# Tytherington Quarry Agricultural Land Classification March 1999

Resource Planning Team FRCA Worcester Western Region

Job Number 64/98

MAFF Ref EL 44/01605



# TYTHERINGTON QUARRY

# AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION SURVEY

CO	NT	Œ	NΤ	ГS

		Page
INTRODUCTIO	N	1
SUMMARY		1
CLIMATE		2
RELIEF		2
GEOLOGY ANI	SOILS	2
AGRICULTURA	AL LAND CLASSIFICATION AND MAP	3
REFERENCES		5
APPENDIX I	Description of the Grades and Subgrades	6
APPENDIX II	Definition of Soil Wetness Classes	8
APPENDIX III	Survey Data	9
	Sample Point Location Map	
	Pit Descriptions	
	Boring Profile Data	
	Boring Horizon Data	
	Abbreviations and Terms used	ın Survey Data

#### TYTHERINGTON QUARRY

#### AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION SURVEY

#### INTRODUCTION

- This report presents the findings of a detailed Agricultural Land Classification (ALC) survey of 45 8 ha of land at Tytherington Field survey was based on 44 auger borings and 5 soil profile pits and was completed in September 1998 During the survey 3 samples were analysed for particle size distribution (PSD)
- The survey was conducted by the Resource Planning Team of FRCA Western Region on behalf of MAFF in its statutory role in the preparation of the South Gloucestershire Minerals Local Plan
- Information on climate geology and soils and from previous ALC surveys was considered and is presented in the relevant section. Apart from the published regional ALC map (MAFF 1977) which shows the site at a reconnaissance scale as wholly Grade 3 the site had not been surveyed previously. The current survey uses the Revised Guidelines and Criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land (MAFF 1988) and supersedes any previous ALC survey. Grade descriptions are summarised in Appendix I
- At the time of survey land cover was largely under grass with some fields recently ploughed following a cereal crop Other land which was not surveyed included agricultural and residential buildings woodland and a trackway

#### **SUMMARY**

The distribution of ALC grades is shown on the accompanying 1 10000 scale ALC map. The detail of information shown at this scale is appropriate to the intensity of field survey but could be misleading if enlarged or applied to small areas. Areas are summarised in the Table 1

Table 1 Distribution of ALC grades Tytherington Quarry

Grade	Area (ha)	% Surveyed Area (44 9 ha)		
3a	5 2	11 6		
3a 3b 4	24 0	53 4		
4	15 7	35 0		
Other land	0 9			
Total site area	45 8	1000		

Best and most versatile land only covers a small area in the north of the site. The soils have heavy clay loam topsoils overlying clay onto fissured limestone. The soils are slightly droughty but are limited by topsoil workability.

The remainder of the site has been described as Subgrade 3b and Grade 4 in quality The Subgrade 3b soils have heavy clay loam topsoils which overlie shallow stony clay subsoils over limestone. The soils are limited by soil droughtiness. The Grade 4 soils occur around Dodsmoor and in a band west of Ramsoak Cottage. These soils have heavy clay loam topsoils overlying gleyed clay subsoils to depth, and are limited by soil wetness.

#### **CLIMATE**

- Estimates of climatic variables for this site were derived from the published agricultural climate dataset Climatological Data for Agricultural Land Classification (Meteorological Office 1989) using standard interpolation procedures. Data for key points around the site are given in Table 2 below
- Since the ALC grade of land is determined by the most limiting factor present overall climate is considered first because it can have an overriding influence by restricting land to a lower grade despite more favourable site and soil conditions. Parameters used for assessing overall climate are accumulated temperature a measure of relative warmth and average annual rainfall a measure of overall wetness. The results shown in Table 2 indicate that there is no overall climatic limitation.
- Climatic variables also affect ALC grade through interactions with soil conditions. The most important interactive variables are Field Capacity Days (FCD) which are used in assessing soil wetness and potential Moisture Deficits calculated for wheat and potatoes which are compared with the moisture available in each profile in assessing soil droughtiness limitations. These are described in later sections.

