Land at Lydney

Agricultural Land Classification

January 1998

Resource Planning Team Bristol FRCA Western Region

.

Job Number 84/97

MAFF Ref:

 $\mathbf{I} = \vec{i}$

1 1

1 1

Land at Lydney '97

•

Agricultural Land Classification

February 1998

.

Resource Planning Team Bristol FRCA Western Region Job Number 84/97

MAFF Ref: EL14/00306

LYDNEY '97

AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION SURVEY

CONTENTS

.

		Page
INTRODUCTIO	Ν	1
SUMMARY		1
CLIMATE		2
ALLASTON SIT	E, LYDNEY	2
WEST SITE, LY	DNEY	4
REFERENCES		8
APPENDIX I	Description of the Grades and Subgrades	9
APPENDIX II	Definition of Soil Wetness Classes	11
APPENDIX III	Survey Data:	12
	Sample Point Location Map	
	Pit Descriptions	
	Boring Profile Data	
	Boring Horizon Data	

Abbreviations and Terms used in Survey Data

LYDNEY '97

AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION SURVEY

INTRODUCTION

1. This report presents the findings of a detailed Agricultural Land Classification (ALC) survey of 103.9 ha of land in two sites at Lydney, Gloucestershire. Field survey was based on 94 auger borings and 6 soil profile pits, and was completed in December 1997. During the survey 6 samples were analysed for particle size distribution (PSD).

2. The survey was conducted by the Resource Planning Team of FRCA Western Region on behalf of MAFF in its statutory role in the preparation of Forest of Dean Local Plan.

3. Information on climate, geology and soils, and from previous ALC surveys was considered and is presented in the relevant section. The current survey uses the Revised Guidelines and Criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land (MAFF, 1988) and supersedes any previous ALC survey. Grade descriptions are summarised in Appendix I.

SUMMARY

4. The distribution of ALC grades is shown on the accompanying 1:10 000 scale ALC maps. The detail of information shown at this scale is appropriate to the intensity of field survey but could be misleading if enlarged or applied to small areas. Areas are summarised in the tables below.

Area (ha)	% Surveyed Area (86.3 ha)
7.5	9
22.9	26
32.9	38
18.9	22
4.1	5
17.6	-
103.9	100
	Area (ha) 7.5 22.9 32.9 18.9 4.1 17.6 103.9

Table 1:Distribution of ALC grades: Lydney

5. Of the agricultural land surveyed 73 % was found to be best and most versatile. The profiles are developed over weathered sandstone which gives variable soil types. Generally they are well drained with varying amounts of sandstone in the profile leading to minor and moderate drought limitations at Grade 2 and Subgrade 3a respectively. Some of the sandstone has weathered to give sandy clay loam and sandy clay subsoils with reduced porosity which can cause minor and moderate wetness limitations in places.

6. Most of the Subgrade 3b and Grade 4 land is limited by gradient.

CLIMATE

7. Estimates of climatic variables for each site were derived from the published agricultural climate dataset "Climatological Data for Agricultural Land Classification" (Meteorological Office, 1989) using standard interpolation procedures. Data for key points around the sites are given in the relevant section.

8. Since the ALC grade of land is determined by the most limiting factor present, overall climate is considered first because it can have an overriding influence by restricting land to a lower grade despite more favourable site and soil conditions. Parameters used for assessing overall climate are accumulated temperature, a measure of relative warmth and average annual rainfall, a measure of overall wetness. The results shown in Tables 2 and 3 indicate that there is no overall climatic limitation.

9. Climatic variables also affect ALC grade through interactions with soil conditions. The most important interactive variables are Field Capacity Days (FCD) which are used in assessing soil wetness and potential Moisture Deficits calculated for wheat and potatoes, which are compared with the moisture available in each profile in assessing soil droughtiness limitations. These are described in later sections.

ALLASTON SITE, LYDNEY

10. Apart from the published regional ALC map (MAFF, 1977), which shows the site at a reconnaissance scale as being urban and Grade 3, the site was previously surveyed in 1982 at a scale of 1:25 000 (ADAS, 1982). This 1982 survey showed the site to be a complicated area of Subgrades 3a, 3b and 3c, with a small area of Grade 2.

11. The 1982 survey was carried out under the published classification system at that time. The system was revised in 1988 and it is to these guidelines that the current survey has been undertaken. Generally similar profiles were found in each survey being well drained, sandy soils with variable stone contents and of variable depth. The revised guidelines give precise criteria for assessing the Wetness Class (see Appendix II) and other aspects of grading the land. There are also precise criteria for the calculation of potential droughtiness which were not available to the 1986 survey. Previously the system had a larger subjective component and also used the surveyors local knowledge and experience.

Climate

12. The data in Table 2 is taken to represent the site.

Relief

13. Altitude ranges from 80 metres at the southern end of the site to 121 metres at the northern end of the site on Driffield Road with gentle and moderate gradients which cause no limitation.

