A1
Maidstone Borough Local Plan
Site 20 Land South of Vicarage Road,
Yalding
Agricultural Land Classification
ALC Map and Report
August 1994

#### AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION REPORT

# MAIDSTONE BOROUGH LOCAL PLAN SITE 20 LAND AT SOUTH OF VICARAGE ROAD, YALDING

# 1 Summary

- 1 1 ADAS was commissioned by MAFF's Land Use Planning Unit to provide information on land quality for a number of sites in the Maidstone district of Kent This work forms part of MAFF's statutory input to the Maidstone Borough Local Plan
- Site 20 comprises approximately 3 hectares of land to the south of Vicarage Road in the village of Yalding in Kent. An Agricultural Land Classification (ALC) survey was carried out in August 1994. The survey was undertaken at a detailed level of approximately one boring per hectare of agricultural land. A total of 4 borings and one soil inspection pit were assessed in accordance with MAFFs revised guidelines and criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land. (MAFF 1988). These guidelines provide a framework for classifying land according to the extent to which its physical or chemical characteristics impose a long term limitation on its use for agriculture.
- The work was carried out by members of the Resource Planning Team in the Guildford Statutory Group of ADAS
- At the time of the survey the agricultural land on the site was permanent grassland and a tree nursery Land mapped as non agricultural includes unmanaged scrub field margins and allotments. The area marked as urban comprises a playing field.
- The distribution of grades and subgrades is shown on the attached ALC map and the areas are given in the table below. The map has been drawn at a scale of 1 10 000. It is accurate at this scale, but any enlargement would be misleading. This map supersedes any previous survey information for this site.

Table 1 Distribution of Grades and Subgrades

Grade	Area (ha)	% of Site	% of Agricultural Land
2	2 0	64 5	100% (2 0 ha)
Non-agricultural	0 8	25 8	
Urban	0 3	97	
Total area of site	<u>3 1</u>	<u>100%</u>	

Appendix 1 gives a general description of the grades and landuse categories identified in this survey. The main classes are described in terms of limitation that can occur the typical cropping range and expected level and consistency of yield.

All of the agricultural land on the site has been classified as Grade 2 very good quality land with minor soil droughtiness as the main limitation. Soil profiles tended to comprise medium textured topsoils overlying similar or sandier textures in the subsoil. A number of profiles have sufficient reserves of available water to be classified as Grade 1 although the sandier subsoils in some areas do cause a slight droughtiness restriction such that an overall classification of Grade 2 is appropriate.

#### 2 Climate

- The climatic criteria are considered first when classifying land as climate can be overriding in the sense that severe limitations will restrict land to low grades irrespective of favourable site or soil conditions
- The main parameters used in the assessment of an overall climatic limitation are average annual rainfall as a measure of overall wetness and accumulated temperature degree days Jan June) as a measure of the relative warmth of a locality
- A detailed assessment of the prevailing climate was made by interpolation from a 5km grid point dataset (Met Office 1989). The details are given in the table below and these show that there is no overall climatic limitation affecting the site. However, the field capacity days for the site are relatively low in regional and national terms, and therefore the likelihood of any soil wetness problems may be decreased.
- No local climatic factors such as exposure or frost risk are believed to affect the site

Table 2 Climatic Interpolation

Grid Reference	TQ701500
Altıtude (m AOD)	15
Accumulated Temperature	1493
(degree days Jan June)	
Average Annual Raınfall (mm)	650
Field Capacity (days)	135
Moisture Deficit Wheat (mm)	123
Moisture Deficit Potatoes (mm)	121
Overall Climatic Grade	1

#### 3 Relief

The site is flat lying at an altitude of 15m AOD

# 4 Geology and Soil

- The relevant geological sheet (BGS 1976) shows the entire site to be underlain by river brickearth
- The published Soil Survey map (SSEW 1983) shows the soils on the site to comprise those of the Shabbington association. These soils are described as deep fine loamy over sandy soils variably affected by groundwater. (SSEW 1983)
- Detailed field examination found the soils on the site to be silty in nature occasionally with sandier subsoils and signs of slight groundwater gleying

