Pilning

۲

Agricultural Land Classification

March 1997

Resource Planning Team Bristol FRCA Western Region Job Number 1/97 Commission 1316 MAFF Reference EL 34/01208



PILNING

AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION SURVEY

CONTENTS Page **INTRODUCTION** 1 SUMMARY 1 CLIMATE 2 RELIEF 3 **GEOLOGY AND SOILS** 3 AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION AND MAP 3 5 REFERENCES Description of the Grades and Subgrades APPENDIX I 6 APPENDIX II Definition of Soil Wetness Classes 8 9 APPENDIX III Survey Data Sample Point Location Map Pit Descriptions Boring Profile Data Boring Horizon Data Abbreviations and Terms used in Survey Data

PILNING

AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION SURVEY

INTRODUCTION

1 This report presents the findings of a semi detailed Agricultural Land Classification (ALC) survey of 420 ha of land at Pilning South Gloucestershire Field survey was based on 160 auger borings and 9 soil profile pits and was completed in March 1997 During the survey 9 topsoil samples were analysed for particle size distribution (PSD)

2 The survey was conducted by the Resource Planning Team of FRCA Western Region (formerly ADAS Taunton Statutory Group) on behalf of MAFF in its statutory role in the preparation of South Gloucestershire Local Plan

3 Information on climate geology and soils and from previous ALC surveys was considered and is presented in the relevant section Apart from the published regional ALC map (MAFF 1977) which shows the site at a reconnaissance scale as Grade 3 the site was previously surveyed in 1985 at a scale of 1 25 000 (ADAS 1985) This shows a large part of the western side of the site as Subgrades 3a b and c according to the classification criteria at that time However the current survey uses the Revised Guidelines and Criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land (MAFF 1988) and supersedes any previous ALC survey Grade descriptions are summarised in Appendix I

At the time of survey land cover was mainly permanent grass Whereas the area west of the A403 around the village of Severn Beach is devoted mainly to pony paddocks the area east of the A403 is conspicuously well farmed and produces good crops of fattening grass and for conservation and is much appreciated by farmers in the area An area of 7 0 ha of agricultural land within the survey area was not surveyed because the owner refused his permission for access Other land which was not surveyed included various categories of urban land particularly in and around Severn Beach where there are also several areas of waste ground and at least one caravan site The boundaries of the new M49 and the recently amended boundaries of the A403 in the north of the site have all been sketched on to the attached ALC map from visual survey as an up to date large scale plan was not available These boundaries include many areas of amenity tree planting associated with the new roads

SUMMARY

5 The distribution of ALC grades is shown on the accompanying 1 20 000 scale ALC map The detail of information shown at this scale is appropriate to the intensity of field survey but could be misleading if enlarged or applied to small areas Areas are summarised in the Table 1

Grade	Area (ha)	% Surveyed Area (292 ha)
3b	202	69
4	90	31
Agricultural land not surveyed	7	
Other land	103	
Total site area	402	

Table 1Distribution of ALC gradesPilning

6 This shows none of the area to be best and most versatile The survey found only Subgrade 3b and Grade 4 in both cases limited by wetness Although the soil profiles are in themselves similar the area of Grade 4 was found in the south east of the site where the climatic data indicates that field capacity days exceed 175

CLIMATE

7 Estimates of climatic variables for this site were derived from the published agricultural climate dataset Climatological Data for Agricultural Land Classification (Meteorological Office 1989) using standard interpolation procedures Data for key points around the site are given in Table 2 below

8 Since the ALC grade of land is determined by the most limiting factor present overall climate is considered first because it can have an overriding influence by restricting land to a lower grade despite more favourable site and soil conditions Parameters used for assessing overall climate are accumulated temperature a measure of relative warmth and average annual rainfall a measure of overall wetness The results shown in Table 2 indicate that there is no overall climatic limitation

9 Climatic variables also affect ALC grade through interactions with soil conditions The most important interactive variables are Field Capacity Days (FCD) which are used in assessing soil wetness and potential Moisture Deficits calculated for wheat and potatoes which are compared with the moisture available in each profile in assessing soil droughtiness limitations. These are described in later sections. A critical boundary of 175 FC Days was found to run from south west to north east approximately through Marsh Common. This was precisely established for each auger survey point on each side of the boundary

Grid Reference	ST 545 851	ST 565 831
Altıtude (m)	6	6
Accumulated Temperature (day C)	1538	1538
Average Annual Rainfall (mm)	791	796
Overall Climatic Grade	1	1
Field Capacity Days	174	176
Moisture deficit (mm) Wheat	102	102
Potatoes	95	95

Table 2 Climatic Interpolations Pilning

RELIEF

10 Altitude ranges from around 6 m over most of the site increasing to around 8 m towards Pilning railway station

11 Although the land is low lying and virtually all level the incidence of flooding as assessed from local knowledge during the course of the survey is believed not to constitute a limitation to agricultural land quality