Grid Reference	SO 640 045	SO 640 047
Altitude (m)	110	120
Accumulated Temperature (day °C)	1409	1397
Average Annual Rainfall (mm)	908	915
Overall Climatic Grade	1	1
Field Capacity Days	198	199
Moisture deficit (mm): Wheat	85	84
Potatoes	72	70

Table 2: Climatic Interpolations: Driffield Road, Allaston

Geology and Soils

14. The underlying geology of the site is shown on the published geology map (IGS, 1974) as being Brownstones, which are mainly sandstones. The southern part of the site is mapped as being St Maughan's Group sandstones. All of the profiles in the area had weathered sandstone at varying depths.

15. Soils were mapped by the Soil Survey of England and Wales at a reconnaissance scale of 1:250 000 (SSEW, 1983) as being from the Eardiston 1 Association. An area of Bromyard Association soils are mapped to the south of the site.

16. The Eardiston 1 soils are described as being well drained reddish coarse loamy soils over sandstone which may be shallow in places especially on brows. There are also some reddish loamy silty soils over shale and siltstone. Bromyard soils are well drained reddish fine silty soils over shale and siltstone. Some similar soils have slowly permeable subsoils and slight seasonal waterlogging while others are well drained coarse loamy soils over sandstone.

17. Most of the profiles on the site were well drained and shallow over sandstone while in the southern part of the site they were clayey and had slowly permeable subsoils.

Agricultural Land Classification

18. The distribution of ALC grades found by the current survey is shown on the accompanying 10: 000 scale map and areas are summarised in Table 1. The detail of information shown at this scale is appropriate to the intensity of field survey but could be misleading if enlarged or applied to small areas.

Subgrade 3a

19. The area of Subgrade 3a land overlies shallow sandstone. Generally the profiles are well drained and were assessed as Wetness Class I (see Appendix 2). The profiles tend to have medium clay loam topsoils over medium sandy loam subsoils. There are increasing proportions of weathered sandstone culminating in 85 % from below the upper subsoil as shown at Pit 4. The sandy textures and high stone contents reduce the amount of available moisture in the profile and may cause a moderate drought limitation to Subgrade 3a. Most of

the auger borings in the mapping unit were impenetrable below the upper subsoil and it is assumed that they will be similar to Pit 4 from that depth. In isolated places where the sandstone is more weathered and the profiles are deeper they are Grade 2 due to a minor drought limitation.

Subgrade 3b

20. The soils at the bottom of the slope on the southern edge of the site are deeper overlying the weathered sandstone. These profiles have medium clay loam topsoils over heavy clay loam and sandy clay subsoils. There is gleying starting below the topsoil and the lower subsoils are slowly permeable. Depending on the depth at which the slowly permeable layer starts they were assessed as Wetness Classes III or IV. Most of the mapping unit is Wetness Class IV which with medium clay loam topsoil gives a moderate wetness limitation, Subgrade 3b.

WEST SITE, LYDNEY

21. The published regional ALC map (MAFF, 1977) shows the site at a reconnaissance scale as Grade 3 in the north and west, and Grade 2 in the south and east. A small area of Grade 4 land is mapped along the A40. The site was also previously surveyed in 1982 at a scale of 1:25 000 (ADAS, 1982) and land to the south of the site was surveyed in 1992 (ADAS, 1992). The 1982 survey showed the southern part of the site as Subgrades 2a and 3b, with a small area of Grade 2.

22. The 1983 survey was carried out under the published classification system at that time. The system was revised in 1988 and it is to these guidelines that the current survey has been undertaken. Generally similar profiles were found in each survey being well drained, sandy soils with variable stone contents and of variable depth. The revised guidelines give precise criteria for assessing the Wetness Class (see Appendix II) and and other aspects of grading the land. There are also precise criteria for the calculation of potential droughtiness which were not available to the 1986 survey.

Climate

23. The following data is taken to represent the site.

Table 2: Climatic Interpolations: West Site, Lydney

Grid Reference	SO 626 034	
Altitude (m)	50	
Accumulated Temperature (day °C)	1478	
Average Annual Rainfall (mm)	899	
Overall Climatic Grade	1	
Field Capacity Days	197	
Moisture deficit (mm): Wheat	93	
Potatoes	83	

Relief

24. Altitude ranges from 15 metres at the southern end of the site to 84 metres by Blackrock Farm at the northern end of the site with mainly gentle and moderate gradients which cause no limitation. There are also strongly and moderately steeply sloping gradients in the centre and north east of the site which limit the land to Subgrade 3b and Grade 4.

Geology and Soils

25. The underlying geology of the site is shown on the published geology map (IGS, 1974) as being Brownstones, which are mainly sandstones. The southern part of the site is mapped as being river terrace gravel and there is alluvium to the north of Watery Lane. Most of the profiles in the area had weathered sandstone at varying depths, with some heavier textured and poorly drained profiles in the southern part of the site.

26. Soils were mapped by the Soil Survey of England and Wales at a reconnaissance scale of 1:250 000 (SSEW, 1983) in a complex pattern of Crwbin, Eardiston 1, Newnham, Fladbury 1 and Moretonhampstead Associations. Most of the site consists of Eardiston 1 with Newnham and Fladbury 1 soils in the southern part of the site. Crwbin soils are mapped along the western edge with Moretonhampstead soils on the northern edge.

