A1 Swale Borough Local Plan Land at Hempstead Lane, Bapchild ALC Map and Report April 1995

AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION REPORT

SWALE BOROUGH LOCAL PLAN LAND AT HEMPSTEAD LANE, BAPCHILD

1 Summary

- 11 ADAS was commissioned by MAFF's Land Use Planning Unit to provide information on land quality on land at Hempstead Lane Bapchild The work forms part of MAFF's statutory input to the Swale Borough Local Plan
- 12 The site comprises 1 8 hectares of land to the east of Hempstead Lane and to the north of the A2 at Bapchild, Kent An Agricultural Land Classification (ALC) survey was carried out during April 1995 The survey was carried out at a detailed level of approximately three borings per two hectares A total of 3 borings and one soil inspection pit were described in accordance with MAFF's revised guidelines and criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land (MAFF 1988) These guidelines provide a framework for classifying land according to the extent to which its physical or chemical characteristics impose a long term limitation on its use for agriculture
- 13 The work was carried out by members of the Resource Planning Team in the Guildford Statutory Group of ADAS
- 1 4 At the time of the survey the land use was horticultural (an orchard) Land mapped as urban comprises a residential dwelling
- 15 The distribution of grades and subgrades is shown on the attached ALC map and the areas and extent are given in the table below. The map has been drawn at a scale of 1 10 000. It is accurate at this scale but any enlargement would be misleading

Table 1 Distribution of Grades and Subgrades

Grade	Area (ha)	% of Site	% of Agricultural Land
1	17	94 4	100 0 (1 7 ha)
Urban	<u>01</u>	<u>56</u>	
Total area of site	18	100 0	

1 6 Appendix I gives a general description of the grades subgrades and land use categories identified in the survey The main classes are described in terms of the type of limitation that can occur the typical cropping range and the expected level and consistency of yield

17 All of the agricultural land surveyed has been classified as Grade 1 excellent quality This land comprises deep well drained silty textured soils which are derived from head brickearth deposits Despite the relatively dry local climate these soils retain good reserves of water for plant growth and consequently this land is not prone to soil droughtiness limitations

2 Climate

- 2.1 The climatic criteria are considered first when classifying land as climate can be overriding in the sense that severe limitations will restrict land to low grades irrespective of favourable site or soil conditions
- 2.2 The main parameters used in the assessment of an overall climatic limitation are average annual rainfall, as a measure of overall wetness, and accumulated temperature, as a measure of the relative warmth of a locality
- 2 3 A detailed assessment of the prevailing climate was made by interpolation from a 5km gridpoint dataset (Met Office 1989) The details are given in the table below and these show that there is no overall climatic limitation affecting the site However climatic factors do interact with soil factors to influence soil wetness and droughtness limitations. The soil moisture deficits are relatively high, in a regional context, at this locality High soil moisture deficits increase the likelihood of soil droughtness limitations.

Table 2 Climatic Interpolation

Grid Reference	TQ 934 631
Altıtude (m)	15
Accumulated Temperature	1482
(degree days, Jan-June)	
Average Annual Rainfall (mm)	627
Field Capacity (days)	124
Moisture Deficit, Wheat (mm)	119
Moisture Deficit, Potatoes (mm)	116
Overall Climatic Grade	1

2.4 No other local climatic factors, such as exposure or frost risk, are believed to affect the site

3 Relief

3 1 The site is flat and lies at approximately 15 m AOD

4 Geology and Soil

4 1 British Geological Survey (1977) shows the site to be underlain by Thanet Beds (sands) Most of this area is overlain by drift deposits of head brickearth A small area in the extreme north-west of the site is shown to be overlain by head gravel deposits

- 4 2 Soil Survey of England and Wales (1983) shows the entire site to comprise soils of the Hamble 1 association These soils are described as deep well drained often stoneless fine silty soils The soils for this area are similarly described in the Soils of Kent (SSEW, 1980)
- 4 3 Detailed field examination found deep, well drained silty textured soils

