A1
Maidstone Borough Local Plan
Site 87 Land Adjacent to All Saints Church,
Hollingbourne, Kent
Agricultural Land Classification
ALC Map and Report
May 1995

# AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION, REPORT

# MAIDSTONE BOROUGH LOCAL PLAN SITE 87 LAND ADJACENT TO ALL SAINTS CHURCH, HOLLINGBOURNE

## 1 Summary

- ADAS was commissioned by MAFF's Land Use Planning Unit to provide information on land quality for a number of sites in the Maidstone Borough of Kent. The work forms part of MAFF's statutory input to the Maidstone Borough Local Plan.
- 1 2 Site 87 comprises 5 6 hectares of land to the south west of Hollingbourne in Kent An Agricultural Land Classification (ALC) survey was carried out in April 1995. The survey was undertaken at a detailed level of approximately one boring per hectare. A total of 6 borings and one soil inspection pit were assessed according to MAFF s revised guidelines and criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land (MAFF 1988). These guidelines provide a framework for classifying land according to the extent to which its physical or chemical characteristics impose long term limitations on its use for agriculture.
- The work was carried out by members of the Resource Planning Team in the Guildford Statutory Group of ADAS
- At the time of the survey the agricultural land was in set aside. The Non-agricultural area shown is an area of scrub fenced from the remainder of the site. The Urban area shown includes agricultural buildings that are now in light industrial use as vehicle repair workshops and a metalled footpath that bisecting the site.
- The distribution of grades and subgrades is shown on the attached ALC map and the areas are given in the table below. The map has been drawn at a scale of 1 10 000. It is accurate at this scale but any enlargement would be misleading. This map supersedes any previous ALC survey information for this site.

Table 1 Distribution of Grades and Subgrades

Grade	Area (ha)	% of Site	% of Agricultural Area
2	1 3	23 2	27 7
3a	3 4	60 7	<u>72 3</u>
Non-agricultural	0 2	3 6	100% (4 7ha)
Urban	<u>0 7</u>	<u>12 5</u>	, ,
Total area of Site	5 6ha	100%	

Appendix I gives a general description of the grades subgrades and land use categories identified in the survey. The main classes are described in terms of the type of limitation that can occur the typical cropping range and the expected level and consistency of yield.

The agricultural land at this site has been classified as Grade 2 (very good quality) and Subgrade 3a (good quality) Principal limitations include soil droughtiness and topsoil stoniness. The area of Grade 2 land comprises slightly stony deep fine loamy soils containing from 5 to 10% flints over 2cm in diameter in the topsoil. The topsoil stone content acts as an impediment to cultivation harvesting and crop growth as well as increasing production costs by causing extra wear and tear to implements and tyres. Where Subgrade 3a is mapped flinty chalky drift underlies fine loamy soils at moderate depths. The chalk and flint content of the soil cause rooting depth to be restricted and reduce profile available water leading to a moderate risk of drought stress.

#### 2 Climate

- The climatic criteria are considered first when classifying land as climate can be overriding in the sense that severe limitations will restrict land to low grades irrespective of favourable site or soil conditions
- The main parameters used in the assessment of an overall climatic limitation are average annual rainfall as a measure of overall wetness and accumulated temperature as a measure of the relative warmth of a locality
- A detailed assessment of the prevailing climate was made by interpolation from a 5km gridpoint dataset (Met Office 1989). The details are given in the table below and these show that there is no overall climatic limitation affecting the site.
- 2 4 No local climatic factors such as exposure or frost risk are believed to affect the site. However climatic and soil factors interact to influence soil wetness and droughtiness limitations.

**Table 2** Climatic Interpolation

Grid Reference	TQ841549
Altıtude (m AOD)	75
Accumulated Temperature	1420
(day degrees C Jan June)	
Average Annual Rainfall (mm)	734
Field Capacity Days	154
Moisture deficit wheat (mm)	109
Moisture deficit potatoes (mm)	101
Overall Climatic Grade	1

#### 3 Relief

The site lies at approximately 75m AOD The site slopes slightly from north to south Nowhere in this area does relief or gradient affect agricultural land quality

# 4 Geology and Soils

- The published geological information (BGS 1976) shows the site to be underlain by head drift deposits overlying Chalk
- The most recent published soils information (SSEW 1983) shows the site to be underlain by soils of the Coombe 2 Association. The legend accompanying the map describes these as well drained calcareous fine silty soils over chalk or chalk rubble. Shallow in places especially on brows and steeper slopes. (SSEW 1983). The soils encountered at this site were of this broad type.

