Camelford Agricultural Land Classification September 1997

Resource Planning Team Bristol FRCA Western Region Job Number 39/97



CAMELFORD

AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION SURVEY

CONTENTS

		Page
INTRODUCTIO	N	1
SUMMARY		1
CLIMATE		2
RELIEF	•	3
GEOLOGY ANI	OSOILS	3
AGRICULTURA	3	
REFERENCES		5
APPENDIX I	Description of the Grades and Subgrades	6
APPENDIX II	Definition of Soil Wetness Classes	8
APPENDIX III	Survey Data:	9
	Sample Point Location Map	
	Pit Descriptions	
	Boring Profile Data	
	Boring Horizon Data	
	Abbreviations and Terms used	in Survey Data

CAMELFORD

AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION SURVEY

INTRODUCTION

- 1. This report presents the findings of a semi-detailed Agricultural Land Classification (ALC) survey of 101.4 ha of land at Camelford, Cornwall. Field survey was based on 49 auger borings and 1 soil profile pit, and was completed in September 1997. During the survey 3 samples were analysed for particle size distribution (PSD).
- 2. The survey was conducted by the Resource Planning Team of FRCA Western Region on behalf of MAFF in its statutory role in the preparation of North Cornwall Local Plan.
- 3. Information on climate, geology and soils, and from previous ALC surveys was considered and is presented in the relevant section. Apart from the published regional ALC map (MAFF, 1977), which shows the site at a reconnaissance scale as mainly Grade 4 with some Grade 3 in the west and south of the site, the area had not been surveyed previously. The current survey uses the Revised Guidelines and Criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land (MAFF, 1988) and therfore supersedes any previous ALC survey. Grade descriptions are summarised in Appendix I.
- 4. There is no previous adjacent ALC Survey. The nearest recent detailed survey is at Trecarne Quarry, Delabole, which shows mainly Subgrade 3a with some Subgrade 3b and Grade 2. This is at lower elevation and therefore subject to a more favourable climate than that which prevails at Camelford.
- 5. At the time of survey land cover was mainly grass for beef, sheep and some diarying. Other land which was not surveyed included residential land, roads, farm buildings, a small area of woodland and a larger area of public open space.

SUMMARY .

6. The distribution of ALC grades is shown on the accompanying 1: 10 000 scale ALC map. The detail of information shown at this scale is appropriate to the intensity of field survey but could be misleading if enlarged or applied to small areas. Areas are summarised in the Table 1.

Table 1: Distribution of ALC grades: Camelford

Grade	Area (ha)	% Surveyed Area (84.0 ha)				
3b	74.8	89				
4	8.5	10				
5	0.7	1				
Other land	17.4					
Total site area	101.4					

7. This shows that none of the land surveyed was found to be best and most versatile as the whole site was subject to a climatic limitation to Subgrade 3b. However, soil profiles were found to be relatively good so that only a small proportion of the area was found to be subject to a higher limitation and this is shown as small areas of Grades 4 and 5 where severe limitations due to gradient and wetness were identified.

CLIMATE

- 8. Estimates of climatic variables for this site were derived from the published agricultural climate dataset "Climatological Data for Agricultural Land Classification" (Meteorological Office, 1989) using standard interpolation procedures. Data for key points around the site are given in Table 2 below.
- 9. Since the ALC grade of land is determined by the most limiting factor present, overall climate is considered first because it can have an overriding influence by restricting land to a lower grade despite more favourable site and soil conditions. Parameters used for assessing overall climate are accumulated temperature, a measure of relative warmth and average annual rainfall, a measure of overall wetness. The results shown in Table 2 indicate that there is an overall climatic limitation which limits the land to Subgrade 3b.
- 10. Climatic variables also affect ALC grade through interactions with soil conditions. The most important interactive variables are Field Capacity Days (FCD) which are used in assessing soil wetness and potential Moisture Deficits calculated for wheat and potatoes, which are compared with the moisture available in each profile in assessing soil droughtiness limitations. These are described in later sections.