GEOLOGY AND SOILS

12 The underlying geology of the site is shown on the published geology map (BGS 1962) as estuarine alluvium The current survey found this parent material to be remarkably consistent with all topsoil PSD samples analysed as silty clay

13 Soils were mapped by the Soil Survey of England and Wales at a reconnaissance scale of 1 250 000 (SSEW 1983) as Newchurch 2 association This is described as comprising deep stoneless mainly calcareous clayey soils with groundwater controlled by ditches and pumps This is the case within the survey area where drainage is to main rhyne controlled by tidal flap so that there is some daily variation in main rhyne water level depending on the state of the tide However the observed freeboard between field level and ditchwater level was observed to be typically 1 5 to over 2 m During the course of the survey ditch maintenance was being undertaken by South Gloucestershire Internal Drainage Board on main rhynes under their control This appeared to apply to a considerable proportion of the existing rhynes particularly in the area south east of the A403

AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION

14 The distribution of ALC grades found by the current survey is shown on the accompanying 1 20 000 scale map and areas are summarised in Table 1 The detail of information shown at this scale is appropriate to the intensity of field survey but could be misleading if enlarged or applied to small areas

Subgrade 3b

15 Almost all topsoil textures at least when assessed to 25 cm were found to be silty clay Where subject to less than 176 field capacity days any Wetness Class from II to IV with silty clay topsoil indicates Wetness Grade 3b This mapping unit therefore only excludes Wetness Class I which has no gleying evident within 40 cm and no slowly permeable layer

16 Pits 1 2 4 and 7 are typical of profiles in the west and south of the site which are clearly Wetness Class IV with poor SPL structure and poor porosity Several of these pits are found at the west end of the site where conditions are particularly poor in the area mapped as Subgrade 3c in the 1985 survey

17 The survey found better subsoil structural conditions in the centre and east of the site and in the area east of Ellinghurst Farm These were investigated by other pits revealing a range of subsoil conditions many of them borderline in subsoil structure and porosity criteria which are critical to the identification of a slowly permeable layer Pits 3 5 and 9 were finally assessed as Wetness Class III or IV but in each case subsoil structure and porosity were found to be sufficiently borderline or variable to be borderline Wetness Class II overall and Pit 8 was assessed as Wetness Class II Such conditions seem more appropriate to the firm surface conditions and good summer drainage reported for the area. However, the great majority of soil observations showed gleying present within 40 cm which indicates Wetness Class II whether or not a slowly permeable layer is also present. With clay topsoil textures this inevitably leads to Wetness Grade 3b and overall assessment of the mapping unit as Subgrade 3b

18 Very few profiles were found to be without gleying in the top 40 cm (Wetness Class I) This indicates Wetness Grade 3a limited only by workability and is illustrated by Pit 6 However these isolated borings cannot form a significant mapping unit and have been absorbed within the area shown as Subgrade 3b

19 It is worth noting that the area shown as Subgrade 3b conceals a wide range of soil wetness conditions However the survey provides a true reflection of site conditions interpreted in strict accordance with the revised guidelines and criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land This is precisely the justification for undertaking the new survey despite the existence of the 1985 survey

Grade 4

20 Soil profiles within the area shown as Grade 4 are much as described for Subgrade 3b above with the great majority being Wetness Class IV and a few being Wetness Class III with gleying or the slowly permeable layer occurring rather lower in the profile However they are found in an area with over 175 FC Days and the boundary between the two mapping units follows the 175 6 FC Days boundary This crosses the site from southwest to northeast running past Marsh Common

21 A very few observations were found to be Wetness Class I or II which give rise to isolated instances of WetnessGrade 3b but nowhere do these form a significant mapping unit

P Barnett Resource Planning Team FRCA Bristol March 1997

REFERENCES

ADAS RESOURCE PLANNING TEAM (1985) Agricultural Land Classification Survey of Pilning Aust Scale 1 25 000 Reference 1 ADAS Bristol

BRITISH GEOLOGICAL SURVEY (1981) Chepstow Sheet 250 1 50 000 series Solid and Drift edition BGS London

HODGSON J M (Ed) (1974) Soil Survey Field Handbook Technical Monograph No 5 Soil Survey of England and Wales Harpenden

HODGSON J M (In preparation) Soil Survey Field Handbook Revised edition

MAFF (1977) 1 250 000 series Agricultural Land Classification South West Region MAFF Publications Alnwick

MAFF (1988) Agricultural Land Classification of England and Wales Revised Guidelines and Criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land MAFF Publications Alnwick

METEOROLOGICAL OFFICE (1989) Climatological Data for Agricultural Land Classification Meteorological Office Bracknell

SOIL SURVEY OF ENGLAND AND WALES (1983) Sheet 5 Soils of South West England 1 250 000 scale SSEW Harpenden

