**A1** 

.

West Sussex Minerals Plan Objector Site 55: North of Duncton Common Agricultural Land Classification ALC Map and Report June 1995

# AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION REPORT

## WEST SUSSEX MINERALS PLAN OBJECTOR SITE 55: NORTH OF DUNCTON COMMON.

## 1. Summary

- 1.1 ADAS was commissioned by MAFF's Land Use Planning Unit to provide information on land quality for a number of objector sites in West Sussex. The work forms part of MAFF's statutory input to the West Sussex Minerals Plan.
- 1.2 The site comprises approximately 24 hectares of land to the south of the disused railway line at Duncton Common near Heath End in West Sussex. An Agricultural Land Classification (ALC) survey was carried out during June 1995. The survey was undertaken at a detailed level of approximately one boring per hectare. A total of 24 borings and two soil inspection pits were described in accordance with MAFF's revised guidelines and criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land (MAFF, 1988). These guidelines provide a framework for classifying land according to the extent to which its physical or chemical characteristics impose a long term limitation on its use for agriculture.
- 1.3 The work was carried out by members of the Resource Planning Team in the Guildford Statutory Group of ADAS.
- 1.4 At the time of survey, the land on the site comprised barley and permanent grassland.
- 1.5 The distribution of grades and subgrades is shown on the attached ALC map, the areas and extent are given in the table below. The map has been drawn at a scale of 1:10,000. It is accurate at this scale, but any enlargement would be misleading.

#### Table 1: Distribution of Grades and Subgrades

Grade	Area (ha)	% of Agricultural Land
3a	1.8	7.4
3b .	<u>22.5</u>	<u>92.6</u>
Total area of site	24.3	100%

- 1.6 Appendix I gives a general description of the grades, subgrades and land use categories identified in the survey. The main classes are described in terms of the type of limitation that can occur, the typical cropping range and the expected level and consistency of yield.
- 1.7 The majority of the agricultural land on the site has been classified as Subgrade 3b, moderate quality land, with soil droughtiness, wetness and slope as the main limitations. The soils within this mapping unit typically comprise coarse sandy textured subsoils which become sandier, and occasionally more stony, with depth. Consequently, these soils show a significant restriction upon profile available water which can affect the level and consistency of crop yields. Where gradients of between 9-10° were measured on the site, a classification of Subgrade 3b due to a significant slope limitation results. Steep slopes can restrict the safe and efficient use of agricultural machinery. On the lower ground, land has been classified as Subgrade 3b due to a significant wetness limitation caused by the presence of a slowly permeable clay below the topsoil. Poorly drained wet

soils can inhibit plant growth and rooting, and may be more susceptible to structural damage through trafficking by agricultural machinery or poaching by grazing livestock.

1.8 Good quality Subgrade 3a land is mapped where topsoils are lighter and the slowly permeable clay occurs deeper in the profile than on adjacent Subgrade 3b land. Therefore any drainage imperfections and consequent wetness limitations on this land are diminished, thereby allowing the land to be classified as better quality.

#### 2. Climate

- 2.1 The climatic criteria are considered first when classifying land as climate can be overriding in the sense that severe climatic limitations will restrict land to low grades irrespective of favourable site or soil conditions.
- 2.2 The main parameters used in the assessment of an overall climatic limitation are average annual rainfall, as a measure of overall wetness, and accumulated temperature as a measure of the relative warmth of a locality.
- 2.3 A detailed assessment of the prevailing climate was made by interpolation from a 5km gridpoint dataset (Met. Office 1989). The details are given in the table below and these show that there is no overall climatic limitation affecting the site.
- 2.4 However, climatic factors do interact with soil factors to influence soil wetness and droughtiness limitations. At this location, the field capacity days are relatively high in a regional context and therefore the likelihood of any soil wetness problems may be increased.
- 2.5 No local climatic factors such as exposure or frost risk are believed to affect the site.

