil droughtiness is the principal limitation in places topsoil stoniness and workability are equally limiting

22 Most of the land classified as Grade 2 is affected by a soil droughtiness limitation with the remainder restricted by a topsoil stone limitation. Soils comprise non calcareous medium silty clay loam or occasionally heavy silty clay loam topsoils. These may contain up to 10 % total flints by volume (0.6% > 2 cm in size). Topsoils overlie heavier textures of heavy silty clay loam or clay upper subsoils. These may contain up to 35 % total flints by volume (range 10.35 %). Lower subsoils are clayey with up to 25 % total flints by volume which are commonly impenetrable to the soil auger between 48 and 90 cm depth Soil Pit 3 (see Appendix II) is typical of these soils. The stony nature of the subsoil restricts the moisture content of these profiles and moisture balance calculations indicate there may not be sufficient available water for the needs of a growing crop. The resulting drought stress may cause the level and consistency of yields to be depressed.

Topsoil stone measurements of 6 % flints greater than 2 cm are recorded using a 2 cm mesh sieve. This restricts land quality to Grade 2. The main effect of stoniness is to act as an impediment to cultivation harvesting and crop growth and to cause a reduction in the available water capacity of the soil.

Some of this land suffers from a workability limitation where topsoils are heavy silty clay loams These soils are well drained and equate to wetness class 1 but the interaction between heavy topsoils and the a relatively moist local climate restricts land quality to Grade This land needs to be carefully managed in order that they can be effectively cultivated

Subgrade 3a

25 There are two discrete areas of good quality land which suffers from a soil droughtiness limitation. The first of these is located in the north east of the site along the course of a small valley the second is found on slightly lower land adjacent to the southern boundary. Both parcels of land are coincident with soils of the Charity 2 association.

Soils within the Subgrade 3a mapping unit are well drained variably calcareous and proved to be impenetrable to the soil auger from 28 90 cm depth Profiles comprise heavy silty clay loam topsoils with up to 15 % total flints by volume (4 11% >2cm) These overlie similar or clayey upper subsoils with up to 10 % total flints by volume Lower subsoils are clayey with up to 50 % total chalk rubble by volume These overlie hard Chalk which is impenetrable to the soil auger Soil pits 1 and pit 2 (Appendix II) are typical of these soils In particular in the deeper of the two pits (see pit 1) rooting extended 10 cm into the Chalk and this finding was used to calculate the available water capacity of these soil profiles Moisture balance calculations indicate that these soils are slightly droughty and the resulting stress may cause the level and consistency of yields to be depressed Subgrade 3a is appropriate

> Colin Pritchard Resource Planning Team Eastern Region FRCA Reading

SOURCES OF REFERENCE

British Geological Survey (1974) Sheet No 283 Andover 1 50 000 Solid & Drift Edition BGS London

Ministry of Agriculture Fisheries and Food (1988) Agricultural Land Classification of England and Wales Revised guidelines and criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land MAFF London

WAFF London

Met Office (1989) Climatological Data for Agricultural Land Classification Met Office Bracknell

Soil Survey of England and Wales (1983) Sheet 6 Soils of South East England 1 250 000 SSEW Harpenden.

Soil Survey of England and Wales (1984) Soils and their Use in South East England SSEW Harpenden

APPENDIX I

DESCRIPTIONS OF THE GRADES AND SUBGRADES

Grade 1 Excellent Quality Agricultural Land

Land with no or very minor limitations to agricultural use A very wide range of agricultural and horticultural crops can be grown and commonly includes top fruit soft fruit salad crops and winter harvested vegetables Yields are high and less variable than on land of lower quality

Grade 2 Very Good Quality Agricultural Land

Land with minor limitations which affect crop yield cultivations or harvesting A wide range of agricultural or horticultural crops can usually be grown but on some land of this grade there may be reduced flexibility due to difficulties with the production of the more demanding crops such as winter harvested vegetables and arable root crops The level of yield is generally high but may be lower or more variable than Grade 1 land

Grade 3 Good to Moderate Quality Land

Land with moderate limitations which affect the choice of crops the timing and type of cultivation harvesting or the level of yield When more demanding crops are grown yields are generally lower or more variable than on land in Grades 1 and 2