Table 2 Climatic Interpolations Tytherington Quarry

Grid Reference	ST 652 879	
Altitude (m)	91	
Accumulated Temperature (day C)	1437	
Average Annual Rainfall (mm)	827	
Overall Climatic Grade	1	
Field Capacity Days	181	
Moisture deficit (mm) Wheat	93	
Potatoes	83	

#### RELIEF

Altitude ranges from 91 metres across the east and south of the site to 96 metres just north of Ramsoak Cottage in the east with generally level land

#### GEOLOGY AND SOILS

- The underlying geology of the site is shown on the published geology map (IGS 1978) as largely Carboniferous limestones with Triassic clays and limestones in the central east and extreme west of the site and a very small area of Jurassic clays in the extreme west. In the recent ALC survey the site was largely underlain by limestone with clay soils identified over those areas shown as Jurassic and Triassic clays.
- Soils were mapped by the Soil Survey of England and Wales at a reconnaissance scale of 1 250 000 (SSEW 1983) as wholly the Denchworth Soil Association
- The Denchworth Soil Association is described as having slowly permeable seasonally waterlogged clayey soils with similar fine loamy over clayey soils
- In the recent survey clayey waterlogged soils were found in the west and east of the site but remaining soils were found to be clayey overlying limestone

## AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION

The distribution of ALC grades found by the current survey is shown on the accompanying 1 10000 scale map and areas are summarised in Table 1. The detail of information shown at this scale is appropriate to the intensity of field survey but could be misleading if enlarged or applied to small areas.

# 18 Subgrade 3a

Good quality agricultural land was identified over a small area in the north of the site. The soils were described as having heavy clay loam topsoil texture overlying a clay upper subsoil and a stony clay lower subsoil over a soft fissured limestone. A soil profile pit confirmed that the soils were only slightly droughty but that the heavy topsoil imposed a workability limitation.

## 19 Subgrade 3b

Land of moderate quality has been mapped over half of the site. The soils were described as having heavy clay loam topsoils which overlay stony clay loam subsoils which passed abruptly onto hard fissured limestone. Two soil profile pits confirmed that the soil had a soil droughtiness limitation due to the nature of the limestone and the restriction to root penetration.

#### 20 Grade 4

Land of poor quality was identified north of Dodsmoor and West of Ramsoak Cottage The soils typically have heavy clay loam topsoils overlying a gleyed grey clay to depth Two soil profile pits confirmed that the clay was slowly permeable and the soils were placed into wetness class IV (see Appendix II) and Grade 4

# 21 Other land

Other land included agricultural and residential buildings woodland and a trackway

S HUNTER
Resource Planning Team
FRCA Worcester
March 99

#### REFERENCES

INSTITUTE OF GEOLOGICAL SCIENCES (1978) Sheet 250 Chepstow 1 50 000 series Solid edition [IGS] London

HODGSON J M (Ed) (1997) Soil Survey Field Handbook Soil Survey Technical Monograph No 5 Silsoe

MAFF (1977) 1 250 000 series Agricultural Land Classification, South West Region MAFF / Publications Alnwick

MAFF (1988) Agricultural Land Classification of England and Wales Revised Guidelines and Criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land MAFF Publications Alnwick

METEOROLOGICAL OFFICE (1989) Climatological Data for Agricultural Land Classification Meteorological Office Bracknell

SOIL SURVEY OF ENGLAND AND WALES (1983) Sheet 5 Soils of South West England 1 250 000 scale SSEW Harpenden

SOIL SURVEY OF ENGLAND AND WALES (1984) Soils and Their Use in South West England Bulletin No 14 SSEW Harpenden

#### APPENDIX I

# **DESCRIPTION OF GRADES AND SUBGRADES**

# Grade 1 excellent quality agricultural land

Land with no or very minor limitations to agricultural use. A very wide range of agricultural and horticultural crops can be grown and commonly include top fruit soft fruit salad crops and winter harvested vegetables. Yields are high and less variable than on land of lower quality.

