

Yate

Agricultural Land Classification

May 1997

Resource Planning Team Bristol FRCA Western Region Job Number 6/97 Commission No 1316 MAFF Reference EL 34/1208



YATE

AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION SURVEY

CONTENTS		Page
INTRODUCTIO	Ν	1
SUMMARY		1
CLIMATE		2
RELIEF		2
GEOLOGY ANI	O SOILS	3
AGRICULTURA	AL LAND CLASSIFICATION AND MAP	3
REFERENCES		5
APPENDIX I	Description of the Grades and Subgrades	6
APPENDIX II	Definition of Soil Wetness Classes	8
APPENDIX III	Survey Data	9
	Sample Point Location Map	
	Pit Descriptions	
	Boring Profile Data	
	Boring Horizon Data	
	Abbreviations and Terms used	I in Survey Data

YATE

AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION SURVEY

INTRODUCTION

1 This report presents the findings of a semi detailed Agricultural Land Classification (ALC) survey of 547 1 ha of land North of Yate Field survey was based on 202 auger borings and 8 soil profile pits and was completed in March 1997 During the survey 3 samples were analysed for particle size distribution (PSD)

2 The survey was conducted by the Resource Planning Team of FRCA Western Region (formerly ADAS Taunton Statutory Group) on behalf of MAFF in its statutory role in the preparation of South Gloucestershire Plan

3 Information on climate geology and soils and from previous ALC surveys was considered and is presented in the relevant section Apart from the published regional ALC map (MAFF 1977) which shows the site at a reconnaissance scale as Grade 3 except for Grade 4 in the central area only the eastern part of the site had been previously surveyed in 1984 at a scale of 1 25 000 (ADAS 1984) However the current survey uses the Revised Guidelines and Criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land (MAFF 1988) and supersedes any previous ALC survey Grade descriptions are summarised in Appendix I

4 At the time of survey land cover was primarily grazing with a few areas in arable production Other land which was not surveyed included a large new residential development in the south east other residential areas playing fields and old mine workings

SUMMARY

5 The distribution of ALC grades is shown on the accompanying 1 20 000 scale ALC map The detail of information shown at this scale is appropriate to the intensity of field survey but could be misleading if enlarged or applied to small areas Areas are summarised in the Table 1

Grade	Area (ha)	% Surveyed Area (390 7 h		
2	25 2	7		
Ja	<u>91</u> 3	23		
3a 3b	24 2	6		
4	250 0	64		
Other land	156 4			
Total site area	547 1			

Table 1Distribution of ALC gradesYate

6 The majority of the area surveyed is mapped as Grade 4 poorly drained soils with severe wetness limitations. In the west better drained soils were found which have been mapped as Subgrades 3a and 3b. Some soils are also slightly lighter in texture and hence their workability is improved in the same area. Also mapped is a small amount of Grade 2 which are well drained but stony soils with a minor workability limitation.

CLIMATE

7 Estimates of climatic variables for this site were derived from the published agricultural climate dataset Climatological Data for Agricultural Land Classification (Meteorological Office 1989) using standard interpolation procedures Data for key points around the site are given in Table 2 below

8 Since the ALC grade of land is determined by the most limiting factor present overall climate is considered first because it can have an overriding influence by restricting land to a lower grade despite more favourable site and soil conditions. Parameters used for assessing overall climate are accumulated temperature a measure of relative warmth and average annual rainfall a measure of overall wetness. The results shown in Table 2 indicate that there is no overall climatic limitation.

