A1 TUNBRIDGE WELLS BOROUGH LOCAL PLAN MABLEDON FARM AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION ALC MAP & REPORT OCTOBER 1993

## TUNBRIDGE WELLS BOROUGH LOCAL PLAN PROPOSED PARK AND RIDE SITES MABLEDON FARM AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION

## 1 0 Summary

- 1 1 ADAS was commissioned by MAFF s Land Use Planning Unit to provide information on land quality on an area of land at Mabledon Farm adjacent to the A26 on the edge of Tunbridge Wells in Kent The work forms part of MAFF s statutory input to proposed park and ride sites in the Tunbridge Wells Borough Local Plan
- 1 2 Approximately 2 hectares of land was surveyed in October 1993. The survey was undertaken at a detailed level of approximately one boring per hectare. A total of 2 soil auger borings and 1 soil inspection pit were assessed in accordance with MAFF s revised guidelines and criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land (MAFF 1988). These guidelines provide a framework for classifying land according to the extent to which its physical or chemical characteristics impose long term limitations on its use for agriculture.
- 1 3 The work was conducted by members of the Resource Planning Team in the Guildford Statutory Group of ADAS
- 1 4 At the time of the survey the landuse on the site was permanent pasture
- 1 5 The ALC information is shown on the attached ALC map at a scale of 1 5 000. It is accurate at this scale but any enlargement would be misleading. This map supersedes any previous survey information.
- 1 6 Appendix 1 gives a general description of the grades subgrades and land use categories identified in the survey. The main classes are described in terms of the type of limitation that can occur the typical cropping range and the expected level and consistency of yield
- 1 7 All of the site (2 4 ha) has been classified as Subgrade 3b moderate quality land with soil wetness as the key limitation. Soils are typically heavy clay loam topsoils with clay subsoils. There is evidence of a significant drainage limitation due to the presence of a poorly structured clay subsoil.

#### 20 Climate

- 2 1 The climatic criteria are considered first when classifying land as climate can be overriding in the sense that severe limitations will restrict land to low grades irrespective of favourable site or soil conditions
- 2 2 The main parameters used in the assessment of the overall climatic limitation are average annual rainfall as a measure of overall wetness and accumulated temperature (degree days Jan June) as a measure of the relative warmth of a locality
- 2 3 A detailed assessment of the prevailing climate was made by interpolation from a 5km gridpoint dataset (Met Office 1989) The details are given in the table below and these show that there is no overall climatic limitation affecting the site
- 2 4 No local climatic factors such as exposure or frost risk affect the site. However climatic factors do interact with soil factors to influence soil wetness and droughtiness limitations

## Table 2 \_ Climatic Interpolations

Grid Reference	TQ 582 445
Altitude (m)	90
Accumulated Temperature (days)	1413
Average Annual Rainfall (mm)	765
Field Capacity (days)	157
Moisture Deficit Wheat (mm)	104
Moisture Deficit Potatoes (mm)	97
Overall Climatic Grade	1

#### 3 0 Relief

3 1 The site is gently undulating lying at an altitude ranging between 85 and 90m. On no part of the site does relief pose any limitation to agricultural use

## 4 0 Geology and Soil

- 4 1 The relevant geological information for the site (BGS Sheet 287 Sevenoaks 1971) shows the underlying geology to be Wadhurst Clay
- 4 2 The published soils information for the area (SSEW Sheet 6 Soils of South East England 1983) shows the soils on the site to be of the Wickham 1 association. Theses are described as slowly permeable seasonally waterlogged fine loamy over clayey and clayey soils. Detailed field examination broadly confirms this

## 5 0 Agricultural Land Classification

- 5 1 Table 1 provides the details of the area measurements for each grade and the distribution of each grade is shown on the attached ALC map
- 5 2 The location of the soil observation points are shown on the attached sample point map
- 5 3Subgrade 3b The entire site has been classified as Subgrade 3b moderate quality agricultural land. Profiles typically comprise gleyed heavy clay loams overlying a clay subsoil Pit 1 showed that these soils are gleyed, which is evidence of significantly impeded drainage due to the presence of a poorly structured clay subsoil which is slowly permeable. The poorly structured clay layer tends to be at a shallower depth on the lower slopes on the site at approximately 35cm, which gives a resultant Wetness Class IV for these soils. On the highest point on the site the poorly structured clay was deeper at a depth of 60cm (as is evident from the soil inspection pit), thus these soils are placed into Wetness Class III. Soil profiles tend to be waterlogged which leads to a reduction in the range of crops that can tolerate such conditions. Soil wetness conditions also restrict the frequency and efficiency of the use of machinery problems such as trafficking and ponding can occur as a result. When considering the topsoil texture and the local climatic regime, these soils can be classified as no better than Subgrade 3b.