Table 2: Climatic Interpolations: Camelford

Grid Reference	CV 102 920	SX 112 843				
Grid Reference	SX 103 829	5A 112 643				
Altitude (m)	200	230				
Accumulated Temperature (day °C)	1395 1					
Average Annual Rainfall (mm)	1380	1413				
Overall Climatic Grade	3b	3b				
Field Capacity Days	266	270				
Moisture deficit (mm): Wheat	56	50				
Potatoes	36	28				

11. Limited local exposure, indicated by the flagging of hedgerow trees was identified on the windward upper slopes in the north of the survey area, but it is likely that any exposure limitation would not be more serious than to Grade 2.

2

RELIEF

12. Altitude ranges from 190 metres below Fenteron Farm to 235 metres above King's Acre with mainly gentle and moderate slopes which are not limiting. Short slopes on the valley sides of the main River Camel valley were found to be mainly steeply sloping and are shown as Grades 4 and 5.

GEOLOGY AND SOILS

- 13. The underlying geology of the site is shown on the published geology map (IGS, 1976) as Devonian Grey Slates, specifically identified as Lower Delabole Slates through the north of the survey area. Investigation within soil profile depth during the current survey revealed increasing stone content to around 80 cm but rarely reached unweathered bedrock within that depth. The residual stone content was identified as mainly hard rock rather than shale, at least within the topsoil and upper subsoil.
- 14. Soils were mapped by the Soil Survey of England and Wales at a reconnaissance scale of 1:250 000 (SSEW, 1983) as Denbigh 2 association. This is described as comprising well drained fine loamy soils over slate or slate rubble with some similar soils variably affected by ground water. This was entirely borne out by the current survey.

AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION

15. The distribution of ALC grades found by the current survey is shown on the accompanying 1: 10 000 scale map and areas are summarised in Table 1. The detail of information shown at this scale is appropriate to the intensity of field survey but could be misleading if enlarged or applied to small areas.

Subgrade 3b

16. A great majority of the area surveyed is shown is Subgrade 3b, primarily with an overall climatic limitation. Soils were found to be mainly heavy clay loam and or occasionally medium clay loam topsoil mainly Wetness Class I (See Appendix II). Apart from the overall climatic limitation, this implies a moderate limitation due to restricted workability, Subgrade 3b where heavy day loam and Subgrade 3a where medium day loam topsoils were found. Pit 1 represents some of the better profiles found in the area.

Grade 4

The area shown as Grade 4 to the south of the town includes short steeply sloping valley sides and the wet valley floor where the presence of ground water imposes a severe limitation due to wetness.

Grade 5

The small area shown as Grade 5 in the north of the survey area was found to be very steeply sloping bracken scrub with limited recent agricultural utilisation.

Paul Barnett Resource Planning Team FRCA Bristol 4 September 1997

REFERENCES

ADAS RESOURCE TEAM (1994) Agricultural Land Classification Survey of Trecarne Quarry, Delabole Scale 1: 10 000 Reference: 134.94 FRCA Bristol.

INSTITUTE OF GEOLOGICAL SCIENCES (1976) Sheet 335/336 1:50 000 series Solid and Drift edition. IGS, London. Trevose Head and Camelford.

HODGSON, J M (Ed) (1997) Soil Survey Field Handbook. Soil Survey Technical Monograph No 5. SSLRC, Cranfield University.

MAFF (1977) 1:250 000 series Agricultural Land Classification, South West Region. MAFF Publications, Alnwick.

MAFF (1988) Agricultural Land Classification of England and Wales. Revised Guidelines and Criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land. MAFF Publications, Alnwick.

METEOROLOGICAL OFFICE (1989) Climatological Data for Agricultural Land Classification. Meteorological Office, Bracknell.

SOIL SURVEY OF ENGLAND AND WALES (1983) Sheet 5, Soils of South West England, 1:250 000 scale. SSEW, Harpenden.

SOIL SURVEY OF ENGLAND AND WALES (1984) Soils and Their Use in South West England, Bulletin No 14. SSEW, Harpenden.

APPENDIX I

DESCRIPTION OF GRADES AND SUBGRADES

Grade 1 - excellent quality agricultural land

Land with no or very minor limitations to agricultural use. A very wide range of agricultural and horticultural crops can be grown and commonly include top fruit, soft fruit, salad crops and winter harvested vegetables. Yields are high and less variable than on land of lower quality.