# 5 Agricultural Land Classification

- Table 1 provides the details of the area measurements for each grade and the distribution of each grade is shown on the attached ALC map
- The location of the soil observation points are shown on the attached sample point map

#### Grade 2

53 All of the agricultural land on the site has been classified as Grade 2 very good quality due to a slight droughtiness limitation that may affect the level and consistency of crop yields Soil profiles typically comprise a medium clay loam sandy silt loam or silt loam topsoil overlying similar or sandier subsoils showing variability across the site. All of the soils on the site tend to be stoneless throughout A soil inspection pit (Pit no 1) was dug towards the centre of the site where textures tend to comprise both loamy or silty topsoils and subsoils stoneless medium clay loam topsoil overlies a stoneless silt loam upper subsoil which extends to 75 cm The lower subsoil consists of a stoneless medium silty clay loam The profile shows no signs of any wetness limitation and is therefore assigned to Wetness Class I However towards the east of the site the soils showed signs of slight groundwater gleying and are therefore assigned to Wetness Class II The soil profile as evidenced in the pit shows no signs of any limitation on the amount of profile available water for plant growth and is therefore assigned to Grade 1 excellent quality land. It should be noted that although a number of soil observations on the site showed land to be of excellent quality with no limitations the variability in the nature of soils at this location means that an overall classification of Grade 2 is appropriate as a result of slight restrictions on profile available water particularly where subsoils comprise more sandy texture

ADAS Ref 2007/186/94 MAFF Ref EL20/328 Resource Planning Team Guildford Statutory Group ADAS Reading

#### **SOURCES OF REFERENCE**

British Geological Survey (1976) Sheet No 288 Maidstone 1 50 000 Solid & Drift Edition

MAFF (1988) Agricultural Land Classification of England and Wales Revised guidelines and criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land

Meteorological Office (1989) Climatic datasets for Agricultural Land Classification

Soil Survey of England and Wales (1983) Sheet 6 Soils of South East England 1 250 000 and accompanying legend

#### APPLNDIX I

#### **DESCRIPTION OF THE GRADES AND SUBGRADES**

# Grade 1 Excellent Quality Agricultural Land

Land with no or very minor limitations to agricultural use. A very wide range of agricultural and horticultural crops can be grown and commonly includes top fruit soft fruit salad crops and winter harvested vegetables. Yields are high and less variable than on land of lower quality.

#### Grade 2 Very Good Quality Agricultural Land

Land with minor limitations which affect crop yield cultivations or harvesting. A wide range of agricultural or horticultural crops can usually be grown but on some land of this grade there may be reduced flexibility due to difficulties with the production of the more demanding crops such as winter harvested vegetables and arable root crops. The level of yield is generally high but may be lower or more variable than Grade 1 land.

#### Grade 3 Good to Moderate Quality Land

Land with moderate limitations which affect the choice of crops the timing and type of cultivation harvesting or the level of yield. When more demanding crops are grown yields are generally lower or more variable than on land in Grades 1 and 2.

#### Subgrade 3a Good Quality Agricultural Land

Land capable of consistently producing moderate to high yields of a narrow range of arable crops especially cereals or moderate yields of a wide range of crops including cereals grass oilseed rape, potatoes sugar beet and the less demanding horticultural crops

#### Subgrade 3b Moderate Quality Agricultural Land

Land capable of producing moderate yields of a narrow range of crops principally cereals and grass or lower yields of a wider range of crops or high yields of grass which can be grazed or harvested over most of the year

#### Grade 4 Poor Quality Agricultural Land

Land with severe limitations which significantly restrict the range of crops and/or the level of yields. It is mainly suited to grass with occasional arable crops (eg. cereals and forage crops) the yields of which are variable. In moist climates, yields of grass may be moderate to high but there may be difficulties in utilisation. The grade also includes very droughty arable land.

#### Grade 5 Very Poor Quality Agricultural Land

Land with severe limitations which restrict use to permanent pasture or rough grazing except for occasional pioneer forage crops

Built up or 'hard' uses with relatively little potential for a return to agriculture including housing industry commerce education transport religous buildings cemetries. Also hard surfaced sports facilities permanent caravan sites and vacant land all types of derelict land including mineral workings which are only likely to be reclaimed using derelict land grants.