#### Table 2 : Climatic Interpolations

Grid Reference	SU 960 193
Altitude (m)	30
Accumulated Temperature	1507
(Day °C, Jan-June)	
Average Annual Rainfall (mm)	905
Field Capacity (days)	192
Moisture Deficit, Wheat (mm)	101
Moisture Deficit, Potatoes (mm)	94
Overall Climatic Grade	1

#### 3. Relief

3.1 The site lies at an altitude of approximately 20-42m AOD, the majority of the site lying on a plateau with slopes encountered towards the east and south of the site. Towards the south-east of the site, slopes measured with an optical reading clinometer were found to be 9-10°, being sufficiently steep as to cause a limitation upon agricultural use.

#### 4. Geology and Soils

- 4.1 The published geological map (BGS, 1972) shows the underlying geology of the site to comprise Sandgate Beds.
- 4.2 The published Soil Survey map (SSEW, 1983) shows the soils over the majority of the site to comprise those of the Shirrell Heath 1 association. These are described as 'well drained sandy soils with a bleached subsurface horizon. Some similar soils with slowly permeable subsoils and slight seasonal waterlogging. Some sandy and coarse loamy soils affected by groundwater often with humose surface horizon' (SSEW 1983).
- 4.3 Detailed field examination broadly confirms the published map. Soils across the site typically comprise sandy textures, although the proportions of sand in the profile was found to vary. Subsoils on the higher parts of the site were found to be stony, with soils typically comprising loamy textures over slowly permeable clays on the lower reaches of the site.

## 5. Agricultural Land Classification

5.1 The location of the soil observation points are shown on the attached sample point map.

#### Subgrade 3a

5.2 Two small areas of land on the lower reaches of the site have been classified as Subgrade 3a, good quality land, with soil droughtiness and/or wetness as the main limitations. Soil textures within these mapping units are variable although they commonly comprise loamy and sandy textures resting upon heavier subsoils. Soils also tend to show signs of a wetness imperfection in the form of gleying. A soil inspection pit (pit no. 2) found the clay subsoil to be poorly structured and slowly permeable, causing a drainage impedance. However, variability in the depths at which the clay is encountered in these mapping units means that some soil observations show classifications on the basis of soil wetness of Grade 2 and Subgrade 3a. Other profiles within the 3a mapping units are more sandy and thereby limited by soil droughtiness, restricting the level and consistency of crop yields. Therefore an overall classification of Subgrade 3a is appropriate for the land in these mapping units. Poorly drained wet soils may inhibit plant and root development, and may be more susceptible to structural damage through trafficking by agricultural machinery or poaching by grazing livestock.

## Subgrade 3b

- 5.8 An area of land towards the south-eastern edge of the site was found to comprise slopes measuring 9-10°, being sufficient to restrict the safe and efficient use of agricultural machinery. Gradient has a significant effect on mechanised farm operations since most conventional agricultural machinery performs best on level ground.
- 5.9 The majority of the land within this mapping unit is restricted by a significant droughtiness limitation. Soils on the higher ground on the site, tend to comprise sandier textures with increased stone contents with depth. These soils proved impenetrable to the store at depths of 30-50cm due to stony subsoils. Impenetrability may have been in the time of survey. A soil inspection pit (pit

1) was dug to investigate the nature of these soils. At the location of the pit, a moderately stony (25% total flints v/v, 10% > 2cm size) loamy medium sand topsoil rests upon a similar textured upper subsoil containing 30% total flints v/v and extending to a depth of 45cm. A very stony (45% total flints v/v) loamy medium sand lower subsoil was found to extend to a depth of 60cm, where a very stony (50% total flints v/v) medium sand was encountered. The soil inspection pit became impenetrable to digging at a depth of 70cm, and for the purposes of calculating profile available water it has been assumed that the very stony medium sand horizon extends to a depth of at least 120cm. A combination of the sandy soil textures, stone contents and the local climatic regime means that there is a significant restriction upon the amount of profile available water for plant growth. This will affect the level and consistency of crop yields such that a classification of Subgrade 3b is appropriate.