9 Climatic variables also affect ALC grade through interactions with soil conditions The most important interactive variables are Field Capacity Days (FCD) which are used in assessing soil wetness and potential Moisture Deficits calculated for wheat and potatoes which are compared with the moisture available in each profile in assessing soil droughtiness limitations. These are described in later sections

Grid Reference	ST 718 838	ST 687 838	
Altıtude (m)	95	69	
Accumulated Temperature (day C)	1433	1463	
Average Annual Rainfall (mm)	803	780	
Overall Climatic Grade	1	1	
Field Capacity Days	180	175	
Moisture deficit (mm) Wheat	93	98	
Potatoes	82	88	

Table 2 Climatic Interpolations Yate

RELIEF

10 Altitude ranges from 69 metres in the west to 107 metres at Home Farm in the east with mainly gently undulating or flat land except in the east where the land rises

GEOLOGY AND SOILS

11 The underlying geology of the site is shown on the published geology map (IGS 1970) The western part of the site is underlain by sandstones of Carboniferous Upper Coal Measures separated from the Lower and Middle Coal Measures by a north south band of sandstone and conglomerate In the east Triassic Keuper Marl and Carboniferous Sandstone and limestone are found with drift deposits of Alluvium The soils found in the recent survey were related to the underlying geology although in terms of grading the greatest variability is in the west where the geology is least complex

12 Soils were mapped by the Soil Survey of England and Wales at a reconnaissance scale of 1 250 000 (SSEW 1983) More detailed soils information is also available in the 1 63 360 scale survey of Malmesbury and Bath area (SSEW 1974)

13 The western part of the site is mapped as Swindon Bank Series (now Neath) with Stanley series along the streams Neath is well drained loamy soils but Stanley is loamy over clayey soils which are gleyed From Engine Common eastwards beyond the railway Dale Series is mapped these are poorly drained clays Further east Whimple Worcester and Spetchly all poorly drained clays developed over Keuper Marl are found On the edge of the site near Badgers Halt Dean Series is found which is a better drained soil over Carboniferous rocks A similar pattern is shown on the regional soils map

14 The soils found in the recent survey were mainly typical of the mapped series The area mapped as Swindon Bank had more poorly drained soils than expected although they were better than soils elsewhere on the site The soils were more typical of the Nercwys or Cherubeer series than Swindon Bank

AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION

15 The distribution of ALC grades found by the current survey is shown on the accompanying 1 20 000 scale map and areas are summarised in Table 1 The detail of information shown at this scale is appropriate to the intensity of field survey but could be misleading if enlarged or applied to small areas

Grade 2

16 Areas mapped as very good quality land Grade 2 have minor workability limitations Medium clay loam topsoils lie over heavier subsoils which are stony However the soils are not limited worse than Grade 2 by droughtiness The soils are well drained and are assessed as Wetness Class I (See Appendix II) A soil profile pit was dug within the area mapped as Grade 2 to confirm the stone content of the subsoil

Subgrade 3a

17 Most of the good quality land is mapped in the west with two isoloted blocks of Subgrade 3a in the east At Tanhouse Farm heavy clay loam topsoils were found over heavy clay loam and clay subsoils which were stony These soils are well drained Wetness Class I They are limited to Subgrade 3a by a moderate workability limitation imposed by the topsoil texture Similar soils were found at Yate Rocks where a soil profile pit was dug to confirm the grading

18 Stony soils were also found in the west at Iron Acton Here medium sandy loam topsoils exsist over sandy clay loam subsoils which are very stony Here the soils have a moderate droughtiness limitation but are well drained

19 The remaining areas of Subgrade 3a in the west experience moderate wetness limitations and moderate workability limitations The soils are quite variable but the final grade in this area is generally Subgrade 3a There were occasional poorer quality borings found but at the scale of mapping these have been included in this unit. The soils with moderate workability limitations had heavy clay loam topsoils sometimes with stony subsoils. These soils were well drained. Wetness Class I. Most of the soils in the area had a moderate wetness limitation however the soils were varied including medium clay loam topsoils in Wetness Class II and III profiles and heavy clay loam topsoils in Wetness Class II profiles. In all cases the final grade is the same. Subgrade 3a. The depth to the slowly permeable layers varied and hence the variable. Wetness Classes. The better drained soils were found around Sunnyside Farm. A soil profile pit was dug in these soils.