#### Non-agricultural

'Soft' uses where most of the land could be returned relatively easily to agriculture including private parkland public open spaces sports fields allotments and soft surfaced areas on ai ports. Also active mineral workings and refuse tips where restoration conditions to 'soft' after uses may apply

#### Woodland

Includes commercial and non commercial woodland. A distinction may be made as necessary between farm and non-farm woodland.

#### Agricultural Buildings

Includes the normal range of agricultural buildings as well as other relatively permanent structures such as glasshouses Temporary structures (eg polythene tunnels erected for lambing) may be ignored

#### Open Water

Includes lakes ponds and rivers as map scale permits

#### Land Not Surveyed

Agricultural land which has not been surveyed

Where the land use includes more than one of the above eg buildings in large grounds and where map scale permits the cover types may be shown separately. Otherwise the most extensive cover type will be shown

# APPENDIX II

### FIELD ASSESSMENT OF SOIL WETNESS CLASS

#### SOIL WETNESS CLASSIFICATION

Soil wetness is classified according to the depth and duration of waterlogging in the soil profile. Six soil wetness classes are identified and are defined in the table below.

#### Definition of Soil Wetness Classes

Wetness Class	Duration of Waterlogging <sup>1</sup>										
1	The soil profile is not wet within 70 cm depth for more than 30 days in most years 2										
II	The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for 31 90 days in most years or if there is no slowly permeable layer within 80 cm depth it is wet within 70 cm for more than 90 days but only wet within 40 cm depth for 30 days in most years										
111	The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for 91-180 days in most years or if there is no slowly permeable layer present within 80 cm depth it is wet within 70 cm for more than 180 days but only wet within 40 cm depth for between 31 90 days in most years										
IV	The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for more than 180 days but not wet within 40 cm depth for more than 210 days in most years or if there is no slowly permeable layer present within 80 cm depth it is wet within 40 cm depth for 91-210 days in most years										
V	The soil profile is wet within 40 cm depth for 211 335 days in most years										
VI	The soil profile is wet within 40 cm depth for more than 335 days in most years										

Soils can be allocated to a wetness class on the basis of quantitative data recorded over a period of many years or by the interpretation of soil profile characteristics site and climatic factors. Adequate quantitative data will rarely be available for ALC surveys and therefore the interpretative method of field assessment is used to identify soil wetness class in the field. The method adopted here is common to ADAS and the SSLRC

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The number of days specified is not necessarily a continuous period

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> In most years is defined as more than 10 out of 20 years

# APPENDIX III

# SOIL PH AND SOIL BORING DESCRIPTIONS

# Contents

1

Soil Abbreviations - Explinatory Note

Soil Pit Descriptions

Database Printout - Boring Level Information

Database Printont - Horizon Level Information

# SOIL PROFILE DESCRIPTIONS EXPLANATORY NOTE

Soil pit and auger boring information collected during ALC fieldwork is held on a computer database. This uses notations and abbreviations as set out below

# Boring Header Information

Í

- 1 GRID REF initional 100 km grid square and 8 figure grid reference
- 2 USE Land use at the time of survey The following abbreviations are used

ARA	Arable	WHT	Wheat	BAR	Barley
CER	Cereals	CAO	Oats	MZF	Maize
OSR	Oilseed rape	BEN	Field Beans	BRA	Brassicae
POI	Potitoes	SBT	Sugar Beet	FCD	I odder Crops
LIN	Linsecd	ŀRГ	Soft and Top Fruit	ΓLW	<b>Fallow</b>
PGR	Permanent Pasture	eLLY	Ley Grass	RGR	Rough Grazing
SCR	Scrub	CFW	Consferous Woodland	DCW	Deciduous Wood
HTH	Herthland	BOG	Bog or Marsh	FLW	<b>Fallow</b>
PLO	Ploughed	SAS	Set aside	OTH	Other
HRT	Horticultural Crop	os			

