A1
Maidstone Borough Local Plan
Site 84 Land South of Ashford Road,
Harrietsham, Kent
Agricultural Land Classification
ALC Map and Report
May 1995

AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION, REPORT

MAIDSTONE BOROUGH LOCAL PLAN SITE 84 LAND SOUTH OF ASHFORD ROAD, HARRIETSHAM

1 Summary

- ADAS was commissioned by MAFF's Land Use Planning Unit to provide information on land quality for a number of sites in the Maidstone Borough of Kent. The work forms part of MAFF's statutory input to the Maidstone Borough Local Plan.
- Site 84 comprises 3.2 hectares of land to the south east of Harrietsham in Kent An Agricultural Land Classification (ALC) survey was carried out in April 1995. The survey was undertaken at a detailed level of approximately one boring per hectare. A total of 5 borings and one soil inspection pit were assessed according to MAFF s revised guidelines and criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land (MAFF 1988). These guidelines provide a framework for classifying land according to the extent to which its physical or chemical characteristics impose long term limitations on its use for agriculture. In addition, information from previous surveys carried out in 1994 and 1995 were used in the assessment of land quality on this site.
- The work was carried out by members of the Resource Planning Team in the Guildford Statutory Group of ADAS
- 1 4 At the time of the survey the agricultural land was under permanent grass The Non agricultural area shown is an area of scrub on a steep slope
- The distribution of grades and subgrades is shown on the attached ALC map and the areas are given in the table below. The map has been drawn at a scale of 1 10 000. It is accurate at this scale but any enlargement would be misleading. This map supersedes any previous ALC survey information for this site.

Table 1 Distribution of Grades and Subgrades

Grade	Area (ha)	% of Site	% of Agricultural Area
2	1 5	46 9	48 4
3a	16	50 0	<u>51 6</u>
Non agricultural	<u>0 1</u>	<u>3 1</u>	100% (3 1ha)
Total area of Site	3 2ha	100%	•

- Appendix I gives a general description of the grades subgrades and land use categories identified in the survey. The main classes are described in terms of the type of limitation that can occur the typical cropping range and the expected level and consistency of yield.
- The agricultural land at this site has been classified as Grade 2 (very good quality) and Subgrade 3a (good quality) Principal limitations include soil droughtiness and

topsoil workability The area of Grade 2 land contains deep fine loamy soils over chalk leading to a slight soil droughtiness limitation. Where Subgrade 3a is mapped solid chalk underlies fine loamy soils at shallow to moderate depth. This causes profile available water to be moderately restricted. Chalk has the effect of restricting plant rooting depth, such that there is a reduction in the available water capacity of the soil. This leads to slight and moderate risks of drought stress at this site.

2 Climate

- 2 1 The climatic criteria are considered first when classifying land as climate can be overriding in the sense that severe limitations will restrict land to low grades irrespective of favourable site or soil conditions
- The main parameters used in the assessment of an overall climatic limitation are average annual rainfall as a measure of overall wetness and accumulated temperature as a measure of the relative warmth of a locality
- A detailed assessment of the prevailing climate was made by interpolation from a 5km gridpoint dataset (Met Office 1989). The details are given in the table below and these show that there is no overall climatic limitation affecting the site.
- The site is believed to be rather frost prone (Met Office 1971) This is due to site location in an area of cold air drainage and from which further air movement is poor. The site is not thought to be exposed. However, climatic and soil factors interact to influence soil wetness and droughtiness limitations to a greater extent.

Table 2 Climatic Interpolation

Grid Reference	TQ878527	TQ877526
Altıtude (m AOD)	105	110
Accumulated Temperature	1386	1380
(day degrees C Jan June)		
Average Annual Rainfall (mm)	742	743
Field Capacity Days	155	155
Moisture deficit wheat (mm)	106	106
Moisture deficit potatoes (mm)	98	97
Overall Climatic Grade	1	1

3 Relief

The site lies between approximately 105 and 110m AOD. The site is flat towards the south at the higher altitude. Towards the north the land is part of a wide U shaped valley, the lowest land being towards the west. Nowhere on the site does slope gradient influence land quality, as the steep slope crossing the centre of the site is Non agricultural.

4 Geology and Soils

- The published geological information (BGS 1976) shows the site to be underlain by Cretaceous Lower Chalk
- The most recent published soils information (SSEW 1983) shows the site to be underlain by soils of the Coombe 2 Association. The legend accompanying the map describes these as well drained calcareous fine silty soils over chalk or chalk rubble. Shallow in places especially on brows and steeper slopes. (SSEW 1983). The soils encountered at this site were of this broad type, being in the deeper phase as the slopes on the site were shallow.