Grade 2 - very good quality agricultural land

Land with minor limitations which affect crop yield, cultivations or harvesting. A wide range of agricultural and horticultural crops can usually be grown but on some land in the grade there may be reduced flexibility due to difficulties with the production of the more demanding crops such as winter harvested vegetables and arable root crops. The level of yield is generally high but may be lower or more variable than Grade 1.

Grade 3 - good to moderate quality agricultural land

Land with moderate limitations which affect the choice of crops, timing and type of cultivation, harvesting or the level of yield. Where more demanding crops are grown yields are generally lower or more variable than on land in Grades 1 and 2.

Subgrade 3a - good quality agricultural land

Land capable of consistently producing moderate to high yields of a narrow range of arable crops, especially cereals, or moderate yields of a wide range of crops including cereals, grass, oilseed rape, potatoes, sugar beet and the less demanding horticultural crops.

Subgrade 3b - moderate quality agricultural land

Land capable of producing moderate yields of a narrow range of crops, principally cereals and grass, or lower yields of a wider range of crops or high yields of grass which can be grazed or harvested over most of the year.

Grade 4 - poor quality agricultural land

Land with severe limitations which significantly restrict the range of crops and/or level of yields. It is mainly suited to grass with occasional arable crops (eg cereals and forage crops) the yields of which are variable. In most climates, yields of grass may be moderate to high but there may be difficulties in utilisation. The grade also includes very droughty arable land.

Grade 5 - very poor quality agricultural land

Land with very severe limitations which restrict use to permanent pasture or rough grazing, except for occasional pioneer forage crops.

Source: MAFF (1988) Agricultural Land Classification of England and Wales Revised Guidelines and Criteria for Grading the Quality of Agricultural Land, MAFF Publications, Alnwick.

APPENDIX II

DEFINITION OF SOIL WETNESS CLASSES

Soil wetness is classified according to the depth and duration of waterlogging in the soil profile.

Wetness Class I

The soil profile is not wet within 70 cm depth for more than 30 days in most years.

Wetness Class II

The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for 31-90 days in most years or, if there is no slowly permeable layer within 80 cm depth, it is wet within 70 cm for more than 90 days, but not wet within 40 cm depth for more than 30 days in most years.

Wetness Class III

The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for 91-180 days in most years or, if there is no slowly permeable layer within 80 cm depth, it is wet within 70 cm for more than 180 days, but only wet within 40 cm depth for between 31 and 90 days in most years.

Wetness Class IV

The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for more than 180 days but not within 40 cm depth for more than 210 days in most years or, if there is no slowly permeable layer within 80 cm depth, it is wet within 40 cm depth for 91-210 days in most years.

Wetness Class V

The soil profile is wet within 40 cm depth for 211-335 days in most years.

Wetness Class VI

The soil profile is wet within 40 cm depth for more than 335 days in most years.

Notes: The number of days specified is not necessarily a continuous period.

'In most years' is defined as more than 10 out of 20 years.

Source: Hodgson, J M (Ed) (1997) Soil Survey Field Handbook. Soil Survey Technical Monograph No 5, Silsoe.

APPENDIX III

ABBREVIATIONS AND TERMS USED IN SURVEY DATA

Soil pit and auger boring information collected during ALC survey is held on a computer database and is reproduced in this report. Terms used and abbreviations are set out below. These conform to definitions contained in the Soil Survey Field Handbook (Hodgson, 1997).

1. Terms used on computer database, in order of occurrence.

GRID REF: National 100 km grid square and 8 figure grid reference.

LAND USE: At the time of survey

WHT:	Wheat	SBT:	Sugar Beet	HTH:	Heathland
BAR:	Barley	BRA:	Brassicas	BOG:	Bog or Marsh
OAT:	Oats	FCD:	Fodder Crops	DCW:	Deciduous Wood
CER:	Cereals	FRT:	Soft and Top Fruit	CFW:	Coniferous Woodland
MZE:	Maize	HRT:	Horticultural Crops	PLO:	Ploughed
OSR:	Oilseed Rape	LEY:	Ley Grass	FLW:	Fallow (inc. Set aside)
POT:	Potatoes	PGR:	Permanent Pasture	SAS:	Set Aside (where known)
T INI.	Limpood	DCD.	Pough Grazing	OTU.	Other

LIN: Linseed RGR: Rough Grazing OTH: Other

BEN: Field Beans SCR: Scrub

GRDNT: Gradient as estimated or measured by hand-held optical clinometer.