## Grade 2 very good quality agricultural land

Land with minor limitations which affect crop yield cultivations or harvesting. A wide range of agricultural and horticultural crops can usually be grown but on some land in the grade there may be reduced flexibility due to difficulties with the production of the more demanding crops such as winter harvested vegetables and arable root crops. The level of yield is generally high but may be lower or more variable than Grade 1

# Grade 3 good to moderate quality agricultural land

Land with moderate limitations which affect the choice of crops timing and type of cultivation harvesting or the level of yield. Where more demanding crops are grown yields are generally lower or more variable than on land in Grades 1 and 2

## Subgrade 3a good quality agricultural land

Land capable of consistently producing moderate to high yields of a narrow range of arable crops especially cereals or moderate yields of a wide range of crops including cereals grass oilseed rape potatoes sugar beet and the less demanding horticultural crops

## Subgrade 3b moderate quality agricultural land

Land capable of producing moderate yields of a narrow range of crops principally cereals and grass or lower yields of a wider range of crops or high yields of grass which can be grazed or harvested over most of the year

# Grade 4 poor quality agricultural land

Land with severe limitations which significantly restrict the range of crops and/or level of yields. It is mainly suited to grass with occasional arable crops (eg cereals and forage crops) the yields of which are variable. In most climates, yields of grass may be moderate to high but there may be difficulties in utilisation. The grade also includes very droughty arable land.

# Grade 5 very poor quality agricultural land

Land with very severe limitations which restrict use to permanent pasture or rough grazing except for occasional pioneer forage crops

Source MAFF (1988) Agricultural Land Classification of England and Wales Revised Guidelines and Criteria for Grading the Quality of Agricultural Land MAFF Publications Alnwick

#### APPENDIX II

## **DEFINITION OF SOIL WETNESS CLASSES**

Soil wetness is classified according to the depth and duration of waterlogging in the soil profile

#### Wetness Class I

The soil profile is not wet within 70 cm depth for more than 30 days in most years

#### Wetness Class II

The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for 31 90 days in most years or if there is no slowly permeable layer within 80 cm depth, it is wet within 70 cm for more than 90 days but not wet within 40 cm depth for more than 30 days in most years

#### Wetness Class III

The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for 91 180 days in most years or if there is no slowly permeable layer within 80 cm depth it is wet within 70 cm for more than 180 days but only wet within 40 cm depth for between 31 and 90 days in most years

#### Wetness Class IV

The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for more than 180 days but not within 40 cm depth for more than 210 days in most years or if there is no slowly permeable layer within 80 cm depth it is wet within 40 cm depth for 91 210 days in most years

#### Wetness Class V

The soil profile is wet within 40 cm depth for 211 335 days in most years

#### Wetness Class VI

The soil profile is wet within 40 cm depth for more than 335 days in most years

Notes The number of days specified is not necessarily a continuous period

In most years is defined as more than 10 out of 20 years

Source Hodgson J M (Ed) (1997) Soil Survey Field Handbook Soil Survey Technical Monograph No 5 Silsoe

#### APPENDIX III

#### ABBREVIATIONS AND TERMS USED IN SURVEY DATA

Soil pit and auger boring information collected during ALC survey is held on a computer database and is reproduced in this report. Terms used and abbreviations are set out below. These conform to definitions contained in the Soil Survey Field Handbook (Hodgson, 1997).

# 1 Terms used on computer database, in order of occurrence

GRID REF National 100 km grid square and 8 figure grid reference

LAND USE At the time of survey

WHT	Wheat	SBT	Sugar Beet	HTH	Heathland
BAR	Barley	BRA	Brassicas	BOG	Bog or Marsh
OAT	Oats	FCD	Fodder Crops	DCW	Deciduous Wood
CER	Cereals	FRT	Soft and Top Fruit	<b>CFW</b>	Conferous Woodland
MZE	Maıze	HRT	Horticultural Crops	PLO	Ploughed
OSR	Oilseed Rape	LEY	Ley Grass	<b>FLW</b>	Fallow (inc Set aside)
POT	Potatoes	PGR	Permanent Pasture	SAS	Set Aside (where known)
LIN	Linseed	RGR	Rough Grazing	OTH	Other
BEN	Field Beans	SCR	Scrub		