27. The Eardiston 1 soils are described as being well drained reddish coarse loamy soils over sandstone which may be shallow in places especially on brows. There are also some reddish loamy silty soils over shale and siltstone. Crwbin soils are very shallow and shallow well drained loamy soils over limestone, often on steep slopes. Limestone pavement and other rock exposures may occur. The Newnham Association are well drained reddish coarse and fine loamy soils over gravel, which may be locally deep. Some similar soils may be affected by groundwater. Fladbury 1 soils are stoneless clayey soils, in places calcareous, variably affected by groundwater while Moretonhampstead soils are well drained gritty loamy soils with a humose surface horizon in places.

28. Most of the profiles on the site were similar to the Eardiston 1 and Newnham profiles being well drained over sandstone and gravel. Soils from the Fladbury 1, Crwbin and Moretonhampstead Associations were not recognised in the current survey.

Agricultural Land Classification

29. The distribution of ALC grades found by the current survey is shown on the accompanying 1:10 000 scale map and areas are summarised in Table 1. The detail of information shown at this scale is appropriate to the intensity of field survey but could be misleading if enlarged or applied to small areas.

Grade 1

30. The area of land mapped as Grade 1 near the District Hospital is developed over sandstone. The profiles are well drained and were assessed as Wetness Class I. PSD analysis showed that the topsoils included medium sandy loams so with the local FCD values there is no workability limitation. The lower subsoils were found to be variable in texture because of the way in which the sandstone has been broken down.

Grade 2

31. Most of the site consists of Grade 2 land with minor drought and wetness limitations. The profiles have medium clay loam, medium sandy loam and medium sandy silt loam textures as shown by PSD analysis. The droughty profiles are mainly found over the river terrace gravels either side of the A 48. They have sandy loam topsoils over sandy clay loam subsoils which are porous. At Pit 1, which is representative of this mapping unit, stone contents were seen to increase from 6 % in the topsoil to 25 % and 34 % in the upper and lower subsoil respectively. This will reduce the amount of available moisture in the profile and leads to a minor drought limitation during the growing season. Where the stone contents are not as high there are a few isolated Grade 1 profiles within the mapping unit.

32. The Grade 2 land on either side of Watery Lane and adjacent to Stonebury Wood tends have slightly heavier medium clay loam and sandy clay loam topsoils. The profiles typically have deep, well drained sandy loam subsoils which were assessed as Wetness Class I. With the topsoil textures and the local FCD values this gives a minor workability limitation indicating Grade 2. Isolated Grade 1 profiles occur where the topsoil textures are lighter.

Subgrade 3a

33. The Subgrade 3a land in the southern part of the site mainly has a moderate wetness limitation. They differ from the better drained soils by having reddish clay and sandy clay lower subsoils which are slowly permeable. Gleying was seen in some of the profiles but this was below 40 cm so they were mainly assessed as Wetness Class III. In places the gleying started above 40 cm and the slowly permeable layer was found higher up the profile. These isolated profiles were assessed as Wetness Class IV and are Subgrade 3b.

34. The area of Subgrade 3a either side the B 4231 is a transitional unit between the better drained Grade 1 and 2 land and the poorly drained Subgrade 3b to the north of the road. Included within the unit are Grade 1, Grade 2 and Subgrade 3b profiles which could not be mapped individually at this level of survey.

Subgrade 3b

35. The small area of Subgrade 3b land on the south west side of the District Hospital has moderate droughtiness and soil depth limitations. The profiles are represented by Pit 3 which has a medium sandy loam topsoil over clay loam and clay subsoils. They are well drained and were assessed as Wetness Class I, but stony horizon with 73 % hard rock was found to extend from 18 cm to below 60 cm which would restrict the range and type of cultivation which can be undertaken. The high stone contents also reduce the amount of available moisture within the profile leading to a moderate drought limitation.

36. The sloping Subgrade 3b land in between watery Lane and the B 4231 is downgraded because of strongly sloping gradients of 9-11°. This will restrict the accurate use of some agricultural machinery, thus restricting cropping practises.

Grade 4

37. The Grade 4 land has a severe limitation due to gradient. There are moderately steeply and steeply sloping gradients of 12-17°. This will limit the safe and accurate use of some agricultural machinery, thus restricting cropping practises.

H C Lloyd Jones Resource Planning Team FRCA Bristol February 1998

REFERENCES

ADAS RESOURCE PLANNING TEAM, (1982) Agricultural Land Classification Survey of Lydney. Scale 1:25 000, Reference 24, ADAS Bristol.

ADAS RESOURCE PLANNING TEAM, (1992) Agricultural Land Classification Survey of Lydney, Forest of Dean Local Plan. Scale 1:10 000, Reference 96/92, ADAS Bristol.

INSTITUTE OF GEOLOGICAL SCIENCES (1974) Sheet 233, Monmouth 1:50 000 series Solid and Drift edition. IGS, London.