- 3 GRDNT Gradient as estimated or measured by a hand held optical clinometer
- 4 GLEY/SPL Depth in centimetres (cm) to gleying and/or slowly permeable layers
- 5 AP (WHEAT/POTS) Crop adjusted available water capacity
- 6 MB (WHEAT/POIS) Moisture Balance (Crop adjusted AP crop adjusted MD)
- 7 DRT Best grade according to soil droughtiness
- 8 If any of the following factors are considered significant Y will be entered in the relevant column

MREL	Microrelief limitation	LLOOD	Flood risk	EROSN	Soil erosion risk
EXP	Exposure limitation	FROST	Frost prone	DIST	Disturbed land
CHEM	Chemical limitation				

9 LIMIT The main limitation to land quality. The following abbreviations are used

OC	Overall Climate	$\mathbf{AE}$	Aspect	EX	Exposure
FR	Frost Risk	GR	Gradient	MR	Microrelief
ŁL	Flood Risk	Tλ	Topsoil Texture	DP	Soil Depth
CH	Chemical	WE	Wetness	WK	Workability
DR	Drought	ER	Erosion Risk	WD	Soil Wetness/Droughtiness
ST	Topsoil Stonine	SS			

# Soil Pits and Auger Borings

1 TEXTURE soil texture classes are denoted by the following abbreviations

S	Sand	LS	Loamy Sand	SL	Sandy Loam
SZL	Sandy Silt Loam	CL	Clay Loam	ZCL	Silty Clay Loam
ZL	Silt Loam	SCL	Sandy Clay Loam	C	Clay
SC	Sandy Clay	ZC	Silty Clay	OL	Organic Loam
P	Peat	SP	Sandy Peat	LP	Loamy Peat
PL	Peaty Loam	PS	Peaty Sand	MZ	Marine Light Silts

For the sand loamy sand sandy loam and sandy silt loam classes the predominant size of sand fraction will be indicated by the use of the following prefixes

- Fine (more than 66% of the sand less than 0.2mm)
- M Medium (less than 66% fine sand and less than 33% coarse sand)
- C Coarse (more than 33% of the sand larger than 0 6mm)

The clay loam and silty clay loam classes will be sub divided according to the clay content M Medium (<27% clay) H Heavy (27 35% clay)

- 2 MOTTLE COL Mottle colour using Munsell notation
- 3 MOTTLE ABUN Mottle abundance expressed as a percentage of the matrix or surface described

F few <2% C common 2 20% M many 20 40% VM very many 40% +

4 MOTTLE CONΓ Mottle contrast

1

- $\Gamma$  funt indistinct mottles evident only on close inspection
- D distinct mottles are readily seen
- P prominent mottling is conspicuous and one of the outstanding features of the horizon
- 5 PED COL Ped face colour using Munsell notation
- 6 GLEY If the soil horizon is gleyed a Y will appear in this column. If slightly gleyed an S will appear
- 7 STONE LITH Stone Lithology One of the following is used

HR	all hard rocks and stones	SLST	soft politic or dolimitic limestone
CH	chalk	rsst	soft fine grained sandstone
ZR	soft argillaceous or silty rocks	GH	gravel with non porous (hard) stones
MSST	soft medium grained sandstone	e GS	gravel with porous (soft) stones
SI	soft weathered igneous/metamo	orphic ro	ock

Stone contents (>2cm >6cm and total) are given in percentages (by volume)

8 STRUCΓ the degree of development size and shape of soil peds are described using the following notation

degree of development WK weakly developed MD moderately developed

ST strongly developed

ped size F fine M medium

C coarse VC very coarse

ped shape S single grain M massive

GR granular AB angular blocky

SAB sub angular blocky PR prismatic

PL platy

9 CONSIST Soil consistence is described using the following notation

L loose VF very friable IR friable FM firm VM very firm

EM extremely firm EH extremely hard

10 SUBS STR Subsoil structural condition recorded for the purpose of calculating profile droughtiness G good M moderate P poor