5 Agricultural Land Classification

- Paragraph 1 5 provides the details of the area measurements for each grade and the distribution of each grade is shown on the attached ALC map
- The location of the soil observation points are shown on the attached sample point map

Grade 2

5 3 Land of very good quality has been mapped across the north of the site principal limitation is topsoil workability. Soils in this area were found to comprise a very slightly stony (2% v/v total flints) calcareous medium or heavy silty clay loam topsoil This passes to a stoneless heavy silty clay loam upper subsoil that occasionally continues to depth (120cm) The remaining profile contains a very chalky (c 50% v/v chalk) medium silty clay loam lower subsoil from approximately 75cm passing to solid chalk around 90cm. Chalk has the effect of restricting rooting depth and subsequent profile available water From the pit observations 1p at the adjacent sites (ADAS Ref 2007/158/94 and 2007/91/95) roots were found to penetrate up to 35cm into the Chalk These relatively deep well drained (Wetness Class I) medium textured profiles have good reserves of available water for plant growth and soil droughtiness is not likely to be a problem in the local climate However the presence of a heavy textured topsoil in some areas of this unit is sufficient to restrict the land to Grade 2 on the basis of topsoil workability Because of the heavy topsoil cultivations and/or grazing opportunities are slightly restricted as they might cause structural damage to the topsoil at certain times of the year

Subgrade 3a

Land of good quality has been mapped across the south of the site on the flat land of highest altitude. The principal limitation is soil droughtiness. Profiles typically comprise a stoneless calcareous medium silty clay loam topsoil passing to a very chalky (c 40% v/v soft chalk) medium silty clay loam subsoil over soft solid chalk from 38 40cm. Solid chalk has the effect of restricting plant rooting depth and subsequently causes profile available water to be reduced. In the pit observation 1p roots were observed to penetrate approximately 38cm into the chalk substrate

Given local climatic data moisture balances fall into the range assigned to Subgrade 3a Soil droughtiness has the effect of reducing plant growth and yield in this case to a moderate degree

ADAS Ref 2007/090/95 MAFF Ref EL20/862 Resource Planning Team Guildford Statutory Group ADAS Reading

SOURCES OF REFERENCE

ADAS (1994) Maidstone Borough Local Plan Site 13 The Tynme Ashford Road Harrietsham Agricultural Land Classification ADAS Ref 2007/158/94 MAFF Ref EL20/328

ADAS (1995) Maidstone Borough Local Plan Site 86 Land south of Dickley Lane Harrietsham Agricultural Land Classification ADAS Ref 2007/91/90 MAFF Ref EL20/862

British Geological Survey (1976) Sheet 288 Maidstone Solid & Drift Edition 1 50 000

MAFF (1988) Agricultural Land Classification of England and Wales Revised guidelines and criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land

Meteorological Office (1971) Unpublished Climate data relating to Sheet 173 1 63 360

Meteorological Office (1989) Climatic datasets for Agricultural Land Classification

Soil Survey of England and Wales (1980) Bulletin No 9 Soils of Kent

Soil Survey of England and Wales (1983) Sheet No 6 Soils of South East England 1 250 000 and Accompanying Legend

Soil Survey of England and Wales (1984) Bulletin No 15 Soils and their use in South East England

APPENDIX I

DESCRIPTION OF THE GRADES AND SUBGRADES

Grade 1 Excellent Quality Agricultural Land

Land with no or very minor limitations to agricultural use. A very wide range of agricultural and horticultural crops can be grown and commonly includes top fruit soft fruit salad crops and winter harvested vegetables. Yields are high and less variable than on land of lower quality.

Grade 2 Very Good Quality Agricultural Land

Land with minor limitations which affect crop yield cultivations or harvesting. A wide range of agricultural or horticultural crops can usually be grown but on some land of this grade there may be reduced flexibility due to difficulties with the production of the more demanding crops such as winter harvested vegetables and arable root crops. The level of yield is generally high but may be lower or more variable than Grade 1 land.

