A1
Vale of the White Horse District Local Plan
Site H31: Land off Marcham Road,
Drayton
Agricultural Land Classification Report
October 1994

AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION REPORT

VALE OF THE WHITE HORSE DISTRICT LOCAL PLAN SITE H31: LAND OFF MARCHAM ROAD, DRAYTON

1. Summary

- 1.1 ADAS was commissioned by MAFF's Land Use Planning Unit to provide information on land quality on a number of sites in the Vale of the White Horse District of Oxfordshire. The work forms part of MAFF's statutory input to the preparation of the Vale of the White Horse District Local Plan.
- 1.2 Site H31 comprises 0.9 hectares of land to west of the village of Drayton. An Agricultural Land Classification, (ALC), survey was carried out during October 1994. The survey was undertaken at a detailed level of approximately one boring per hectare. A total of three borings and one soil inspection pit were described in accordance with MAFF's revised guidelines and criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land, (MAFF, 1988). These guidelines provide a framework for classifying land according to the extent to which its physical or chemical characteristics impose a long term limitation on its use for agriculture.
- 1.3 The work was carried out by members of the Resource Planning Team in the Leeds Statutory Centre of ADAS.
- 1.4 At the time of the survey the site was under permanent grass.
- 1.5 The distribution of grades and subgrades is shown on the attached ALC map and the areas and extent are given in the table below. The map has been drawn at a scale of 1:10,000. It is accurate at this scale, but any enlargement would be misleading.

Table 1: Distribution of Grades and Subgrades

Grade	Area (ha)	% of Site					
3a	<u>0.9</u>	100%					
Total area of site	0.9						

- 1.6 Appendix 1 gives a general description of the grades, subgrades and the land use categories identified in the survey. The main classes are described in terms of the type of limitation that can occur, the typical cropping range and the expected level and consistency of yield.
- 1.7 The area surveyed has been classed as Subgrade 3a (good quality) land. The soils are generally moderately well or imperfectly drained, with medium clay loam or heavy clay loam topsoils overlying medium clay loam, heavy clay loam or clay subsoils. Slowly permeable layers begin between at 25cm and 60cm depth where present. The agricultural use of this land is restricted by soil wetness limitations.

2. Climate

2.1 Estimates of climatic variables relevant to the assessment of agricultural land quality were obtained by interpolation from a 5km grid point dataset (Met. Office, 1989) for a representative location in the survey area.

Table 2: Climatic Interpolation

Grid reference	SU474944
Altitude (m)	60
Accumulated Temperature	1451
(degree days, Jan-June)	
Average Annual Rainfall (mm)	597
Field Capacity (days)	125
Moisture Deficit, Wheat (mm)	117
Moisture Deficit; Potatoes (mm)	111
Overall Climatic Grade	1

- 2.2 Climatic factors are considered first when classifying land since climate can be overriding in the sense that adverse climatic conditions may restrict land quality irrespective of favourable site and soil conditions. The details in the table above show that there is no overall climatic limitation affecting this site. In addition, no local climatic factors such as exposure or frost risk are believed to affect the land quality.
- 2.3 However, climatic factors do interact with soil factors to influence soil wetness and droughtiness limitations. At this locality, the climate is relatively warm and dry in a regional context. As a result the likelihood of soil droughtiness problems will be enhanced whilst soil wetness limitations may be partially offset.

3. Relief

3.1 The site lies at an altitude of approximately 60 metres and is flat. Nowhere on the site do gradient or microrelief affect agricultural land quality.

4. Geology And Soil

- 4.1 The British Geological Survey published map (1971, Sheet 253, Abingdon) shows the site to be underlain by beds of Kimmeridge clay.
- 4.2 Soil Survey of England and Wales (1971), Sheet 253, shows the site to comprise two main soil types. The north-eastern corner of the site has been mapped as Isle Abbots Series 'moderately or imperfectly drained fine and coarse loamy soils over clay with gravel at depth locally' while the remainder of the site has been mapped as Rowsham Series 'poorly or imperfectly drained fine loamy or clayey soils, locally with gravelly horizons, which are variably affected by groundwater'.