Subgrade 3a Good Quality Agricultural Land

Land capable of consistently producing moderate to high yields of a narrow range of arable crops especially cereals or moderate yields of a wide range of crops including cereals grass oilseed rape potatoes sugar beet and the less demanding horticultural crops

Subgrade 3b Moderate Quality Agricultural Land

Land capable of producing moderate yields of a narrow range of crops principally cereals and grass or lower yields of a wider range of crops or high yields of grass which can be grazed or harvested over most of the year

Grade 4 Poor Quality Agricultural Land

Land with severe limitations which significantly restrict the range of crops and/or the level of yields. It is mainly suited to grass with occasional arable crops (e.g. cereals and forage crops) the yields of which are variable. In moist climates, yields of grass may be moderate to high but there may be difficulties in utilisation. The grade also includes very droughty arable land

Grade 5 Very Poor Quality Agricultural Land

Land with severe limitations which restrict use to permanent pasture or rough grazing except for occasional pioneer forage crops

APPENDIX II

SOIL DATA

Contents

ĺ

Sample location map Soil abbreviations explanatory note Soil boring descriptions (boring and horizon levels)

SOIL PROFILE DESCRIPTIONS EXPLANATORY NOTE

Soil pit and auger boring information collected during ALC fieldwork is held on a computer database This uses notations and abbreviations as set out below

Boring Header Information

- 1 GRID REF national 100 km grid square and 8 figure grid reference
- 2 USE Land use at the time of survey The following abbreviations are used

ARA	Arable	WHT	Wheat	BAR	Barley
CER	Cereals	OAT	Oats	MZE	Maize
OSR	Oilseed rape	BEN	Field beans	BRA	Brassicae
РОТ	Potatoes	SBT	Sugar beet	FCD	Fodder crops
LIN	Linseed	FRT	Soft and top fruit	FLW	Fallow
PGR	Permanent	LEY	Ley grass	RGR	Rough grazing
	pasture				
SCR	Scrub	CFW	Coniferous woodland	ОТН	Other
DCW	Deciduous	BOG	Bog or marsh	SAS	Set Aside
	woodland				
нтн	Heathland	HRT	Horticultural crops	PLO	Ploughed

- 3 GRDNT Gradient as estimated or measured by a hand held optical clinometer
- 4 GLEY/SPL Depth in centimetres (cm) to gleying and/or slowly permeable layers
- 5 AP (WHEAT/POTS) Crop adjusted available water capacity
- 6 MB (WHEAT/POTS) Moisture Balance (Crop adjusted AP crop adjusted MD)
- 7 **DRT** Best grade according to soil droughtiness
- 8 If any of the following factors are considered significant Y will be entered in the relevant column

MREL	Microrelief limitation	FLOOD	Flood risk	EROSN	Soil erosion risk
EXP	Exposure limitation	FROST	Frost prone	DIST	Disturbed land
CHEM	Chemical limitation				

9 LIMIT The main limitation to land quality The following abbreviations are used

OC	Overall Climate	AE	Aspect	ST	Topsoil Stoniness
FR	Frost Risk	GR	Gradient	MR	Microrelief
FL	Flood Risk	ТХ	Topsoil Texture	DP	Soil Depth
СН	Chemical	WE	Wetness	WK	Workability
DR	Drought	ER	Erosion Risk	WD	Soil Wetness/Droughtiness
EX	Exposure				

Soil Pits and Auger Borings

1 **TEXTURE** soil texture classes are denoted by the following abbreviations

S	Sand	LS	Loamy Sand	SL	Sandy Loam
SZL	Sandy Silt Loam	CL	Clay Loam	ZCL	Silty Clay Loam
ZL	Silt Loam	SCL	Sandy Clay Loam	C	Clay
SC	Sandy Clay	ZC	Silty Clay	OL	Organic Loam
P	Peat	SP	Sandy Peat	LP	Loamy Peat
PL	Peaty Loam	PS	Peaty Sand	MZ	Marine Light Silts

For the sand loamy sand sandy loam and sandy silt loam classes the predominant size of sand fraction will be indicated by the use of the following prefixes

- F Fine (more than 66% of the sand less than 0 2mm)
- M Medium (less than 66% fine sand and less than 33% coarse sand)
- C Coarse (more than 33% of the sand larger than 0 6mm)