# 5 Agricultural Land Classification

- Paragraph 1 5 provides the details of the area measurements for each grade and the distribution of each grade is shown on the attached ALC map
- The location of the soil observation points are shown on the attached sample point map

#### Grade 2

53 Land of very good quality has been mapped towards the east of the site Principal limitations include soil droughtiness and topsoil stoniness. Soils in this area were found to be free draining (Wetness Class I) and to comprise a slightly stony (8-9% v/v total flints including 6% >2cm) calcareous medium silty clay loam topsoil This passes to a very slightly stony (up to 5% v/v total flints) medium silty clay loam upper subsoil overlying a slightly stony and/or chalky (up to 15% v/v total chalk fragments and/or flints) heavy silty clay loam. This became impenetrable to the soil auger between 90 and 110cm due to stones although it has been assumed that the soil resource extends beyond this to depth (120cm) In the local climate soils of this nature are occasionally very slightly limited in terms of available water in the profile. This slightly increases the likelihood of drought stress affecting plant growth and yield The percentage by volume of stones greater than 2cm diameter in the topsoil also affects the classification in this area. By increasing the wear and tear on cultivation equipment and impeding cultivation production costs are raised to the extent that Grade 2 is appropriate

#### Subgrade 3a

Land of good quality has been mapped across the west of the site. The principal limitation is soil droughtiness. The well drained (Wetness Class I) profiles typically comprise a slightly stony (up to 10% v/v flints including up to 8%>2cm) calcareous medium silty clay loam topsoil. This passes to a slightly stony (10% v/v total flints) medium silty clay loam upper subsoil horizon. Beneath this the soils become very chalky and moderately stony containing up to 50% v/v chalk fragments and up to 25% v/v flints in a medium and heavy silty clay loam matrix. The compacted chalk rubble eventually restricts plant rooting depth, which has the effect of reducing plant available water. In the pit observation, 1p (see Appendix

III) roots were observed to penetrate to 83cm where the volume of chalk rubble reached 50% Given the local climatic data moisture balances on these profiles fall into the range that are assigned to Subgrade 3a Soil droughtiness has the effect of reducing plant growth and yields due to drought stress. In this case there is a moderate risk of this occurring

ADAS Ref 2007/092/95 MAFF Ref EL20/862 Resource Planning Team Guildford Statutory Group ADAS Reading

## SOURCES OF REFERENCE

British Geological Survey (1976) Sheet 288 Maidstone Solid & Drift Edition 1 50 000

MAFF (1988) Agricultural Land Classification of England and Wales Revised guidelines and criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land

Meteorological Office (1989) Climatic datasets for Agricultural Land Classification

Soil Survey of England and Wales (1980) Bulletin No 9 Soils of Kent

Soil Survey of England and Wales (1983) Sheet No 6 Soils of South-East England 1 250 000 and Accompanying Legend

Soil Survey of England and Wales (1984) Bulletin No 15 Soils and their use in South-East England

## APPENDIX I

## DESCRIPTION OF THE GRADES AND SUBGRADES

# Grade 1 Excellent Quality Agricultural Land

Land with no or very minor limitations to agricultural use. A very wide range of agricultural and horticultural crops can be grown and commonly includes top fruit soft fruit salad crops and winter harvested vegetables. Yields are high and less variable than on land of lower quality.

# Grade 2 Very Good Quality Agricultural Land

Land with minor limitations which affect crop yield cultivations or harvesting. A wide range of agricultural or horticultural crops can usually be grown but on some land of this grade there may be reduced flexibility due to difficulties with the production of the more demanding crops such as winter harvested vegetables and arable root crops. The level of yield is generally high but may be lower or more variable than Grade 1 land.

## Grade 3 Good to Moderate Quality Land

Land with moderate limitations which affect the choice of crops the timing and type of cultivation, harvesting or the level of yield. When more demanding crops are grown yields are generally lower or more variable than on land in Grades 1 and 2

# Subgrade 3a Good Quality Agricultural Land

Land capable of consistently producing moderate to high yields of a narrow range of arable crops especially cereals or moderate yields of a wide range of crops including cereals grass oilseed rape potatoes sugar beet and the less demanding horticultural crops

#### Subgrade 3b Moderate Quality Agricultural Land

Land capable of producing moderate yields of a narrow range of crops principally cereals and grass or lower yields of a wider range of crops or high yields of grass which can be grazed or harvested over most of the year

#### Grade 4 Poor Quality Agricultural Land

Land with severe limitations which significantly restrict the range of crops and/or the level of yields. It is mainly suited to grass with occasional arable crops (eg. cereals and forage crops) the yields of which are variable. In moist climates, yields of grass may be moderate to high but there may be difficulties in utilisation. The grade also includes very droughty arable land.