SOIL SURVEY OF ENGLAND AND WALES (1984) Soils and Their Use in South West England Bulletin No 14 SSEW Harpenden

APPENDIX I

DESCRIPTION OF GRADES AND SUBGRADES

Grade 1 excellent quality agricultural land

Land with no or very minor limitations to agricultural use A very wide range of agricultural and horticultural crops can be grown and commonly include top fruit soft fruit salad crops and winter harvested vegetables Yields are high and less variable than on land of lower quality

Grade 2 very good quality agricultural land

Land with minor limitations which affect crop yield cultivations or harvesting A wide range of agricultural and horticultural crops can usually be grown but on some land in the grade there may be reduced flexibility due to difficulties with the production of the more demanding crops such as winter harvested vegetables and arable root crops The level of yield is generally high but may be lower or more variable than Grade 1

Grade 3 good to moderate quality agricultural land

Land with moderate limitations which affect the choice of crops timing and type of cultivation harvesting or the level of yield Where more demanding crops are grown yields are generally lower or more variable than on land in Grades 1 and 2

Subgrade 3a good quality agricultural land

Land capable of consistently producing moderate to high yields of a narrow range of arable crops especially cereals or moderate yields of a wide range of crops including cereals grass oilseed rape potatoes sugar beet and the less demanding horticultural crops

Subgrade 3b moderate quality agricultural land

Land capable of producing moderate yields of a narrow range of crops principally cereals and grass or lower yields of a wider range of crops or high yields of grass which can be grazed or harvested over most of the year

Grade 4 poor quality agricultural land

Land with severe limitations which significantly restrict the range of crops and/or level of yields. It is mainly suited to grass with occasional arable crops (eg cereals and forage crops) the yields of which are variable. In most climates, yields of grass may be moderate to high but there may be difficulties in utilisation. The grade also includes very droughty arable land.

Grade 5 very poor quality agricultural land

Land with very severe limitations which restrict use to permanent pasture or rough grazing except for occasional pioneer forage crops

Source MAFF (1988) Agricultural Land Classification of England and Wales Revised Guidelines and Criteria for Grading the Quality of Agricultural Land MAFF Publications Alnwick

APPENDIX II

DEFINITION OF SOIL WETNESS CLASSES

Soil wetness is classified according to the depth and duration of waterlogging in the soil profile

Wetness Class I

The soil profile is not wet within 70 cm depth for more than 30 days in most years

Wetness Class II

The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for 31 90 days in most years or if there is no slowly permeable layer within 80 cm depth it is wet within 70 cm for more than 90 days but not wet within 40 cm depth for more than 30 days in most years

Wetness Class III

The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for 91 180 days in most years or if there is no slowly permeable layer within 80 cm depth it is wet within 70 cm for more than 180 days but only wet within 40 cm depth for between 31 and 90 days in most years

Wetness Class IV

The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for more than 180 days but not within 40 cm depth for more than 210 days in most years or if there is no slowly permeable layer within 80 cm depth it is wet within 40 cm depth for 91 210 days in most years

Wetness Class V

The soil profile is wet within 40 cm depth for 211 335 days in most years

Wetness Class VI

The soil profile is wet within 40 cm depth for more than 335 days in most years

Notes The number of days specified is not necessarily a continuous period

In most years is defined as more than 10 out of 20 years

Source Hodgson J M (In preparation) Soil Survey Field Handbook Revised Edition

APPENDIX III

ABBREVIATIONS AND TERMS USED IN SURVEY DATA

Soil pit and auger boring information collected during ALC survey is held on a computer database and is reproduced in this report. Terms used and abbreviations are set out below These conform to definitions contained in the Soil Survey Field Handbook (Hodgson 1974).

1 Terms used on computer database in order of occurrence

GRID REF National 100 km grid square and 8 figure grid reference

LAND USE At the time of survey

WHT	Wheat	SBT	Sugar Beet	HTH	Heathland
BAR	Barley	BRA	Brassicas	BOG	Bog or Marsh
OAT	Oats	FCD	Fodder Crops	DCW	Deciduous Wood
CER	Cereals	FRT	Soft and Top Fruit	CFW	Coniferous Woodland
MZE	Maize	HRT	Horticultural Crops	PLO	Ploughed
OSR	Oilseed Rape	LEY	Ley Grass	FLW	Fallow (inc Set aside)
РОТ	Potatoes	PGR	Permanent Pasture	SAS	Set Aside (where known)
LIN	Linseed	RGR	Rough Grazing	OTH	Other
BEN	Field Beans	SCR	Scrub		

GRDNT Gradient as estimated or measured by hand held optical clinometer

GLEY SPL Depth in centimetres to gleying or slowly permeable layer

AP (WHEAT/POTS)	Crop adjusted avail		
MB (WHEAT/POTS)	Moisture Balance MD)	(Crop adjusted AP	crop potential