HODGSON, J M (Ed) (1997) Soil Survey Field Handbook. Soil Survey Technical Monograph No 5, Silsoe.

MAFF (1977) 1:250 000 series Agricultural Land Classification, South West Region. MAFF Publications, Alnwick.

MAFF (1988) Agricultural Land Classification of England and Wales. Revised Guidelines and Criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land. MAFF Publications, Alnwick.

METEOROLOGICAL OFFICE (1989) Climatological Data for Agricultural Land Classification. Meteorological Office, Bracknell.

SOIL SURVEY OF ENGLAND AND WALES (1983) Sheet 5, Soils of South West England, 1:250 000 scale. SSEW, Harpenden.

SOIL SURVEY OF ENGLAND AND WALES (1984) Soils and Their Use in South West England, Bulletin No 14. SSEW, Harpenden.

1

APPENDIX I

DESCRIPTION OF GRADES AND SUBGRADES

Grade 1 - excellent quality agricultural land

Land with no or very minor limitations to agricultural use. A very wide range of agricultural and horticultural crops can be grown and commonly include top fruit, soft fruit, salad crops and winter harvested vegetables. Yields are high and less variable than on land of lower quality.

Grade 2 - very good quality agricultural land

Land with minor limitations which affect crop yield, cultivations or harvesting. A wide range of agricultural and horticultural crops can usually be grown but on some land in the grade there may be reduced flexibility due to difficulties with the production of the more demanding crops such as winter harvested vegetables and arable root crops. The level of yield is generally high but may be lower or more variable than Grade 1.

Grade 3 - good to moderate quality agricultural land

Land with moderate limitations which affect the choice of crops, timing and type of cultivation, harvesting or the level of yield. Where more demanding crops are grown yields are generally lower or more variable than on land in Grades 1 and 2.

Subgrade 3a - good quality agricultural land

Land capable of consistently producing moderate to high yields of a narrow range of arable crops, especially cereals, or moderate yields of a wide range of crops including cereals, grass, oilseed rape, potatoes, sugar beet and the less demanding horticultural crops.

Subgrade 3b - moderate quality agricultural land

Land capable of producing moderate yields of a narrow range of crops, principally cereals and grass, or lower yields of a wider range of crops or high yields of grass which can be grazed or harvested over most of the year.

Grade 4 - poor quality agricultural land

Land with severe limitations which significantly restrict the range of crops and/or level of yields. It is mainly suited to grass with occasional arable crops (eg cereals and forage crops) the yields of which are variable. In most climates, yields of grass may be moderate to high but there may be difficulties in utilisation. The grade also includes very droughty arable land.

Grade 5 - very poor quality agricultural land

.

Land with very severe limitations which restrict use to permanent pasture or rough grazing, except for occasional pioneer forage crops.

Source: MAFF (1988) Agricultural Land Classification of England and Wales Revised Guidelines and Criteria for Grading the Quality of Agricultural Land, MAFF Publications, Alnwick.

ΑΡΡΕΝΟΙΧ Π

DEFINITION OF SOIL WETNESS CLASSES

Soil wetness is classified according to the depth and duration of waterlogging in the soil profile.

Wetness Class I

The soil profile is not wet within 70 cm depth for more than 30 days in most years.

Wetness Class II

The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for 31-90 days in most years or, if there is no slowly permeable layer within 80 cm depth, it is wet within 70 cm for more than 90 days, but not wet within 40 cm depth for more than 30 days in most years.

Wetness Class III

The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for 91-180 days in most years or, if there is no slowly permeable layer within 80 cm depth, it is wet within 70 cm for more than 180 days, but only wet within 40 cm depth for between 31 and 90 days in most years.

Wetness Class IV

The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for more than 180 days but not within 40 cm depth for more than 210 days in most years or, if there is no slowly permeable layer within 80 cm depth, it is wet within 40 cm depth for 91-210 days in most years.

Wetness Class V

The soil profile is wet within 40 cm depth for 211-335 days in most years.

Wetness Class VI

The soil profile is wet within 40 cm depth for more than 335 days in most years.

Notes: The number of days specified is not necessarily a continuous period.

'In most years' is defined as more than 10 out of 20 years.

Source: Hodgson, J M (Ed) (1997) Soil Survey Field Handbook. Soil Survey Technical Monograph No 5, Silsoe.

APPENDIX III

ABBREVIATIONS AND TERMS USED IN SURVEY DATA

Soil pit and auger boring information collected during ALC survey is held on a computer database and is reproduced in this report. Terms used and abbreviations are set out below. These conform to definitions contained in the Soil Survey Field Handbook (Hodgson, 1997).

1. Terms used on computer database, in order of occurrence.

GRID REF: National 100 km grid square and 8 figure grid reference.

