A1 Maidstone Borough Local Plan Site 63 Land at Boughton Lane, Maidstone Agricultural Land Classification ALC Map and Report August 1994

# AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION REPORT

## MAIDSTONE BOROUGH LOCAL PLAN SITE 63 LAND AT BOUGHTON LANE, MAIDSTONE

#### 1 Summary

- 11 ADAS was commissioned by MAFF's Land Use Planning Unit to provide information on land quality for a number of sites in the borough of Maidstone in Kent The work forms part of MAFF's statutory input to the preparation of the Maidstone Borough Local Plan
- 1 2 Site 63 comprises approximately 9 hectares of land to the west of Boughton Lane to the south of Maidstone An Agricultural Land Classification (ALC) survey was carried out during August 1994 The survey was undertaken at a detailed level of approximately one boring per hectare A total of 8 borings and two soil inspection pits were described in accordance with MAFF's revised guidelines and criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land (MAFF 1988) These guidelines provide a framework for classifying land according to the extent to which its physical or chemical characteristics impose a long term limitation on its use for agriculture
- 1 3 The work was carried out by members of the Resource Planning Team in the Guildford Statutory Group of ADAS
- 14 At the time of survey the land use on the site comprised apple pear and cherry orchards The area marked as not surveyed includes the cherry orchards which were covered with bird netting and were therefore inaccessible Private gardens have been marked as urban
- 1 5 The distribution of grades and subgrades is shown on the attached ALC map and the areas are given in the table below. The map has been drawn at a scale of 1 10 000. It is accurate at this scale but any enlargement would be misleading. This map supersedes any previous survey information for this site.

#### Table 1 Distribution of Grades and Subgrades

Grade	Area (ha)	% of Site	% of Agricultural Land
2	26	26 4	32 5
3a	54	55 1	67 5
Urban	05	5 1	100% (8 0 ha )
Not surveyed	13	13 3	
Total area of site	<u>98</u>	<u>100%</u>	

- 16 Appendix I gives a general description of the grades subgrades and land use categories identified in the survey The main classes are described in terms of the type of limitation that can occur the typical cropping range and the expected level and consistency of yield
- 17 The majority of the agricultural land on the site has been classified as Subgrade 3a good quality land with soil wetness as the main limitation Soil profiles in this mapping unit tend to comprise heavy clay loam topsoils and upper subsoils which overlie a slowly permeable clay lower subsoil Such drainage characteristics equate to Wetness Class II within local climatic parameters leading to a classification of Subgrade 3a Soils in the Grade 2 mapping unit comprise well drained very slightly flinty medium clay loams which become heavier with depth. The combination of soil textures structures stone contents and the local climatic regime means that there is a very slight restriction on the amount of profile available water for plant growth. Consequently crop yields may be slightly limited, such that a classification of Grade 2 is appropriate

#### 2 Climate

- 2 1 The climatic criteria are considered first when classifying land as climate can be overriding in the sense that severe limitations will restrict land to low grades irrespective of favourable site or soil conditions
- 2 2 The main parameters used in the assessment of an overall climatic limitation are average annual rainfall as a measure of overall wetness and accumulated temperature (degree days Jan June) as a measure of the relative warmth of a locality
- 2 3 A detailed assessment of the prevailing climate was made by interpolation from a 5km gridpoint dataset (Met Office 1989) The details are given in the table below and these show that there is no overall climatic limitation affecting the site However the field capacity days for the site are relatively low and therefore the likelihood of any soil wetness problems may be decreased
- 2.4 No local climatic factors such as exposure or frost risk are believed to affect the site

#### Table 2Climatic Interpolation

Grid Reference	TQ 766 524
Altıtude (m)	95
Accumulated Temperature	1400
(degree days Jan June)	
Average Annual Rainfall (mm)	705
Field Capacity (days)	143
Moisture Deficit Wheat (mm)	112
Moisture Deficit Potatoes (mm)	106
Overall Climatic Grade	1

#### 3 Relief

3 1 The site is flat lying at an altitude of 95m AOD

## 4 Geology and Soils

- 4 1 The relevant published geological sheet (BGS 1976) shows the entire site to be underlain by Hythe Beds comprising sandy limestone and sands
- 4 2 The published Soil Survey map (SSEW 1983) shows the soils on the site to comprise those of the Malling association These are described as 'well drained non calcareous fine loamy soils over limestone at variable depths' (SSEW 1983)
- 4.3 Detailed field examination found the soils on the site to be loamy textures becoming heavier and moderately stony with depth