The clay loam and silty clay loam classes will be sub divided according to the clay content M Medium (<27% clay) H Heavy (27 35% clay)

- 2 MOTTLE COL Mottle colour using Munsell notation
- 3 MOTTLE ABUN Mottle abundance expressed as a percentage of the matrix or surface described

F few <2% C common 2 20% M many 20 40% VM very many 40% +

- 4 MOTTLE CONT Mottle contrast
 - F faint indistinct mottles evident only on close inspection
 - D distinct mottles are readily seen
 - P prominent mottling is conspicuous and one of the outstanding features of the horizon
- 5 PED COL Ped face colour using Munsell notation
- 6 GLEY If the soil horizon is gleyed a Y will appear in this column If slightly gleyed an S will appear
- 7 STONE LITH Stone Lithology one of the following is used

HR	all hard rocks and stones	FSST	soft fine grained sandstone
ZR	soft argillaceous or silty rocks	СН	chalk
MSST	soft medium grained sandstone	GS	gravel with porous (soft) stones
SI	soft weathered igneous/metamorphic	GH	gravel with non porous (hard)
	rock		stones

Stone contents (>2cm >6cm and total) are given in percentages (by olume)

8 STRUCT the degree of development size and shape of soil peds are described using the following notation

Degree of development	WK ST	weakly developed strongly developed	MD	moderately developed
Ped size	F C	fine coarse	Μ	medium
Ped shape	S GR SAB PL	sıngle graın granular sub angular blocky platy	M AB PR	massive angular blocky prismatic

9 **CONSIST** Soil consistence is described using the following notation

L loose	FM firm	EH extremely hard
VF very friable	VM very firm	
FR friable	EM extremely firm	

- 10 SUBS STR Subsoil structural condition recorded for the purpose of calculating profile droughtiness G good M moderate P poor
- 11 **POR** Soil porosity If a soil horizon has less than 0.5% biopores >0.5 mm a Y will appear in this column
- 12 IMP If the profile is impenetrable to rooting a Y will appear in this column at the appropriate horizon
- 13 SPL Slowly permeable layer If the soil horizon is slowly permeable a Y will appear in this column
- 14 CALC If the soil horizon is calcareous a Y will appear in this column
- 15 Other notations
 - APW available water capacity (in mm) adjusted for wheat
 - APP available water capacity (in mm) adjusted for potatoes
 - MBW moisture balance wheat
 - MBP moisture balance potatoes

SAMP	LE		ASPECT				WETI	NESS	WHI	EAT	PO	TS	м	REL	EROSN	FROS	ST	CHEM	ALC	
NO	GRID REF	USE		GRDNT	GLEY	SPL	CLASS	GRADE	AP	MB	AP	MB	DRT	FLOOD	E)	(P	DIST	LIMIT		COMMENTS
			_					_				_							_	
	SU36305000			1			1	1	88	11	94	5	3A					DR	3A	POSS 2 DR
2	SU36705000			1			1	1	109		109	20	2					DR	2	
- 3	SU36204990		_	1			1	1	104		111	22	2					DR	2	Q SPL 65
4	SU36404990			1			1	2	95		105	16						DR	3A	
5	SU36604990	CER	SW	1			1	1	130	31	108	19	1					TS	2	
•	SU36104980	000	<u>CU</u>	,			1	1	100	1	111	22	3A					00	24	0000 0 00
6 7	SU36304980			1 1			1	1	82		90	1						DR	3A 3A	PROB 2 DR
		. –	. –				1	2					÷					DR		DDT07005540
8	SU36504980			1			•		98 1 20		105	16	3A 1					DR	3A 1	DRT072SEE1P
	SU36204970			1			1	1	139		116	27	1					70	1	
10	SU36404970	CER	Ł	2			1	1	76	23	76	13	38					TS	3A	
1 1	SU36104960	CER					1	1	92	7	102	13	34					DR	3A	PROB 2 DR
12	SU36304960			1			1	1	119		117	28	2					DR	2	FROD Z UK
13	SU36204950			•			1	2	109		109	20	2					DR	2	2₩К
14	SU36404950			ı			1	1	102		113	24	2 3A					OR	2 3A	PROB 2 DR
	SU36104940			•			, 1	1	98		110	21						DR		PROB 2 DR
	0000104040	CER					•	•		•		2,	54							
16	SU36304940	CER					1	1	74	25	74	15	3B					DR	3A	
17	SU36504940	CER					1	1	142	43	118	29	1						1	
18	SU36204930	CER					1	1	96		103	14	3A					DR	ЗA	PROB 2 DR
19	SU36404930						1	1	105		112	23	2					DR	2	
20	SU36604930			1			1	1	106	7	114	25						DR	2	
21	SU36104920	CER	SE	1			1	1	95	4	105	16	3A					DR	3A	PROB 2 DR
22	SU36304920	CER					1	1	92	7	102	13	3A					DR	3A	
23	SU36504920	CER					1	2	111	12	109	20	3A					DR	ЗА	
24	SU36404910	CER					1	2	104	5	103	14	3A					DR	3A	
24 19	SU36404910	CER					1	2	96	3	102	13	3A					DR	3A	
2P	SU36404910	CER					1	2	88	11	91	2	3A					DR	3A	
ЗP	SU36504940	CER					1	1	121	22	108	19	2					DR	2	