Subgrade 3b

20 Two areas of moderate quality land are mapped in the west Here medium clay loams over slowly permeable clays were found These soils were poorly drained and assessed as Wetness Class IV A soil profile pit was dug and the topsoil texture confirmed by PSD analysis

Grade 4

21 The majority of the site has been mapped as poor quality land with severe wetness limitations Here clays and some heavy clay loams lie over slowly permeable subsoils. In the east the soils are red in colour developed in Keuper Marl. These soils did not always exhibit the characteristics of gleying but the presence of the slowly permeable layer in the subsoil was confirmed in a soil profile pit. Towards the west the soils became less red and further profile pits confirmed the presence of slowly permeable layers. The soils were assessed as Wetness Class IV

> G M Shaw Resource Planning Team FRCA Bristol ⁻ May 1997

REFERENCES

ADAS RESOURCE PLANNING TEAM (1984) Agricultural Land Classification Survey of North Yate Scale 1 25 000 Reference 60 ADAS Bristol

INSTITUTE OF GEOLOGICAL SCIENCES (1970) Sheet 251 Malmesbury 1 63 360 series Solid and Drift edition IGS London

HODGSON J M (Ed) (1974) Soil Survey Field Handbook Technical Monograph No 5 Soil Survey of England and Wales Harpenden

HODGSON J M (In preparation) Soil Survey Field Handbook Revised edition

MAFF (1977) 1 250 000 series Agricultural Land Classification South West Region MAFF Publications Alnwick

MAFF (1988) Agricultural Land Classification of England and Wales Revised Guidelines and Criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land MAFF Publications Alnwick

METEOROLOGICAL OFFICE (1989) Climatological Data for Agricultural Land Classification Meteorological Office Bracknell

SOIL SURVEY OF ENGLAND AND WALES (1983) Sheet 5 Soils of South West England 1 250 000 scale SSEW Harpenden

SOIL SURVEY OF ENGLAND AND WALES (1984) Soils and Their Use in South West England Bulletin No 14 SSEW Harpenden

SOIL SURVEY OF ENGLAND AND WALES (1974) Sheet 252/252 Malmesbury and Bath scale 1 63 360 SSEW Harpenden

APPENDIX I

DESCRIPTION OF GRADES AND SUBGRADES

Grade 1 excellent quality agricultural land

Land with no or very minor limitations to agricultural use A very wide range of agricultural and horticultural crops can be grown and commonly include top fruit soft fruit salad crops and winter harvested vegetables Yields are high and less variable than on land of lower quality

Grade 2 very good quality agricultural land

Land with minor limitations which affect crop yield cultivation or harvesting A wide range of agricultural and horticultural crops can usually be grown but on some land in the grade there may be reduced flexibility due to difficulties with the production of the more demanding crops such as winter harvested vegetables and arable root crops The level of yield is generally high but may be lower or more variable than Grade 1

Grade 3 good to moderate quality agricultural land

Land with moderate limitations which affect the choice of crops timing and type of cultivation harvesting or the level of yield Where more demanding crops are grown yields are generally lower or more variable than on land in Grades 1 and 2

Subgrade 3a good quality agricultural land

Land capable of consistently producing moderate to high yields of a narrow range of arable crops especially cereals or moderate yields of a wide range of crops including cereals grass oilseed rape potatoes sugar beet and the less demanding horticultural crops

Subgrade 3b moderate quality agricultural land

Land capable of producing moderate yields of a narrow range of crops principally cereals and grass or lower yields of a wider range of crops or high yields of grass which can be grazed or harvested over most of the year

Grade 4 poor quality agricultural land

Land with severe limitations which significantly restrict the range of crops and/or level of yields. It is mainly suited to grass with occasional arable crops (eg cereals and forage crops) the yields of which are variable. In most climates, yields of grass may be moderate to high but there may be difficulties in utilisation. The grade also includes very droughty arable land.