5 Agricultural Land Classification

- 51 Table 1 provides the details of the area measurements for each grade and the distribution of each grade is shown on the attached ALC map
- 5 2 The location of the soil observation points are shown on the attached sample point map

Grade 1

5 3 All of the agricultural land surveyed has been classified as Grade 1, excellent quality This land has no or very minor limitations to agricultural use Topsoils comprise non-calcareous silt loams which contain approximately 2% total flints by volume These medium textured, easily workable topsoils overhe similarly textured stoneless upper subsoils At approximately 40-60 cm depth these pass into medium silty clay loam stoneless lower subsoils which extend to depth. These profiles are well drained (Wetness Class I) and from Pit 1 which represents such profiles, the subsoils were found to be moderately structured. These soils have a high silt content. Consequently they have good reserves of available water for utilisation by crops despite the relatively high soil moisture deficits at this locality. Grven these soil properties the land is easily worked and versatile, and is capable of supporting a very wide range of crops including the more demanding horticultural crops.

ADAS Ref 2011/039/95 MAFF Ref EL 20/245 Resource Planning Team Guildford Statutory Group ADAS Reading

SOURCES OF REFERENCE

British Geological Survey (1976), Sheet No 288, Maidstone, 1 50,000 Series (solid and drift edition)

MAFF (1988), Agricultural Land Classification of England and Wales Revised guidelines and criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land

Meteorological Office (1989) Climatological Data for Agricultural Land Classification

Soil Survey of England and Wales (1980), Bulletin No 9, Soils of Kent and accompanying maps at 1 250,000

Soil Survey of England and Wales (1983) Sheet 6, Soils of South East England, 1 250,000 accompanying legend

APPENDIX I

DESCRIPTION OF THE GRADES AND SUBGRADES

Grade 1 Excellent Quality Agricultural Land

Land with no or very minor limitations to agricultural use A very wide range of agricultural and horticultural crops can be grown and commonly includes top fruit soft fruit salad crops and winter harvested vegetables Yields are high and less variable than on land of lower quality

Grade 2 Very Good Quality Agricultural Land

Land with minor limitations which affect crop yield cultivations or harvesting A wide range of agricultural or horticultural crops can usually be grown but on some land of this grade there may be reduced flexibility due to difficulties with the production of the more demanding crops such as winter harvested vegetables and arable root crops The level of yield is generally high but may be lower or more variable than Grade 1 land

Grade 3 Good to Moderate Quality Land

Land with moderate limitations which affect the choice of crops the timing and type of cultivation harvesting or the level of yield When more demanding crops are grown yields are generally lower or more variable than on land in Grades 1 and 2

Subgrade 3a Good Quality Agricultural Land

Land capable of consistently producing moderate to high yields of a narrow range of arable crops especially cereals or moderate yields of a wide range of crops including cereals grass oilseed rape potatoes sugar beet and the less demanding horticultural crops

Subgrade 3b Moderate Quality Agricultural Land

Land capable of producing moderate yields of a narrow range of crops principally cereals and grass or lower yields of a wider range of crops or high yields of grass which can be grazed or harvested over most of the year

Grade 4 Poor Quality Agricultural Land

Land with severe limitations which significantly restrict the range of crops and/or the level of yields. It is mainly suited to grass with occasional arable crops (eg cereals and forage crops) the yields of which are variable. In moist climates yields of grass may be moderate to high but there may be difficulties in utilisation. The grade also includes very droughty arable land.