4.3 Detailed field examination of the soils on site found fine loamy soils overlying clay at variable depth. The soils range from well drained to imperfectly drained, falling in Wetness Classes I to III.

5. Agricultural Land Classification

- 5.1 Table 1 provides the details of the area measurements for each grade and the distribution of each grade is shown on the attached ALC map.
- 5.2 The location of the soil observation points are shown on the attached sample point map.

Subgrade 3a

5.3 Good quality land has been mapped across all of this site, the principle limitation being that of soil wetness. Profiles consist of medium clay loam, heavy clay loam or heavy silty clay loam topsoils (which are non-calcareous and only very slightly stony) overlying medium clay loam, heavy silty clay loam or clay subsoils. Although occasional profiles are well drained (falling in Wetness Class I) in general terms soils are only moderately well or imperfectly drained (Wetness Classes II and III) where the subsoils become slowly permeable at between 25cm and 60cm depth, Soil Pit 1 is typical of Wetness Class II profiles. The ALC grade of the land is therefore limited by soil wetness such that the opportunities to work the land may be restricted and crop growth may be adversely affected.

Subgrade 3a may be expected to consistently produce moderate to high yields of a narrow range of arable crops, especially cereals, or moderate yields of a wide range of crops including cereals, grass, oilseed rape, potatoes, sugar beet and the less demanding horticultural crops.

ADAS Ref: 3304/232/94 MAFF Ref: EL33/0127 Resource Planning Team Leeds Statutory Group ADAS Leeds

SOURCES OF REFERENCE

British Geological Survey (1971) Sheet No.253, Abingdon.

MAFF (1988) "Agricultural Land Classification of England and Wales: Revised guidelines and criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land."

Meteorological Office (1989), Climatological Data for Agricultural Land Classification.

Soil Survey of England and Wales (1971), Sheet 253, Abingdon.

APPENDIX I

DESCRIPTION OF THE GRADES AND SUBGRADES

Grade 1: Excellent Quality Agricultural Land

Land with no or very minor limitations to agricultural use. A very wide range of agricultural and horticultural crops can be grown and commonly includes top fruit, soft fruit, salad crops and winter harvested vegetables. Yields are high and less variable than on land of lower quality.

Grade 2: Very Good Quality Agricultural Land

Land with minor limitations which affect crop yield, cultivations or harvesting. A wide range of agricultural or horticultural crops can usually be grown but on some land of this grade there may be reduced flexibility due to difficulties with the production of the more demanding crops such as winter harvested vegetables and arable root crops. The level of yield is generally high but may be lower or more variable than Grade 1 land.

Grade 3: Good to Moderate Quality Land

Land with moderate limitations which affect the choice of crops, the timing and type of cultivation, harvesting or the level of yield. When more demanding crops are grown, yields are generally lower or more variable than on land in Grades 1 and 2.

Subgrade 3a: Good Quality Agricultural Land

Land capable of consistently producing moderate to high yields of a narrow range of arable crops, especially cereals, or moderate yields of a wide range of crops including cereals, grass, oilseed rape, potatoes, sugar beet and the less demanding horticultural crops.

Subgrade 3b: Moderate Quality Agricultural Land

Land capable of producing moderate yields of a narrow range of crops, principally cereals and grass, or lower yields of a wider range of crops or high yields of grass which can be grazed or harvested over most of the year.

Grade 4: Poor Quality Agricultural Land

Land with severe limitations which significantly restrict the range of crops and/or the level of yields. It is mainly suited to grass with occasional arable crops (eg. cereals and forage crops) the yields of which are variable. In moist climates, yields of grass may be moderate to high but there may be difficulties in utilisation. The grade also includes very droughty arable land.

Grade 5: Very Poor Quality Agricultural Land

Land with severe limitations which restrict use to permanent pasture or rough grazing, except for occasional pioneer forage crops.