DRT Best grade according to soil droughtiness

If any of the following factors are considered significant Y will be entered in the relevant column

MREL EXP CHEM	Exposure limitation		LOOD ROST	Flood risk Frost prone		ST	Soil erosion risk Disturbed land
LIMIT The main limitation to land quality The following abbreviations are used							
OC	Overall Climate	ΑE	Aspect	E	EX	Expos	ure
FR	Frost Risk	GR	Gradier	nt N	/IR	Micro	relief

FL	Flood Risk	ТХ	Topsoil Texture	DP	Soil Depth
СН	Chemical	WE	Wetness	WK	Workability
DR	Drought	ER	Erosion Risk	WD	Soil

Wetness/Droughtiness

ST Topsoil Stoniness

TEXTURE Soil texture classes are denoted by the following abbreviations

S SZL	Sand Sandy Silt Loam	LS CL	Loamy Sand Clay Loam	SL ZCL	Sandy Loam Silty Clay Loam
ZL	Silt Loam	SCL	Sandy Clay	C	Clay
SC	Sandy clay	ZC	Loam Silty clay	OL	Organic Loam
Р	Peat	SP	Sandy Peat	LP	Loamy Peat
PL	Peaty Loam	PS	Peaty Sand	MZ	Marine Light Silts

For the sand loamy sand sandy loam and sandy silt loam classes the predominant size of sand fraction will be indicated by the use of the following prefixes

- **F** Fine (more than 66% of the sand less than 0 2mm)
- M Medium (less than 66% fine sand and less than 33% coarse sand)
- C Coarse (more than 33% of the sand larger than 0 6mm)

The clay loam and silty clay loam classes will be sub divided according to the clay content M Medium (< 27% clay) H heavy (27 35% clay)

MOTTLE COL Mottle colour using Munsell notation

MOTTLE ABUN Mottle abundance expressed as a percentage of the matrix or surface described

F few <2% C common 2 20% M many 20 40% VM very many 40%+

MOTTLE CONT Mottle contrast

- **F** faint indistinct mottles evident only on close inspection
- D distinct mottles are readily seen
- P Prominent mottling is conspicuous and one of the outstanding features of the horizon
- PED COL Ped face colour using Munsell notation
- GLEY If the soil horizon is gleyed a Y will appear in this column If slightly gleyed an S will appear

STONE LITH Stone Lithology One of the following is used

HR All hard rocks and stones SLST Soft oolitic or dolimitic limestone

СН	Chalk	FSST	Soft fine grained sandstone
ZR	Soft argillaceous or silty rocks	GH	Gravel with non porous (hard) stones
MSST	Soft medium grained sandstone	GS	Gravel with porous (soft) stones
SI	Soft weathered igneous or metamor	ohic rock	

Stone contents are given in % by volume for sizes >2cm >6cm and total stone >2mm

STRUCT The degree of development size and shape of soil peds are described using the following notation

Degree of development	WK ST	Weakly developed Strongly developed	MD	Moderately developed
Ped size	F C	Fine Coarse	M VC	Medium Very coarse
<u>Ped Shape</u>	S GR SAB PL	Sıngle graın Granular Sub angular blocky Platy	M AB PR	Massive Angular blocky Prismatic

CONSIST Soil consistence is described using the following notation

L	Loose	VF	Very Friable	FR	Friable	FM	Fırm
VM	Very firm	EM	Extremely firm		EH	Extremely H	ard

SUBS STRSubsoil structural condition recorded for the purpose of calculating
profile droughtinessG GoodM ModerateP Poor

- **POR** Soil porosity If a soil horizon has poor porosity with less than 0.5% biopores >0.5mm a Y will appear in this column
- **IMP** If the profile is impenetrable to rooting a Y will appear in this column at the appropriate horizon
- **SPL** Slowly permeable layer If the soil horizon is slowly permeable a Y will appear in this column
- CALC If the soil horizon is calcareous with naturally occurring calcium carbonate exceeding 1% a Y will appear this column

2 Additional terms and abbreviations used mainly in soil pit descriptions

STONE ASSESSMENT

VIS Visual S Sieve D Displacement

MOTTLE SIZE

EF	Extremely fine <1mm	Μ	Medium 5 15mm
1717	Viene free 1 Oreans	~	0 15

VF Very fine 1 2mm> C Coarse >15mm

F Fine 2 5mm

MOTTLE COLOURMay be described by Munsell notation or as ochreous
(OM) or grey (GM)

ROOT CHANNELS In topsoil the presence of rusty root channels should also be noted

MANGANESE CONCRETIONS Assessed by volume

Ν	None		Μ	Many	20 40%
F	Few	<2%	VM	Very Many	>40%
С	Common	2 20%			

STRUCTURE Ped Development *

WA	Weakly adherent	Μ	Moderately developed
W	Weakly developed	S	Strongly developed