Grade 5 Very Poor Quality Agricultural Land

Land with severe limitations which restrict use to permanent pasture or rough grazing except for occasional pioneer forage crops

Urban

Built-up or 'hard' uses with relatively little potential for a return to agriculture including housing industry commerce education transport religous buildings cemetries. Also hardsurfaced sports facilities permanent caravan sites and vacant land, all types of derelict land including mineral workings which are only likely to be reclaimed using derelict land grants

Non-agricultural

'Soft' uses where most of the land could be returned relatively easily to agriculture including private parkland public open spaces sports fields allotments and soft-surfaced areas on airports Also active mineral workings and refuse tips where restoration conditions to soft' after-uses may apply

Woodland

Includes commercial and non commercial woodland A distinction may be made as necessary between farm and non-farm woodland

Agricultural Buildings

Includes the normal range of agricultural buildings as well as other relatively permanent structures such as glasshouses Temporary structures (eg polythene tunnels erected for lambing) may be ignored

Open Water

Includes lakes ponds and rivers as map scale permits

Land Not Surveyed

Agricultural land which has not been surveyed

Where the land use includes more than one of the above eg buildings in large grounds and where map scale permits the cover types may be shown separately Otherwise the most extensive cover type will be shown

ł

APPENDIX II

FIELD ASSESSMENT OF SOIL WETNESS CLASS

SOIL WETNESS CLASSIFICATION

Soil wetness is classified according to the depth and duration of waterlogging in the soil profile. Six soil wetness classes are identified and are defined in the table below

Definition of Soil Wetness Classes

Wetness Class	Duration of Waterlogging ¹									
I	The soil profile is not wet within 70 cm depth for more than 30 days in most years ²									
п	The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for 31-90 days in most years or if there is no slowly permeable layer within 80 cm depth it is we within 70 cm for more than 90 days but only wet within 40 cm depth for 30 days in most years									
ш	The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for 91 180 days in mos years or if there is no slowly permeable layer present within 80 cm depth it is wet within 70 cm for more than 180 days but only we within 40 cm depth for between 31-90 days in most years									
IV	The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for more than 180 days burnot wet within 40 cm depth for more than 210 days in most years or in there is no slowly permeable layer present within 80 cm depth it is we within 40 cm depth for 91-210 days in most years									
V	The soil profile is wet within 40 cm depth for 211 335 days in most years									
VI	The soil profile is wet within 40 cm depth for more than 335 days in most years									

Soils can be allocated to a wetness class on the basis of quantitative data recorded over a period of many years or by the interpretation of soil profile characteristics site and climatic factors. Adequate quantitative data will rarely be available for ALC surveys and therefore the interpretative method of field assessment is used to identify soil wetness class in the field. The method adopted here is common to ADAS and the SSLRC

¹The number of days specified is not necessarily a continuous period

² In most years is defined as more than 10 out of 20 years

APPENDIX III

SOIL PIT AND SOIL BORING DESCRIPTIONS

Contents

Soil Abbreviations - Explanatory Note

Soil Pit Descriptions

Database Printout - Boring Level Information

Database Printout - Horizon Level Information

SOIL PROFILE DESCRIPTIONS EXPLANATORY NOTE

Soil pit and auger boring information collected during ALC fieldwork is held on a computer database. This uses notations and abbreviations as set out below

Boring Header Information

- 1 GRID REF national 100 km grid square and 8 figure grid reference
- 2 USE Land use at the time of survey The following abbreviations are used

ARA	Arable	WHT	Wheat	BAR	Barley
CER	Cereals	OAT	Oats	MZE	Maize
OSR	Oilseed rape	BEN	Field Beans	BRA	Brassicae
POT	Potatoes	SBT	Sugar Beet	FCD	Fodder Crops
LIN	Linseed	FRT	Soft and Top Fruit	FLW	Fallow
PGR	Permanent Pastur	eLEY	Ley Grass	RGR	Rough Grazing
SCR	Scrub	CFW	Coniferous Woodland	DCW	Deciduous Wood
HTH	Heathland	BOG	Bog or Marsh	FLW	Fallow
PLO	Ploughed	SAS	Set aside	ОТН	Other
HRT	Horticultural Cro	ps			

