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## ILFRACOMBE

## AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION SURVEY

## INTRODUCTION

1. This report presents the findings of a detailed Agricultural Land Classification (ALC) survey of 122.1 ha of land at Ilfracombe. Field survey was based on 47 auger borings and 1 soil profile pit, and was completed in July 1998. During the survey 1 sample was analysed for particle size distribution (PSD).
2. The survey was conducted by the Resource Planning Team of FRCA Western Region on behalf of MAFF in its statutory role in the preparation of North Devon Local Plan.
3. Information on climate, geology and soils, and from previous ALC surveys was considered and is presented in the relevant section. Apart from the published regional ALC map (MAFF 1977), which shows the site at a reconnaissance scale as Grades 3 and 5, the site was previously surveyed in 1977 at a scale of $1: 50000$ (ADAS 1977). However, the current survey uses the Revised Guidelines and Criteria for Grading the Quality of Agricultural Land (MAFF, 1988) and supersedes any previous ALC survey. Grade descriptions are summarised in Appendix I.
4. At the time of survey land cover was mainly grass and rough grazing with one small field of cereals. An area of 19.2 ha of agricultural land within the survey area was not surveyed because permission for access was withheld. Other land which was not surveyed included mainly residential land, also a holiday camp, sports ground, a cemetery and several small parcels of waste ground around the west end of the cemetery.

## SUMMARY

5. The distribution of ALC grades is shown on the accompanying 1: 10000 scale ALC map. The detail of information shown at this scale is appropriate to the intensity of field survey but could be misleading if enlarged or applied to small areas. Areas are summarised in the Table 1.

Table 1: Distribution of ALC grades: Ilfracombe

| Grade | Area (ha) | \% Surveyed Area (69.5 ha) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 0.6 |  |
| 3a | 14.4 | 1 |
| 3b | 33.7 | 21 |
| 4 | 9.6 | 48 |
| 5 | 11.2 | 14 |
| Agricultural land not surveyed | 19.2 | 16 |
| Other land | 33.4 |  |
| Total site area | 122.1 |  |

6. This shows that only $22 \%$ of the area surveyed was found to be best and most versatile. This was mainly Subgrade 3a limited mainly by restricted workability. The rest of the area surveyed was found to be Subgrade 3 b , Grade 4 and Grade 5 all mainly limited by gradient but also by restricted soil depth and microrelief where outcrops of slate occur as tors around the west and north of the site.

## CLIMATE

7. Estimates of climatic variables for this site were derived from the published agricultural climate dataset "Climatological Data for Agricultural Land Classification" (Meteorological Office, 1989) using standard interpolation procedures. Data for key points around the site are given in Table 2 below.
8. Since the ALC grade of land is determined by the most limiting factor present, overall climate is considered first because it can have an overriding influence by restricting land to a lower grade despite more favourable site and soil conditions. Parameters used for assessing overall climate are accumulated temperature, a measure of relative warmth and average annual rainfall, a measure of overall wetness. The results shown in Table 2 indicate that there is an overall climatic limitation which limits the land to Grade 2.
9. Climatic variables also affect ALC grade through interactions with soil conditions. The most important interactive variables are Field Capacity Days (FCD) which are used in assessing soil wetness and potential Moisture Deficits calculated for wheat and potatoes, which are compared with the moisture available in each profile in assessing soil droughtiness limitations. These are described in later sections. A critical boundary of 225 FC Days was found to run approximately along the $140-145$ metre contour.

## Table 2: Climatic Interpolations: Ilfracombe

| Grid Reference | SS 530 462 | SS 524 473 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Altitude (m) | 190 | 100 |
| Accumulated Temperature (day ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) | 1369 | 1471 |
| Average Annual Rainfall (mm) | 1169 | 1071 |
| Overall Climatic Grade | 2 | 2 |
| Field Capacity Days | 232 | 217 |
| Moisture deficit $(\mathrm{mm}): \quad$ Wheat | 61 | 76 |
|  | 42 | 62 |

10. There was considered to be a limitation due to exposure on the highest land in the south of the site, but this would be no more than to Grade 2 and therefore would not be a primary limitation.