POROSITY

Р	Poor	less than 0 5% biopores at least 0 5mm in diameter
G	Good	more than 0 5% biopores at least 0 5mm in diameter

ROOT ABUNDANCE

The number of ro	ots per 100cm ²	Very Fine and Fine	Medium and Coarse
F	Few	1 10	1 or 2
С	Common	10 25	2 5
Μ	Many	25 200	>5
Α	Abundant	>200	

ROOT SIZE

VF	Very fine	<1mm	Μ	Medium	2 5mm
F	Fine	1 2mm	С	Coarse	>5mm

HORIZON BOUNDARY DISTINCTNESS

Sharp	<0 5cm	Gradual	6 13cm
Abrupt	05 25cm	Diffuse	>13cm
Clear	25 6cm		

HORIZON BOUNDARY FORM Smooth wavy irregular or broken * * See Soil Survey Field Handbook (Hodgson 1974) for details

SITE NA	ME	PRO	FILE NO	SLOPE	AND ASPE	CT	LA	ND USE		A	v Raınfall			PARENT MA	TERIAL	<u>-</u>
Pilning		Pit 1	(ASP 23)	0			PGI	R		A'	то	1538 day	С	Estuarine alluv	um	
JOB NO		DAT	E	GRID I	REFERENCI	E	DE	SCRIBED B	Y	F	C Days	174		PSD SAMPLE	S TAKEN	
1/97		193	97	ST 547	6 8509		HL.	J/PB			limatic Grade xposure Grade	1 1		TS 0 25 cm Z	C (S3 Z60 C	37)
Horizon No	Lowest Av Depth (cm)	Texture	Matrix (Ped Face) Colours	Stonine Size Ty Field M	pe and	Mottling Abundanc Contrast Size and Colour	i. .e	Mangan Concs	Structure Developme Size and Shape	Ped		Structural Condition	Pores (Fissures)	Roots Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary Distinctness and form
1	18	ZC	2 5¥52	0		0		0						MF VF		Clear smooth
2	28	ZC	2 5¥62	0		MDFO 10YR56 5Y61		0	WCPr	-	Fm	Р	G (low)	MVF		Grad smooth
3	60+	ZC	2 5Y62	0		MDFO 10YR58 5Y61		С	WCSAI	B	Fm	Р	P (low)	MVF		
Profile G	leyed From	n 18 cm			Available	Water W	Vheat	121 п	nm			Final ALC	Grade	3b		•
Depth to Permeabl Wetness	le Horizon	28 cm IV			Moısture I		otatoo Vheat					Main Limit	ing Factor(s) We		
		3b				Ро	otato	es 95 m	m							
Wetness	Wetness Grade				Moisture E	Balance W	Vheat	; +19 r	nm							
						Po	otato	es +3 m	m			Remarks				
					Droughtiness Grade 2		2	(Calc	ulated to 120	0 cn	n)					

SITE NA	ME	PRO	FILE NO	SLOPE	AND ASPE	ECT	LA	ND USE		Av R	aınfall	793 mm		PARENT MA	FERIAL	
Pilning		Pit 2	2 (ASP 16)	0			Per	manent Grass	5	АТО		1538 day	с	Estuarine alluv	rium	
JOB NO	.	DA	ГЕ —	GRID	REFERENC	Ê	DE	SCRIBED B	Y	FC D	ays	174		PSD SAMPLE	S TAKEN	
1/97		19/3	3/97	ST 547	8 8522		PB/	/ HLJ			atic Grade sure Grade	1 1	:	TS 0 25 cm Z	C (S2 Z53 (C45)
Horizon No	Lowest Av Depth (cm)	Texture	Matrix (Ped Face) Colours	Stonine Size Ty Field M	pe and	Mottling Abundanc Contrast Size and Colour	e .	Mangan Concs	Structure I Developme Size and Shape	Ped ent	Consistence	Structural Condition	Pores (Fissures)	Roots Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary Distinctnes and form
1	17	ZC	10YR42	1% HR	(VIS)	FFDO 10YR5		None						MF + VF		Clear smooth
2	25	ZC	25¥53	0% (VIS)	CDFO (10YR5)		None	WCPr breaking CSAB		Fırm	Poor	Poor (brd 1)	MF+ VF		Gradual smooth
3	65+	ZC	5G61	0% (VIS)	VMDF0 (10YR56		Common	WCPr breaking MVCAE	to	Fırm	Poor	Poor *	FF + VF		
Profile G	leyed Fror	n 17 cr	n		Available	Water W	Vheat	121 n	າຫ			Final ALC	Grade	3b		
Permeable Horizon 17 cm						Potatoes 98 mm Wheat 102 mm					Main Limit	ing Factor(s	s) Wetness			
Wetness	Grade	3b					otato							. <u> </u>		
					Moisture E		Vheat					Remarks	*still	*still the odd one		
							otato									
					Droughtin	Droughtiness Grade 2 (Calculated to			ulated to 120	J cm)						