Grade 3 Good to Moderate Quality Land

Land with moderate limitations which affect the choice of crops the timing and type of cultivation harvesting or the level of yield. When more demanding crops are grown yields are generally lower or more variable than on land in Grades 1 and 2

Subgrade 3a Good Quality Agricultural Land

Land capable of consistently producing moderate to high yields of a narrow range of arable crops especially cereals or moderate yields of a wide range of crops including cereals grass oilseed rape potatoes sugar beet and the less demanding horticultural crops

Subgrade 3b Moderate Quality Agricultural Land

Land capable of producing moderate yields of a narrow range of crops principally cereals and grass or lower yields of a wider range of crops or high yields of grass which can be grazed or harvested over most of the year

Grade 4 Poor Quality Agricultural Land

Land with severe limitations which significantly restrict the range of crops and/or the level of yields. It is mainly suited to grass with occasional arable crops (eg. cereals and forage crops) the yields of which are variable. In moist climates, yields of grass may be moderate to high but there may be difficulties in utilisation. The grade also includes very droughty arable land.

Grade 5 Very Poor Quality Agricultural Land

Land with severe limitations which restrict use to permanent pasture or rough grazing except for occasional pioneer forage crops

Urban

Built-up or 'hard' uses with relatively little potential for a return to agriculture including housing industry commerce education transport religous buildings cemetries. Also hard-surfaced sports facilities, permanent caravan sites and vacant land, all types of derelict land including mineral workings which are only likely to be reclaimed using derelict land grants.

Non-agricultural

'Soft' uses where most of the land could be returned relatively easily to agriculture, including private parkland public open spaces sports fields allotments and soft surfaced areas on airports. Also active mineral workings and refuse tips where restoration conditions to 'soft' after uses may apply

Woodland

Includes commercial and non-commercial woodland A distinction may be made as necessary between farm and non farm woodland

Agricultural Buildings

Includes the normal range of agricultural buildings as well as other relatively permanent structures such as glasshouses Temporary structures (eg polythene tunnels erected for lambing) may be ignored

Open Water

Includes lakes ponds and rivers as map scale permits

Land Not Surveyed

Agricultural land which has not been surveyed

Where the land use includes more than one of the above eg buildings in large grounds and where map scale permits the cover types may be shown separately. Otherwise the most extensive cover type will be shown

APPENDIX II

FIELD ASSESSMENT OF SOIL WETNESS CLASS

SOIL WETNESS CLASSIFICATION

Soil wetness is classified according to the depth and duration of waterlogging in the soil profile. Six soil wetness classes are identified and are defined in the table below

Definition of Soil Wetness Classes

Wetness Class	Duration of Waterlogging ¹
I	The soil profile is not wet within 70 cm depth for more than 30 days in most years ²
П	The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for 31-90 days in most years or if there is no slowly permeable layer within 80 cm depth it is wet within 70 cm for more than 90 days but only wet within 40 cm depth for 30 days in most years
Ш	The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for 91 180 days in most years or if there is no slowly permeable layer present within 80 cm depth it is wet within 70 cm for more than 180 days but only wet within 40 cm depth for between 31-90 days in most years
IV	The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for more than 180 days but not wet within 40 cm depth for more than 210 days in most years or if there is no slowly permeable layer present within 80 cm depth it is wet within 40 cm depth for 91 210 days in most years
V	The soil profile is wet within 40 cm depth for 211 335 days in most years
VI	The soil profile is wet within 40 cm depth for more than 335 days in most years

Soils can be allocated to a wetness class on the basis of quantitative data recorded over a period of many years or by the interpretation of soil profile characteristics site and climatic factors. Adequate quantitative data will rarely be available for ALC surveys and therefore the interpretative method of field assessment is used to identify soil wetness class in the field. The method adopted here is common to ADAS and the SSLRC

¹The number of days specified is not necessarily a continuous period

² In most years is defined as more than 10 out of 20 years

APPENDIX III

SOIL PIT AND SOIL BORING DESCRIPTIONS

Contents

Soil Abbreviations - Explanatory Note

Soil Pit Descriptions

Database Printout - Boring Level Information

Database Printout - Horizon Level Information

SOIL PROFILE DESCRIPTIONS EXPLANATORY NOTE

Soil pit and auger boring information collected during ALC fieldwork is held on a computer database. This uses notations and abbreviations as set out below

Boring Header Information

- 1 GRID REF national 100 km grid square and 8 figure grid reference
- 2 USE Land use at the time of survey The following abbreviations are used