## 5 Agricultural Land Classification

- 51 Table 1 provides the details of the area measurements for each grade and the distribution of each grade is shown on the attached ALC map
- 5 2 The location of the soil observation points are shown on the attached sample point map

#### Grade 2

53 Land towards the south of the site has been classified as Grade 2 very good quality with soil droughtiness as the main limitation Soil profiles tend to be less stony and better drained than elsewhere on the site Soil inspection pit no 2 is typical of the soils within this mapping unit Profiles were found to comprise a very slightly stony (3% total flints by volume) medium clay loam topsoil overlying a heavy clay loam upper subsoil with a similar texture extending to depth Subsoils are slightly stony (2 15% total flints by volume) throughout From this depth subsoils are slightly gleyed between 25 and 75cm due to fluctuating groundwater and are thus placed into Wetness Class I However the combination of soil textures structures stone contents and the local climatic regime means that there is a minor restriction on the amount of profile available water Consequently this will have an affect upon the level and consistency of crop yields such that a classification of Grade 2 is appropriate due to this slight droughtiness limitation

## Subgrade 3a

54 The remainder of the agricultural land on the site has been classified as Subgrade 3a good quality land with soil wetness as the main limitation Soil profiles in this mapping unit typically comprise heavy clay loam topsoils commonly overlying similar textured upper subsoils which in turn rest upon clay lower subsoils Profiles tend to show evidence of wetness in the form of slight gleying from below the topsoil A subsequent soil inspection pit (Pit no 1) was dug to investigate the nature and possible cause of the soil wetness problem Lower subsoils were found to be moderately stony (20% total flints by volume) from a depth of 35 cm Furthermore the clay lower subsoil that commences at 55cm was found to have a poor slowly permeable substructural condition. The presence of the slowly permeable clay and evidence of slight gleying equates these soils to Wetness Class II When considered along with the relatively heavy topsoil texture and the field capacity days for the site these factors lead to a resultant classification of Subgrade 3a due to a moderate drainage imperfection The presence of a slowly permeable subsoil restricts both soil drainage and rooting Consequently the likelihood of soil wetness problems such as poaching by grazing livestock or trafficking by agricultural machinery are increased. It should be noted that slowly permeable layers at shallower depths mean that there are some areas of poorer quality land on the site yet these are not sufficient to warrant mapping as a separate unit

ADAS Ref 2007/169/94 MAFF Ref EL 20/328 Resource Planning Team Guildford Statutory Group ADAS Reading

## **SOURCES OF REFERENCE**

British Geological Survey (1976) Sheet No 288 Maidstone 1 50 000 Series (solid and drift edition)

MAFF (1988) Agricultural Land Classification of England and Wales Revised guidelines and criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land

Meteorological Office (1989) Climatological Data for Agricultural Land Classification

Soil Survey of England and Wales (1983) Sheet 6 Soils of South East England 1 250 000 and accompanying legend

## **APPENDIX I**

## **DESCRIPTION OF THE GRADES AND SUBGRADES**

#### Grade 1 Excellent Quality Agricultural Land

Land with no or very minor limitations to agricultural use A very wide range of agricultural and horticultural crops can be grown and commonly includes top fruit soft fruit salad crops and winter harvested vegetables Yields are high and less variable than on land of lower quality

#### Grade 2 Very Good Quality Agricultural Land

Land with minor limitations which affect crop yield cultivations or harvesting A wide range of agricultural or horticultural crops can usually be grown but on some land of this grade there may be reduced flexibility due to difficulties with the production of the more demanding crops such as winter harvested vegetables and arable root crops The level of yield is generally high but may be lower or more variable than Grade 1 land

#### Grade 3 Good to Moderate Quality Land

Land with moderate limitations which affect the choice of crops the timing and type of cultivation harvesting or the level of yield When more demanding crops are grown yields are generally lower or more variable than on land in Grades 1 and 2

#### Subgrade 3a Good Quality Agricultural Land

Land capable of consistently producing moderate to high yields of a narrow range of arable crops especially cereals or moderate yields of a wide range of crops including cereals grass oilseed rape potatoes sugar beet and the less demanding horticultural crops

#### Subgrade 3b Moderate Quality Agricultural Land

Land capable of producing moderate yields of a narrow range of crops principally cereals and grass or lower yields of a wider range of crops or high yields of grass which can be grazed or harvested over most of the year

#### Grade 4 Poor Quality Agricultural Land

Land with severe limitations which significantly restrict the range of crops and/or the level of yields. It is mainly suited to grass with occasional arable crops (eg cereals and forage crops) the yields of which are variable. In moist climates yields of grass may be moderate to high but there may be difficulties in utilisation. The grade also includes very droughty arable land.