- 3 **GRDNT** Gradient as estimated or measured by a hand held optical clinometer
- 4 GLEY/SPL Depth in centimetres (cm) to gleying and/or slowly permeable layers
- 5 AP (WHEAT/POTS) Crop adjusted available water capacity
- 6 MB (WHEAT/POTS) Moisture Balance (Crop adjusted AP crop adjusted MD)
- 7 **DRT** Best grade according to soil droughtiness
- 8 If any of the following factors are considered significant Y will be entered in the relevant column

MRELMicrorelief limitationFLOODFlood riskEROSNSoil erosion riskEXPExposure limitationFROSTFrost proneDISTDisturbed landCHEMChemical limitation

9 LIMIT The main limitation to land quality The following abbreviations are used

OC	Overall Climate	AE	Aspect	EX	Exposure
FR	Frost Risk	GR	Gradient	MR	Microrelief
FL	Flood Risk	TX	Topsoil Texture	DP	Soil Depth
СН	Chemical	WE	Wetness	WK	Workability
DR	Drought	ER	Erosion Risk	WD	Soil Wetness/Droughtiness
ST	Topsoil Stonine:	SS			

Soil Pits and Auger Borings

1 **TEXTURE** soil texture classes are denoted by the following abbreviations

S SZL	Sand Sandy Silt Loam	LS CL	Loamy Sand Clay Loam	SL ZCL	Sandy Loam Silty Clay Loam
ZL	Silt Loam	SCL	Sandy Clay Loam	С	Clay
SC	Sandy Clay	ZC	Silty Clay	OL	Organic Loam
Р	Peat	SP	Sandy Peat	LP	Loamy Peat
PL	Peaty Loam	PS	Peaty Sand	MZ	Marine Light Silts

For the sand loamy sand sandy loam and sandy silt loam classes the predominant size of sand fraction will be indicated by the use of the following prefixes

- **F** Fine (more than 66% of the sand less than 0 2mm)
- M Medium (less than 66% fine sand and less than 33% coarse sand)
- C Coarse (more than 33% of the sand larger than 0 6mm)

The clay loam and silty clay loam classes will be sub-divided according to the clay content M Medium (<27% clay) H Heavy (27 35% clay)

- 2 MOTTLE COL Mottle colour using Munsell notation
- 3 MOTTLE ABUN Mottle abundance expressed as a percentage of the matrix or surface described

F few <2% C common 2-20% M many 20-40% VM very many 40% +

- 4 **MOTTLE CONT** Mottle contrast
 - **F** faint indistinct mottles evident only on close inspection
 - **D** distinct mottles are readily seen
 - **P** prominent mottling is conspicuous and one of the outstanding features of the horizon
- 5 **PED COL** Ped face colour using Munsell notation

6 GLEY If the soil horizon is gleyed a Y will appear in this column If slightly gleyed an S will appear

7 STONE LITH Stone Lithology - One of the following is used

HR	all hard rocks and stones	SLST	soft oolitic or dolimitic limestone
СН	chalk	FSST	soft fine grained sandstone
ZR	soft argillaceous or silty rocks	GH	gravel with non porous (hard) stones
MSST	soft medium grained sandstone	GS	gravel with porous (soft) stones
SI	soft weathered igneous/metamo	orphic ro	ck
		-	

Stone contents (>2cm >6cm and total) are given in percentages (by volume)

05 94

8 STRUCT the degree of development size and shape of soil peds are described using the following notation

degree of development	WK weakly developed ST strongly developed	MD moderately developed
<u>ped size</u>	F fine C coarse	M medium VC very coarse
<u>ped shape</u>	S single grain GR granular SAB sub angular blocky PL platy	M massive AB angular blocky PR prismatic

9 CONSIST Soil consistence is described using the following notation

L loose VF very friable FR friable FM firm VM very firm EM extremely firm EH extremely hard