LAND USE: At the time of survey

WHT:	Wheat	SBT:	Sugar Beet	HTH:	Heathland
BAR:	Barley	BRA:	Brassicas	BOG:	Bog or Marsh
OAT:	Oats	FCD:	Fodder Crops	DCW:	Deciduous Wood
CER:	Cereals	FRT:	Soft and Top Fruit	CFW:	Coniferous Woodland
MZE:	Maize	HRT:	Horticultural Crops	PLO:	Ploughed
OSR:	Oilseed Rape	LEY:	Ley Grass	FLW:	Fallow (inc. Set aside)
POT:	Potatoes	PGR:	Permanent Pasture	SAS:	Set Aside (where known)
LIN:	Linseed	RGR:	Rough Grazing	OTH:	Other
BEN:	Field Beans	SCR:	Scrub		

GRDNT: Gradient as estimated or measured by hand-held optical clinometer.

GLEY, SPL: Depth in centimetres to gleying or slowly permeable layer.

MD)

AP (WHEAT/POTS):	Crop-adjusted available water capacity.			
MB (WHEAT/POTS):	Moisture Balance.	(Crop adjusted AP - crop potential		

DRT: Best grade according to soil droughtiness.

If any of the following factors are considered significant, 'Y' will be entered in the relevant column.

MREL:Microrelief limitationFLOOD:EXP:Exposure limitationFROST:CHEM:Chemical limitation	Flood risk	EROSN:	Soil erosion risk
	Frost prone	DIST:	Disturbed land

LIMIT: The main limitation to land quality: The following abbreviations are used.

OC:	Overall Climate	AE:	Aspect	EX:	Exposure
FR:	Frost Risk	GR:	Gradient	MR:	Microrelief
FL:	Flood Risk	TX:	Topsoil Texture	DP:	Soil Depth

CH:	Chemical	WE:	Wetness	WK:	Workability
DR:	Drought	ER:	Erosion Risk	WD:	Soil Wetness/Droughtiness
ST:	Topsoil Stoniness				5

TEXTURE: Soil texture classes are denoted by the following abbreviations:-

S:	Sand	LS:	Loamy Sand	SL:	Sandy Loam
SZL:	Sandy Silt Loam	CL:	Clay Loam	ZCL	Silty Clay Loam
ZL:	Silt Loam	SCL:	Sandy Clay Loam	C :	Clay
SC:	Sandy clay	ZC:	Silty clay	OL:	Organic Loam
P:	Peat	SP:	Sandy Peat	LP:	Loamy Peat
PL:	Peaty Loam	PS:	Peaty Sand	MZ:	Marine Light Silts

For the sand, loamy sand, sandy loam and sandy silt loam classes, the predominant size of sand fraction will be indicated by the use of the following prefixes:-

- **F:** Fine (more than 66% of the sand less than 0.2mm)
- M: Medium (less than 66% fine sand and less than 33% coarse sand)
- C: Coarse (more than 33% of the sand larger than 0.6mm)

The clay loam and silty clay loam classes will be sub-divided according to the clay content: M: Medium (<27% clay) H: heavy (27 - 35% clay)

MOTTLE COL: Mottle colour using Munsell notation.

MOTTLE ABUN: Mottle abundance, expressed as a percentage of the matrix or surface described.

F: few <2% C: common 2 - 20% M: many 20 - 40% VM: very many 40%+

MOTTLE CONT: Mottle contrast

- F: faint indistinct mottles, evident only on close inspection
- **D:** distinct mottles are readily seen
- **P:** Prominent mottling is conspicuous and one of the outstanding features of the horizon.
- PED. COL: Ped face colour using Munsell notation.
- GLEY: If the soil horizon is gleyed a 'Y' will appear in this column. If slightly gleyed, an 'S' will appear.

STONE LITH: Stone Lithology - One of the following is used.

HR:	All hard rocks and stones	SLST:	Soft oolitic or dolimitic limestone
CH:	Chalk	FSST:	Soft, fine grained sandstone
ZR:	Soft, argillaceous, or silty rocks	GH:	Gravel with non-porous (hard) stones
MSST:	Soft, medium grained sandstone	GS:	Gravel with porous (soft) stones

SI: Soft weathered igneous or metamorphic rock

Stone contents are given in % by volume for sizes >2cm, >6cm and total stone >2mm.

STRUCT: The degree of development, size and shape of soil peds are described using the following notation

Degree of development	WA: Adher	Weakly developed ent	WK:	Weakly developed
	MD: develo	Moderately pped	ST:	Strongly developed
<u>Ped size</u>	F: C:	Fine Coarse	M: VC:	Medium Very coarse
<u>Ped Shape</u>	S: GR: SAB: PL:	Single grain Granular Sub-angular blocky Platy	M: AB: PR:	Massive Angular blocky Prismatic

CONSIST: Soil consistence is described using the following notation:

L:	Loose	VF:	Very Friable	FR:	Friable	FM:	Firm
VM:	Very firm	EM:	Extremely firm	EH:	Extremely	y Hard	

- SUBS STR:Subsoil structural condition recorded for the purpose of calculating
profile droughtiness:G: GoodM: ModerateP: Poor
- **POR:** Soil porosity. If a soil horizon has poor porosity with less than 0.5% biopores >0.5mm, a 'Y' will appear in this column.
- **IMP:** If the profile is impenetrable to rooting a 'Y' will appear in this column at the appropriate horizon.
- **SPL:** Slowly permeable layer. If the soil horizon is slowly permeable a 'Y' will appear in this column.
- CALC: If the soil horizon is calcareous with naturally occurring calcium carbonate exceeding 1% a 'Y' will appear this column.