## Grade 5 Very Poor Quality Agricultural Land

Land with severe limitations which restrict use to permanent pasture or rough grazing except for occasional pioneer forage crops

## Urban

Built-up or 'hard' uses with relatively little potential for a return to agriculture including housing industry commerce education, transport religous buildings cemetries. Also hard-surfaced sports facilities permanent caravan sites and vacant land all types of derelict land including mineral workings which are only likely to be reclaimed using derelict land grants.

## Non-agricultural

'Soft' uses where most of the land could be returned relatively easily to agriculture including private parkland public open spaces sports fields allotments and soft-surfaced areas on airports. Also active mineral workings and refuse tips where restoration conditions to 'soft after-uses may apply

#### Woodland

Includes commercial and non-commercial woodland A distinction may be made as necessary between farm and non-farm woodland

# **Agricultural Buildings**

Includes the normal range of agricultural buildings as well as other relatively permanent structures such as glasshouses Temporary structures (eg polythene tunnels erected for lambing) may be ignored

## Open Water

Includes lakes ponds and rivers as map scale permits

## Land Not Surveyed

Agricultural land which has not been surveyed

Where the land use includes more than one of the above eg buildings in large grounds and where map scale permits the cover types may be shown separately. Otherwise the most extensive cover type will be shown

#### APPENDIX II

## FIELD ASSESSMENT OF SOIL WETNESS CLASS

#### SOIL WETNESS CLASSIFICATION

Soil wetness is classified according to the depth and duration of waterlogging in the soil profile. Six soil wetness classes are identified and are defined in the table below

#### **Definition of Soil Wetness Classes**

Wetness Class	Duration of Waterlogging <sup>1</sup>
I	The soil profile is not wet within 70 cm depth for more than 30 days in most years <sup>2</sup>
П	The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for 31 90 days in most years or if there is no slowly permeable layer within 80 cm depth it is wet within 70 cm for more than 90 days but only wet within 40 cm depth for 30 days in most years
Ш	The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for 91 180 days in most years or if there is no slowly permeable layer present within 80 cm depth it is wet within 70 cm for more than 180 days but only wet within 40 cm depth for between 31 90 days in most years
IV	The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for more than 180 days but not wet within 40 cm depth for more than 210 days in most years or if there is no slowly permeable layer present within 80 cm depth it is wet within 40 cm depth for 91 210 days in most years
V	The soil profile is wet within 40 cm depth for 211-335 days in most years
VI	The soil profile is wet within 40 cm depth for more than 335 days in most years

Soils can be allocated to a wetness class on the basis of quantitative data recorded over a period of many years or by the interpretation of soil profile characteristics site and climatic factors. Adequate quantitative data will rarely be available for ALC surveys and therefore the interpretative method of field assessment is used to identify soil wetness class in the field. The method adopted here is common to ADAS and the SSLRC

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The number of days specified is not necessarily a continuous period

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> In most years is defined as more than 10 out of 20 years

# **APPENDIX III**

# SOIL PIT AND SOIL BORING DESCRIPTIONS

## **Contents**

Soil Abbreviations - Explanatory Note

Soil Pit Descriptions

**Database Printout - Boring Level Information** 

**Database Printout - Horizon Level Information** 

# SOIL PROFILE DESCRIPTIONS EXPLANATORY NOTE

Soil pit and auger boring information collected during ALC fieldwork is held on a computer database. This uses notations and abbreviations as set out below

# Boring Header Information

- 1 GRID REF national 100 km grid square and 8 figure grid reference
- 2 USE Land use at the time of survey The following abbreviations are used

ARA	Arable	WHT	Wheat	BAR	Barley
CER	Cereals	OAT	Oats	MZE	Maize
OSR	Oilseed rape	BEN	Field Beans	BRA	Brassicae
POT	Potatoes	SBT	Sugar Beet	FCD	Fodder Crops
LIN	Linseed	FRT	Soft and Top Fruit	FLW	Fallow
PGR	Permanent Pasture	ELEY	Ley Grass	RGR	Rough Grazing
SCR	Scrub	CFW	Coniferous Woodland	<b>DCW</b>	Deciduous Wood
HTH	Heathland	BOG	Bog or Marsh	<b>FLW</b>	Fallow
PLO	Ploughed	SAS	Set aside	HTO	Other
HRT	Horticultural Crop	os			