Grade 5 very poor quality agricultural land

Land with very severe limitations which restrict use to permanent pasture or rough grazing except for occasional pioneer forage crops

Source MAFF (1988) Agricultural Land Classification of England and Wales Revised Guidelines and Criteria for Grading the Quality of Agricultural Land MAFF Publications Alnwick

APPENDIX II

DEFINITION OF SOIL WETNESS CLASSES

Soil wetness is classified according to the depth and duration of waterlogging in the soil profile

Wetness Class I

The soil profile is not wet within 70 cm depth for more than 30 days in most years

Wetness Class II

The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for 31 90 days in most years or if there is no slowly permeable layer within 80 cm depth it is wet within 70 cm for more than 90 days but not wet within 40 cm depth for more than 30 days in most years

Wetness Class III

The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for 91 180 days in most years or if there is no slowly permeable layer within 80 cm depth it is wet within 70 cm for more than 180 days but only wet within 40 cm depth for between 31 and 90 days in most years

Wetness Class IV

The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for more than 180 days but not within 40 cm depth for more than 210 days in most years or if there is no slowly permeable layer within 80 cm depth it is wet within 40 cm depth for 91 210 days in most years

Wetness Class V

The soil profile is wet within 40 cm depth for 211 335 days in most years

Wetness Class VI

The soil profile is wet within 40 cm depth for more than 335 days in most years

Notes The number of days specified is not necessarily a continuous period

In most years is defined as more than 10 out of 20 years

Source Hodgson J M (In preparation) Soil Survey Field Handbook Revised Edition

APPENDIX III

ABBREVIATIONS AND TERMS USED IN SURVEY DATA

Soil pit and auger boring information collected during ALC survey is held on a computer database and is reproduced in this report. Terms used and abbreviations are set out below. These conform to definitions contained in the Soil Survey Field Handbook (Hodgson 1974).

1 Terms used on computer database in order of occurrence

GRID REF National 100 km grid square and 8 figure grid reference

LAND USE At the time of survey

WHT	Wheat	SBT	Sugar Beet	HTH	Heathland
BAR	Barley	BRA	Brassicas	BOG	Bog or Marsh
OAT	Oats	FCD	Fodder Crops	DCW	Deciduous Wood
CER	Cereals	FRT	Soft and Top Fruit	CFW	Coniferous Woodland
MZE	Maize	HRT	Horticultural Crops	PLO	Ploughed
OSR	Oilseed Rape	LEY	Ley Grass	FLW	Fallow (inc Set aside)
POT	Potatoes	PGR	Permanent Pasture	SAS	Set Aside (where known)
LIN	Linseed	RGR	Rough Grazing	OTH	Other
BEN	Field Beans	SCR	Scrub		

GRDNT Gradient as estimated or measured by hand held optical clinometer

GLEY SPL Depth in centimetres to gleying or slowly permeable layer

AP (WHEAT/POTS)	Crop adjusted available water capacity					
MB (WHEAT/POTS)	Moisture Balance MD)	(Crop adjusted AP	crop potential			

DRT Best grade according to soil droughtiness

If any of the following factors are considered significant Y will be entered in the relevant column

MREL EXP CHEM	Exposure limitation	n F	LOOD ROST	Flood risk Frost prone	EROSN DIST		osion risl bed land	٢
LIMIT	The main limit used	ation to	o land qua	lity The foll	owing abb	reviations	are	
OC FR	Overall Climate Frost Risk	AE GR	Aspect Gradien	t M	4	osure rorelief		

FL	Flood Risk	ТХ	Topsoil Texture	DP	Soil Depth
СН	Chemical	WE	Wetness	WK	Workability
DR	Drought	ER	Erosion Risk	WD	Soil

Wetness/Droughtiness

ST Topsoil Stoniness

TEXTURE Soil texture classes are denoted by the following abbreviations

S SZL	Sand Sandy Sılt Loam	LS CL	Loamy Sand Clay Loam	SL ZCL	Sandy Loam Silty Clay Loam
ZL	Sılt Loam	SCL	Sandy Clay	С	Clay
			Loam		
SC	Sandy clay	ZC	Silty clay	OL	Organic Loam
Р	Peat	SP	Sandy Peat	LP	Loamy Peat
PL	Peaty Loam	PS	Peaty Sand	MZ	Marine Light Silts