2. Additional terms and abbreviations used mainly in soil pit descriptions.

STONE ASSESSMENT:

VIS: Visual S: Sieve D: Displacement

MOTTLE SIZE:

EF: VF: F:	Extremely fine <1mm Very fine 1-2mm> Fine 2-5mm	M:Medium 5-15mmC:Coarse >15mm
мот	TLE COLOUR:	May be described by Munsell notation or as ochreous (OM) or grey (GM).
ROO	T CHANNELS:	In topsoil the presence of 'rusty root channels' should also be noted.

MANGANESE CONCRETIONS: Assessed by volume

N:	None		M:	Many	20-40%
F:	Few	<2%	VM:	Very Many	>40%
C:	Common	2-20%			

POROSITY:

P:	Poor	- less than 0.5% biopores at least 0.5mm in diameter
~	~ .	

G: Good - more than 0.5% biopores at least 0.5mm in diameter

ROOT ABUNDANCE:

The number o	f roots per 100cm ² :	Very Fine and Fine	Medium and Coarse
F:	Few	1-10	1 or 2
C:	Common	10.25	2 - 5
M:	Many	25-200	>5
A:	Abundant	>200	

ROOT SIZE

VF:	Very fine	<1mm	M:	Medium	2 - 5mm
F:	Fine	1-2mm	C:	Coarse	>5mm

HORIZON BOUNDARY DISTINCTNESS:

Sharp:	<0.5cm	Gradual:	6 - 13cm
Abrupt:	0.5 - 2.5cm	Diffuse:	>13cm
Clear:	2.5 - 6cm		

HORIZON BOUNDARY FORM: Smooth, wavy, irregular or broken.* * See Soil Survey Field Handbook (Hodgson, 1997) for details.

SITE NA	SITE NAME PROFILE NO. S				PE AND ASPECT			ND USE		Av Rainfall:	912 mm		PARENT MATERIAL			
Lydney 1	997	Pit 1	(ASP 103)	1° Sou	th		Mai	ize		ATO:	1449 day °	С	Alluvium			
JOB NO.		DAT	È	GRIDI	REFERENCI	Ξ	DE	SCRIBED B	Y	FC Days:	197		PSD SAMPLES TAKEN			
84/97		17/1	2/97	SO 626	634		HLJ/PB			Climatic Grade: Exposure Grade:	1		TS 0-25 cm MSL (*SCL) S:52 Z:31 C:17			
Horizon No.	Lowest Av. Depth (cm)	Texture	Matrix (Ped Face) Colours	Stonine Size,Ty Field M	ess: vpe, and fethod	s: Abundance e, and Contrast, thod Size and Colour		Mangan Concs	Structure: Developm Size and Shape	Ped ent Consistence	Structural Condition	Pores (Fissures)	Roots: Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary: Distinctness and form	
1	1 32 MSL 75YR33 1%: 5% 6%				cm (s) cm (s&d) TOTAL		None		-	-	-		CF & VF	-	Abrupt Smooth	
2	2 67 SCL 05YR43.44 3% > 2 22% < 25% Hi			3% > 2 c 22% < 2 25% HR	m (s) cm (s&d) TOTAL	s&d) None		None	MDCSA	B Friable	Moderate	Good	FVF	-	Gradual Smooth	
3	105+	SCL	05YR46	3% > 2 c 31% < 2 34% HR	m (s) FDFO cm (s&d) (75YR56		6) Common		WKCSA	B Friable	Moderate	Poor	FVF	-	-	
Profile G	leyed Fror	n: Not gl	leyed	•	Available	Water W	Vheat: 121 mm			E	Final ALC	Grade:	2	•	-	
Slowly Pe Horizon I Wetness (Wetness (Slowly Permeable Horizon From: No spl * ¹ Wetness Class: I					Pc Deficit W Pc	otatoe /heat otatoe	es: 95 :: 93 es: 83	mm mm		Main Limit	ing Factor(s): Drought			
	welless of ade.				Moisture B	alance W Po	/heat:	: 28 es: 12	3 mm 2 mm		Remarks:	* ¹ H3 coars	is porous due t e sand in the sar	o stone conte nd fraction all	nt and gritty hough actual	
					Droughtine	ess Grade: 2		(Calculated to 12		l cm)		biopo	res are low			

,

•

Sandstone		
Horizon Boundary: Distinctness and form		
Gradual Smooth		
Gradual Smooth		
Clear irregular		
-		
of pit WCII		
of F		