5.10 At the location of soil inspection pit no. 2, a slowly permeable clay subsoil causing a significant drainage impedance was observed at a depth of 30cm. Such drainage characteristics equate these soils to Wetness Class IV, with a resultant classification of Subgrade 3b due to this significant wetness limitation. Poorly drained wet soils can inhibit plant growth and rooting, and may be more susceptible to structural damage through trafficking by agricultural machinery or poaching by grazing livestock.

ADAS Ref: 4203/130/95 MAFF Ref: EL 42/228 Resource Planning Team Guildford Statutory Group ADAS Reading

#### SOURCES OF REFERENCE

British Geological Survey (1972), Sheet No. 317, Chichester, 1:50,000 Series (drift edition).

MAFF (1988), Agricultural Land Classification of England and Wales : Revised guidelines and criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land.

Meteorological Office (1989), Climatological Data for Agricultural Land Classification.

Soil Survey of England and Wales (1983), Sheet 6, Soils of South East England, 1:250,000 and accompanying legend.

# **APPENDIX I**

# **DESCRIPTION OF THE GRADES AND SUBGRADES**

## Grade 1 : Excellent Quality Agricultural Land

Land with no or very minor limitations to agricultural use. A very wide range of agricultural and horticultural crops can be grown and commonly includes top fruit, soft fruit, salad crops and winter harvested vegetables. Yields are high and less variable than on land of lower quality.

## Grade 2 : Very Good Quality Agricultural Land

Land with minor limitations which affect crop yield, cultivations or harvesting. A wide range of agricultural or horticultural crops can usually be grown but on some land of this grade there may be reduced flexibility due to difficulties with the production of the more demanding crops such as winter harvested vegetables and arable root crops. The level of yield is generally high but may be lower or more variable than Grade 1 land.

## Grade 3 : Good to Moderate Quality Land

Land with moderate limitations which affect the choice of crops, the timing and type of cultivation, harvesting or the level of yield. When more demanding crops are grown, yields are generally lower or more variable than on land in Grades 1 and 2.

## Subgrade 3a : Good Quality Agricultural Land

Land capable of consistently producing moderate to high yields of a narrow range of arable crops, especially cereals, or moderate yields of a wide range of crops including cereals, grass, oilseed rape, potatoes, sugar beet and the less demanding horticultural crops.

## Subgrade 3b : Moderate Quality Agricultural Land

Land capable of producing moderate yields of a narrow range of crops, principally cereals and grass, or lower yields of a wider range of crops or high yields of grass which can be grazed or harvested over most of the year.

## Grade 4 : Poor Quality Agricultural Land

Land with severe limitations which significantly restrict the range of crops and/or the level of yields. It is mainly suited to grass with occasional arable crops (eg. cereals and forage crops) the yields of which are variable. In moist climates, yields of grass may be moderate to high but there may be difficulties in utilisation. The grade also includes very droughty arable land.

## Grade 5: Very Poor Quality Agricultural Land

Land with severe limitations which restrict use to permanent pasture or rough grazing, except for occasional pioneer forage crops.

#### Urban

Built-up or 'hard' uses with relatively little potential for a return to agriculture including: housing, industry, commerce, education, transport, religious buildings, cemeteries. Also, hard-surfaced sports facilities, permanent caravan sites and vacant land; all types of derelict land, including mineral workings which are only likely to be reclaimed using derelict land grants.

#### Non-agricultural

'Soft' uses where most of the land could be returned relatively easily to agriculture, including: private parkland, public open spaces, sports fields, allotments and soft-surfaced areas on airports. Also active mineral workings and refuse tips where restoration conditions to 'soft' after-uses may apply.

#### Woodland

Includes commercial and non-commercial woodland. A distinction may be made as necessary between farm and non-farm woodland.

#### Agricultural Buildings

Includes the normal range of agricultural buildings as well as other relatively permanent structures such as glasshouses. Temporary structures (eg. polythene tunnels erected for lambing) may be ignored.