ARA	Arable	WHT	Wheat	BAR	Barley
CER	Cereals	OAT	Oats	MZE	Maize
OSR	Oilseed rape	BEN	Field Beans	BRA	Brassicae
POT	Potatoes	SBT	Sugar Beet	FCD	Fodder Crops
LIN	Linseed	FRT	Soft and Top Fruit	FLW	Fallow
PGR	Permanent Pasture	ELEY	Ley Grass	RGR	Rough Grazing
SCR	Scrub	CFW	Conferous Woodland	DCW	Deciduous Wood
HTH	Heathland	BOG	Bog or Marsh	FLW	Fallow
PLO	Ploughed	SAS	Set aside	OTH	Other
HRT	Horticultural Crop	os			

- 3 GRDNT Gradient as estimated or measured by a hand held optical clinometer
- 4 GLEY/SPL Depth in centimetres (cm) to gleying and/or slowly permeable layers
- 5 AP (WHEAT/POTS) Crop adjusted available water capacity
- 6 MB (WHEAT/POTS) Moisture Balance (Crop adjusted AP crop adjusted MD)
- 7 DRT Best grade according to soil droughtiness
- 8 If any of the following factors are considered significant Y will be entered in the relevant column

MREL	Microrelief limitation	FLOOD	Flood risk	EROSN	Soil erosion risk
EXP	Exposure limitation	FROST	Frost prone	DIST	Disturbed land
CHEM	Chemical limitation				

9 LIMIT The main limitation to land quality The following abbreviations are used

\mathbf{OC}	Overall Climate	ΑE	Aspect	$\mathbf{E}\mathbf{X}$	Exposure
FR	Frost Risk	GR	Gradient	MR	Microrelief
FL	Flood Risk	TX	Topsoil Texture	DP	Soil Depth
CH	Chemical	WE	Wetness	WK	Workability
DR	Drought	ER	Erosion Risk	WD	Soil Wetness/Droughtiness
ST	Topsoil Stonine	SS			_

Soil Pits and Auger Borings

1 TEXTURE soil texture classes are denoted by the following abbreviations

S	Sand	LS	Loamy Sand	SL	Sandy Loam
SZL	Sandy Silt Loam	CL	Clay Loam	LCL	Silty Clay Loam
ZL	Silt Loam	SCL	Sandy Clay Loam	C	Clay
SC	Sandy Clay	ZC	Silty Clay	OL	Organic Loam
P	Peat	SP	Sandy Peat	LP	Loamy Peat
PL	Peaty Loam	PS	Peaty Sand	MZ	Marine Light Silts

For the sand loamy sand sandy loam and sandy silt loam classes the predominant size of sand fraction will be indicated by the use of the following prefixes

- Fine (more than 66% of the sand less than 0 2mm)
- M Medium (less than 66% fine sand and less than 33% coarse sand)
- Coarse (more than 33% of the sand larger than 0 6mm)

The clay loam and silty clay loam classes will be sub divided according to the clay content M Medium (<27% clay) H Heavy (27 35% clay)

- 2 MOTTLE COL Mottle colour using Munsell notation
- 3 MOTTLE ABUN Mottle abundance expressed as a percentage of the matrix or surface described

F few <2% C common 2 20% M many 20-40% VM very many 40% +

- 4 MOTTLE CONT Mottle contrast
 - F faint indistinct mottles evident only on close inspection
 - **D** distinct mottles are readily seen
 - P prominent mottling is conspicuous and one of the outstanding features of the horizon
- 5 PED COL Ped face colour using Munsell notation
- 6 GLEY If the soil horizon is gleyed a Y will appear in this column If slightly gleyed an S will appear
- 7 STONE LITH Stone Lithology One of the following is used

нк	all hard rocks and stones	SLST	soft oolitic of dolimitic limestone
CH	chalk	FSST	soft fine grained sandstone
ZR	soft argillaceous or silty rocks	GH	gravel with non porous (hard) stones
MSST	soft medium grained sandstone	GS	gravel with porous (soft) stones
SI	soft weathered igneous/metamo	orphic ro	ck

Stone contents (>2cm >6cm and total) are given in percentages (by volume)

8 STRUCT the degree of development size and shape of soil peds are described using the following notation

degree of development WK weakly developed MD moderately developed

ST strongly developed

ped size F fine M medium

C coarse VC very coarse

ped shape S single grain M massive

GR granular AB angular blocky

SAB sub angular blocky PR prismatic

PL platy

9 CONSIST Soil consistence is described using the following notation

L loose VF very friable FR friable FM firm VM very firm

EM extremely firm EH extremely hard

10 SUBS STR Subsoil structural condition recorded for the purpose of calculating profile droughtiness G good M moderate P poor