SITE NA	SITE NAME PROFILE NO. SL					ECT	LAN	LAND USE			Rainfall:	912 mm		PARENT MATERIAL			
Lydney 1	997	· Pit	3 (Nr ASP 65)	3° Sol	th West		Perm	nanent grass	i .	АТС	D:	1449 day °	с	Sandstone			
JOB NO.		DA	ATE	GRID	REFERENC	<u>Е</u>	DES	DESCRIBED BY		FC I	FC Days: 197			PSD SAMPLES TAKEN			
84/97		18	/12/97	SO 623	75 0335		HLJ/PB			Clim	natic Grade: osure Grade:	1		Topsoil 0-25 MSL S:54 Z:31 C:15			
Horizon No.	Lowest Av. Depth (cm)	Texture	Matrix (Ped Face) Colours	Stoning Size,Ty Field N	ess: ype, and fethod	Mottling Abundanc Contrast, Size and Colour	ce,	Mangan Concs	Structure: Ped Development Size and Shape		Consistence	Structural Condition	Pores (Fissures)	Roots: Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary: Distinctness and form	
1	18 MSL 7.5YR33 ¹⁹ 13 14 60 MCL 0.5YR43 ⁴⁰		1%> 2 c 13% < 2 14% HR	m (s) cm (s+d) t			None	-		-	-	Good	CF + VF	-	Clear Smooth		
2 60 MCL 0.5YR43 40%		40% > 2 33%< 20 73% HR	cm (s) cm (s+d)	m (s) None n (s+d)		None	MDM+ FS	5AB	Friable	Good	Good	CF+VF	-	Abrupt Irregular			
3	3 90 C 2.5YR34,44 ³⁵⁹		35%MS	ST (vis) None			None	WKCSA	B	Firm	Moderate	Good	CF+VF	-	-		
Profile G	leyed Fror	n: Not	gleyed	<u>,</u>	Available Water Wheat: 66 mm					•		Final ALC Grade: 3b					
Slowly Permeable Horizon From: No spl Wetness Class: I				Moisture I	Pe Deficit W Pe	'otatoe Wheat: 'otatoe	otatoes: 43 mm /heat: 93 mm otatoes: 83 mm		nm nm		Main Limit	ing Factor(s): Drought, s	oil depth			
Wetness	Grade:	1			Moisture E	Balance W	Vheat:	-27	mm								
					Droughtine	Po ess Grade: 3	otatoe 3b	s: -4((Calcu) mm ulated to 120) cm)		Remarks:	HR is Field H3 cl of we 3a on	s sandstone is heavily poact ose to spl on po tness topsoil sotnes 3	hed - surface rosity, but no Bb/4 on soil de	water evidence epth	
					1												

SITE NA	ME	PR	OFILE NO.	SLOPE	E AND ASPE	ECT	LA	ND USE		A	v Rainfall:	912 mm		PARENT MA	TERIAL		
Lydney 1	997	Pit 4	(ASP 12)	3° Soi	ıth	•	Per	rmanent grass		A	ГO:	1449 day °	С	Sandstone			
JOB NO.		DA	TE	GRID	REFERENC	E	DĒ	SCRIBED B	Ŷ	FC	C Days:	198		PSD SAMPLE	S TAKEN		
84/97		22/	12/97	SO 640	0 045		HL	ل		CI	imatic Grade:	1		None			
										Ex	posure Grade:	1					
Horizon	Lowest		Matrix	Stonine	ess:	Mottling Abundance	e.	e, Mangan Developm		Ped		Structural	Pores	Roots:	Calcium	Horizon Boundary:	
No.	Av.	Texture	(Ped Face)	Size,Ty	ype, and	Contrast,	Concs		Size and		Consistence	Condition	(Fissures)	Abundance	Carbonate	Distinctness	
	Depth (cm)		Colours	Field N	Aethod	Colour		Shape						and Size	Content	and form	
1	26	MCI	7 EVD 42	1%> 2 c	> 2 cm (s) None		Nee						Good			Clear	
I	9% < 2 cm (s+d) 10% HR				None		None	-		-	-	0000		-	Smooth		
2	33 MSL 7.5YR54 5% > 2 cm (s) 16%< 2cm (s+d)			cm (s)	None		None	MDCSA	R	Friable	Moderate	Good	CF+VF	_	Abrunt		
2	55	NISL	7.511034	16%< 20 21% MS	em (s+d) SST	None		None	MDC5A	D	Thable	MODELAIC	0000		-	Smooth	
3	65+	MSL	0.5YR43	85%MS	- ST (vis)	None None		-		-	(Moderate)	-	FVF	_	_		
												(,					
Profile G	leyed Fron	n: Not	gleyed		Available	Water W	/heat	t: 71 m	ım			Final ALC	Grade:	3a			
Slowly Pe	ermeable					Po	otato	es: 63 m	m								
Horizon I	From:	No s	pl			Sofialia M	76.004					Main Limit	ing Factor(s	s): Drought			
Wetness	Class:	I			Moisture L	Jencit w	nea	t: 65 ff	1[]}								
Wotness	Grador	2				Рс	otato	es: 72 m	im								
wethess v	Staue.	2			Moisture E	Balance W	/heat	t: -14 r	nm					<u> </u>			
						Pc	otato	es: -9 m	m			Remarks:					
	26 MCL 7.5YR43 33 MSL 7.5YR54 65+ MSL 0.5YR43 file Gleyed From: Not gleyed wly Permeable No spl tness Class: I tness Grade: 2						Juit			_							
					Droughtine	ess Grade: 3	а	(Calcı	plated to 120) cm)						