Urban

Built-up or 'hard' uses with relatively little potential for a return to agriculture including: housing, industry, commerce, education, transport, religous buildings, cemetries. Also, hard-surfaced sports facilities, permanent caravan sites and vacant land; all types of derelict land, including mineral workings which are only likely to be reclaimed using derelict land grants.

Non-agricultural

'Soft' uses where most of the land could be returned relatively easily to agriculture, including: private parkland, public open spaces, sports fields, allotments and soft-surfaced areas on airports. Also active mineral workings and refuse tips where restoration conditions to 'soft' after-uses may apply.

Woodland

Includes commercial and non-commercial woodland. A distinction may be made as necessary between farm and non-farm woodland.

Agricultural Buildings

Includes the normal range of agricultural buildings as well as other relatively permanent structures such as glasshouses. Temporary structures (eg. polythene tunnels erected for lambing) may be ignored.

Open Water

Includes lakes, ponds and rivers as map scale permits.

Land Not Surveyed

Agricultural land which has not been surveyed.

Where the land use includes more than one of the above, eg. buildings in large grounds, and where map scale permits, the cover types may be shown separately. Otherwise, the most extensive cover type will be shown.

APPENDIX II

FIELD ASSESSMENT OF SOIL WETNESS CLASS

SOIL WETNESS CLASSIFICATION

Soil wetness is classified according to the depth and duration of waterlogging in the soil profile. Six soil wetness classes are identified and are defined in the table below.

Definition of Soil Wetness Classes

Wetness Class	Duration of Waterlogging ¹								
I	The soil profile is not wet within 70 cm depth for more than 30 days in most years. ²								
п	The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for 31-90 days in most years or, if there is no slowly permeable layer within 80 cm depth, it is wet within 70 cm for more than 90 days, but only wet within 40 cm depth for 30 days in most years.								
111	The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for 91-180 days in most years or, if there is no slowly permeable layer present within 80 cm depth, it is wet within 70 cm for more than 180 days, but only wet within 40 cm depth for between 31-90 days in most years.								
IV	The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for more than 180 days but not wet within 40 cm depth for more than 210 days in most years or, if there is no slowly permeable layer present within 80 cm depth, it is wet within 40 cm depth for 91-210 days in most years.								
V	The soil profile is wet within 40 cm depth for 211-335 days in most years.								
VI	The soil profile is wet within 40 cm depth for more than 335 days in most years.								

Soils can be allocated to a wetness class on the basis of quantitative data recorded over a period of many years or by the interpretation of soil profile characteristics, site and climatic factors. Adequate quantitative data will rarely be available for ALC surveys and therefore the interpretative method of field assessment is used to identify soil wetness class in the field. The method adopted here is common to ADAS and the SSLRC.

²'In most years' is defined as more than 10 out of 20 years.

¹The number of days specified is not necessarily a continuous period.

APPENDIX III

SOIL PIT AND SOIL BORING DESCRIPTIONS

Contents:

Soil Abbreviations - Explanatory Note

Soil Pit Descriptions

Database Printout - Boring Level Information

Database Printout - Horizon Level Information

SOIL PROFILE DESCRIPTIONS: EXPLANATORY NOTE

Soil pit and auger boring information collected during ALC fieldwork is held on a computer database. This uses notations and abbreviations as set out below.

Boring Header Information

- 1. GRID REF: national 100 km grid square and 8 figure grid reference.
- 2. USE: Land use at the time of survey. The following abbreviations are used.

ARA: Arable WHT: Wheat BAR: Barley
CER: Cereals OAT: Oats MZE: Maize
OSR: Oilseed rape BEN: Field Beans BRA: Brassicae
POT: Potatoes SBT: Sugar Beet FCD: Fodder Crops
LTN: Lineard FRT: Soft and Top Fruit FLW: Fallow

LIN: Linseed FRT: Soft and Top Fruit FLW: Fallow

PGR: Permanent PastureLEY: Ley Grass RGR: Rough Grazing SCR: Scrub CFW: Coniferous Woodland DCW: Deciduous Wood