- 11 POR Soil porosity If a soil horizon has less than 0.5% biopores >0.5 mm a 'Y' will appear in this column
- 12 **IMP** If the profile is impenetrable to rooting a 'Y' will appear in this column at the appropriate horizon
- 13 SPL Slowly permeable layer If the soil horizon is slowly permeable a 'Y' will appear in this column
- 14 CALC If the soil horizon is calcareous a 'Y' will appear in this column
- 15 Other notations

APW available water capacity (in mm) adjusted for wheat

APP available water capacity (in mm) adjusted for potatoes

MBW moisture balance wheat

MBP moisture balance potatoes

SOIL PIT DESCRIPTION

Site Name MAIDSTONE LP SITE 84

Pit Number 1P

Grid Reference TQ87705250

Average Annual Rainfall

743 mm Accumulated Temperature

Field Capacity Level

1380 degree days

Land Use

155 days

Rough Grazing

Slope and Aspect

degrees

HORIZON	TEXTURE	COLOUR	STONES >2	TOT STONE	LITH	MOTTLES	STRUCTURE	CONSIST	SUBSTRUCTURE	CALC	
0 25	MZCL	25Y 42 00	0	0						Y	
25- 38	MZCL	10YR74 00	0	40	CH			FR	М	Y	
38- 76	CH	257 71 00	0	0		С			P	Y	
										1	

Wetness Grade 1 Wetness Class Gleying

cm SPL CW

10 mm Drought Grade 3A APW 96 mm MBW

APP MBP 98 mm 1 mm

FINAL ALC GRADE

MAIN LIMITATION Droughtiness

١

.

)

SAMP	LE	ASPECT				WET!	NESS -	-WHE	EAT-	-PC	TS-	М	REL	EROSN	FROST	CHEM	ALC	
NO	GRID REF	USE	GRDNT	GLEY	SPL	CLASS	GRADE	AP	MB	AP	MB	DRT	FLOOD	EX	P DIST	LIMIT		COMMENTS
1	TQ87705260	RGR				1	1	139	33	125	28	1					1	IMP CHALK 100
1P	TQ87705250	RGR				1	1	96	10	98	1	ЗА				DR	ЗА	PIT80 R00TS76
2	TQ87805260	RGR				1	2	158	52	123	26	1				WK	2	
3	TQ87605250	RGR				1	1	97	-9	99	2	3A				DR	3 A	
4	TQ87705250	RGR				1	1	99	-7	101	4	3A				DR	3 A	
s	TQ87805250	RGR				1	1	97	-9	98	1	3A				DŔ	ЗА	

~~~~~~~	 	 	

					MOTTLES		PED			-ST	ONES		STRUCT/	SUBS				
SAMPLE	DEPTH	TEXTURE	COLOUR	COL	ABUN	CONT	COL	GLEY	2 :	>6	LITH	TOT	CONSIST	STR	POR IM	P SPL	CALC	
1	0-35	mzcl	25Y 42 00						0	0	HR	2					Υ	
	35 75	hzcl	25Y 54 00						0	0		0		М			Y	
	75-90	mzcl	25Y 64 71						0	0	CH	50		М			Υ	
	90-105	ch	25Y 71 00						0	0		0		Р			Y	
1P	0-25	mzcl	25Y 42 00						0	0		0					Υ	
	25 38	mzcl	10YR74 00	)					0	0	CH	40	F	RM			γ	
	38-76	ch	25Y 71 00	10YR6	6 00 C				0	0		0		P			Υ	ROOTS TO 76
2	0-30	hzcl	25Y 53 00	)					0	0	HR	2					Υ	
	30-120	hzcl	25Y 54 00	)					0	0	CH	5		М			Υ	
3	0-27	mzcl	25Y 42 00	)					0	0	HR	2					γ	
	27-38	mzcl	10YR74 00	)					0	0	СН	30		М			Υ	
	38-76	ch	10YR71 00	)					0	0		0		Ρ			Y	
4	0 30	mzcl	25Y 42 00	)					0	0	HR	2					Y	
	30-40	mzc1	10YR64 00	)					0	0	СН	30		M			γ	
	40 76	ch	10YR71 00	)					0	0		0		Р			Y	
5	0 25	mzcl	25Y 42 00	)					0	0	HR	2					Υ	
	25-39	hzcl	10YR64 00	3					0	0	CH	30		M			Y	
	39-77	ch	10YR71 00	)					0	0		0		Ρ			Υ	