GRDNT Gradient as estimated or measured by hand held optical clinometer

GLEY SPL Depth in centimetres to gleying or slowly permeable layer

**AP (WHEAT/POTS)** Crop adjusted available water capacity

MB (WHEAT/POTS) Moisture Balance (Crop adjusted AP crop potential

MD)

**DRT** Best grade according to soil droughtiness

If any of the following factors are considered significant Y will be entered in the relevant column

MREL	Microrelief limitation	FLOOD	Flood risk	<b>EROSN</b>	Soil erosion risk
EXP	Exposure limitation	FROST	Frost prone	DIST	Disturbed land
CHEM	Chemical limitation				

LIMIT The main limitation to land quality The following abbreviations are used

OC	Overall Climate	ΑE	Aspect	$\mathbf{E}\mathbf{X}$	Exposure
FR	Frost Risk	GR	Gradient	MR	Microrelief
FL	Flood Risk	TX	Topsoil Texture	DP	Soil Depth

CH	Chemical	WE	Wetness	WK	Workability

DR Drought ER Erosion Risk WD Soil Wetness/Droughtiness

ST Topsoil Stoniness

# **TEXTURE** Soil texture classes are denoted by the following abbreviations

S	Sand	LS	Loamy Sand	SL	Sandy Loam
SZL	Sandy Silt Loam	CL	Clay Loam	<b>ZCL</b>	Silty Clay Loam
ZL	Silt Loam	SCL	Sandy Clay Loam	C	Clay
SC	Sandy clay	ZC	Silty clay	OL	Organic Loam
P	Peat	SP	Sandy Peat	LP	Loamy Peat
PL	Peaty Loam	PS	Peaty Sand	MZ	Marine Light Silts

For the sand loamy sand sandy loam and sandy silt loam classes the predominant size of sand fraction will be indicated by the use of the following prefixes

- Fine (more than 66% of the sand less than 0 2mm)
- M Medium (less than 66% fine sand and less than 33% coarse sand)
- C Coarse (more than 33% of the sand larger than 0 6mm)

The clay loam and silty clay loam classes will be sub divided according to the clay content M Medium (< 27% clay) H heavy (27 35% clay)

MOTTLE COL Mottle colour using Munsell notation

MOTTLE ABUN Mottle abundance expressed as a percentage of the matrix or surface described

F few <2% C common 2 20% M many 20 40% VM very many 40%+

#### MOTTLE CONT Mottle contrast

- F faint indistinct mottles evident only on close inspection
- D distinct mottles are readily seen
- P Prominent mottling is conspicuous and one of the outstanding features of the horizon

# **PED COL** Ped face colour using Munsell notation

GLEY If the soil horizon is gleyed a Y will appear in this column If slightly gleyed an S will appear

# STONE LITH Stone Lithology One of the following is used

HR	All hard rocks and stones	SLST	Soft oolitic or dolimitic limestone
CH	Chalk	FSST	Soft fine grained sandstone
ZR	Soft argillaceous or silty rocks	GH	Gravel with non porous (hard) stones
MSST	Soft medium grained sandstone	GS	Gravel with porous (soft) stones

# SI Soft weathered igneous or metamorphic rock

Stone contents are given in % by volume for sizes >2cm >6cm and total stone >2mm

STRUCT The degree of development size and shape of soil peds are described using the following notation

Degree of development	WA Adher	Weakly developed ent	WK	Weakly developed
	MD develo	Moderately oped	ST	Strongly developed
Ped size	F C	Fine Coarse	M VC	Medium Very coarse
Ped Shape	S GR SAB PL	Single grain Granular Sub angular blocky Platy	M AB PR	Massive Angular blocky Prismatic

**CONSIST** Soil consistence is described using the following notation

L Loose VF Very Friable FR Friable FM Firm VM Very firm EM Extremely firm EH Extremely Hard

SUBS STR Subsoil structural condition recorded for the purpose of calculating profile droughtiness G Good M Moderate P Poor

POR Soil porosity If a soil horizon has poor porosity with less than 0 5% biopores >0 5mm a Y will appear in this column

IMP If the profile is impenetrable to rooting a Y will appear in this column at the appropriate horizon

SPL Slowly permeable layer If the soil horizon is slowly permeable a Y will appear in this column