#### **Open Water**

Includes lakes, ponds and rivers as map scale permits.

#### Land Not Surveyed

Agricultural land which has not been surveyed.

Where the land use includes more than one of the above, eg. buildings in large grounds, and where map scale permits, the cover types may be shown separately. Otherwise, the most extensive cover type will be shown.

73

## **APPENDIX II**

## FIELD ASSESSMENT OF SOIL WETNESS CLASS

#### SOIL WETNESS CLASSIFICATION

Soil wetness is classified according to the depth and duration of waterlogging in the soil profile. Six soil wetness classes are identified and are defined in the table below.

# Wetness Class Duration of Waterlogging<sup>1</sup> I The soil profile is not wet within 70 cm depth for more than 30 days in most years.<sup>2</sup> Π The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for 31-90 days in most years or, if there is no slowly permeable layer within 80 cm depth, it is wet within 70 cm for more than 90 days, but only wet within 40 cm depth for 30 days in most years. The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for 91-180 days in most Ш years or, if there is no slowly permeable layer present within 80 cm depth, it is wet within 70 cm for more than 180 days, but only wet within 40 cm depth for between 31-90 days in most years. IV The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for more than 180 days but not wet within 40 cm depth for more than 210 days in most years or, if there is no slowly permeable layer present within 80 cm depth, it is wet within 40 cm depth for 91-210 days in most years. V The soil profile is wet within 40 cm depth for 211-335 days in most years. VI The soil profile is wet within 40 cm depth for more than 335 days in most years.

#### Definition of Soil Wetness Classes

Soils can be allocated to a wetness class on the basis of quantitative data recorded over a period of many years or by the interpretation of soil profile characteristics, site and climatic factors. Adequate quantitative data will rarely be available for ALC surveys and therefore the interpretative method of field assessment is used to identify soil wetness class in the field. The method adopted here is common to ADAS and the SSLRC.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The number of days specified is not necessarily a continuous period.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>'In most years' is defined as more than 10 out of 20 years.

# APPENDIX III

# SOIL PIT AND SOIL BORING DESCRIPTIONS

**Contents**:

.

Soil Abbreviations - Explanatory Note Soil Pit Descriptions Database Printout - Boring Level Information Database Printout - Horizon Level Information

. ·

•

## SOIL PROFILE DESCRIPTIONS : EXPLANATORY NOTE

Soil pit and auger boring information collected during ALC fieldwork is held on a computer database. This uses notations and abbreviations as set out below.

## **Boring Header Information**

- 1. GRID REF : national 100 km grid square and 8 figure grid reference.
- 2. USE : Land use at the time of survey. The following abbreviations are used.

ARA :	Arable	WHT :	Wheat	BAR : Barley
CER :	Cereals	OAT :	Oats	MZE : Maize
OSR :	Oilseed rape	BEN :	Field Beans	BRA : Brassicae
POT :	Potatoes	SBT :	Sugar Beet	FCD : Fodder Crops
LIN :	Linseed	FRT :	Soft and Top Fruit	FLW : Fallow
PGR :	Permanent Pasture	ELEY :	Ley Grass	RGR : Rough Grazing
SCR :	Scrub	CFW:	Coniferous Woodland	<b>DCW</b> : Deciduous Wood
HTH :	Heathland	BOG :	Bog or Marsh	FLW : Fallow
PLO :	Ploughed	SAS :	Set aside	OTH : Other
HRT :	Horticultural Crop	s		

- 3. GRDNT : Gradient as estimated or measured by a hand-held optical clinometer.
- 4. GLEY/SPL : Depth in centimetres (cm) to gleying and/or slowly permeable layers.
- 5. AP (WHEAT/POTS) : Crop-adjusted available water capacity.
- 6. **MB (WHEAT/POTS)** : Moisture Balance. (Crop adjusted AP crop adjusted MD)
- 7. **DRT** : Best grade according to soil droughtiness.
- 8. If any of the following factors are considered significant, 'Y' will be entered in the relevant column.