## RELIEF

11. Altitude ranges from to 75 metres in the south west corner of the site to 193 metres near Channel Farm with mainly strong slopes, which limit the land to Subgrade 3b but with several areas of steeper slopes, particularly the steep valley sides to the west of Winsham Farm, which are limited to Grade 5 with some Grade 4. The main area not limited by gradient was found around Channel Farm in the south of the site.
12. The several areas of slate outcrop, mainly to the south of Bowden Farm were considered to give rise to a limitation due to microrelief in an otherwise more moderate overall gradient. However, the principal limitation around these outcrops was considered to be restricted soil depth.

## GEOLOGY AND SOILS

13. The underlying geology of the site is shown on the published geology map (IGS, 1981) as Kentisbury slate through much of the north of the site with Morte slates in the south. This was entirely consistent with the findings of the current survey although there appear to be no distinction between the two types of slate with respect to ALC.
14. Soils were mapped by the Soil Survey of England and Wales at a reconnaissance scale of 1:250 000 (SSEW, 1983) as Denbigh 1 Association. This is described as comprising well drained fine loamy and fine silty soils over rock with some similar soils having slowly permeable subsoils and slight seasonal waterlogging and with shallow soils locally. This was entirely borne out by the current survey which found mainly well drained profiles. At only two borings was a wetness limitation identified.

## AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION

15. The distribution of ALC grades found by the current survey is shown on the accompanying 1: 10000 scale map and areas are summarised in Table 1. The detail of information shown at this scale is appropriate to the intensity of field survey but could be misleading if enlarged or applied to small areas.

## Grade 2

16. The small area of Grade 2 shown in the north of the site is based on a single boring which found medium clay loam topsoil at Wetness Class I, a minor limitation due to restricted workability. This is included to illustrate a distinction from the area described as Subgrade 3a, below, as this small area lies below, 225 FC day boundary.

## Subgrade 3a

17. The area shown as Subgrade 3a was also found to be medium clay loam topsoil at Wetness Class I but with climatic data indicating FC days in excess of 225. This is illustrated by Pit 1 which was located to test the droughtiness grade of a shallower phase of such profiles where weathered slate was encountered within the upper to middle subsoil over impenetrable slate rock within 80 cm . Stone contents at this pit were assessed by sieving and displacement
and found this profile to be droughtiness Grade 2. Most other borings within the area shown as Subgrade 3a were found to be deeper than at Pit 1 (ASP 100).

## Subgrade 3b

18. The area shown as Subgrade 3b was found to be limited mainly by strong slopes of between 8 and $11^{\circ}$.

## Grade 4

19. Much of the area shown as Grade 4 was found to be limited by gradient with moderately steep slopes of 12 to $18^{\circ}$.
20. Apart from overall gradient, the area shown as Grade 4 at Shield Tor (ASP 53) was also limited by the presence of repeated small outcrops of slate which prevent ploughing and harbour rabbits and represent a secondary limitation due to microrelief.

## Grade 5

21. Much of the area shown as Grade 5 was found to be limited by steep slopes of around $20^{\circ}$.
22. Other small areas shown as Grade 5 to the south of Bowden Farm represent the occurrence of slate outcrops where restricted soil depth is the primary limitation, with bare rock in places.
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## APPENDIX I

## DESCRIPTION OF GRADES AND SUBGRADES

## Grade 1 - excellent quality agricultural land

Land with no or very minor limitations to agricultural use. A very wide range of agricultural and horticultural crops can be grown and commonly include top fruit, soft fruit, salad crops and winter harvested vegetables. Yields are high and less variable than on land of lower quality.