#### Grade 5 Very Poor Quality Agricultural Land

Land with severe limitations which restrict use to permanent pasture or rough grazing except for occasional pioneer forage crops

## Urban

Built-up or 'hard' uses with relatively little potential for a return to agriculture including housing industry commerce education transport religous buildings cemetries. Also hardsurfaced sports facilities permanent caravan sites and vacant land all types of derelict land including mineral workings which are only likely to be reclaimed using derelict land grants

## Non-agricultural

'Soft' uses where most of the land could be returned relatively easily to agriculture including private parkland public open spaces sports fields allotments and soft surfaced areas on airports Also active mineral workings and refuse tips where restoration conditions to 'soft' after uses may apply

## Woodland

Includes commercial and non-commercial woodland A distinction may be made as necessary between farm and non-farm woodland

## Agricultural Buildings

Includes the normal range of agricultural buildings as well as other relatively permanent structures such as glasshouses Temporary structures (eg polythene tunnels erected for lambing) may be ignored

## **Open Water**

Includes lakes ponds and rivers as map scale permits

#### Land Not Surveyed

Agricultural land which has not been surveyed

Where the land use includes more than one of the above eg buildings in large grounds and where map scale permits the cover types may be shown separately Otherwise the most extensive cover type will be shown

## **APPENDIX II**

## FIELD ASSESSMENT OF SOIL WETNESS CLASS

#### SOIL WETNESS CLASSIFICATION

Soil wetness is classified according to the depth and duration of waterlogging in the soil profile. Six soil wetness classes are identified and are defined in the table below

#### **Definition of Soil Wetness Classes**

Wetness Class	Duration of Waterlogging <sup>1</sup>
Ι	The soil profile is not wet within 70 cm depth for more than 30 days in most years <sup>2</sup>
ш	The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for 31 90 days in most years or if there is no slowly permeable layer within 80 cm depth it is wet within 70 cm for more than 90 days but only wet within 40 cm depth for 30 days in most years
ш	The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for 91-180 days in most years or if there is no slowly permeable layer present within 80 cm depth it is wet within 70 cm for more than 180 days but only wet within 40 cm depth for between 31 90 days in most years
IV	The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for more than 180 days but not wet within 40 cm depth for more than 210 days in most years or, if there is no slowly permeable layer present within 80 cm depth it is wet within 40 cm depth for 91 210 days in most years
v	The soil profile is wet within 40 cm depth for 211-335 days in most years
VI	The soil profile is wet within 40 cm depth for more than 335 days in most years

Soils can be allocated to a wetness class on the basis of quantitative data recorded over a period of many years or by the interpretation of soil profile characteristics site and climatic factors. Adequate quantitative data will rarely be available for ALC surveys and therefore the interpretative method of field assessment is used to identify soil wetness class in the field. The method adopted here is common to ADAS and the SSLRC

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The number of days specified is not necessarily a continuous period

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> In most years is defined as more than 10 out of 20 years

# **APPENDIX III**

# SOIL PIT AND SOIL BORING DESCRIPTIONS

#### Contents

Soil Abbreviations - Explanatory Note

Soil Pit Descriptions

Database Printout - Boring Level Information

**Database Printout - Horizon Level Information** 

#### Soil Pits and Auger Borings

1 **TEXTURE** soil texture classes are denoted by the following abbreviations

52

S SZL	Sand Sandy Silt Loam	LS CL	Loamy Sand Clay Loam	SL ZCL	Sandy Loam Silty Clay Loam
ZL	Silt Loam	SCL	Sandy Clay Loam	С	Clay
SC	Sandy Clay	ZC	Silty Clay	OL	Organic Loam
P	Peat	SP	Sandy Peat	LP	Loamy Peat
PL	Peaty Loam	PS	Peaty Sand	MZ	Marine Light Silts

For the sand loamy sand sandy loam and sandy silt loam classes the predominant size of sand fraction will be indicated by the use of the following prefixes