MREL : Microrelief limitationFLOOD : Flood riskEROSN : Soil erosion riskEXP :Exposure limitationFROST : Frost proneDIST : Disturbed landCHEM :Chemical limitation

9. LIMIT : The main limitation to land quality. The following abbreviations are used.

	Overall Climate Frost Risk	AE : Aspect GR : Gradient		Exposure Microrelief
<b>FL</b> :	Flood Risk	TX : Topsoil Texture	DP :	Soil Depth
		WE :Wetness ER : Erosion Risk		Workability Soil Wetness/Droughtiness
<b>ST</b> :	<b>Topsoil Stonines</b>	55		-

· ·.

#### Soil Pits and Auger Borings

1. **TEXTURE** : soil texture classes are denoted by the following abbreviations.

<b>S</b> :	Sand	<b>LS</b> :	Loamy Sand	<b>SL</b> :	Sandy Loam
SZL :	Sandy Silt Loam	<b>CL</b> :	Clay Loam	ZCL :	Silty Clay Loam
<b>ZL</b> :	Silt Loam	SCL:	Sandy Clay Loam	<b>C</b> :	Clay
<b>SC</b> :	Sandy Clay	<b>ZC</b> :	Silty Clay	<b>OL</b> :	Organic Loam
<b>P</b> :	Peat	<b>SP</b> :	Sandy Peat	<b>LP</b> :	Loamy Peat
<b>PL</b> :	Peaty Loam	<b>PS</b> :	Peaty Sand	<b>MZ</b> :	Marine Light Silts

For the sand, loamy sand, sandy loam and sandy silt loam classes, the predominant size of sand fraction will be indicated by the use of the following prefixes:

- $\mathbf{F}$ : Fine (more than 66% of the sand less than 0.2mm)
- M: Medium (less than 66% fine sand and less than 33% coarse sand)
- **C**: Coarse (more than 33% of the sand larger than 0.6mm)

The clay loam and silty clay loam classes will be sub-divided according to the clay content: M: Medium (<27% clay) H: Heavy (27-35% clay)

- 2. MOTTLE COL : Mottle colour using Munsell notation.
- 3. MOTTLE ABUN : Mottle abundance, expressed as a percentage of the matrix or surface described.

F: few <2% C: common 2-20% M: many 20-40% VM: very many 40% +

4. **MOTTLE CONT** : Mottle contrast

¢

- F: faint indistinct mottles, evident only on close inspection
- **D**: distinct mottles are readily seen
- **P**: prominent mottling is conspicuous and one of the outstanding features of the horizon
- 5. **PED. COL** : Ped face colour using Munsell notation.
- 6. GLEY: If the soil horizon is gleyed a 'Y' will appear in this column. If slightly gleyed, an 'S' will appear.
- 7. STONE LITH : Stone Lithology One of the following is used.

HR:	all hard rocks and stones	SLST :	soft oolitic or dolimitic limestone
<b>CH</b> :	chalk	FSST :	soft, fine grained sandstone
<b>ZR</b> :	soft, argillaceous, or silty rocks	<b>GH</b> :	gravel with non-porous (hard) stones
MSST	: soft, medium grained sandstone	GS :	gravel with porous (soft) stones
<b>SI</b> :	soft weathered igneous/metamo	orphic ro	ck
		-	

Stone contents (>2cm, >6cm and total) are given in percentages (by volume).

8. **STRUCT** : the degree of development, size and shape of soil peds are described using the following notation:

degree of development	WK : weakly developed ST : strongly developed	<b>MD</b> : moderately developed
ped size	F : fine C : coarse	M : medium VC : very coarse
ped shape	S : single grain GR : granular SAB : sub-angular blocky PL : platy	M : massive AB : angular blocky PR : prismatic

9. **CONSIST** : Soil consistence is described using the following notation:

L : loose VF : very friable FR : friable FM : firm VM : very firm EM : extremely firm EH : extremely hard

- 10. SUBS STR : Subsoil structural condition recorded for the purpose of calculating profile droughtiness : G : good M : moderate P : poor
- 11. **POR**: Soil porosity. If a soil horizon has less than 0.5% biopores >0.5 mm, a 'Y' will appear in this column.
- 12. IMP : If the profile is impenetrable to rooting a 'Y' will appear in this column at the appropriate horizon.
- 13. SPL : Slowly permeable layer. If the soil horizon is slowly permeable a 'Y' will appear in this column.
- 14. CALC : If the soil horizon is calcareous, a 'Y' will appear in this column.