ADAS REFERENCE 2014/198/93 MAFF REFERENCE EL20/00306 Resource Planning Team Guildford Statutory Group ADAS Reading

#### APPENDIX I

### DESCRIPTION OF THE GRADES AND SUB GRADES

### Grade 1 Excellent Quality Agricultural Land

Land with no or very minor limitations to agricultural use. A very wide range of agricultural and horticultural crops can be grown and commonly includes top fruit soft fruit salad crops and winter harvested vegetables. Yields are high and less variable than on land of lower quality.

## Grade 2 Very Good Quality Agricultural Land

Land with minor limitations which affect crop yield cultivations or harvesting. A wide range of agricultural or horticultural crops can usually be grown but on some land on the grade there may be reduced flexibility due to difficulties with the production of the more demanding crops such as winter harvested vegetables and arable root crops. The level of yield is generally high but may be lower or more variable than Grade 1

## Grade 3 Good To Moderate Quality Agricultural Land

Land with moderate limitations which affect the choice of crops timing and type of cultivation harvesting or the level of yield. When more demanding crops are grown yields are generally lower or more variable than on land in grades 1 and 2

## Sub grade 3A Good Quality Agricultural Land

Land capable of consistently producing moderate to high yields of a narrow range of arable crops especially cereals or moderate yields of a wide range of crops including cereals grass oilseed rape potatoes sugar beet and the less demanding horticultural crops

#### Sub grade 3B Moderate Quality Agricultural Land

Land capable of producing moderate yields of a narrow range of crops principally cereals and grass or lower yields of a wider range of crops or high yields of grass which can be grazed or harvested over most of the year

### Grade 4 Poor Quality Agricultural Land

Land with severe limitations which significantly restrict the range of crops and/or the level of yields. It is mainly suited to grass with occasional arable crops (eg cereals and forage crops) the yields of which are variable. In moist climates, yields of grass may be moderate to high but there may be difficulties in utilisation, the grade also includes very droughty arable land.

## Grade 5 Very Poor Quality Agricultural Land

Land with very severe limitations which restrict use to permanent pasture or rough grazing except for occasional pioneer forage crops

### Urban

Built up or hard uses with relatively little potential for a return to agriculture housing industry commerce education transport religious buildings cemeteries. Also hard surfaced sports facilities permanent caravan sites and vacant land all types of derelict land including mineral workings which are only likely to be re claimed using derelict land grants.

## Non agricultural

Soft uses where most of the land could be returned relatively easily to agriculture including private parkland public open spaces sports fields allotments and soft surfaced areas on airports/airfields. Also active mineral workings and refuse tips where restoration conditions to soft after uses may apply

### Woodland

Includes commercial and non commercial woodland

## **Agricultural Buildings**

Includes the normal range of agricultural buildings as well as other relatively permanent structures such as glasshouses. Temporary structures (eg. polythene tunnels erected for lambing) may be ignored

## Open Water

Includes lakes ponds and rivers as map scale permits

## Land Not Surveyed

Agricultural land which has not been surveyed

Where the land use includes more than one of the above eg buildings in large grounds and where map scale permits the cover types may be shown separately Otherwise the most extensive cover type will be shown

### APPENDIX II

### **REFERENCES**

- \* British Geological Survey (1987) Sheet No 287 Sevenoaks 1 50 000
- \* MAFF (1988) Agricultural Land Classification of England And Wales revised guidelines and criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land
- \* Meteorological Office (1989) Climatological Data for Agricultural Land Classification
- \* Soil Survey of England and Wales (1983) Sheet No 6 Soils of South East England 1 250 000 and accompanying legend

## APPENDIX III

### **DEFINITION OF SOIL WETNESS CLASSES**

#### Wetness Class I

The soil profile is not wet within 70cm depth for more than 30 days in most years

### Wetness Class II

The soil profile is wet within 70cm depth for 31 90 days in most years or if there is no slowly permeable layer within 80cm depth it is wet within 70cm for more than 90 days but not wet within 40cm depth for more than 30 days in most years

#### Wetness Class III

The soil profile is wet within 70cm depth for 91 180 days in most years or if there is no slowly permeable layer within 80cm depth it is wet within 70cm for more than 180 days but only wet within 40cm depth for 31 90 days in most years

#### Wetness Class IV

The soil profile is wet within 70cm depth for more than 180 days but not wet within 40cm depth for more than 210 days in most years or if there is no slowly permeable layer within 80cm depth it is wet within 40cm depth for 91 210 days in most years

#### Wetness Class V

The soil profile is wet within 40cm depth for 211 335 days in most years

#### Wetness Class VI

The soil profile is wet within 40cm depth for more than 335 days in most years

(The number of days is not necessarily a continuous period. In most years is defined as more than 10 out of 20 years.)