CALC If the soil horizon is calcareous with naturally occurring calcium carbonate exceeding 1% a Y will appear this column

# 2 Additional terms and abbreviations used mainly in soil pit descriptions

#### STONE ASSESSMENT

VIS Visual S Sieve D Displacement

#### **MOTTLE SIZE**

EF Extremely fine <1mm M Medium 5 15mm

VF Very fine 1 2mm> C Coarse >15mm

F Fine 2 5mm

MOTTLE COLOUR May be described by Munsell notation or as ochreous

(OM) or grey (GM)

ROOT CHANNELS In topsoil the presence of rusty root channels should

also be noted

# MANGANESE CONCRETIONS Assessed by volume

N None M Many 20 40% F Few <2% VM Very Many >40%

C Common 2 20%

#### **POROSITY**

P Poor less than 0 5% biopores at least 0 5mm in diameter G Good more than 0 5% biopores at least 0 5mm in diameter

#### ROOT ABUNDANCE

The number of roots per 100cm <sup>2</sup>		Very Fine and Fine	Medium and Coarse
F	Few	1 10	1 or 2
C	Common	10 25	2 5
M	Many	25 200	>5
A	Abundant	>200	

## **ROOT SIZE**

VF	Very fine	<1mm	M	Medium	2 5mm
F	Fine	1 2mm	C	Coarse	>5mm

## HORIZON BOUNDARY DISTINCTNESS

 Sharp
 <0 5cm</th>
 Gradual
 6 13cm

 Abrupt
 0 5 2 5cm
 Diffuse
 >13cm

Clear 2 5 6cm

# HORIZON BOUNDARY FORM Smooth wavy irregular or broken \*

<sup>\*</sup> See Soil Survey Field Handbook (Hodgson 1997) for details

SITE NAME		PROI	PROFILE NO		AND A	SPECT	LAND US	E	Av F	Raınfall	827 mm		PARENT MATERIAL				
Tythering	ton	Pit 1 (ASP	28 21)	level			LEY	LEY		)	1437 day C		Lias clay				
JOB NO		DAT	•	GRID I	REFERE	ENCE	DESCRIBI	ED BY	FC I	Days	181		PSD SAMPLES TAKEN				
64/98		16/6/	98	ST 649	98785		S HUNTE	R		natic Grade	1		TS 0 25cm (	cm C/HCL S 24% Z 41%			
Horizon No	Lowest Av Depth (cm)	Texture	Matrix (Ped Face) Colours	Stoning Size Ty and Fig Method	/pe eld	Mottling Abundance Contrast Size and Colour	Mangan Concs	Structure Developme Size and S	Ped ent		Structural Condition	Pores (Fissures)	Roots Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary Distinctness and form		
1	23	С	10YR3/2 (10YR4/1)	0	COM 10YR 5/8		none	MD F+M SAB		FR		G	MF&VF		Smooth clear		
2	(34 )	С	25Y5/2	0		MOM 10YR6/8	none MDCS.		AB	FR	М	G	CVF		Smooth clear		
3	59	С	25Y5/2 25Y5/1 Grey Gley M	0		MOM 10YR5/8	common	MDVCPR MASSIVE		FM	P	P	FF+VF		Smooth clear		
4	100	С	N4	0		10YR5/8	none	none MDCA		FM	P	P	FVF				
Profile Gle	eyed From	23	1		A aılal	ble Water Who	eat 124 m	m			Final ALC G ade 4						
Slowly Pe Horizon F		40			Potatoes 101 mm						Main Limiting Factor(s) WE						
Wetness C	lass	IV			Moistu	re Deficit Who	eat 93 mm	n			Remarks						
						Pota	atoes 83 m	m			H2 Structure becomes prismatic towards H3						
Wetness C	ade	4			Mo stu	e Balance Who	eat +31 m	nm									
						Pota	ım										
					Drough	htiness Grade 1	cm)										

