A1 TUNBRIDGE WELLS BOROUGH LOCAL PLAN WOODSGATE CORNER PEMBURY AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION ALC MAP REPORT OCTOBER 1993

# TUNBRIDGE WELLS BOROUGH LOCAL PLAN PROPOSED PARK AND RIDE SITES WOODSGATE CORNER PEMBURY AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION

## 1 0 Summary

1 1 ADAS was commissioned by MAFF s Land Use Planning Unit to provide information on land quality on an area of land adjacent to the A21 south west of Pembury near Tunbridge Wells in Kent The work forms part of MAFF s statutory input to proposed park and ride sites in the Tunbridge Wells Borough Local Plan

1 2 Approximately 2 hectares of land was surveyed in October 1993 The survey was undertaken at a detailed level of approximately one boring per hectare A total of 2 soil auger borings and 1 soil inspection pit were assessed in accordance with MAFF s revised guidelines and criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land (MAFF 1988) These guidelines provide a framework for classifying land according to the extent to which its physical or chemical characteristics impose long term limitations on its use for agriculture

1 3 The work was conducted by members of the Resource Planning Team in the Guildford Statutory Group of ADAS

1 4 At the time of the survey the landuse on the site was permanent pasture

1 5 The distribution of grades and subgrades is shown on the attached ALC map and the areas are given in the table below. The map has been drawn at a scale of 1 5 000. It is accurate at this scale but any enlargement would be misleading. This map supersedes any previous survey information.

# Table 1 \_ Distribution of Grades and Subgrades

<u>Grade</u>	<u>Area (ha)</u>	% of Site	% of Agricultural Area
2	2 1	91 3	100 0 (2 1 ha)
Urban	0 2	<u>8 7</u>	
Total	2 3	100 0	

1 6 Appendix 1 gives a general description of the grades and subgrades and land use categories identified in the survey. The main classes are described in terms of the type of limitation that can occur the typical cropping range and the expected level and consistency of yield.

1 7 The agricultural land on the site has been classified as Grade 2 good quality land with soil wetness as the key limitation. There is evidence of a slight drainage imperfection due to the presence of a poorly structured clay subsoil at depth.

# 20 Climate

2.1 The climatic criteria are considered first when classifying land as climate can be overriding in the sense that severe limitations will restrict land to low grades irrespective of favourable site or soil conditions

2 2 The main parameters used in the assessment of the overall climatic limitation are average annual rainfall as a measure of overall wetness and accumulated temperature (degree daya Jan June) as a measure of the relative warmth of a locality

2.3 A detailed assessment of the prevailing climate was made by interpolation from a 5km gridpoint dataset (Met Office 1989) The details are given in the table below and these show that there is no overall climatic limitation affecting the site

2.4 No local climatic factors such as exposure or frost risk affect the site However climatic factors do interact with soil factors to influence soil wetness and soil droughtiness limitations. At this locality field capacity days are relatively high whilst soil moisture deficits are correspondingly high.

# Table 2 . Climatic Interpolations

Grid Reference	TQ 617 407
Altitude (m)	135
Accumulated Temperature (days)	1363
Average Annual Rainfall (mm)	792
Field Capacity (days)	164
Moisture Deficit Wheat (mm)	97
Moisture Deficit Potatoes (mm)	87
Overall Climatic Grade	1

# 3 0 Relief

3 1 The site is very gently sloping and lies at an altitude ranging between 130 and 135m On no part of the site does relief or gradient pose any limitation to agricultural use

### 4.0 Geology and Soil

4 1 The relevant geological information for the site (BGS Sheet 303 Tunbridge Wells 1971) shows the underlying geology to be Ardingly Sandstone

4.2 The published soils information for the area (SSEW Sheet 6 Soils of South East England 1983) shows the soils of the site to be of the Curtisden association. These are described as well drained coarse loamy soils over sandstone. Detailed field examination found that the soils are best described as loamy as opposed to coarse loamy showing some signs of imperfect drainage.

# 50 Agricultural Land Classification

5 1 Table 1 provides the details of the area measurements for each grade and the distribution of each grade is shown on the attached ALC map

5 2 The location of the soil observation points are shown on the attached sample point map

5 4 Grade 2 The entire agricultural land on the site has been classified as Grade 2 good quality land Pit 1 is typical of the soils found on the site Profiles are typically medium silty clay and clay loam topsoils which become heavier with depth. There is evidence of a slight drainage imperfection due to the presence of a poorly structured clay layer from approximately 76cm in the profile with the entire subsoil gleyed within 40 cm. As a result, these soils can be placed into Wetness Class II which in conjunction with the topsoil texture and Field Capacity level for the site (164 days) gives a resultant classification of Grade 2. There is a small area of heavier topsoil in the north east of the site which technically gives a classification of Subgrade 3a, but this is not significant enough to be mapped as a separate unit at this site.