#### 15. Other notations

- APW : available water capacity (in mm) adjusted for wheat
- **APP**: available water capacity (in mm) adjusted for potatoes
- **MBW**: moisture balance, wheat
- **MBP** : moisture balance, potatoes

#### SOIL PIT DESCRIPTION

Site Nam	e: DUNCTON	COMMON		·: 1	Ρ								
Grid Ref	erence: SU9	5801930	Average Annu Accumulated Field Capaci Land Use Slope and As	Temperature ity Level	: 1507 degree days								
HORIZON 0- 25 25- 45 45- 60 60-120	TEXTURE LMS LMS LMS MS	COLOUR 10YR43 ( 10YR44 ( 10YR54 ( 10YR56 (	00 0 00 0	TOT.STONE 25 30 45 50	LITH HR HR HR HR	MOTTLES	STRUCTURE	CONSIST	SUBSTRUCTURE M M M				
Wetness	Grade : 1		Wetness Clas Gleying SPL	ss : I : ;	Cm Cm								
Drought	Grade : 3B		APW : 054mm APP : 045mm		17 mm 19 mm								

.

.

•

.

.

CALC

FINAL ALC GRADE : 3B

.

.

.

MAIN LIMITATION : Droughtiness

#### SOIL PIT DESCRIPTION

.

Site Name : DUNCTON COMMON	Pit Number	: 2P
Grid Reference: SU96001910	Average Annual Rainfall Accumulated Temperature Field Capacity Level Land Use Slope and Aspect	
HORIZON TEXTURE COLOUR 0-30 MCL 10YR424 30-120 C 10YR314		LITH MOTTLES STRUCTURE CONSIST SUBSTRUCTURE CALC HR C C WKCPL FM P
Wetness Grade : 3B	Wetness Class : IV Gleying : 0 SPL :030	
Drought Grade : 2		0 mm 0 mm
FINAL ALC GRADE : 3B		

.

.

MAIN LIMITATION : Wetness

program: ALCO12

,

.

LIST OF BORINGS HEADERS 04/01/96 DUNCTON COMMON

.

.

.