SITE NA	ME	PRO	FILE NO	SLOPE	E AND A	ASPECT		LAND US	Ε	Avī	Raınfall	827 mm		PARENT MATE	ERIAL	•	
Tythering	ton Quarr	y PIT2	(ASP 43)	1 SW				Permanent	grassland	АТО		1437 day C		Carboniferous limestone			
JOB NO		DAT	E	GRID	O REFERENCE			DESCRIBED BY		FC Days		181		PSD SAMPLES TAKEN			
64/98		30 9	30 9 98		ST 653875		ĺ	GMS/SYH		Climatic Grade		1		none			
					C4					Exposure Grade				<u> </u>	T		
Horizon No	Lowest Av Depth (cm)	Texture	Matrix (Ped Face) Colours	Stoning Size Ty and Fig Method	Type Abundance Contrast Size		Mangan Concs	Structure Ped Development Size and Shape		Consistence	Structural Pores Condition (Fissure		Roots Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary Distinctness and form		
1	21	HCL	75YR3/3	1% HR (			None	MDFGR		FR	G		MF+VF	Content	Smooth clear		
2	30	MCL	75YR4/3 3/3	35% > 2 10% 2 45% HR	CM	м   119110		None MD F M		AB	B FR G		G	MF+VF		Smooth clear	
3	43	HCL	75YR 4/3	60% > 2 18% < 2 78% HR	CM	CM   I		None	WKFGR		FR	G	G	MF+VF		Wavy abrup	
4	Solid	Limeston penetratir	e bedrock wit ng			racking down	whic	ch some v fu	ne roots are								
Profile Gl	eyed From	Not gle	eyed		Availa	ble Water	Whea	at 56 mm	1			Final ALC Grade 3b					
Slowly Pe Horizon F		No SP	L				Potat	oes 56 mn	1			Main Limiting Factor(s) DR					
Wetness (	Class	I			Moistu	re Deficit	Whea	at 93 mm	1			Remarks					
							Potat	oes 83 mi	n								
W tn s C	d d	3	3			re Balance	Whea	at 37 mi	m								
							Potat	oes 27 m	m								
						htiness Grade	3b	(Calcu	lated to 55	cm)							

SITE NA	ME	PRO	FILE NO	SLOPE	AND A	SPECT	[]	LAND USI	Ξ	Av I	Raınfall	827 mm		PARENT MATE	RIAL		
Tythering	ton Quarr	y PIT	3 (ASP3)	1 NW			]	Ploughed		ATC		1437 day	C	Carboniferous lin	mestone		
JOB NO		DAT	E	GRID I	REFERENCE		<del>-  </del> -	DESCRIBED BY		FC Days		181		PSD SAMPLES TAKEN			
64/98	64/98 30/9/98 ST		ST 653	3882			SH/GMS		Climatic Grade Exposure Grade		1		Topsoil 0 25cm MCL S 48% Z 30% C 22%		6		
Horizon No	Lowest Av Depth (cm)	Texture	Matrix Size Type Abundance (Ped Face) and Field Contrast Size Colours Method and Colour			Mangan Concs	Structure Pe Developmer Size and Sha		Consistence	Structural Condition	Pores (Fissures	Roots Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary Distinctness and form			
1	30	HCL	7 5YR4/3	0		None		None	one WKCSA		FR			MF&VF		Clear smooth	
2	48	С	5YR4/3	0		None		None	WKCA breaking i WKCSA	into	FR	М	G	CVF FF		Abrupt Wavy	
3	65	С	5YR4/3	45% SLS	ST (S)	None		None	None WKMSA		FR	G	G	FVF		Abrupt Wavy	
4	80+	Fissured s	soft limestone	roots pe	netratin	g the cracks	where	a little soil	does exist					FVF			
Profile Gle	eyed From	not gle	yed		Availal	ole Water	Wheat	t 107 m	m			Final ALC Grade 3a					
Slowly Pe Horizon F		no SPI					Potato	es 108 m	m			Main Limiting Factor(s) WK					
Wetness C	Class	I			Moistu	re Deficit	Wheat	t 93 mm	1			Remarks					
							Potato	oes 83 mr	n								
Wetness Grade 3a Moisture Balance				re Balance	Wheat	t 14 mm	ı										
							Potato	oes 25 mm	n								
Droughtiness Grad						ntiness Grade	2	(Calcu	lated to 90 a	cm)							