- **F** Fine (more than 66% of the sand less than 0 2mm)
- M Medium (less than 66% fine sand and less than 33% coarse sand)
- C Coarse (more than 33% of the sand larger than 0 6mm)

The clay loam and silty clay loam classes will be sub divided according to the clay content M Medium (<27% clay) H Heavy (27-35% clay)

- 2 MOTTLE COL Mottle colour using Munsell notation
- 3 MOTTLE ABUN Mottle abundance expressed as a percentage of the matrix or surface described

F few <2% C common 2-20% M many 20-40% VM very many 40% +

- 4 MOTTLE CONT Mottle contrast
  - **F** faint indistinct mottles evident only on close inspection
  - **D** distinct mottles are readily seen
  - **P** prominent mottling is conspicuous and one of the outstanding features of the horizon
- 5 **PED COL** Ped face colour using Munsell notation
- 6 GLEY If the soil horizon is gleyed a Y will appear in this column If slightly gleyed an S will appear
- 7 STONE LITH Stone Lithology One of the following is used
  - HRall hard rocks and stonesSLSTsoft colluct or dolimitic limestoneCHchalkFSSTsoft onlitic or dolimitic limestoneZRsoft argillaceous or silty rocks GHgravel with non-porous (hard) stonesMSSTsoft medium grained sandstone GSgravel with porous (soft) stonesSIsoft weathered igneous/metamorphic rock

Stone contents (>2cm >6cm and total) are given in percentages (by volume)

# SOIL PROFILE DESCRIPTIONS EXPLANATORY NOTE

Soil pit and auger boring information collected during ALC fieldwork is held on a computer database This uses notations and abbreviations as set out below

#### **Boring Header Information**

- 1 **GRID REF** national 100 km grid square and 8 figure grid reference
- 2 USE Land use at the time of survey The following abbreviations are used

ARA	Arable	WHT	Wheat	BAR	Barley
CER	Cereals	OAT	Oats	MZE	Maize
OSR	Oilseed rape	BEN	Field Beans	BRA	Brassicae
рот	Potatoes	SBT	Sugar Beet	FCD	Fodder Crops
LIN	Linseed	FRT	Soft and Top Fruit	FLW	Fallow
PGR	Permanent Pastur	eLEY	Ley Grass	RGR	Rough Grazing
SCR	Scrub	CFW	Coniferous Woodland	DCW	Deciduous Wood
HTH	Heathland	BOG	Bog or Marsh	FLW	Fallow
PLO	Ploughed	SAS	Set aside	ОТН	Other
HRT	Horticultural Cro	ps			

- 3 **GRDNT** Gradient as estimated or measured by a hand-held optical clinometer
- 4 GLEY/SPL Depth in centimetres (cm) to gleying and/or slowly permeable layers
- 5 AP (WHEAT/POTS) Crop adjusted available water capacity
- 6 MB (WHEAT/POTS) Moisture Balance (Crop adjusted AP crop adjusted MD)
- 7 **DRT** Best grade according to soil droughtiness
- 8 If any of the following factors are considered significant, 'Y' will be entered in the relevant column

MRELMicrorelief limitationFLOODFlood riskEROSNSoil erosion riskEXPExposure limitationFROSTFrost proneDISTDisturbed landCHEMChemical limitation

9 LIMIT The main limitation to land quality The following abbreviations are used

<b>OC</b>	<b>Overall Climate</b>	AE	Aspect	EX	Exposure
FR	Frost Risk	GR	Gradient	MR	Microrelief
FL	Flood Risk	TX	Topsoil Texture	DP	Soil Depth
СН	Chemical	WE	Wetness	WK	Workability
DR	Drought	ER	Erosion Risk	WD	Soil Wetness/Droughtiness
ST	Topsoil Stonine	SS			-

8 STRUCT the degree of development size and shape of soil peds are described using the following notation

degree of development	WK weakly developed ST strongly developed	MD moderately developed
ped size	F fine C coarse	M medium VC very coarse
<u>ped shape</u>	S single grain GR granular SAB sub angular blocky PL platy	M massive AB angular blocky PR prismatic

9 **CONSIST** Soil consistence is described using the following notation

L loose VF very friable FR friable FM firm VM very firm EM extremely firm EH extremely hard