11 POR Soil porosity If a soil horizon has less than 0.5% biopores >0.5 mm a Y will appear in this column

12 IMP If the profile is impenetrable to rooting a Y' will appear in this column at the appropriate horizon

13 SPL Slowly permeable layer If the soil horizon is slowly permeable a 'Y' will appear in this column

14 CALC If the soil horizon is calcareous a Y will appear in this column

15 Other notations

APW available water capacity (in mm) adjusted for wheat

APP available water capacity (in mm) adjusted for potatoes

MBW moisture balance wheat

MBP moisture balance potatoes

SOIL PIT DESCRIPTION

Site Name MAIDSTONE LP SITE 20

Pit Number 1P

Grid Reference TQ70105000

Average Annual Rainfall 650 mm

Accumulated Temperature

1493 degree days

Field Capacity Level

135 days

Land Use

Slope and Aspect

degrees

HORIZON	TEXTURE	COLOUR	STONES >2	TOT STONE	LITH	MOTTLES	STRUCTURE	CONSIST	SUBSTRUCTURE	CALC
0- 30	MCL	10YR32 00	0	0						
30- 75	ZL	10YR54 00	0	0			MDCSAB	FR	M	
75-120	MZCL	10YR56 00	0	0			MDCSAB	FR	M	

Wetness Grade 1 Wetness Class I Gleying cm SPL No SPL

Drought Grade 1 APW 178mm MBW 55 mm APP 142mm MBP 21 mm

FINAL ALC GRADE 1 MAIN LIMITATION

-----

1					MOTTLES	3	PED		•	S	TONES-		STRUCT/	SUB	S			
SAMPLE	DEPTH	TEXTURE	COLOUR	COL	ABUN	CONT	COL	GLEY	>2	>6	LITH	TOT	CONSIST	STR	POR	IMP	SPL	CALC
1P	0-30	mc1	10YR32 00						0	0		0						
	30-75	z١	10YR54 00						0	0		0	MDCSAB F	RM				
,	75 120	mzcl	10YR56 00						0	0		0	MDCSAB F	RM				
2	0-30	mcl	10YR43 00						0	0		0						
)	30-75	mcl	10YR54 00						0	0		0		М				
	75-85	sc1	10YR54 00	10YR6	3 00 F				0	0		0		М				
	85 120	scl	10YR54 00	75YR5	8 00 C		10YR73	00 S	0	0		0		M				
3	0-32	mzcl	10YR43 00						0	0	HR	2						
1	32-70	zl	10YR54 00						0	0		0		М				
	70-120	scl	10YR56 00	<b>00MN</b> 0	0 00 F				0	0		0		М				
4	0-32	mcl	10YR42 00						0	0		0						
	32-40	mc1	10YR53 00	75YR4	6 00 C		25 Y74	00 Y	0	0		0		М				
l	40-70	hc1	10YR52 00	75YR4	6 00 M		10YR63	72 Y	0	0		0		М				
	70-120	mzcl	10YR52 00	75YR4	6 00 M		10YR63	72 Y	0	0		0		М				
5	0-30	zl	10YR43 00						0	0	HR	2						
•	30-60	zl	10YR44 00						0	0	HR	2		М				
	60-100	mzcl	10YR56 00						0	0		0		М				
	100-120	zΊ	10YR56 00						0	0		0		M				

# brogram ALC012 LIST OF BORINGS HEADERS 08/12/94 MAIDSTONE LP SITE 20

SAI	1PL	.E		ASPECT				- WET	NESS -	WH	EAT-	-PC	)TS	M	REL	EROSN	FRO	ST	CHEM	ALC	
NO		GRID	REF	USE	GRDNT	GLEY	SPL	CLASS	GRADE	AP	MB	AP	MB	DRT	FLOOD	Ε	XP	DIST	LIMIT		COMMENTS
	1P	TQ7010	5000	HOR				1	1	178	55	142	21	1						1	
1	2	TQ7010	5010	HOR		085		1	1	156	33	118	3	2					DR	2	
J ;	3	TQ7010	5000	PGR				1	1	177	54	143	22	1						1	
4	1	TQ7018	35000	HOR		032		2	2	156	33	118	3	2					WD	2	
1	5	TQ7010	00499	PGR				1	1	193	70	149	28	1						1	

page 1