SITE NAME F		PRO	PROFILE NO		E AND A	SPECT	LA	AND US	E	Δvī		827 mm		PARENT MATE	ERIAL	<u> </u>	
Tythering	gton Quarr	y PIT	4	level			Plo	oughed	1	ATO		1437day C		Carboniferous lii	mestone		
JOB NO		DAT	'E	GRID	RID REFERENCE			DESCRIBED BY		FC Days		181		PSD SAMPLES TAKEN			
64/98	64/98 30/9/98		ST 652	552881		SY	SYH/GMS		Climatic Grade		1		none				
Horizon No	Lowest Av Depth (cm)	Texture   Matrix   Size   And I   Colours   Meth		Stomme Size Ty and Fie Method 29 HR(S	ype eld d	Mottling Abundance Contrast Size and Colour None		angan oncs None	Structure Ped Development Size and Shape WKCSAB		Consistence	Structural Condition	Pores (Fissures	Roots Abundance and Size CF+VF	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary Distinctness and form Smooth	
2	40	HCL	7 5YR54	60% HR	HR(S) None			None	MDCSAB		FR	M	G	CVF		Abrupt Irregular	
3	45+	Fissured	limestone roo	ts in crac	ks with	some soil		<del>,</del>	<u>l.,</u>		<del> </del>		<del> </del>	FVF	<del> </del>		
	eyed From	Not gle	•		Availat		Wheat	51 mn			J	Final ALC Grade 3b					
Slawly Pe Horizon F		No SP	L			İ	Potatoes	51 mn	1			Main Limiting Factor(s) DR					
Wetness C	Class	I			Moistu	re Deficit	Wheat	93 mn	n			Remarks					
						Potatoes											
Wetness C	Wetness Grade 2 M			Mo sture Balance Wheat 42 mm Potatoes 32 mm							Rock harder than Pit 3 but softer than Pit 2						
Droughtmess Grade 3b (Calculated to							alated to 60	cm)									

SITE NA	ME	PRO	PROFILE NO		AND A	SPECT	LAND US	SE	Avi	Raınfall	827 mm		PARENT MATE	RIAL	<u></u>	
Tythering	Tytherington Quarry PIT5 (ASP		(ASP 26)	2 NW			Permanent grassland		АТО		1437 day C		Triassic Penarth l	oeds		
JOB NO		DAT	É	GRID 1	REFERENCE		DESCRIB	DESCRIBED BY		Days	181		PSD SAMPLES TAKEN			
64/98		30 9	30 9 98   ST 655879   GMS/SYH					TS 0 25cm HCL C 28%	m HCL S 30% Z 42%							
Horizon No	Lowest Av Depth (cm)	Texture	Matrix (Ped Face) Colours	Stoning Size Ty and Fig Method	Type Abundance celd Contrast Size and Colour			Structure Developm Size and S	Ped ent hape	Consistence	Structural Condition	Pores (Fissures)	Roots Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary Distinctness and form	
1	22	HCL	10YR4/2	0		F 10YR 4/6	None	MDC+M	SAB FR			G	FM MF+VF	<u> </u> 	Smooth clear	
2	60	С	5Y5/2 (5Y5/2)	0		M F D 10YR5/6+5/8	Few	MDCPR BREAKING TO CAB		VM	P	P	CVF		Smooth clear	
3	Dug to 80 cm	С	5GY4/1	0		M F D 10YR5/6	None	MDVC	PR	VM	P	P	FVF			
Profile Gl	eyed F om	22cm		_	Availal	ble Water W	heat 125 n	nm			Final ALC Grade 4					
Slowly Pe Horizon F		22cm (	35)		Potatoes 102 mm						Main Limiting Factor(s) We					
Wetness (	Class	IV			Moistu	re Deficit W	heat 93 m	m			Remarks					
							otatoes 83 m				At top of H2 common worm channels but these become rare by 30cm					
Wetness (	Wetness Grade 4				Moistu	re Balance W	/heat +32 r	eat +32 mm								
						Po	otatoes +19 r	nm								
					Drough	htmess Grade 1	(Calc	culated to 12	20 cm)							