## Grade 2 - very good quality agricultural land

Land with minor limitations which affect crop yield, cultivations or harvesting. A wide range of agricultural and horticultural crops can usually be grown but on some land in the grade there may be reduced flexibility due to difficulties with the production of the more demanding crops such as winter harvested vegetables and arable root crops. The level of yield is generally high but may be lower or more variable than Grade 1.

## Grade 3 -good to moderate quality agricultural land

Land with moderate limitations which affect the choice of crops, timing and type of cultivation, harvesting or the level of yield. Where more demanding crops are grown yields are generally lower or more variable than on land in Grades 1 and 2.

## Subgrade 3a-good quality agricultural land

Land capable of consistently producing moderate to high yields of a narrow range of arable crops, especially cereals, or moderate yields of a wide range of crops including cereals, grass, oilseed rape, potatoes, sugar beet and the less demanding horticultural crops.

## Subgrade 3b-moderate quality agricultural land

Land capable of producing moderate yields of a narrow range of crops, principally cereals and grass, or lower yields of a wider range of crops or high yields of grass which can be grazed or harvested over most of the year.

## Grade 4 -poor quality agricultural land

Land with severe limitations which significantly restrict the range of crops and/or level of yields. It is mainly suited to grass with occasional arable crops (eg cereals and forage crops) the yields of which are variable. In most climates, yields of grass may be moderate to high but there may be difficulties in utilisation. The grade also includes very droughty arable land.

## Grade 5 - very poor quality agricultural land

Land with very severe limitations which restrict use to permanent pasture or rough grazing, except for occasional pioneer forage crops.

Source: MAFF (1988) Agricultural Land Classification of England and Wales Revised Guidelines and Criteria for Grading the Quality of Agricultural Land, MAFF Publications, Alnwick.

## APPENDIX II

## DEFINITION OF SOIL WETNESS CLASSES

Soil wetness is classified according to the depth and duration of waterlogging in the soil profile.

## Wetness Class I

The soil profile is not wet within 70 cm depth for more than 30 days in most years.

## Wetness Class II

The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for $31-90$ days in most years or, if there is no slowly permeable layer within 80 cm depth, it is wet within 70 cm for more than 90 days, but not wet within 40 cm depth for more than 30 days in most years.

## Wetness Class III

The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for $91-180$ days in most years or, if there is no slowly permeable layer within 80 cm depth, it is wet within 70 cm for more than 180 days, but only wet within 40 cm depth for between 31 and 90 days in most years.

## Wetness Class IV

The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for more than 180 days but not within 40 cm depth for more than 210 days in most years or, if there is no slowly permeable layer within 80 cm depth, it is wet within 40 cm depth for 91-210 days in most years.

## Wetness Class V

The soil profile is wet within 40 cm depth for 211-335 days in most years.

## Wetness Class VI

The soil profile is wet within 40 cm depth for more than 335 days in most years.
Notes: The number of days specified is not necessarily a continuous period.
'In most years' is defined as more than 10 out of 20 years.
Source: Hodgson, J M (Ed) (1997) Soil Survey Field Handbook. Soil Survey Technical Monograph No 5, Silsoe.

## APPENDIX III

## ABBREVIATIONS AND TERMS USED IN SURVEY DATA

Soil pit and auger boring information collected during ALC survey is held on a computer database and is reproduced in this report. Terms used and abbreviations are set out below. These conform to definitions contained in the Soil Survey Field Handbook (Hodgson, 1997).

1. Terms used on computer database, in order of occurrence.

GRID REF: National 100 km grid square and 8 figure grid reference.
LAND USE: At the time of survey

| WHT: | Wheat | SBT: | Sugar Beet | HTH: | Heathland |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| BAR: | Barley | BRA: | Brassicas | BOG: | Bog or Marsh |
| OAT: | Oats | FCD: | Fodder Crops | DCW: | Deciduous Wood |
| CER: | Cereals | FRT: | Soft and Top Fruit | CFW: | Coniferous Woodland |
| MZE: | Maize | HRT: | Horticultural Crops | PLO: | Ploughed |
| OSR: | Oilseed Rape | LEY: | Ley Grass | FLW: | Fallow (inc. Set aside) |
| POT: | Potatoes | PGR: | Permanent Pasture | SAS: | Set Aside (where known) |
| LIN: | Linseed | RGR: | Rough Grazing | OTH: | Other |
| BEN: | Field Beans | SCR: | Scrub |  |  |

GRDNT: Gradient as estimated or measured by hand-held optical clinometer.

