# **Draft Deregulation Bill**

The Natural Environment White Paper 2011 commits the Government to consulting "on simplifying and streamlining the processes for recording and making changes to public rights of way, based on proposals made by Natural England's Working Group on unrecorded rights of way".

# **Need for Reform**

It has become evident that the 2026 cutoff date proposal did not take account of the following obstacles.

- Process is slow.
- Process is litigious
- Discovering Lost Ways did not deliver
- Not enough time before 2026

### Proposals that require primary legislation (draft clauses in the Deregulation Bill) and in some cases secondary legislation too.

Introduce a preliminary sift for applications to record rights of way, so that local authorities will be relieved of the burden of dealing with poor or spurious applications. (3)	Benefit to local authorities and landowners – by reducing the administrative burden and the cost of rebutting poor or spurious applications.
Provide that local authorities (rather than applicants) approach landowners, but only where claims pass the preliminary sift. (5)	Benefit to landowners and local authorities – by reducing the number of applications that result in costly dispute procedures.
Enable the local authority to negotiate an alternative route with the landowner before recording the way. (6 & 7)	Benefit to landowners and local authorities – by alleviating the impact of 'discovered' ways & reducing the number of applications that result in costly dispute procedures.
Provide for landowners to apply for gates on byways. (32)	Benefit to landowners and local authorities – by reducing the number of applications that are disputed and end up at public inquiry

Provide for recourse to a local	Benefit to users and landowners – by
magistrate's court rather than the	providing an appeal mechanism that is
Secretary of State where a local authority	likely to get results.
has failed to carry out a preliminary sift or	
deal with an application that has passed	
the sift. (17 & 18)	
Ensure that any given case can go before	Benefit to all stakeholders – by reducing
the Secretary of State only once, rather	the burden of the administrative process.
than several times, which can often be	
the case at present. (12)	
Reduce the requirement for advertising	Benefit to landowners and local authorities
rights of way orders in newspapers (10)	<ul> <li>by reducing the cost of the</li> </ul>
	administrative process, which is passed on
	to the landowner where an order is for the
	landowner's benefit
Provide that the courts quash only the	Benefit to all stakeholders – by reducing
Secretary of State's decision, where that is	the burden of the administrative process.
found to be at fault, so that the order-	
making process does not have to start all	
over again from scratch. (16)	

	Benefit to users and local authorities – eliminating the risk that work already
	undertaken will have to be repeated
pursue it. (20)	
	Benefit to landowners - by making it easier
provides for a statutory 'right to apply' for f	or landowners to get authorities to
the extinguishment or diversion of an r	respond positively to requests for
existing right of way.	alterations to an existing right of way.
Proposals that require secondary legislation only	
Implementation of the 2026 cut-off	Benefit to landowners and local
provisions. (1)	authorities – by increasing certainty about
	what rights of way exist and ending the
Preserve routes identified on the list of	what rights of way exist and ending the
	what rights of way exist and ending the claims process for historical rights of way. In the public interest – by preserving
streets/local street gazetteer as publicly	what rights of way exist and ending the claims process for historical rights of way. In the public interest – by preserving valuable existing public access,
	what rights of way exist and ending the claims process for historical rights of way. In the public interest – by preserving

## **5 Minister's proposals**

- Extension of the powers of local authorities to authorise structures (ie gates) under section 147 of the Highways Act 1980
- A presumption to divert public rights of way out of private gardens and farmyards
- Introduce double jeopardy principle
- More stringent quality requirements for evidence of long usage
- Time limit on claims of long usage

### **Pre-legislative scrutiny**

Carried out by a Joint Committee from July to December 2013 to clarify the purpose of the bill to Parliament and to assess the impact of the bill on outside groups.

The Joint Committee report was published on 19 December and the Government response to the Joint Committee published on 30 January.

#### Passage of a Bill

Bill starting in the House of Commons

House of Commons First reading Second reading Committee stage Report stage Third reading

House of Lords First reading Second reading Committee stage Report stage Third reading

Consideration of amendments – (ping pong) Royal Assent

## Timetable

The Bill was formally introduced to Parliament on 23 January, 1<sup>st</sup> reading. 2<sup>nd</sup> reading was on 3<sup>rd</sup> February.

Committee stage – February/March

House of Lords – 4<sup>th</sup> session post May