For the sand loamy sand sandy loam and sandy silt loam classes the predominant size of sand fraction will be indicated by the use of the following prefixes

- **F** Fine (more than 66% of the sand less than 0 2mm)
- M Medium (less than 66% fine sand and less than 33% coarse sand)
- C Coarse (more than 33% of the sand larger than 0 6mm)

The clay loam and silty clay loam classes will be sub divided according to the clay content M Medium (< 27% clay) H heavy (27 35% clay)

MOTTLE COL Mottle colour using Munsell notation

MOTTLE ABUN Mottle abundance expressed as a percentage of the matrix or surface described

F few <2% C common 2 20% M many 20 40% VM very many 40%+

MOTTLE CONT Mottle contrast

- **F** faint indistinct mottles evident only on close inspection
- **D** distinct mottles are readily seen
- P Prominent mottling is conspicuous and one of the outstanding features of the horizon
- PED COL Ped face colour using Munsell notation
- **GLEY** If the soil horizon is gleyed a Y will appear in this column If slightly gleyed an S will appear

STONE LITH Stone Lithology One of the following is used

HR All hard rocks and stones SLST Soft oolitic or dolimitic limestone

CH	Chalk	FSST	Soft fine grained sandstone
ZR	Soft argillaceous or silty rocks	GH	Gravel with non porous (hard) stones
MSST	Soft medium grained sandstone	GS	Gravel with porous (soft) stones
SI	Soft weathered igneous or metamorp		

Stone contents are given in % by volume for sizes >2cm >6cm and total stone >2mm

STRUCT The degree of development size and shape of soil peds are described using the following notation

Degree of development	WK ST	Weakly developed Strongly developed	MD	Moderately developed
<u>Ped sıze</u>	F C	Fine Coarse	M VC	Med1um Very coarse
<u>Ped Shape</u>	S GR SAB PL	Sıngle graın Granular Sub angular blocky Platy	M AB PR	Massive Angular blocky Prismatic

CONSIST Soil consistence is described using the following notation

L	Loose	VF	Very Friable	FR	Friable	FM	Fırm
VM	Very firm	EM	Extremely firm		EH	Extremely Ha	ard

SUBS STRSubsoil structural condition recorded for the purpose of calculating
profile droughtinessG GoodM ModerateP Poor

- **POR** Soil porosity If a soil horizon has poor porosity with less than 0.5% biopores >0 5mm a Y will appear in this column
- **IMP** If the profile is impenetrable to rooting a Y will appear in this column at the appropriate horizon
- **SPL** Slowly permeable layer If the soil horizon is slowly permeable a Y will appear in this column
- CALC If the soil horizon is calcareous with naturally occurring calcium carbonate exceeding 1% a Y will appear this column

2 Additional terms and abbreviations used mainly in soil pit descriptions

STONE ASSESSMENT

VIS Visual S Sieve D Displacement

MOTTLE SIZE

EF VF F	Extremely fine <1mm Very fine 1 2mm> Fine 2 5mm			M C	Medium : Coarse >1		
MOT	FLE COLOU		May be descri (OM) or grey		Munsell	notation	or as ochreous
ROOT	CHANNELS		In topsoil the also be noted	presenc	e of rust	y root ch	annels should
MANO	GANESE CO	NCRETI	ONS Assess	ed by vo	olume		
N F C STRU	None Few Common CTURE Ped	<2% 2 20% Develop	nent *	M VM	Many Very Mar	20 4 ny >404	
	STRUCTURE Ped Development *WA Weakly adherentW Weakly developed			M S	Moderately developed Strongly developed		
PORO	SITY						
P G			biopores at le % biopores at				
ROOT	ABUNDANG	CE					
The n F C M A	umber of roots	s per 1000 Few Comm Many Abund	on	y Fine a 1 10 10 25 25 20 >200			
ROOT	SIZE						
VF F	Very fine Fine	<1mm 1 2mm		Mediur Coarse		5mm imm	
HODE			OTINCTNES	C			