5 5 The area marked as Urban is a private house and garden in the west of the site

ADAS REFERENCE 2014/201/93 MAFF REFERENCE EL20/000306 Resource Planning Team Guildford Statutory Group ADAS Reading

# APPENDIX I

# DESCRIPTION OF THE GRADES AND SUB-GRADES

### Grade 1 Excellent Quality Agricultural Land

Land with no or very minor limitations to agricultural use A very wide range of agricultural and horticultural crops can be grown and commonly includes top fruit soft fruit salad crops and winter harvested vegetables Yields are high and less variable than on land of lower quality

#### Grade 2 Very Good Quality Agricultural Land

Land with minor limitations which affect crop yield cultivations or harvesting A wide range of agricultural or horticultural crops can usually be grown but on some land on the grade there may be reduced flexibility due to difficulties with the production of the more demanding crops such as winter harvested vegetables and arable root crops The level of yield is generally high but may be lower or more variable than Grade 1

#### Grade 3 Good To Moderate Quality Agricultural Land

Land with moderate limitations which affect the choice of crops timing and type of cultivation harvesting or the level of yield. When more demanding crops are grown yields are generally lower or more variable than on land in grades 1 and 2

#### Sub grade 3A Good Quality Agricultural Land

Land capable of consistently producing moderate to high yields of a narrow range of arable crops especially cereals or moderate yields of a wide range of crops including cereals grass oilseed rape potatoes sugar beet and the less demanding horticultural crops

#### Sub grade 3B Moderate Quality Agricultural Land

Land capable of producing moderate yields of a narrow range of crops principally cereals and grass or lower yields of a wider range of crops or high yields of grass which can be grazed or harvested over most of the year

#### Grade 4 Poor Quality Agricultural Land

Land with severe limitations which significantly restrict the range of crops and/or the level of yields. It is mainly suited to grass with occasional arable crops (eg cereals and forage crops) the yields of which are variable. In moist climates yields of grass may be moderate to high but there may be difficulties in utilisation the grade also includes very droughty arable land

#### Grade 5 Very Poor Quality Agricultural Land

Land with very severe limitations which restrict use to permanent pasture or rough grazing except for occasional pioneer forage crops

# Urban

Built up or hard uses with relatively little potential for a return to agriculture housing industry commerce education transport religious buildings cemeteries Also hard surfaced sports facilities permanent caravan sites and vacant land all types of derelict land including mineral workings which are only likely to be re claimed using derelict land grants

# Non agricultural

Soft uses where most of the land could be returned relatively easily to agriculture including private parkland public open spaces sports fields allotments and soft surfaced areas on airports/airfields Also active mineral workings and refuse tips where restoration conditions to soft after uses may apply

# Woodland

Includes commercial and non commercial woodland

# Agricultural Buildings

Includes the normal range of agricultural buildings as well as other relatively permanent structures such as glasshouses Temporary structures (eg polythene tunnels erected for lambing) may be ignored

# **Open Water**

Includes lakes ponds and rivers as map scale permits

# Land Not Surveyed

Agricultural land which has not been surveyed

Where the land use includes more than one of the above eg buildings in large grounds and where map scale permits the cover types may be shown separately Otherwise the most extensive cover type will be shown

APPENDIX II

# REFERENCES

\* British Geological Survey (1971) Sheet No 303 Tunbridge Wells 1 50 000

\* MAFF (1988) Agricultural Land Classification of England And Wales revised guidelines and criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land

\* Meteorological Office (1989) Climatological Data for Agricultural Land Classifica tion

\* Soil Survey of England and Wales (1983) Sheet No 6 Soils of South East England 1 250 000 And accompanying legend

# APPENDIX III

### DEFINITION OF SOIL WETNESS CLASSES

#### Wetness Class I

The soil profile is not wet within 70cm depth for more than 30 days in most years

#### Wetness Class II

The soil profile is wet within 70cm depth for 31 90 days in most years or if there is no slowly permeable layer within 80cm depth it is wet within 70cm for more than 90 days but not wet within 40cm depth for more than 30 days in most years

#### Wetness Class III

The soil profile is wet within 70cm depth for 91 180 days in most years or if there is no slowly permeable layer within 80cm depth it is wet within 70cm for more than 180 days but only wet within 40cm depth for 31 90 days in most years

#### Wetness Class IV

The soil profile is wet within 70cm depth for more than 180 days but not wet within 40cm depth for more than 210 days in most years or if there is no slowly permeable layer within 80cm depth it is wet within 40cm depth for 91 210 days in most years