- 3 GRDNT Gradient as estimated or measured by a hand held optical clinometer
- 4 GLEY/SPL Depth in centimetres (cm) to gleying and/or slowly permeable layers
- 5 AP (WHEAT/POTS) Crop adjusted available water capacity
- 6 MB (WHEAT/POTS) Moisture Balance (Crop adjusted AP crop adjusted MD)
- 7 DRT Best grade according to soil droughtiness
- 8 If any of the following factors are considered significant Y will be entered in the relevant column

MREL	Microrelief limitation	FLOOD	Flood risk	<b>EROSN</b>	Soil erosion risk
EXP	Exposure limitation	FROST	Frost prone	DIST	Disturbed land
<b>CHEM</b>	Chemical limitation				

9 LIMIT The main limitation to land quality The following abbreviations are used

OC	Overall Climate	ΑE	Aspect	$\mathbf{E}\mathbf{X}$	Exposure
FR	Frost Risk	GR	Gradient	MR	Microrelief
FL	Flood Risk	TX	Topsoil Texture	DP	Soil Depth
СН	Chemical	WE	Wetness	WK	Workability
DR	Drought	ER	Erosion Risk	WD	Soil Wetness/Droughtiness
ST	Topsoil Stonine	SS			_

# Soil Pits and Auger Borings

1 **TEXTURE** soil texture classes are denoted by the following abbreviations

S	Sand	LS	Loamy Sand	SL	Sandy Loam
SZL	Sandy Silt Loam	CL	Clay Loam	<b>ZCL</b>	Silty Clay Loam
ZL	Silt Loam	SCL	Sandy Clay Loam	$\boldsymbol{C}$	Clay
SC	Sandy Clay	ZC	Silty Clay	OL	Organic Loam
P	Peat	SP	Sandy Peat	LP	Loamy Peat
PL	Peaty Loam	PS	Peaty Sand	MZ	Marine Light Silts

For the sand loamy sand sandy loam and sandy silt loam classes the predominant size of sand fraction will be indicated by the use of the following prefixes

- F Fine (more than 66% of the sand less than 0 2mm)
- Medium (less than 66% fine sand and less than 33% coarse sand)  $\mathbf{M}$
- Coarse (more than 33% of the sand larger than 0 6mm) C

The clay loam and silty clay loam classes will be sub divided according to the clay M Medium (<27% clay) H Heavy (27 35% clay) content

- 2 MOTTLE COL Mottle colour using Munsell notation
- Mottle abundance expressed as a percentage of the matrix or 3 MOTTLE ABUN surface described

F few <2% C common 2-20% M many 20-40% VM very many 40% +

- MOTTLE CONT Mottle contrast 4
  - faint indistinct mottles evident only on close inspection F
  - distinct mottles are readily seen D
  - prominent mottling is conspicuous and one of the outstanding features of the P horizon
- 5 PED COL Ped face colour using Munsell notation
- If the soil horizon is gleyed a Y will appear in this column If slightly gleyed an S will appear
- 7 STONE LITH Stone Lithology - One of the following is used

HR	all hard rocks and stones	SLST	soft oolitic or dolimitic limestone
CH	chalk	<b>FSST</b>	soft fine grained sandstone
ZR	soft argillaceous or silty rocks	GH	gravel with non porous (hard) stones
MSST	soft medium grained sandstone	GS	gravel with porous (soft) stones
SI	soft weathered igneous/metamo	orphic ro	ck

Stone contents (>2cm >6cm and total) are given in percentages (by volume)

8 STRUCT the degree of development size and shape of soil peds are described using the following notation

degree of development WK weakly developed MD moderately developed

ST strongly developed

ped size F fine M medium

C coarse VC very coarse

ped shape S single grain M massive

GR granular AB angular blocky

SAB sub angular blocky PR prismatic

PL platy

9 CONSIST Soil consistence is described using the following notation

L loose VF very frable FR frable FM firm VM very firm

EM extremely firm EH extremely hard

10 SUBS STR Subsoil structural condition recorded for the purpose of calculating profile droughtiness G good M moderate P poor

- 11 POR Soil porosity If a soil horizon has less than 0.5% biopores >0.5 mm a 'Y' will appear in this column
- 12 **IMP** If the profile is impenetrable to rooting a Y' will appear in this column at the appropriate horizon
- 13 SPL Slowly permeable layer If the soil horizon is slowly permeable a 'Y' will appear in this column
- 14 CALC If the soil horizon is calcareous a 'Y' will appear in this column
- 15 Other notations