- 10 SUBS STR Subsoil structural condition recorded for the purpose of calculating profile droughtiness G good M moderate P poor
- 11 **POR** Soil porosity If a soil horizon has less than 0.5% biopores >0.5 mm a 'Y' will appear in this column
- 12 **IMP** If the profile is impenetrable to rooting a 'Y' will appear in this column at the appropriate horizon
- 13 SPL Slowly permeable layer If the soil horizon is slowly permeable a 'Y' will appear in this column
- 14 CALC If the soil horizon is calcareous a 'Y' will appear in this column

#### 15 Other notations

- **APW** available water capacity (in mm) adjusted for wheat
- **APP** available water capacity (in mm) adjusted for potatoes
- MBW moisture balance wheat
- MBP moisture balance potatoes

#### SOIL PIT DESCRIPTION

Grid Re	ference	TQ7680524	0.	Average Anni	ual Rair	nfall	70	5 mm				
				Accumulated	Tempera	ature	140	0 degree	days			
				Field Capac Land Use	nty Leve	el	143	days				
				Slope and A	spect			degrees				
HORIZON	TEXTU	RE COLO	JR	STONES >2	TOT ST	TONE	LITH	MOTTLES	STRUCTURE	CONSIST	SUBSTRUCTURE	CAL
0- 24	HCL	10YR4	2 43	1	3		HR					
24- 35	HCL.	75YR5	3 00	0	10		HR	С	MDCSAB	FR	м	
35- 55	HCL	10YR5	5 00	0	20		HR	С	MDCSAB	FR	Μ	
55 90	С	75YR54	4 56	0	20		HR	M	ыкмав	FM	Ρ	
detness	Grade	3A	ł	Wetness Cla	55	11						
			I	Gleying		c	m					
				SPL		055 c						
Drought	Grade	3A	4	APW 101mm	MBW	-11	mm					
				APP 100mm	MBP	-6	mm					

MAIN LIMITATION Wetness

#### SOIL PIT DESCRIPTION

Site Name	a MAIDS	STONE LP SITE	E 63	Pit Number	- 2	?P				
Grid Refe	erence 1	Q76805220	Accumula Field Ca Land Use	Annual Rainfal ted Temperatury pacity Level d Aspect	e 140 143	)5 mm )0 degree 3 days degrees	days			
HORIZON	TEXTURE		STONES	>2 TOT STONE	LITH	MOTTLES	STRUCTURE	CONSIST	SUBSTRUCTURE	CALC
0- 24	MCL	10YR42 5	31	2	HR					
24- 54	HCL	10YR54 00	0 0	5	HR	F	MDCSAB	FR	М	
54- 80	HCL	10YR54 00	0 0	10	HR	С	MDCSAB	FR	Μ	
80-120	HCL	10YR54 00	0 0	15	HR	С			м	
Wetness (	Grade 1	l	Wetness	Class I						
			Gleying		cm					
			SPL		cm					
Drought (	Grade 2	2	APW 14	4mm MBW	32 mm					
			APP 11	1mm MBP	5 mm					
FINAL ALC		2								

MAIN LIMITATION Droughtiness

program ALCO12

# LIST OF BORINGS HEADERS 08/11/94 MAIDSTONE LP SITE 63

SAMP	LE		ASPECT				- WETH	NESS -	WH	IEAT	PC	TS	м	REL	EROSN	FROST	CHEM	ALC	
NO	GRID	REF	USE	GRDNT	GLEY	SPL	CLASS	GRADE	AP	MB	AP	MB	DRT	FLOOD	EX	P DIST	LIMIT		COMMENTS
1	TQ768	05250	ORC		055	055	2	3A	86	-26	92	14	3B				WE	3A	IMP 62 SL GLE
1P	TQ768	05240	ORC			055	2	ЗA	101	-11	100	6	3A				WE	3A	SL GLEY 24
2	TQ768	05240	ORC		048		1	2	79	-33	81	25	38				WE	2	IMP 55 S GLEY2
2P	TQ7680	05220	ORC				1	1	144	32	111	5	2				DR	2	SL GLEY 54
4	TQ7654	45230	ORC				1	1	124	12	117	11	2				DR	2	190 S GLEY45
5	TQ7670	05230	ORC		065	050	2	3A	95	-17	102	4	3A				WE	3A	174 S GLEY25
6	TQ768	05230	ORC			035	3	3B	83	-29	89	-17	38				WE	3B	S GLEY 28
7	TQ7660	05220	ORC				1	1	153	41	116	10	1					1	S GLEY 75
8	TQ767	05220	ORC		022	065	3	ЗA	133	21	111	5	2				WE	3A	SPL 65
9	TQ768	05220	ORC				1	1	106	-6	112	6	3A				DR	2	175 SEE 2P