page 1

page 1

8					MOTTLES	5	PED		5	STONES	STRUCT/	SUBS		
SAMPLE	DEPTH	TEXTURE	COLOUR	COL	ABUN	CONT	COL	GLEY	2 (5 LITH	TOT CONSIST	STR POR	IMP SPL CALC	
— 1	0 27	MZCL	10YR43						6	0 HR	10			
	27 40	MZCL	10YR44						0			м		
-	40 60	MZCL	10YR4454						0			м		IMP GRAVELLY
2	0 29	MZCL	10YR44						4	1 HR	7			
	29 39	HZCL	75YR44						0			м		
_	39 90	С	75YR46						0			м		IMP FLINTS
3	0 28	MZCL	10YR43						3	0 HR	6			
-	28 65	с	75YR46	75YR5	6 F				0			м		
	65 80	С	05YR46						0			м		IMP FLINTS
4	0 27	HZCL	10YR44						6	1 HR	10			
_	27 34	С	75YR44						0	0 HR	10	м	Y	
	34 72	ZC	75YR46						0	0 CH	15	м	Y	IMP FLINTS
5	0 29	MZCL	10YR43						7	0 HR	12			
	29 49	HZCL	75YR44						0	0 HR	15	м		
	49 120	С	75YR46						0	0 HR	10	Μ		
6	0 30	MZCL	10YR43						4	0 HR	8		Y	
	30 35	HZCL	10YR44	10YR6	8 F				0	0 HR	10	M		
-	35 75	С	75YR46	75YR5	6 C			S	0	0 HR	10	М		IMP FLINTS
7	0 30	MZCL	10YR43						4	0 HR	8			
	30 65	С	05YR46						0	0 HR	35	м		IMP FLINTS
8	0 27	HZCL	10YR44						4	0 HR	7			
	27 47	С	75YR44						0	0 HR	5	м		
-	47 62	ZC	75YR46						0	0 CH	50	м	Ŷ	
	62 72	СН	10YR81						0	0 HR	5	Ρ	Y	IMP FLINTS
9	0 28	MZCL	10YR43						3	0 HR	5			
	28 42	HZCL	75YR46							0 HR	5	м		
2	42 120	С	75YR46							0 HR	5	м		
10	0 29	MZCL	10YR44						11	0 HR	15			
	29 49	MZCL	75YR44							0 HR	15	M		IMP FLINTS
I ,,	0 29	MZCI	754040						-	0.110	-			
- 11		MZCL	75YR43							0 HR	7			
	29 64	С	75YR46						U	0 HR	10	М		IMP FLINTS
12	028	MZCL	10YR43						3	0 HR	5			
	28 42	HZCL	75YR43						0	0 HR	5	м		
	42 120	С	75YR46						0	0 HR	5	м		
13	0 22	HZCL	75YR43						n	0 HR	7			
-	22 90	C	75YR46							0 HR	10	м		IMP CHALK
									v	¥ 100				