APW available water capacity (in mm) adjusted for wheat

APP available water capacity (in mm) adjusted for potatoes

MBW moisture balance wheat MBP moisture balance potatoes

#### SOIL PIT DESCRIPTION

Site Name MAIDSTONE LP SITE 87 Pit Number 1P

Grid Reference TQ84205500 Average Annual Rainfall 734 mm

Accumulated Temperature 1420 degree days

Field Capacity Level 154 days
Land Use Set-aside
Slope and Aspect degrees

HORIZON	TEXTURE	COLOUR	STONES	2	TOT STONE	LITH	MOTTLES	STRUCTURE	CONSIST	SUBSTRUCTURE	CALC
0- 24	MZCL	10YR41 42	6		7	HR					Υ
24 47	MZCL	10YR44 00	0		10	HR		MDCSAB	FR	M	Υ
47 56	MZCL	10YR54 64	0		10	HR				М	Y
56 83	MZCL	10YR64 81	0		18	HR				М	Υ
83- 90	MZCL	10YR64 81	0		25	HR				М	Υ

Wetness Grade 1 Wetness Class I

Gleying cm SPL cm

Drought Grade 3A APW 108mm MBW 1 mm

APP 108mm MBP 7mm

FINAL ALC GRADE 3A

MAIN LIMITATION Droughtiness

rogram ALCO12

# LIST OF BORINGS HEADERS 12/05/95 MAIDSTONE LP SITE 87

AMF	LE	ASPECT				WETI	NESS	-WH	EAT-	-PC	TS-	M I	REL	EROSN	FROST	CHEM	ALC	
þ	GRID REF	USE	GRDNT	GLEY	SPL	CLASS	GRADE	AP	MB	AP	MB	DRT	FL00D	Ex	P DIST	LIMIT		COMMENTS
1	TQ84205510	SAS				1	1	087	-22	090	-11	3B				DR	ЗА	IMP FLINTS 55
16	TQ84205500	SAS				1	1	108	-1	108	7	3A				DR	ЗА	PIT90 ROOTS83
2	TQ84105500	SAS		70		1	1	157	48	124	23	1					1	QSPL 70 GDE 2
_ 3	TQ84205500	SAS				1	1	129	20	118	17	2				DR	2	IMP FLINTS 95
4	TQ84305500	SAS				1	1	143	34	118	17	1				TS	2	IMP FLINTS 110
5	TQ84105490	SAS				1	1	084	-25	084	17	3B				DR	3B	IMP FLINTS 50
6	TQ84205490	SAS				1	1	120	11	115	14	2				TS	2	IMP FLINTS 90

page 1

program ALCO11

#### COMPLETE LIST OF PROFILES 12/05/95 MAIDSTONE LP SITE 87

page 1

----MOTTLES- --- PED - --STONES---- STRUCT/ SUBS IMPLE DEPTH TEXTURE COLOUR COL ABUN CONT COL GLEY >2 >6 LITH TOT CONSIST STR POR IMP SPL CALC 5 2 HR 0-30 10YR42 00 10 30 55 10YR44 54 0 0 CH 25 IMP FLINTS 55 mzcl М 1P 0-24 10YR41 42 6 2 HR 7 mzcl 24-47 10YR44 00 0 0 HR 10 MDCSAB FR M mzcl 47-56 10YR54 64 0 0 HR 10 +20% CHALK mzcl М 56-83 mzcl 10YR64 81 0 0 HR 18 М +30% CHALK 83-90 10YR64 81 0 0 HR 25 М Υ +50% CH IMPTOROOTS mzcl 0-35 10YR41 00 2 0 HR 3 mzcl 35-70 hzcl 10YR41 42 O O HR 2 70-110 hzc1 10YR42 52 10YR56 00 C Y 0 0 HR 5 М 110-120 hzc1 10YR54 64 0 0 CH 20 М 6 2 HR 0 30 10YR42 41 7 mzcl 30 50 10YR44 00 0 0 HR mzcl 3 М 10YR44 54 0 0 CH 50 65 30 mzcl М 65 95 10YR64 81 IMP FLINTS 95 mzcl 0 0 CH 40 0 30 10YR43 00 6 2 HR 8 У mzc) 0 0 HR 30-65 hzcl 10YR54 00 3 М 65-110 hzc1 10YR64 00 0 0 CH 15 IMP FLINTS 110 0-30 10YR43 00 8 2 HR 10 Υ mzcl 30-35 10YR43 00 0 0 HR 5 mzcl М 35-50 10YR54 64 0 0 CH IMP FLINTS 50 mzcl 10YR43 00 7 2 HR 0-25 9 γ mzcl 25-50 mzcl 10YR44 00 0 0 HR 5 М 50-90 10YR44 46 0 0 HR 10 IMP FLINTS 90 hzc1 М