#### Wetness Class V

The soil profile is wet within 40cm depth for 211 335 days in most years

#### Wetness Class VI

The soil profile is wet within 40cm depth for more than 335 days in most years

(The number of days is not necessarily a continuous period In most years is defined as more than 10 out of 20 years)

APPENDIX IV

SOIL PIT AND SOIL BORING DESCRIPTIONS

Contents \* Soil Abbreviations Explanatory Note

- \* Soil Pit Descriptions
- \* Database Printout Boring Level Information
- \* Database Printout Horizon Level Information

#### SOIL PROFILE DESCRIPTIONS EXPLANATORY NOTE

Soil pit and auger boring information collected during ALC fieldwork is held on a database. This has commonly used otations and abbreviations is set out below

#### **Boring Header Information**

1 GRID REF tional grid square and 8 f gure grid reference

2 USE Land se t the time of surv y Th following abbre lations are used

ARAArableWHTWheatBARBarleyCERCerealsOATOatsMZEM izeOSROilseed rapeBENField BeansBRABrassicaPOTPotatoesSBTSugar BeetFCDFodder CropsLINLinseedFRTSoft and T pFruitHRTHorticultural CropPGRPermanent PastureLEYLey GrassRGRRough GrazingSCRScrubCFWCon ferousWoodlandDCWDecid ousWoodlandHTHHeathlaBOGBog or MarshFLWFallowPLOPloughedSASSet asideOTHOther

3 GRDNT Gradient as measured by a hand-held optical clinometer

4 GLEY/SPL Depth in cm to gleying or lowly permeable layers

5 AP (WHEAT/POTS) Crop-adj ted ailable w ter capacity

6 MB (WHEAT/POTS) Moisture Balance

7 DRT Best grade according to soil droughtiness

8 If any of the f llowing f ctors are con idered ignifica t an entry f Y will be entered in the rele t column

MREL Microrelief limitation FLOOD Flood risk EROSN Soil erosion risk EXP Exposure limitation FROST Fro t DIST Disturbed la d CHEM Chemical limitation

9 LIMIT The main limitat on to land q lity The following abbre lations are used

OC Overall Climate AE Aspect EX Exposure FR Frost Risk GR Grad ent MR M crorel ef FL Flood Risk TX Topsoil Texture DP Soil Depth CH Chemical WE Wetness WK Workability DR Drought ER Soil Erosion Risk WD Combined Soil Wetn ss/Droughtiness ST Topsoil Stoniness

#### Soil Pits and Auger Borings

1 TEXTURE soil texture classes are denoted by the f llowing abbre lations

S Sand LS Loarny Sand SL Sandy Loarn SZL Sandy Silt Loarn CL Clay Loarn ZCL Silty Clay Loarn SCL Sandy Clay Loarn C Clay SC Sandy Clay ZC Silty Clay OL Organ c Loarn P Pet SP Sandy Peat LP Loarny Peat PL Peaty Loarn PS Peaty Sa d MZ Marine L ght Silts

For the sand loamy sand sa dy loam and sandy it loam classes the predomin t size f sand fraction will be indicated by the use f prefixes

- F Fine (more than 66% of the sand less than 0 2mm)
- M Mednum (less than 66% fine sa d d less th 33% coarse sand)
- C Coarse (more than 339 of the sand larger tha 0 6mm)

The lay loam and alty clay loam classes will be sub-d ided coording to the clay content

M Mednum (<27% clay) H Hea y (27 35% clay)

2 MOTTLE COL Mottle colou

3 MOTTLE ABUN Montle abu dance expressed as a percentage of the matrix or surface described

F few <2% C commo 2 20% M ma y 20-40 VM very ma y 40%+

4 MOTTLE CONT Mottle contrast

F f int indistinct mottles e ident ly on lose inspectioD distinct mottles are read ly seenP prominent mottling s conspicuous d one of the outsta ding fe tures of the horizon

5 PED COL Ped face colour

6 STONE LITH One of the following is used

HR ll hard rocks and sto s MSST soft medium or coarse grained sandstone SI soft w thered gneous o m tamorphic SLST soft collucion dolimitic limestone FSST soft fine grained sa dsto e ZR soft argillaceous or silty rocks CH ch lk GH gra i with no poro s (h d) sto es GS grav i with porous (soft) ston s

Ston co tents (>2cm >6cm nd total) re g en in percentages (by olum )

7 STRUCT the degree of de elopment size and shape of so I peds re d scribed using the following notat

degree of de 1 pment WK weakly d 1 ped MD moderat ly de eloped ST strongly de eloped

ped size F fine M med um C coarse VC very coarse

ped shape S single grain M mass e GR grain lar AB angular blocky SAB sub-angular blocky PR prismatic PL platy