SITE NA	ME	PR	OFILE NO	SLOPE	AND ASPE	CT	LAN	ND USE		Av	Rainfall	793 mm		PARENT MA	TERIAL	
Pılnıng		Pıt	3 (ASP 47)	0			PGR	٤		АТ	Ю	1538 day	С	Estuarine alluv	uum	
JOB NO		DA	TE	GRID F	REFERENCI	E	DES	SCRIBED B	Y	FC	C Days	174		PSD SAMPLE	S TAKEN	
1/97		19	/3/97	ST 553	2 8480		HLJ	I/PB]	imatic Grade	1		TS 0 25 cm Z	TS 0 25 cm ZC(s3 Z57 C40)	
Horizon No	Lowest Av Depth (cm)	Texture	Matrix (Ped Face) Colours	Stonine Size Ty Field M	pe and	Mottling Abundanc Contrast Size and Colour		Mangan Concs	Structure I Developme Size and Shape	Ped	Consistence	1 Structural Condition	Pores (Fissures)	Roots Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary Distinctness and form
1	18	ZC	2 5¥52		0	CRRC FDFO 7 5YR5		0						CF VF	-	Clear smooth
2	40	ZC	2 5¥52		0	FFFO 10YR56		0	MMSAE	B	Fr	G	Р	CF VF		Clear smooth
3	67	ZC	5¥62		0	CDFO 10YR58		F	WCSAB	*	Fm	Р	Р	FF CVF		Clear wavy
4	90+	zc	2 5¥62		0	MDFO 10YR58		0	WCSAE	3	Fm (Fr 1n parts)	Р	P (low)	FF VF		
Profile G	leyed From	n 40 c	m		Available	Water W	Vheat	139 m	ım			Final ALC	Grade	3b		
Depth to Permeabl Wetness	le Horizon	40 c 111/I			Moisture I	Potatoes 116 mm oisture Deficit Wheat 102 mm Potatoes 95 mm					s) We					
Wetness	Grade	3b		Moisture E		Vheat					Remarks		oorderline SPL s		st	
					Droughtine	Po ess Grade 1	Potatoes +21 mm de 1 (Calculated to 120) cm)		mod	erately develope	d	

SITE NA	ME	PR	OFILE NO	SLOPE	AND ASPE	ECT		ND USE		Av Raınfall	793 mm		PARENT MA	TERIAL	
Pilning		Pit (As	4 SP 86)	0			Perr	manent Grass	5	АТО	1538 day	с	Estuarine alluv	um	
JOB NÖ	·		TE	GRID	REFERENC	E	DESCRIBED BY		Y	FC Days	174	F	PSD SAMPLE	S TAKEN	
1/97		19/	(3/97	ST 546	4 8438		PB/ HLJ			Climatic Grade Exposure Grade	1		TS 0 25 cm Z	C(S0 Z49	C51)
Horizon No	Lowest Av Depth (cm)	Texture	Matrix (Ped Face) Colours	Stonine Size Ty Field M	pe and	Mottling Abundance Contrast Size and Colour		Mangan Concs	Structure Po Developmer Size and Shape	ed	Structural Condition	Pores (Fissures)	Roots Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary Distinctnes and form
1	22	ZC	2 5Y42	1% HR	(VIS)	CDFO (10YR5)		None					MF + VF		Clear smooth
2	60+	ZC	05Y61	0% (VIS)	MDFO (10YR5		Common	MCPr breaking to CAB	o Fırm	Poor	Poor	CF + VF		
Profile G	leyed Fror	n 0cm	n		Available	Water W	Vheat	123 m	າຫ	-	Final ALC	Grade	3b		-
	e Horizon		m	Мо				Potatoes 100 mm Wheat 102 mm			Maın Lımı	ting Factor(s) Wetness		
	Vetness Class IV Vetness Grade 3b				P	otatoe	es 95 m	m							
		20			Moisture I	Balance W	Wheat +21 mm		ım		Remarks				
						P	otatoe	es +5 mi	m						
					Droughtin	ess Grade 2	2	(Calc	ulated to 120	cm)					