GLEY. SPL: Depth in centimetres to gleying or slowly permeable layer.

AP (WHEAT/POTS): Crop-adjusted available water capacity.

MB (WHEAT/POTS): Moisture Balance. (Crop adjusted AP - crop potential

MD)

DRT: Best grade according to soil droughtiness.

If any of the following factors are considered significant, 'Y' will be entered in the relevant column.

MREL: Microrelief limitation FLOOD: Flood risk EROSN: Soil erosion risk EXP: Exposure limitation FROST: Frost prone DIST: Disturbed land

CHEM: Chemical limitation

LIMIT: The main limitation to land quality: The following abbreviations are

used.

OC: Overall Climate AE: Aspect EX: Exposure FR: Frost Risk GR: Gradient MR: Microrelief

FL: Flood Risk TX: Topsoil Texture DP: Soil Depth CH: Chemical WE: Wetness WK: Workability

DR: Drought ER: Erosion Risk WD: Soil

Wetness/Droughtiness

ST: Topsoil Stoniness

TEXTURE: Soil texture classes are denoted by the following abbreviations:-

S: Sand LS: Loamy Sand SL: Sandy Loam SZL: Sandy Silt Loam CL: Clay Loam ZCL Silty Clay Loam ZL: Silt Loam SCL: Sandv Clay C: Clay Loam SC: Sandy clay ZC: Organic Loam Silty clay OL: P: Peat SP: Sandy Peat LP: Loamy Peat PL: PS: MZ: Marine Light Silts Peaty Loam **Peaty Sand**

For the sand, loamy sand, sandy loam and sandy silt loam classes, the predominant size of sand fraction will be indicated by the use of the following prefixes:-

F: Fine (more than 66% of the sand less than 0.2mm)

M: Medium (less than 66% fine sand and less than 33% coarse sand)

C: Coarse (more than 33% of the sand larger than 0.6mm)

The clay loam and silty clay loam classes will be sub-divided according to the clay content: M: Medium (< 27% clay) H: heavy (27 - 35% clay)

MOTTLE COL: Mottle colour using Munsell notation.

MOTTLE ABUN: Mottle abundance, expressed as a percentage of the matrix or surface described.

F: few <2% C: common 2 - 20% M: many 20 - 40% VM: very many 40%+

MOTTLE CONT: Mottle contrast

F: faint - indistinct mottles, evident only on close inspection

D: distinct - mottles are readily seen

P: Prominent - mottling is conspicuous and one of the outstanding features of the horizon.

PED. COL: Ped face colour using Munsell notation.

GLEY: If the soil horizon is gleyed a 'Y' will appear in this column. If

slightly gleyed, an 'S' will appear.

STONE LITH: Stone Lithology - One of the following is used.

HR: All hard rocks and stones SLST: Soft oolitic or dolimitic limestone

CH: Chalk FSST: Soft, fine grained sandstone

ZR: Soft, argillaceous, or silty rocks GH: Gravel with non-porous (hard) stones

MSST: Soft, medium grained sandstone GS: Gravel with porous (soft) stones

SI: Soft weathered igneous or metamorphic rock

Stone contents are given in % by volume for sizes >2cm, >6cm and total stone >2mm.

STRUCT: The degree of development, size and shape of soil peds are described

using the following notation

Degree of development WA: Weakly developed WK: Weakly developed

Adherent

MD: Moderately ST: Strongly developed

developed

Ped size F: Fine M: Medium

C: Coarse VC: Very coarse

Ped Shape S: Single grain M: Massive

GR: Granular **AB:** Angular blocky

SAB: Sub-angular blocky PR: Prismatic

PL: Platy

CONSIST: Soil consistence is described using the following notation:

L: Loose VF: Very Friable FR: Friable FM: Firm

VM: Very firm EM: Extremely firm EH: Extremely Hard

SUBS STR: Subsoil structural condition recorded for the purpose of calculating

profile droughtiness: G: Good M: Moderate P: Poor

POR: Soil porosity. If a soil horizon has poor porosity with less than 0.5% biopores

>0.5mm, a 'Y' will appear in this column.