HTH: Heathland BOG: Bog or Marsh FLW: Fallow PLO: Ploughed SAS: Set aside OTH: Other

HRT: Horticultural Crops

- 3. GRDNT: Gradient as estimated or measured by a hand-held optical clinometer.
- 4. GLEY/SPL: Depth in centimetres (cm) to gleying and/or slowly permeable layers.
- 5. AP (WHEAT/POTS): Crop-adjusted available water capacity.
- 6. MB (WHEAT/POTS): Moisture Balance. (Crop adjusted AP crop adjusted MD)
- 7. **DRT**: Best grade according to soil droughtiness.
- 8. If any of the following factors are considered significant, 'Y' will be entered in the relevant column.

MREL: Microrelief limitation FLOOD: Flood risk EROSN: Soil erosion risk EXP: Exposure limitation FROST: Frost prone DIST: Disturbed land

CHEM: Chemical limitation

9. **LIMIT**: The main limitation to land quality. The following abbreviations are used.

OC : Overall ClimateAE : AspectEX : ExposureFR : Frost RiskGR : GradientMR : MicroreliefFL : Flood RiskTX : Topsoil TextureDP : Soil DepthCH : ChemicalWE : WetnessWK : Workability

DR: Drought **ER**: Erosion Risk **WD**: Soil Wetness/Droughtiness

ST: Topsoil Stoniness

Soil Pits and Auger Borings

1. **TEXTURE**: soil texture classes are denoted by the following abbreviations.

S: Sand LS: Loamy Sand SL: Sandy Loam SZL: Sandy Silt Loam CL: Clay Loam ZCL: Silty Clay Loam

ZL: Silt Loam SCL: Sandy Clay Loam C: Clay

OL: SC: Sandy Clay **ZC**: Silty Clay Organic Loam Loamy Peat **P**: Peat SP: Sandy Peat LP: MZ: Marine Light Silts PL: Peaty Loam PS: Peaty Sand

For the sand, loamy sand, sandy loam and sandy silt loam classes, the predominant size of sand fraction will be indicated by the use of the following prefixes:

F: Fine (more than 66% of the sand less than 0.2mm)

M: Medium (less than 66% fine sand and less than 33% coarse sand)

C: Coarse (more than 33% of the sand larger than 0.6mm)

The clay loam and silty clay loam classes will be sub-divided according to the clay content: M: Medium (<27% clay) H: Heavy (27-35% clay)

- 2. MOTTLE COL: Mottle colour using Munsell notation.
- 3. MOTTLE ABUN: Mottle abundance, expressed as a percentage of the matrix or surface described.

F: few <2% C: common 2-20% M: many 20-40% VM: very many 40% +

4. **MOTTLE CONT**: Mottle contrast

F: faint - indistinct mottles, evident only on close inspection

D: distinct - mottles are readily seen

P: prominent - mottling is conspicuous and one of the outstanding features of the horizon

- 5. **PED. COL**: Ped face colour using Munsell notation.
- 6. **GLEY:** If the soil horizon is gleyed a 'Y' will appear in this column. If slightly gleyed, an 'S' will appear.
- 7. **STONE LITH**: Stone Lithology One of the following is used.

HR: all hard rocks and stones SLST: soft oolitic or dolimitic limestone

CH: chalk **FSST**: soft, fine grained sandstone

ZR: soft, argillaceous, or silty rocks GH: gravel with non-porous (hard) stones

MSST: soft, medium grained sandstone GS: gravel with porous (soft) stones

SI: soft weathered igneous/metamorphic rock

Stone contents (>2cm, >6cm and total) are given in percentages (by volume).

8. STRUCT: the degree of development, size and shape of soil peds are described using the following notation:

degree of development WK: weakly developed

ST: strongly developed

ped size F: fine M: medium

C: coarse VC: very coarse

ped shape S: single grain M: massive

GR: granular AB: angular blocky

MD: moderately developed

SAB: sub-angular blocky **PR**: prismatic

PL: platy

9. **CONSIST**: Soil consistence is described using the following notation:

L: loose VF: very friable FR: friable FM: firm VM: very firm

EM: extremely firm EH: extremely hard

10. SUBS STR: Subsoil structural condition recorded for the purpose of calculating profile droughtiness: G: good M: moderate P: poor

11. **POR**: Soil porosity. If a soil horizon has less than 0.5% biopores >0.5 mm, a 'Y' will appear in this column.