HORIZON BOUNDARY DISTINCTNESS

Sharp	<0 5cm	Gradual	6 13cm
Abrupt	05 25cm	Diffuse	>13cm
Clear	25 6cm		

HORIZON BOUNDARY FORM Smooth wavy irregular or broken * * See Soil Survey Field Handbook (Hodgson 1974) for details

- --

SITE NA	ME	PRO	FILE NO	SLOPE	AND ASPE	СТ	LAND USE		Av	Raınfall	803 mm		PARENT MA	TERIAL	
Yate		Pit 1	(ASP 52)	1 Wes	t		Oil Seed Rape		AT	°O	1433 day	с	Marl		
JOB NO	<u> </u>	DAT	Ē	GRID	REFERENCI	Ξ	DESCRIBED E	BY	FC	Days	180	·	PSD SAMPLE	S TAKEN	
6/97		11/2/	97	ST 708	5 4975		PRW/GMS			matic Grade	1		None		
Horizon No	Lowest Av Depth (cm)	Texture	Matrıx (Ped Face) Colours	Stoning Size Ty Field N	pe and	Mottling Abundance Contrast Size and Colour	e Mangan Concs	Structure Developm Size and Shape	Ped	posure Grade Consistence	1 Structural Condition	Pores (Fissures)	Roots Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary Distinctness and form
1	24	С	5YR34			None	None	N/A		N/A	Moderate	Moderate	CVF		Clear smooth
2	58 C 2 5YR44 19 (10R43)				SST Few 5YR46		Few Fine	Weak Coa Angula Blocky	г	Fırm	Poor	<0 5% biopores	FVF mainly ex ped		Gradual smooth
3					SST Few 5YR46		Common	Weak Coa Subangul Blocky	lar	Fırm	Moderate	< 0 5% biopores	FVF mainly ex ped		Clear smooth
4	100+	C with lenses of SC	2 5YR34 and 5YR43	5% MS	SST + HR	None	Few MCAB			Firm	Moderate	< 0 5%	VFVF		
Profile G	leyed Fror	n Notgl	leyed		Available	Water W	heat 128	mm			Final ALC	Grade	4		
Depth to Permeabl Wetness	e Horizon Class	IV		Moisture I	Deficit W	otatoes 104 m Theat 93 m otatoes 82 m	m			Maın Lımıt	ing Factor(s	:) Wetness			
wetness	Grade	4			Moisture E		7heat 35 m otatoes 22 m				Remarks	·		·	
					Droughtine	ess Grade 1	(Calc	culated to 120) cm))					

SITE NA	ME		PRO	FILE NO	SLOPE	AND ASPE	CT	LĀ	ND USE	LAND USE			803 mm		PARENT MA	TERIAL –	
Yate			Pıt 2		0			OS	R		ATO)	1433 day	с	Marl/Lower M	Iiddle Coal M	leasures
JOB NO			DAT	E	GRID F	EFERENC	E	DE	SCRIBED B	Y	FC D	Days	180		PSD SAMPLE	S TAKEN	
6/97			11/2/	97	ST 706	0 4960		PRW/GMS				atic Grade	1		None		
Horizon No	Lowest Av Depth (cm)	Тех	ture	Matrix (Ped Face) Colours	Stonine Size Ty Field M	pe and	Mottling Abundanc Contrast Size and Colour	e	Mangan Concs	Structure I Developme Size and Shape	Ped ent	osure Grade	1 Structural Condition	Pores (Fissures)	Roots Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary Distinctnes and form
1	26	Н	ICL	10YR42	1% HR	(VIS)	None	e None							CVF		
2 36			с	10YR43	3% HR	(VIS)	CDFO 10YR56		None	MCPr		Fırm	Poor	Low	FVF		
3	43		С	10YR66 and 10R48	3% HR	(VIS)	None		None	MCPr		Fırm	Poor	Low	FVF		
4	75+		С	2 5YR71 and 10R46	None (VIS)		CDFO 10YR56		None	MVCPr		Fırm	Poor	Low	FVF		
Profile G	leyed From	n	43 cm			Available	Water W	Wheat 126 mm					Final ALC	Grade	4		
Depth to Permeabl	Slowly le Horizon	ı	26 cm			Moisture I		otato Vhea					Main Limit	ing Factor(s	s) Wetness		
Wetness	Class		IV					otato									
Wetness	Grade		4			Mountumo											
						Moisture Balance Wheat 33 mm							Remarks				
		1					Po	otato	es 21 m	m							
						Droughtin	ess Grade 1		(Calc	ulated to 120) cm)						

I.