IMP: If the profile is impenetrable to rooting a 'Y' will appear in this column at the

appropriate horizon.

SPL: Slowly permeable layer. If the soil horizon is slowly permeable a 'Y' will

appear in this column.

CALC: If the soil horizon is calcareous with naturally occurring calcium

carbonate exceeding 1% a 'Y' will appear this column.

2. Additional terms and abbreviations used mainly in soil pit descriptions.

STONE ASSESSMENT:

VIS: Visual S: Sieve D: Displacement

MOTTLE SIZE:

EF: Extremely fine <1mm M: Medium 5-15mm VF: Very fine 1-2mm> C: Coarse >15mm

F: Fine 2-5mm

MOTTLE COLOUR: May be described by Munsell notation or as ochreous

(OM) or grey (GM).

ROOT CHANNELS: In topsoil the presence of 'rusty root channels' should

also be noted.

MANGANESE CONCRETIONS: Assessed by volume

N: None M: Many 20-40% F: Few <2% VM: Very Many >40%

C: Common 2-20%

POROSITY:

P: Poor - less than 0.5% biopores at least 0.5mm in diameter
G: Good - more than 0.5% biopores at least 0.5mm in diameter

ROOT ABUNDANCE:

The number of roots per 100cm²: Very Fine and Fine Medium and Coarse

F: Few 1-10 1 or 2 C: Common 10.25 2 - 5 M: Many 25-200 >5

A: Abundant >200

ROOT SIZE

VF: Very fine <1mm M: Medium 2 - 5mm F: Fine 1-2mm C: Coarse >5mm

HORIZON BOUNDARY DISTINCTNESS:

 Sharp:
 <0.5cm</td>
 Gradual:
 6 - 13cm

 Abrupt:
 0.5 - 2.5cm
 Diffuse:
 >13cm

Clear: 2.5 - 6cm

HORIZON BOUNDARY FORM: Smooth, wavy, irregular or broken.*

* See Soil Survey Field Handbook (Hodgson, 1997) for details.

SITE NAME PROFILE NO. SLO Pit 1 (Asp 38)		SLOPE	E AND ASPECT			LAND USE			Rainfall:	1380mm		PARENT MATERIAL				
Camelfor	ti	Fill	(W2h 20)	3° NW	7		PGR			АТ		1395 day	°C	Devonian slate		
JOB NO.			REFERENCE 048318			DESCRIBED BY			Days:	268		PSD SAMPLES TAKEN				
39,97				PB			РВ			Climatic Grade: 3b Exposure Grade: 2		TS 0-25 cm MCL (HCL) (S23 : Z51 : C26%)				
Horizon No.	Lowest Av. Depth (cm)	Texture	Matrix (Ped Face) Colours	Stoning Size,Ty Field M	pe, and	Mottling Abundance Contrast, Size and Colour		Mangan Concs	Structure: Developme Size and Shape	Ped	Consistence	Structural Condition	Pores (Fissures)	Roots: Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary: Distinctness and form
1	20	MCL	10YR42	5% HR	(VIS)	0		0 -			-	-	G	MF.VF	-	Grad smooth
2	48	HCL	10YR43	10% H	R (VIS) 0			0 M FS.		В	FR	G	G	MF VF	-	Grad smooth
3	80+	ZC	25Y64	30% ZR	(VIS) FDMO * 7.5YR58		8	0 WCAI		3	FR	М	G	CVF	-	-
Profile Gleyed From: -					Available Water Wheat: 126 mm						Final ALC Grade: 3b					
Slowly Permeable - Horizon From:				Potatoes: 116 mm Moisture Deficit Wheat: 53mm						Main Limiting Factor(s): OC						
Wetness Class: I						Potatoes: 32 mm						:				
Wetness Grade: 3a Moisture Balance Wheat: 73 mm																
						Po	Potatoes: 84 mm					Remarks: *H3 mottles associated with weathering sto				
					Droughtine	Droughtiness Grade: 1 (Calculated to 100 cm)										