SITE NA	ME	PRO	FILE NO	SLOPE	AND ASPE	CT	LÄN	ND USE		Av I	Raınfall	793 mm		PARENT MA	TERIAL	
Pılnıng		Pit 5	(ASP 109)	Flat			Pern	manent Grass	5	ATC	С	1538 day	с	Estuarine alluv	um	
JOB NO		DAT	Ē	GRID	REFERENC	E	DES	SCRIBED B	Y	FC	Days	175		PSD SAMPLE	STAKEN	i
1/97		21/3/	97	ST 561	6 8427		PB/I	HLJ			natic Grade osure Grade	1		TS 0 25 cm Z	C (S4 Z49 ((47)
Horizon No	Lowest Av Depth (cm)	Texture	Matrıx (Ped Face) Colours	Stonine Size Ty Field M	pe and	Mottling Abundanc Contrast Size and Colour		Mangan Concs	Structure Developm Size and Shape	Ped	Consistence	Structural Condition	Pores (Fissures)	Roots Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary Distinctness and form
1	18	ZC	10YR32		0	FDFO 10YR56		None						MF VF		Clear wavy
2	28	С	7 5YR52		0	MDFO (10YR58		0	MMPr BI MC(S)A		FM	Р	G (low)	CVF		Gradual smooth
3	88+	с	7 5YR52		0	MDFO 10YR58 5YR61	8	0	MCPr Br MC(S)A		FM	Р	P (low)	CVF		
Profile G	leyed Fron	n 18 cm		••••••	Available	Water W	heat	121 m	າກາ	·		Final ALC	Grade	3b		
Depth to Permeabl Wetness	e Horizon	28 cm IV/II			Moisture E	Deficit W	otatoe Vheat otatoe	102 m	าก			Maın Lımıt	Main Limiting Factor(s) Wetness			
Wetness	Grade	3b			Moisture I		Vheat									mbunod with
						Po	otatoe	es +3 m	m			Remarks	MCSAB secondary structure gives a borderlin			
					Droughtin	ess Grade 2	2	(Calc	ulated to 12(0 cm)			SPL	at WC IV/II		

SITE NA	ME	P	PROFILI	E NO	SLOPE	AND ASPE	CT	LAN	ND USE		Av R	amfall	793 mm		PARENT MAT	FERIAL	
Pılnıng		P	Pit 6 (AS	SP 95)	0			PGF	ર		АТО	,	1538 day	с	Estuarine alluv	ıum	
JOB NO		T	DATE		GRID F	EFERENC	E	DES	SCRIBED B	Y	FC D	Days	174		PSD SAMPLE	S TAKEN	
1/97		2	21/3/97		ST 5632	2 8441		HLJ/PB				atic Grade	1		TS 0 25 cm ZC (S3 Z58 C39)		39)
Horizon No	Lowest Av Depth (cm)	Textu	ıre (P	Aatrix Ped Face) Colours	Stonine Size Ty Field M	pe and	Mottling Abundanc Contrast Size and Colour		Mangan Concs	Structure F Developme Size and Shape	Ped ent	osure Grade	1 Structural Condition	Pores (Fissures)	Roots Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary Distinctnes and form
1	23	ZC	C 10	0YR42		0	0		0						MF VF		Clear smooth
2	42	c	7	5YR52		0	0		F	MCSAB tending to MCPr		Fr	М	G	CF VF		Grad smooth
3	66	С	7	5YR52		0	FFFO 10YR58		F	WCSAB	;	Fr	М	G	CVF		Clear wavy
4	90+	C/Z	2C 7	5YR62		0	CDFO 10YR58		0	WCSAB tending to VCPI		Fr	М	G	FVF		
Profile G	leyed Fror	n 66	6 cm			Available	Water W	- /heat	138 m	m			Final ALC	Grade	3a		
Wetness	le Horizon Class	I	I			Potatoes 114 mm Moisture Deficit Wheat 102 mm Potatoes 95 mm							Main Limit	ing Factor(:	s) Wk		
Wetness	Grade	3a	1			Moisture Balance Wheat +3				ım			Remarks				
						Potatoes +19 mm Droughtiness Grade 1 (Calculated			ulated to 120	to 120 cm)							

SITE NA	ME	PR	OFILE NO	SLOPE	AND ASPE	CT	LĀÌ	ND USE		A	/ Ramfall	 793 mm		PARENT MA	FERIAL	
Pilning		Pıt	7 (ASP 154)	0			PGF	R		A	ro	1538 day	с	Estuarine alluv	ium	
JOB NO		DA	TE	GRID I	REFERENC	E	DES	SCRIBED B	Y	FC	C Days	174		PSD SAMPLE	S TAKEN	
1/97		24/	3/97	ST 557	2 8368		ны	J/PB		ļ	imatic Grade	1		TS 0 25 cm Z	C (S2 Z59 C	239)
Horizon No	Lowest Av Depth (cm)	Texture	Matrıx (Ped Face) Colours	Stonine Size Ty Field M	pe and	Mottling Abundanc Contrast Size and Colour		Mangan Concs	Structure Developme Size and Shape	Ped	Consistence	Structural Condition	Pores (Fissures)	Roots Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary Distinctness and form
1	18	ZC	2 5 9 5 2		0	CDFO 10YR5		0						MF VF		Grad smooth
2	30	ZC	2 5 Y 62		0	MDFO 10YR5		0	WCSAI	в	Fm	Р	P (low)*	MF VF		Clear smooth
3	55+	ZC	10Y51		0	CDMC 10YR5		F	WCPr		Fm	Р	Р	FVF		
Profile G	leyed Fror	n 0cm	ì		Available	Water W	Vheat	121 n	m			Final ALC	Grade	3b		
Depth to Permeabl Wetness	le Horizon	18 c IV	m		Moisture I	Deficit W	otatoe Vheat	. 102 n	nm			Main Limit	ing Factor(s	s) We		
Wetness	Grade	3Ъ				P	otatoe	es 95 m	m							
	-				Moisture H	Balance W	Vheat	+19 r	nm	Remarks Earthworm channels present F			nresent U2	·····		
						Р	otatoe	es +3 m	m			I CHIMINS	Earti	rworm channels	present riz	
	Droughtiness Grade 2 (Calculated to 12					ulated to 120	0 cm	1)								