pog ALCO11

					MOTTLES	5	PED		S	STONES	5	STRUCT/	SUBS		
SAMPLE	DEPTH	TEXTURE	COLOUR		ABUN	CONT		GLEY						MP SPL CALC	
14	0 27	MZCL	10YR43						2						
	27 35	HZCL	10YR44						0	0 н			M		
-	35 70	HZCL	10YR64						0	0 a	1 25		м	Y	IMP FLINTS
15	0 30	MZCL	75YR43						0	0 н	२ 4				
	30 45	HZCL	75YR44						0 0	0 H			м		
	45 70	ZC	75YR46						0	0 11			M		IMP FLINTS
		20							Ŭ	0 1.	. ,0				IN CLINIS
16	0 30	MZCL	75YR43						0	0 н	२ ४				
-	30 48	HZCL	75YR44						0	0 HI	۲ 10		м		IMP FLINTS
17	0 27	MZCL	10YR43						2	0 HI	र 4				
-	27 60	HZCL	10YR44						0	0 HI	₹ 5		м		
-	60 75	С	75YR46						0	0 HI	₹ 5		м		
	75 120	С	75YR46	05YR4	6 C			S	0	0 HI	₹ 5		M		
18	0 32	MZCL	75YR43						0	0 H					
	32 52	ZC	75YR44						0	0 Ci			M	Ŷ	
	52 62	HZCL	10YR46						0	0 0	1 30		М	Y	IMP FLINTS
19	0 28	MZCL	10YR43						2	0 н	2 4				
	28 40	HZCL	10YR5464						0	0 Ci			м	Y	
	40 75	HZCL	10YR64						0	0 Ci			M	Ŷ	IMPFLINTS CHDRIFT
20	0 29	MZCL	10YR42						3	0 HF	27				
	29 50	HZCL	10YR4454						0	0 HF	≀ 10		м		
	50 65	С	75YR46	75YR5	6 C	D		S	0	0 н			M		
	65 80	С	05YR46						Û	0 HF	2 10		м		IMP FLINTS
	0.00	N30	754040						~						
21	0 30	MZCL	75YR43						6	1 HF					
	30 47 47 65	ZC HZCL	75YR44 10YR46						0 0	0 Cł			M	V	
	47 00	naul	101840						U	0 Cł	1 20		М	Y	IMP FLINTS
22	0 29	MZCL	75YR43						4	0 ня	2 7				
	29 64	C	75YR46							0 HF			м		IMP CHALK
									-						
23	0 27	HZCL	10YR4344						4	ОНЯ	8				
•	27 45	С	05YR46						0	0 HA	₹ 5		м		
	45 60	С	05YR46						0	0 Cł	i 10		М	Y	
-	60 65	С	05YR46						0	0 CF	1 50		м	Y	
-	65 90	СН	10YR81						0	0	0		₽	Y	IMP CHALK
									_	-	_				
24	0 30	HZCL	10YR4344							0 HF				Y	
_	30 45	HZCL	10YR54						0	0 CF			M	Ŷ	
	45 80	СН	10YR81						U	0	0		Р	Ŷ	IMP CHALK
1P	0 25	HZCL	75YR43						6	1 HF	12			Y	
-	25 65	HZCL	75YR44						0			WDCSAB	FR M	Ŷ	
	65 75	СН	10YR81						0	0 н			Ρ	Y	ROOTS TO 750M
•															

prog am ALCOll

						MOTTLES		PED			SI	ONES		STRUCT/	SUE	s			
SAMPLE	DEI	ртн	TEXTURE	COLOUR	COL	ABUN	CONT	COL	GLEY	2	6	LITH	тот	CONSIST	STR	POR	IMP SPL CA	ιLC	
2P	0	28	HZCL	75YR43						(6	1 HR	12	2 WDCSAB	FR			Y	
	28	75	Сн	10YR81						t	0	0 CH	1	5		Ρ		Y	ROOTS TO 750M
3P	0	22	MZCL	10YR44							3	0 HR	10	ט					
	22	50	HZCL	75YR44						(0	0 HR	11	0 MDCSAB	FR	м			
	50	64	C	75YR46						(0	0 HR	1	O STCSAB	FR	м			
	64	120	С	05YR46						(0	0 HR	3	5 WDCSAB	FM	Μ	Y		TOO STONY FOR SP

page 3