## APPENDIX IV

# SOIL PIT AND SOIL BORING DESCRIPTIONS

Contents \* Soil Abbreviations Explanatory Note

- \* Soil Pit Descriptions
- \* Database Printout Boring Level Information
- \* Database Printout Horizon Level Information

### SOIL PROFILE DESCRIPTIONS EXPLANATORY NOTE

Soil pit and uger boring information collected during ALC fieldwork is held on a database. This h commonly used otations and obreviation is as set out below

### **Boring Header Information**

- 1 GRID REF n tio al grid sq are and 8 f gure grid reference
- 2 USE Land use at the time of survey. The following abbreviations are used
- ARA Arable WHT Wheat BAR Barley CER Cereals OAT Oats MZE Maize OSR Oilseed rape

  BEN Field Beans BRA Brassicae POT Potatoes SBT Sugar Beet FCD Fodder Crops LIN Linseed

  FRT Soft and Top Fruit HRT Hort cultural Crops PGR Permanent Pasture LEY Ley Grass RGR Rough Grazing

  SCR Scrub CFW Coniferous Woodland DCW Deciduous Woodland HTH Heathland BOG Bog or Marsh

  FLW Fillow PLO Ploughed SAS Set aside OTH Other
- 3 GRDNT Grad tas m ed by hand h ld optical innometer
- 4 GLEY/SPL Depth in cm to gleying slowly permeable layers
- 5 AP (WHEAT/POTS) Crop- dj sted ilabl w ter cap ty
- 6 MB (WHEAT/POTS) Mo sture Balance
- 7 DRT Be t grade according to soil droughtiness
- 8 If any of the following factors re considered significant an entry if Y will be entered in the rele ant column
- MREL Microrelief limitation FLOOD Flood risk EROSN Soil erosion risk EXP Exposure limitation FROST Frost DIST D sturbed land CHEM Chem 1 limitat
- 9 LIMIT The m in limitati to land q ality. The following abbre lations are used
- OC O erall Climate AE Aspect EX Exposure FR Frost Risk GR Gradient MR Microrelief
  FL Flood Risk TX Topsoil Texture DP Soil Depth CH Chemical WE Wetness WK Workability
  DR Dro ght ER Soil Erosio Risk WD Combined Soil Wetness/Droughtiness ST Topsoil Sto mess

### Soil Pits and Auger Borings

- 1 TEXTURE so I te ture lasse re denoted by th f llowing bore istic s
- S Sand LS Loamy Sand SL Sa dy Loam SZL Sa dy Silt Loam CL Clay Loam ZCL Silty Clay Loam SCL Sandy Clay Loam C Clay SC Sandy Clay ZC S lty Clay OL Org 1c Loam P P t SP Sandy Peat LP Loamy Peat PL Peaty Loam PS Pe ty Sa d MZ M rin L ght Silts

For the sand loamy said sandy loam and sandy alt loam 1 ses the predoman testize of said first ion wall be undic ted by the use of prefixes

- F Fine (more th 66% of the sand less than 0 2mm)
- M Medium (less than 66% fine sa d d les than 33% coarse sa d)
- C Coarse (more than 33% of the said lange than 0 6mm)

The clay loam and s hy clay loam classe will be sub-d ided coording to the lay content

M Medium (<27% clay) H Heavy (27 35% clay)

- 2 MOTTLE COL Mottle colour
- 3 MOTTLE ABUN Mottle bundance e pressed as a percentage of the matrix or surface described
- F few <2% C common 2 20% M many 20-40 VM very ma y 40%+
- 4 MOTTLE CONT Mottle co trast
- F f int indistinct mottle e ident o ly lose inspectio D distinct mottles are readily seen
- P prominent mottling s co p cuous a d o f the outsta ding fe tures of the horizon
- 5 PED. COL Ped f ce colour
- 6 STONE LITH One of th following s sed
- HR II hard rocks and sto es MSST soft medium or coarse gramed sandston

  SI soft weathered gneous or m tamorphic SLST soft collider or dolumitic lim sto e