GLEY, SPL: Depth in centimetres to gleying or slowly permeable layer.
AP (WHEAT/POTS): Crop-adjusted available water capacity.
MB (WHEAT/POTS): Moisture Balance. (Crop adjusted AP - crop potential MD)

DRT: Best grade according to soil droughtiness.

If any of the following factors are considered significant, ' Y ' will be entered in the relevant column.

| MREL: | Microrelief limitation | FLOOD: | Flood risk | EROSN: | Soil erosion risk |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| EXP: | Exposure limitation | FROST: | Frost prone | DIST: | Disturbed land |

CHEM: Chemical limitation
LIMIT: The main limitation to land quality: The following abbreviations are used.

| OC: | Overall Climate | AE: | Aspect | EX: | Exposure |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| FR: | Frost Risk | GR: | Gradient | MR: | Microrelief |


| FL: | Flood Risk | TX: | Topsoil Texture | DP: | Soil Depth |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CH: | Chemical | WE: | Wetness | WK: | Workability |
| DR: | Drought | ER: | Erosion Risk | WD: | Soil |
|  |  |  |  |  | Wetness/Droughtiness |

ST: Topsoil Stoniness
TEXTURE: Soil texture classes are denoted by the following abbreviations:-

| S: | Sand | LS: | Loamy Sand | SL: | Sandy Loam |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| SZL: | Sandy Silt Loam | CL: | Clay Loam | ZCL | Silty Clay Loam |
| ZL: | Silt Loam | SCL: | Sandy | Clay | C: |
| Clay |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Loam |  |  |
| SC: | Sandy clay | ZC: | Silty clay | OL: | Organic Loam |
| P: | Peat | SP: | Sandy Peat | LP: | Loamy Peat |
| PL: | Peaty Loam | PS: | Peaty Sand | MZ: | Marine Light Silts |

For the sand, loamy sand, sandy loam and sandy silt loam classes, the predominant size of sand fraction will be indicated by the use of the following prefixes:-

F: $\quad$ Fine (more than $66 \%$ of the sand less than 0.2 mm )
M: Medium (less than $66 \%$ fine sand and less than $33 \%$ coarse sand)
C: $\quad$ Coarse (more than $33 \%$ of the sand larger than 0.6 mm )
The clay loam and silty clay loam classes will be sub-divided according to the clay content: M: Medium (<27\% clay) H: heavy (27-35\% clay)

MOTTLE COL: Mottle colour using Munsell notation.
MOTTLE ABUN: Mottle abundance, expressed as a percentage of the matrix or surface described.

F: few <2\% C: common2-20\% M: many 20-40\% VM: very many 40\%+
MOTTLE CONT: Mottle contrast
F: faint - indistinct mottles, evident only on close inspection
D: distinct - mottles are readily seen
P: Prominent - mottling is conspicuous and one of the outstanding features of the horizon.

PED. COL: Ped face colour using Munsell notation.
GLEY: If the soil horizon is gleyed a ' Y ' will appear in this column. If slightly gleyed, an ' S ' will appear.