page 1

program ALCO11

COMPLETE LIST OF PROFILES 08/11/94 MAIDSTONE LP SITE 63

page 1

					MOTTLES	S	PED			S	TONES		STRUCT/	' SUB	s				
SAMPLE	DEPTH	TEXTURE	COLOUR		ABUN		COL						CONSIST			IMP	SPL (	CALC	
<b>—</b> 1	0-28	hc1	10YR53 00	10YR5	8 00 F				1	0	HR	3							
	28-55	hcì	10YR54 00	10YR5	8 00 C			S	0	0	HR	20		M					
	55-62	с	10YR64 00	75YR4	5 00 C			Y	0	0	HR	10		P	Y		Y		IMPEN FLINTS
1P	0 24	hc]	10YR42 43						1	0	HR	3							
	24-35	hc]	75YR53 00	10YR5	8 00 C			s	0	0	HR	10	MDCSAB	FRM					
	35-55	hc]	10YR56 00	10YR5	8 00 C	I	00MN00	00 S	0	0	HR	20	MDCSAB	FR M					
•	55-90	с	75YR54 56	75YR4	5 00 M	I	000000	00 S	0	0	HR	20	WKMAB	FM P	Y		Y		
2	0 28	hc1	10YR43 00						2	0	HR	5							
-	28-48	hc1	10YR54 56	10YR5	8 00 C		OOMNOO	00 S	0	0	HR	20		м					
	48-55	c	10YR64 00	75YR5	8 00 M		OOMNOO	00 Y	0	0	HR	20		Р	Ŷ				IMPEN FLINTS
2P	0-24	mcl	10YR42 53						1	0	HR	2							
	24-54	hc1	10YR54 00	75YR5	8 00 F	I	OOMNOO	00	0	0	HR	5	MDCSAB	FR M					
	54-80	hcl	10YR54 00	10YR6	5 00 C	i	OOMNOO	00 S	0	0	HR	10	MDCSAB	FR M					
	80-120	hc1	10YR54 00	10YR6	6 00 C		OOMNOO	00 S			HR	15		м					
4	0-32	mcl	10YR42 00						0	0	HR	2							
-	32-45	mcl	25Y 53 00						0	0	)	0		м					
_	45-75	hc1	75YR54 00	OOMNO	0 00 C			S	0	0	HR	2		м					
	75-90	hc1	75YR56 00	75YR5	8 00 C			S	0	0	HR	2		M					IMPEN FLINTS
5	0-25	hc1	10YR43 00						3	C	HR	5							
	25-50	hc1	10YR43 00	10YR5	8 00 C			S	0	C	HR	5		м					
	50-65	с	10YR54 56	10YR5	8 00 C		00MN00	00 S	0	C	) HR	20		Р	Y		Y		
	65-74	с	10YR64 00	75YR4	6 00 M	I	00mn00	00 Y	0	0	HR	20		Ρ	Y		Y		IMPEN FLINTS
6	0-28	hc1	10YR43 00	10YR5	8 00 F				2	0	HR	5							
-	28-35	с	10YR54 56	10YR5	B 00 C			S	0	0	HR	10		M					
_	35-60	с	10YR56 00	75YR5	8 00 M	I	00mn00	00 S	0	0	HR	5		Р	Y		Y		
<b>7</b>	0-30	നറി	10YR42 43						0	0	HR	2							
	30-40	mcl	10YR53 52						0	0	HR	2		M					
1	40-75	hcl	25Y 54 00						0	0	HR	2		M					
	75–120	scl	25Y 56 00	25Y 5	8 74 C			S	0	0	HR	2		M					
8	0-22	ന്റി	10YR42 00								) hr	3							
	22 40	hc1	10YR53 00					Y			) HR	3		M					
-	40 65	hc1	10YR53 54					Y			HR	5		M					
-	65-120	c	75YR54 00	75YR5	6 00 M		00mn00	00 S	0	0	) HR	5		Ρ			Y		
			_																
9	0-25	mc1	10YR42 00								) HR	6							
_	25-55	hcl	10YR56 00				OOMNOO				HR	3		M					
	55-75	hc1	10YR56 00	IUYR5	8 UO C			S	0	0	HR	3		М					IMPEN FLINTS