  FSST soft fin grained sa d to e ZR soft a g lla eo o silty rocks CH ch lk

  GH grav l w th o po s (h rd) sto s GS g v l w th po ous (soft) stones
- Sto tents (>2cm >6cm d total) re g en in pe tage (by v 1 me)
- 7 STRUCT the degree of d v lopme t size a d shipe of so I peds are described using the following lotat
- d gree of de 1 pment WK weakly de el ped MD moderately de eloped ST stro gly de eloped
- ped 1ze F fin M med m C coa se VC ery coarse
- ped shape S single grain M m ss ve GR gra lar AB angular blocky SAB sub-angular blocky PR prismatic PL platy
- 8 CONSIST Soil co te ce is described using the f llowing otation
- L loose VF very friabl FR fri ble FM firm VM ery firm EM extremely firm EH extremely h d
- 9 SUBS STR Subsoil structural cond tion reco ded for the purpose of calculating profile droughtiness
- G good M moderate P poor
- 10 POR Solporo ty if a soil h rizon h s le tha 05% b po es > 05 mm a Y will ppear in this column
- 11 IMP If the profil sampe trable a Y will ppea in this lumin at the ppropiate horizon
- 12 SPL Slowly permeable laye if the soil horiz is slowly permeable a Y will appea in this column
- 13 CALC If the soil horizon is calcareous a  $\, Y \,$  will  $\,$  ppear in th s column
- 14 Other otat s

APW a slabl w ter cap ty (in mm) dj ted fo wheat

APP v lable w ter capac ty (in mm) adjusted for potatoes

MBW mo sture balance wh t

MBP mo sture b la ce potatoe

### SOIL PIT DESCRIPTION

Site Name MABLEDON FARM TUNBRIDGE Pit N mber 1P

Grid Reference TQ58154437 A erage Ann al Rainfall 767 mm

Accumulated Temperat re 1413 degree days Field Capacity Level 158 days Land Use

Permanent Grass Slope and Aspect degrees

HORI	ZON	TEXTURE	COLOUR	STONES	2	TOT STONE	MOTTLES	STRUCTURE
0	20	HCL	10YR42 00	0		0		
20	60	С	25Y 63 00	0		0	M	MDCSAB
60	80	C	25Y 63 00	0		0	M	MASSIV

Wetness Grade 3₿ Wetness Class III Gleying 020 cm

060 cm SPL

Drought Grade **3**A APW 106mm MBW 2 mm

113mm APP MBP 17 mm

FINAL ALC GRADE MAIN LIMITATION Wetness

SAN	PLE	ASPECT	•			WETI	NESS	WH	EAT	PC	TS	M	REL	EROSN	FROST	CHEM	ALC	
NO	GRID REF	USE	GRDNT	GLEY	SPL	CLASS	GRADE	AP	МВ	AP	MB	DRT	FLOOD	Ε	XP DIST	LIMIT		COMMENTS
<b>n</b> 1	TQ5820444	0 PGR		000	035	4	3B	088	16	094	2	3A				WE	3B	
1	P TQ5815443	7 PGR		020	060	3	3B	106	2	113	17	3A				WE	3B	
2	TQ5830445	O PGR		000	035	4	3B	101	3	109	13	<b>3A</b>				WE	3B	

1						M	OTTLE	S	PED				STO	NES	STRUCT,	/ 5	SUBS	S			
SAMPLE	DEF	TH	TEXTURE	COLOUR	₹	COL	ABUN	CONT	COL.	GL	ΕY	2	6 L	тот нті.	CONSIS	Т 5	STR	POR	IMP	SPL	CALC
1	0	25	hcl	25Y 42	00	10YR58	00 C			,	Υ	0	0	0							
	25	35	С	10YR71	00	10YR58	00 M			•	Υ	0	0	0			М				
•	35	60	С	10YR71	00	10YR58	00 M			,	Y	0	0	0			P	Y		Y	
1P	0	20	hcl	10YR42	00							0	0	0							
•	20	60	С	25Y 63	00	10YR58	72 M	(	OOMNOO	00	Υ	0	0	0	MDCSAB	FM	М	Υ			
	60	80	С	25Y 63	00	10YR58	71 M	(	OOMNOO	00	Y	0	0	0	MASSIV	VM	P	Y		Y	
2	0	25	hzcl	10YR42	00	10YR58	61 C				Y	0	0	0							
-	25	35	С	10YR42	00	10YR58	61 M				Υ	0	0	0			М				
	35	75	c	10YR63	00	10YR78	61 M			,	Υ	0	0	0			Р	Υ		Y	