STONE LITH: Stone Lithology - One of the following is used.
HR: All hard rocks and stones
SLST: Soft oolitic or dolimitic limestone

| CH: | Chalk | FSST: | Soft, fine grained sandstone |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ZR: | Soft, argillaceous, or silty rocks | GH: | Gravel with non-porous (hard) stones |
| MSST: | Soft, medium grained sandstone | GS: | Gravel with porous (soft) stones |
| SI: | Soft weathered igneous or metamorphic rock |  |  |

Stone contents are given in $\%$ by volume for sizes $>2 \mathrm{~cm},>6 \mathrm{~cm}$ and total stone $>2 \mathrm{~mm}$.
STRUCT: The degree of development, size and shape of soil peds are described using the following notation

## Degree of development

| WA: Weakly developed | WK: | Weakly developed |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Adherent |  |  |
| MD: Moderately <br> developed | ST: | Strongly developed |

Ped size

Ped Shape
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { F: } & \text { Fine } \\ \text { C: } & \text { Coarse }\end{array}$
S: Single grain
GR: Granular
SAB: Sub-angular blocky
PL: Platy

M: Medium
VC: Very coarse

M: Massive
AB: Angular blocky
PR: Prismatic
(

CONSIST: Soil consistence is described using the following notation:
L: Loose VF: Very Friable FR: Friable FM: Firm
VM: Very firm EM: Extremely firm
EH: Extremely Hard
SUBS STR: Subsoil structural condition recorded for the purpose of calculating profile droughtiness: G: Good M: Moderate P: Poor

POR: Soil porosity. If a soil horizon has poor porosity with less than $0.5 \%$ biopores $>0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$, a ' Y ' will appear in this column.

IMP: If the profile is impenetrable to rooting a ' Y ' will appear in this column at the appropriate horizon.

SPL: Slowly permeable layer. If the soil horizon is slowly permeable a ' Y ' will appear in this column.

CALC: If the soil horizon is calcareous with naturally occurring calcium carbonate exceeding $1 \%$ a ' Y ' will appear this column.
2. Additional terms and abbreviations used mainly in soil pit descriptions.

## STONE ASSESSMENT:

VIS: Visual
S: Sieve
D: Displacement

MOTTLE SIZE:
EF: Extremely fine $<1 \mathrm{~mm}$
M: Medium $5-15 \mathrm{~mm}$
VF: Very fine $1-2 \mathrm{~mm}>$
C: $\quad$ Coarse $>15 \mathrm{~mm}$
F: Fine $2-5 \mathrm{~mm}$

MOTTLE COLOUR: May be described by Munsell notation or as ochreous (OM) or grey (GM).
ROOT CHANNELS: In topsoil the presence of 'rusty root channels' should also be noted.

MANGANESE CONCRETIONS: Assessed by volume

| N: | None |  | M: | Many | $20-40 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| F: | Few | $<2 \%$ | VM: | Very Many | $>40 \%$ |
| C: | Common | $2-20 \%$ |  |  |  |

## POROSITY:

P: Poor - less than $0.5 \%$ biopores at least 0.5 mm in diameter
G: Good - more than $0.5 \%$ biopores at least 0.5 mm in diameter

## ROOT ABUNDANCE:

| The number of roots per $100 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}:$ | Very Fine and Fine | Medium and Coarse |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| F: | Few | $1-10$ | 1 or 2 |
| C: | Common | 10.25 | $2-5$ |
| M: | Many | $25-200$ | $>5$ |
| A: | Abundant | $>200$ |  |

## ROOT SIZE

| VF: | Very fine | $<1 \mathrm{~mm}$ | M: | Medium | $2-5 \mathrm{~mm}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| F: | Fine | $1-2 \mathrm{~mm}$ | C: | Coarse | $>5 \mathrm{~mm}$ |

HORIZON BOUNDARY DISTINCTNESS:

| Sharp: | $<0.5 \mathrm{~cm}$ | Gradual: | $6-13 \mathrm{~cm}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Abrupt: | $0.5-2.5 \mathrm{~cm}$ | Diffuse: | $>13 \mathrm{~cm}$ |
| Clear: | $2.5-6 \mathrm{~cm}$ |  |  |

HORIZON BOUNDARY FORM: Smooth, wavy, irregular or broken.*

* See Soil Survey Field Handbook (Hodgson, 1997) for details.
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