SITE NAME PROFILE NO. SLO					AND ASPE	ECT	LAND USE			Av	Rainfall:	912 mm		PARENT MATERIAL			
Lydney 19	997	Pit 5	(ASP 88)	3° Sou	th West	Ì	Mai	ize stubble		AT	O :	1449 day °	с	Sandstone			
JOB NO.		DAT	ГЕ	GRID	REFERENC	E	DESCRIBED BY			FC	Days:	Days: 197		PSD SAMPLES TAKEN			
84/97		22/1	2/97	SO 624	4 028		HLJ			Cli	imatic Grade:	1		Topsoil 0-25 MSZL S:46 Z:	38 C·16		
Horizon No.	Lowest Av. Depth (cm)	Texture	Matrix (Ped Face) Colours	Stonine Size,Ty Field N	ess: 7pe, and fethod	Mottling Abundance Contrast, Size and Colour	e,	, Mangan Concs Structure: Developm Size and Shape		Ped ent	Consistence	Structural Condition	Pores (Fissures)	Roots: Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary: Distinctness and form	
1	30	MSZL	75YR44	1%> 2 ci 4% < 2 ci 5% HR	n (s) m (s+d)	None	None -			-	-	Good	CF + VF	-	Clear Smooth		
2	75	MSL	05YR44	1% > 2 c 2%< 2cn 3% MSS	m (s) 1 (s) T	(s) None		None	MDCSA	В	Friable	Moderate	Good	CF+VF	-	Gradual Smooth	
3	100+	MSL	05YR64	0% (vis)		None		None	WKCAI	В	Very Friable	Good	Good	FVF	-	-	
Profile Gl	eyed Fron	n: Not g	- leyed		Available '	Water W	heat:	: 169	mm			Final ALC	Grade:	1			
Slowly Permeable Horizon From:Potatoes:113 mmMoisture DeficitWheat:93 mm							mm mm			Main Limit	ing Factor(s	s):					
Wetness Grade: 1							,										
					Moisture E	Salance W	heat:	: 76	mm			Remarks:					
						Po	otatoe	es: 30	mm								
Droughtiness Grade: 1 (Calculated to 120 cm))									

à.

SITE NA	ME	PRO	PROFILE NO.		SLOPE AND ASPECT		LAND USE			A	Av Rainfall: 912 mm			PARENT MATERIAL		
Lydney Pit			Pit 6 (ASP 94)		1° South East		Ley			A	TO:	1449 day °C		Alluvium/drift		
JOB NO. DA			DATE (GRID REFERENCE		DE	DESCRIBED BY		FC Days:		197		PSD SAMPLES TAKEN		
84/97		6/1/9	6/1/98		SO 626 027		PB	2B		Climatic Grade: Exposure Grade:		1 1		TS 0-25 cm MCL/SCL S:50 Z:31 C:19		
Horizon No.	Lowest Av. Depth (cm)	Texture	Matrix (Ped Face) Colours	Stoniness: Size, Type, and Field Method		Mottling Abundance, Contrast, Size and Colour		Mangan Concs	Structure: Developm Size and Shape	Ped ent	Consistence	Structural Condition	Pores (Fissures)	Roots: Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary: Distinctness and form
1	28	MCL	5YR33	2%> 2 cm (vis) 10% < 2 cm (s+d) 12% HR		None		None	-		-	-	-	CM + F	-	Clear Smooth
2	50	SCL	2.5YR44	2% > 2 cm (vis) 14%< 2cm (s+d) 16% HR		None		Few	MDCSAB		Friable	Moderate	Good	CF	-	Gradual Smooth
3	68	SCL	2.5YR54 (5YR64)	2% >2сп 14%<2сп 16% НR	n (vis) Non m (s+d)			None	MDCPR Br to CSAB		Friable	Moderate	Good	CF, VF	-	Gradual Smooth
4	85+	SCL	2.5YR54 (5YR64)	35% >2 22%< 2 37% HR	cm (s) cm (s+d) MSST	None		None	MDCPR Br to CSA		Friable	Moderate	Good(low)	FF, VF	-	-
Profile G	leyed Fron	leyed	Available Water Wheat: 126 mm					_		Final ALC Grade: 2/3a (see note)						
Slowly Pe Horizon I Wetness	ermeable From: Class:	No sp I/II	1	Potatoes: 100 mm Moisture Deficit Wheat: 93 mm							Main Limiting Factor(s): Workability/Wetness/Drought					
Wetness	Grade:	2		Potatoes: 83 mm												
					Moisture Balance W			/heat: 29 mm				Remarks:	Auge	er boring 3m distant showed clav SPL 75-		
					Potatoes: 15 mm							90 cm with CDOM therefore WCII				
		Droughtiness Grade: 2 (Calculated to 120) cm	1)								