8 CONSIST Soil co-sistence s described using th f llowing notatio

L loose VF ery frabl FR fri ble FM firm VM very firm EM extremely firm EH e tremely h d

9 SUBS STR Subsoil structural condit on reco ded f the purpose of calculating profile dro ghtmess

G good M moderate P poor

10 POR Solporo ty If solhorizo has less than 05% b pores > 05 mm a Y will ppear in this c lumn

11 IMP If the profil is impenetrable. Y will ppear in this column at the appropriate h rizon

12 SPL. Slowly permeable lay If the soil horizon's slowly permeable a Y will appear in this column

13 CALC If the soil horizon is calcareous a Y will appear in this column

14 Other otat o s

APWilable w ter cap c ty (in mm) dj sted fwheatAPP avlable w ter capac ty (in mm) dj sted fpotatoeMBWmo ture b la ce wh tMBP mture b lance potatoes

#### SOIL PIT DESCRIPTION

Site	• Nал	ne WOOI	)S(	SATE O	ORNI	ER P	PEMBURY		Pit N i	mbe	1P	
Grid	l Ref	erence	т	<b>261804</b>	060	A F L S	A erage A Acc mulat Held Cap Land Use Slope and	inn ied iaci I As	al Ra n Tempera ty Le e pect	fall t re 1	792 m 1368 c 164 da Permar deg	nn legree days ays nent Grass grees
HORI	ZON	TEXTU	RE	$\infty$	LOUI	R	STONES	2	TOT ST	ONE	MOTTLES	STRUCTURE
0	22	MCL		10Y	R52	00	0		0			
22	35	MCL		10Y	R52	00	0		0		С	
35	56	MCL		25Y	63	00	0		0		С	
56	76	HCL		25Y	63	00	0		0		С	MDCSAB
76	95	С		25Y	71	00	0		0		M	MVCPL
Wetr	ess	Grade	2			۲	letness (	las	s	II		
						S	ileying SPL		l	022 c 076 c	m	
Drou	ight	Grade	2			Þ	NPW 124	mm	MBW	27	m	
						4	APP 116	mm	MBP	29	mm	
FINA		_C GRADE		2								

MAIN LIMITATION Wetness

1

program ALCO12

S/	AMPI	LE	ASPECT	•			WET	NESS	WHI	EAT	PC	DTS	۲	1 REL	EROSN	FROST	CHEM	ALC	
N	0	GRID REF	USE	GRDNT	GLEY	SPL	CLASS	GRADE	AP	MB	AP	MB	DRT	FLOOD	E)	(P DIST	LIMIT		COMMENTS
	1	TQ61704070	) PGR		030		2	2	107	10	118	31	2				WE	2	IMPEN 70
	1P	TQ6180406	) pgr		022 (	076	2	2	124	27	116	29	2				WE	2	
_	2	TQ6185405	5 PGR		022		2	3A	116	19	116	29	2				WE	3A	

program ALCO11

1

				١	10TTLE	S	PED			STONES		STRUCT/	S	JBS			
SAMPLE	DEPT	TEXTURE	COLOUR	COL	ABUN	CONT	COL	GLEY	2	6 LITH	тот	CONSIST	S	tr por	IMP	SPL	CALC
1	0 30	) mzcl	10YR42 00						0	0 HR	2						
	30 49	5 hzcl	10YR52 00	10YR58	3 61 C	:		Y	0	0	0		I	М			
•	45 70	) hcl	10YR42 00	10YR56	3 61 C	;		Y	0	0 HR	8		l	М			
1P	0 22	2 mcl	10YR52 00						0	0	0						
	22 3	5 mcl	10YR52 00	10YR5	3 61 C	:		Y	0	0	0			м			
	35 56	5 mcl	25Y 63 00	75YR4	5 00 C	:		Y	0	0	0			м			
	56 76	5 hcl	25Y 63 00	75YR4	5 00 C	:		Y	0	0	0	MDCSAB	FR	м			
	76 9	õc	25Y 71 00	10YR5	B 00 M	I		Y	0	0	0	MVCPL	FR	ΡY		Y	
2	0 22	2 hcl	10YR53 00						0	0	0						
	22 4	5 hcl	10YR53 00	00000	0 00 C	:		Y	0	0	0			м			
<b>U</b>	45 6	5 с	25Y 73 00	00000	0 00 C	;		Y	0	0	0			M			
	65 90	) с	25Y 72 00	000000	0 00 C	:		Y	0	0	0			M			

page 1