- 10 SUBS STR Subsoil structural condition recorded for the purpose of calculating profile droughtiness G good M moderate P poor
- 11 **POR** Soil porosity If a soil horizon has less than 0.5% biopores >0.5 mm a 'Y' will appear in this column
- 12 IMP If the profile is impenetrable to rooting a Y will appear in this column at the appropriate horizon
- 13 SPL Slowly permeable layer If the soil horizon is slowly permeable a Y will appear in this column
- 14 CALC If the soil horizon is calcareous a 'Y will appear in this column

15 Other notations

- **APW** available water capacity (in mm) adjusted for wheat
- **APP** available water capacity (in mm) adjusted for potatoes
- MBW moisture balance wheat
- MBP moisture balance potatoes

SOIL PIT DESCRIPTION

Site Name	e SWALE I	BLP HEMPSTE	AD LANE	Pit Number	15	1				
Grid Refe	erence TQ		Average Annu Accumulated Field Capaci Land Use Slope and As	Temperature ty Level	1482 124	'mm degree days egrees	days			
HORIZON 0- 28 28- 45 45- 90 90-120	TEXTURE ZL ZL MZCL MZCL	COLOUR 10YR43 00 10YR54 00 10YR58 00 10YR56 00	0 0	TOT STONE 2 2 0 0	LITH HR HR	MOTTLES	STRUCTURE MDCSAB MDCSAB MDCSAB	CONSIST FR FR FR	SUBSTRUCTURE M M M	CALC
Wetness Grade 1			Wetness Clas Gleying SPL		cm SPL					
Drought (FINAL AL(APW 178mm APP 142mm		9 mm 6 mm					

MAIN LIMITATION

program ALCO12

LIST OF BORINGS HEADERS 05/05/95 SWALE BLP HEMPSTEAD LANE

S/	MPi	_E		ASPECT				WETI	NESS	WHE	AT-	-P0	TS-	м	REL	EROSN	FROST	CHEM	ALC	
NC)	GRID R	EF L	ISE	GRONT	GLEY	SPL	CLASS	GRADE	AP	MB	AP	MB	DRT	FLOOD	E)	P DIST	LIMIT		COMMENTS
	1	TQ93406	310 C	RC				1	1	177	58	141	25	1					1	S1 gleyed 80
	1P	TQ93506	310 0	ORC				1	1	178	59	142	26	1					1	
	2	TQ39506	310 0	RC				1	1	179	60	143	27	1					1	
_	3	TQ93466	303 C	RC				1	1	185	66	150	34	1					1	

program ALCO11

				!	MOTTLES		PED			-ST	ONES-		STRUCT/	SUB	s			
SAMPLE	DEPTH	TEXTURE	COLOUR	COL	ABUN	CONT	COL	GLEY	>2	>6	LITH	TOT	CONSIST	STR	POR	IMP	SPL	CALC
1	0-30	zl	10YR43 46						0	0	ub	2						
I	30-40	zl	107R43 40						0	0	пк	2		м				
	40-80	mzcl	10YR44 00						0	0		0		M				
	40-80 80-120	mzcl	10YR43 00		6 00 M		DOMNOO	00.5	-	-		0		M				
	80-120	mac I	101845 00	TOTAS	0 00 14	,	JOHNOO	00 3	U	0		Ű		m				
1P	0-28	zl	10YR43 00						0	0	HR	2						
	28-45	zl	10YR54 00						0	0	HR	2	MDCSAB F	RM				
	45-90	mzcl	10YR58 00						0	0		0	MDCSAB F	RМ				
	90-120	mzcl	10YR56 00						0	0		0	MDCSAB F	RM				
2	0-28	zl	10YR43 00						0	0	HR	2						
	28-45	zl	10YR54 00						0	0		0		м				
	45-90	mzcl	10YR58 00						0	0		0		м				
	90-120	mzcl	10YR56 00						0	0		0		м				
3	0-35	zl	10YR43 00						0	0	HR	2						
	35-58	zl	10YR44 00						0	0		0		м				
	58-120	mzcl	10YR58 00						0	0		0		Μ				

page 1