SITE NAME			PROFILE NO		SLOPE AND ASPECT			LAND USE			Av Raınfall		793 mm		PARENT MATERIAL			
Pilning			Pit 8 (ASP 130)		0			PGR			ΑΤΟ)	1538 day C		Estuarine Alluvium			
JOB NO 1/97					GRID F	GRID REFERENCE			DESCRIBED BY			Days	175		PSD SAMPLES TAKEN			
					ST 5558 8396			HLJ	HLJ/PB		-	atic Grade	1		TS 0 25 cm ZC (S2 Z52 C46)			
Horizon No	Lowest Av Depth (cm)	Texture		Matrix (Ped Face) Colours	Stoniness Size Type and Field Method		Mottling Abundanc Contrast Size and Colour	ince Mangan it Concs		Ex Structure Ped Development Size and Shape		osure Grade Consistence	1 Structural Condition	Pores (Fissures)	Roots Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary Distinctne: and form	
1	16	Z	с	10YR41	IYR41		0		0						MF VF		Clear smooth	
2	29	Z	c	2 5¥52	0		FFFO 10YR56		0	WCSAE	3	Fr	М	G	MVF		Clear smooth	
3	47	Z	C	2 5Y62 10YR62	0		CDFO 10YR58		F	W MCSA (variable		Fm	Р	P/G (variable)	CVF		Grad smooth	
4	75+	C	C	7 5YR62	0		MDMO G 10YR58 10GY71		0	MMSAI	3	Fr	G	G (low)	FVF			
Profile Gleyed From 29 cm						Available Water Wheat 183 mm							Final ALC Grade 3b					
Depth to Slowly Permeable Horizon Wetness Class Wetness Grade			(Dubious) 29 47 cm II 3b			Moisture I	Deficit W	Vheat	otatoes 120 mm Theat 102 mm otatoes 95 mm				Main Limiting Factor(s) We					
						Moisture Balance Wheat +81 mm Potatoes +25 mm									a dubious SPL but does not extend below cm therefore WC II borderline WC IV			
						Droughtiness Grade 1 (Calculated to 12) cm)							

SITE NAME		P	ROFILE NO	SLOPE	AND ASPE	ECT	LAND USE			Av Raınfall		793 mm		PARENT MATERIAL			
Pilning		P	Pit 9 (ASP 167)		0			PGR			O	1538 day C		Estuarine alluvium			
JOB NO			ATE	GRID REFERENCE			DESCRIBED BY			FC Days		175		PSD SAMPLES TAKEN			
1/97			24/3/97		ST 5627 8359			HLJ/PB			matic Grade posure Grade	1		TS 0 25 cm ZC (S3 Z58 C34)			
Horizon No	Lowest Av Depth (cm)	Textu	exture (Ped Face) Colours		Stoniness Size Type and Field Method		ce Mangan Concs		Structure I Developme Size and Shape	Ped	Consistence	Structural Condition	Pores (Fissures)	Roots Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary Distinctness and form	
1	20	ZC	10YR41		0		0							MF VF		Clear smooth	
2	40	ZC	10YR53		0			0	WCSAB (variable		Fr	м	G	CF VF		Clear smooth	
3	70	С	7 5YR52		0		D G 58 0 51		MMPr Br to MCSAB	3	Fm	Р	P (low)	FF VF			
Profile G	leyed Fror	n 40	cm		Available	Water W	Vheat	128 n	nm			Final ALC	Grade	3b			
Depth to Slowly Permeable Horizon 40 cm Wetness Class WC III/II Wetness Grade 3b					Moisture I	Deficit W	'otatoes Wheat 'otatoes	neat 102 mm				Main Limiting Factor(s) We					
Wethess (50	Moisture Balance				Vheat +26 mm otatoes +10 mm 2 (Calculated to 120			cm))	Remarks	prese the p	low porosity despite earthworm channels sent throughout profile Mainly between peds in H3 pith to gleying borderline at 40 cm but			
													asses SPL of struct	essed as 40+ cm dubious both on porosity and secondary acture Therefore profile considered to be derline WC II even WC I			