12. IMP: If the profile is impenetrable to rooting a 'Y' will appear in this column at the appropriate horizon.

13. **SPL**: Slowly permeable layer. If the soil horizon is slowly permeable a 'Y' will appear in this column.

14. CALC: If the soil horizon is calcareous, a 'Y' will appear in this column.

15. Other notations

APW: available water capacity (in mm) adjusted for wheat

APP: available water capacity (in mm) adjusted for potatoes

MBW: moisture balance, wheat MBP: moisture balance, potatoes

SOIL PIT DESCRIPTION

Site Name : VALE OF WHITE HORSE H31

Pit Number: 1P

Grid Reference: SU47309440 Average Annual Rainfall: 597 mm

Accumulated Temperature: 1451 degree days

Field Capacity Level : 125 days

: Permanent Grass

Slope and Aspect

: degrees

HORIZON	TEXTURE	COLOUR	STONES >2	TOT.STONE	LITH	MOTTLES	STRUCTURE	CONSIST	SUBSTRUCTURE	CALC
0- 22	HZCL	10YR53 00	2	2	HR					
22- 65	HZCL	10YR52 00	0	2	HR		MDCSAB	FR	М	
65- 95	ZC	10YR52 00	0	2	HR	F	WDCA8	FM	Р	

Wetness Grade : 3A

Wetness Class : II

Gleying

:065 cm

SPL

:065 cm

Drought Grade : 2

APW: 123mm MBW: 6 mm

APP : 119mm MBP : 8 mm

FINAL ALC GRADE : 3A MAIN LIMITATION: Wetness

program: ALCO12 LIST OF BORINGS HEADERS 14/12/94 VALE OF WHITE HORSE H31

page 1

SAMPLE ASPECT --WETNESS-- -WHEAT- -POTS- M. REL EROSN FROST CHEM ALC NO. GRID REF USE GRDNT GLEY SPL CLASS GRADE AP MB AP MB DRT FLOOD EXP DIST LIMIT COMMENTS
 1
 SU47309440 PGR
 050 050 2
 3A 000 0 000 0
 0

 1P
 SU47309440 PGR 065 065 2
 3A 123 6 119 8 2

 2
 SU47409440 PGR 025 025 3 3B 113 -4 104 -7 3A

 3
 SU47359440 GRS 1 1 000 0 000 0
 W 3A WE 3A WE 3B 1

					MOTTLES		PED	STONES				STRUCT	′ (SUBS					
SAMPLE	DEPTH	TEXTURE	COLOUR	COL	ABUN	CONT	COL.	GLEY	>2	>6	LITH	TOT	CONSIST	• •	STR I	POR	IMP	SPL	CALC
1	0-30	hzc1	10YR33 00						0	0	HR	1							
	30-50	hzc1	10YR34 00						0	0		0			М				
	50-100	zc	10YR51 00	75YR58	3 00 C			Υ	0	0		0			P			Υ	
1P	0-22	hzcl	10YR53 00						2	0	HR	2							
	22-65	hzcl	10YR52 00						0	0	HR	2	MDCSAB	FR	М				
	65-95	zc	10YR52 00	10YR58	8 00 F			Y	0	0	HR	2	WDCAB	FΜ	Р			Υ	
2	0-25	hc1	10YR42 00	10YR68	3 00 F				0	0		0							
	25-100	С	25 Y61 00	10YR68	3 00 C			Y	0	0		0			Р			Υ	
3	0-30	mcl	10YR42 00						5	0	HR	6							
	30-85	mcl	10YR44 00						0	0	HR	5			M T				
	85-120	mc1	10YR43 00						0	0	HR	8			М				