\$	SAMPL	_E	A	SPECT				WETI	NESS	-WH	EAT-	-90	TS-	м.	.REL	EROSN	FROST	CHEM	ALC	
ŀ	ю.	GRID REF	USE		GRONT	GLEY	SPL	CLASS	GRADE	AP	MB	AP	MB	DRT	FLOOD	EX	P DIS	T LIMIT		COMMENTS
		SU95701940						1	1	77	-24		-29	3B				DR	3B	
	1P	SU95801930	BAR					1	1	054	-47		-49	3B				DR	38	PIT TO 70
	2	SU95801940	BAR					1	1	72	-29	55	-39	3B				DR	3B	
•	2P	SU96001910	BAR			0	030	4	3B		0		0	2				WE	38	
	3	SU96201940	BAR			030	030	4	3B		0		0	3A				WE	3B	
	4	SU96301940						1	1	100	-1		-9	3A				DR	3A	
	5	SU96401940		SE	09			1	1	60	-41		-34	3B				DR	3B	I40 SLOPE
	6	SU96501940				045		3	ЗА	88	-13		-14	3A				WD	3A	
	7	SU95601930				045	045	3	3A	56	-45	62	-32	3B				DR	3B	I65 SEE 1P
	8	SU95701930	BAR					1	1	32	-69	32	-62	4				DR	3B	I40 SEE 1P
	9	SU95801930	BAR					1	1	27	-74	27	-67	4				DR	3B	I30 SEE 1P
	10	SU95901930	BAR					1	1	48	-53	48	-46	4				DR	38	180
	11	SU96001930	PEA					1	1	72	-29	56	-38	3B				DR	3B	
	12	SU96101930	PEA					1	1	41	-60	41	-53	4				DR	38	I50 SEE 1P
	13	SU96201930	PEA					1	1	68	-33	51	-43	3B				DR	3B	
	14	SU96301930	PGR	Ε	10			1	1	43	-58	43	~51	4				DR	3B	3B SLOPE
	15	SU95601920	CER	SE	05			1	1	50	-51	50	-44	4				DR	3B	I30 SEE1P
	16	SU95701920	CER	SE	05			1	1	31	-70	31	-63	4				DR	38	I30 SEE1P
	17	SU95801920	CER	SE	05					25	-76	25	-69	4				DR	3B	I25 SEE1P
	18	SU95901920	CER	SE	05			1	1	49	-52	49	-45	4				DR	3B	I30 SEE1P
	19	SU96001920	CER	SE	05			1	1	77	-24	66	-28	38				DR	3B	I30 SEE1P
	20	SU96101920	CER	SE	10			1	2	49	-52	49	-45	4				DR	ЗB	38 SLOPE
	21	SU95801910	CER			030		2	2	124	23	111	17	2				WD	2	
	22	SU95901910	BAR			020		2	2	091	-10	068	-26	3A				DR	3A	
	23	SU96001910	CER			0	030	4	3B		0		0	2				WE	38	
	24	SU95901900	CER			0	030	4	3B		0		0	2				WE	3B	

١

•

page 1

.

# program: ALCO11 COMPLETE LIST OF PROFILES 04/01/96 DUNCTON COMMON

\_\_\_\_\_\_

					MOTTLES		PED	•		-ST	ONES		STRUCT/	SUBS				
SAMPLE	DEPTH	TEXTURE	COLOUR		ABUN								CONSIST			IMP SP	L CALC	
																•		
ı	0-25	lms	10YR43 00						8	0	HR	12						
	25-50	lms	10YR44 00							0		5		M				
	50-65	scl	10YR54 56							0	HR	5		M				
•	65-120	ms	10YR66 74						0	0		0		M				
		_										<u> </u>						•
19	0-25	lms	10YR43 00						10			25						
	25-45	lms -	10YR44 00						-	0		30		M				PIT DUG TO
	45-60	lms	10YR54 00			•				0		45		M				700M
	60-120	ms	10YR56 00						U	0	нк	50		M				
2	0-30	lms	10YR43 00						3	0	HR	6						
-	30-50	lms	75YR44 00							0		2		м				•
	50-120	ms.	10YR56 66							0		0		м				
2P	0-30	mcl	10YR42 43	10YR5	58 00 C			Y	0	0	HR	2						
	30-120	с	10YR31 41	10YR6	58 00 C			Y	0	0		0	WKCPL	FM P	Y	Ŷ	,	
3	0-30	mcl	10YR43 00							0		5						
	30-55	c	10YR53 00					Y		0		0		P		۲		IMPEN 65
	55-65	scl	10YR52 53	10YR5	58 00 C			Ŷ	0	0	HR	10		м		۲	,	HARD ROCK
4	0-30	msl	10YR43 44						8	0	HR	10						
	30-45	ms]	10YR44 00						0		HR	10		м				
	45-70	lms	10YR46 00						0	0		0		M				
	70-120	៣ទ	10YR66 76						0	0		0		м				
5	0-25	ms 1	10YR43 00						5	0	HR	8						IMPEN 40
	25-40	ms]	10YR44 00						0	0	HR	10		M				HARD ROCK
6	0.00		100042 00						F	^								
6	0-20 20-45	ms] lms	10YR43 00 10YR44 00						-		HR	8		м				
	2045 45-70	C	10YR41 00	1000	se oo c			Y	0	0	HR	15 0		M P		、	,	
	70-120	ms	10YR74 66					Ý	0	0		0		г М		۱ ۱		
	10-120	(113		TO AK.	~ ~ ~ ~			,	0	Ŭ		v		FI		1	ſ	
7	0-30	lms	10YR43 00						5	0	HR	8						IMPEN 65
	30-45	lms	10YR44 00						0		HR	10		м				HARD ROCK
	45-65	sc	10YR62 00	10YR	58 71 M			Y	0	0	HR	10		Ρ		١	1	
0	0.25	1	107044 00						_	~		10						
8	0-25 25-40	lms lms	10YR44 00 10YR54 00								hr Hr	12 15		м				IMPEN 40
	20-40	11113							U	v	пк	15		м				HARD ROCK
9	0-30	lms	10YR44 00						10	0	HR	15						I30 HARD ROCK
10	0-25	lms	10YR44 00						10			15						
	25-40	lms	10YR44 00						0		HR	10		М				
	40-80	ms	10YR66 00						0	0	HR	10		м				180 HARD ROCK
11	0-30	lms	10YR44 00						6	0	HR	8						
	30-65	lms	10YR46 00						Ō		HR	2		м				
	65–120	ms	10YR66 76						Q	0		0		M				

.

page 1

e

•

,

# COMPLETE LIST OF PROFILES 04/01/96 DUNCTON COMMON

			•		MOTTLES	5	PED			-\$ <sup>-</sup>	TONES		STRUCT/	SUBS		
SAMPLE	ÐEPTH	TEXTURE	COLOUR	COL	ABUN	CONT	COL.	GLEY	>2	>6	LITH	тот	CONSIST	STR POR	IMP SPL CALC	
12	0-30	lms	10YR43 44						7	n	HR	11				
12	30-50	lms lms	107R43 44								HR	15		м		150 HARD ROCK
	30-30	1111-3	101844 00						v	Ŭ	1 14 1	10		11		130 TORNO ROCK
' 13	0-30	lms	10YR44 00						8	0	HR	12				
	30-45	lms	10YR44 00						0	0	HR	15		м		
	45-60	ms	10YR56 00						0	0	HR	2		м		
	60-120	ms	10YR66 76						0	0		0		м		
14	0-25	mzcl	10YR43 44						6	0	HR	10				125 HARD ROCK
15	0-30	ms l	10YR54 00						0	0	HR	2				I30 HARD ROCK
16	0-30	lms	10YR54 00						0	0	HR	2				130 HARD ROCK
17	0-25	lms	10YR54 00						0	0	HR	5	-			125 HARD ROCK
18	0-30	ms )	10YR54 00						0	0	HR	5				130 HARD ROCK
19	0-30	ms l	10YR54 00						0	0	HR	2				130 HARD ROCK
20	0-30	ms î	10YR54 00						0	0	HR	5				130 HARD ROCK
21	0-30	msl	10YR43 00						0	0		0				
	30-50	msl	10YR42 00					Y	0	0		0		м		
	50-75	നടി	10YR52 00					Y	0	0		0		м		
	75-90	mcl	10YR51 00					Y	0	0		0		м		
22	0-20	msl	10YR43 00						0	0	HR	3				
	20-50	lms	10YR42 00	10YR5	56 00 C			Y	0	0	HR	3		м		
	50-75	lms	10YR52 00	10YR5	58 00 C			Y	0	0		0		Μ		
	75-100	mc1	10YR51 00	10YR	56 00 C			Y	0	0		0		м		
23	0~30	mcl	10YR42 00	10YR	56 00 C			Y	0	0	I	0				
	30-120	c	10YR52 00	10YR	58 00 C			Y	0	0	İ	0		Ρ	Y	
24	0-30	mc ]	10YR42 00	10YR	58 61 C			Y	0	0	I	0				
	30-120	с	10YR42 00	10YR	58 00 C			Y	0	0	1	0		Ρ	Y	

.

page 2