SITE NA	ME	PRO	OFILE NO	SLOPE	AND ASPE	CT	LA	ND USE		Av	Rainfall	803 mm		PARENT MA	TERIAL	
Yate		Pit	3 (ASP 254)	0			Plo	ughed		A1	O	1433 day	с	Upper Coal M	easures Sands	tone
JOB NO		DA	ГЕ	GRID I	REFERENC	E	DE	SCRIBED B	Y	FC	2 Days	180		PSD SAMPLE	S TAKEN	
6/97		5/3/	97	ST 685	0 4850		PRW/GMS				matic Grade	1		MSL S 55 Z 29 C 16		
Horizon No	Lowest Av Depth (cm)	Texture	Matrıx (Ped Face) Colours	Stonine Size Ty Field M	vpe and	Mottling Abundanc Contrast Size and Colour	:e	Mangan Concs	Structure Developm Size and Shape	Ped	Consistence	Structural Condition	Pores (Fissures)	Roots Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary Distinctness and form
1	50 MSL 51 K32				n HR (S) None		None							CVF		Clear smooth
2	70 SCL 25YR46 50% 2 m 15% < 2cm 65% TOT HR d None					None	Not visib due to sto content probabl WKFSA	one y	Friable	Good	М	CF+VF				
Profile G	leyed From	n Notg	gleyed		Available	Water W	/heat	1 76 mm	ń			Final ALC	Grade	3a		
Wetness	e Horizon Class	I	PL		Moisture I	Deficit W	otatoes 80 mm Vheat 93 mm otatoes 82 mm					Maın Lımı	ing Factor(s	5) Droughtin	ess	
Wetness	Grade	1			Moisture H	Balance W	Vheat	t 19 m	m			Remarks		<u> </u>		·
						P	otato	es 2 m	n							
					Droughtine	ess Grade 3	Ba	(Calcu	lated to 70	cm)		1				

SITE NA	ME	PRO	FILE NO	SLOPE	AND ASPE	CT	LA	ND USE		A	v Rainfall	803 mm		PARENT MA	FERIAL	<u> </u>
Yate		Pit 4	(ASP 219)	0			PG	R		A	го	1433 day	с	Lower and Mid	idle Coal Me	asures
JOB NO		DAT	Ê	GRIDI	REFERENC	E	DESCRIBED BY		Y	FC	C Days	180		PSD SAMPLE	S TAKEN	
6/97		5/3/9	7	ST 701	5 4885		PR	W/GMS			imatic Grade	1		None		
Horizon No	Lowest Av Depth (cm)	Texture	Matrıx (Ped Face) Colours	Stomme Size Ty Field M	vpe and	Mottling Abundanc Contrast Size and Colour	:e	Mangan Concs	Structure Developme Size and Shape	Ped	Consistence	1 Structural Condition	Pores (Fissures)	Roots Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary Distinctness and form
1	30 HZCL 10YR42 None				None		None						MVF F		Clear smooth	
2	2 65 C 10YR72 N			None	MDMC 10YR68			None	MVCP	r	Fırm	Poor	Poor	CVF		
Profile G	leyed From	n 30 cm			Available	Water W	Wheat 132 mm					Final ALC	Grade	4		
Depth to Permeabl	Slowly e Horizon	30 cm			Moisture I		Potatoes 109 mm Wheat 93 mm					Main Limit	ing Factor(s	s) Wetness		
Wetness	Class	IV				P	otato	es 82 mr	n							
Wetness						n										
						P	otato	es 27 mr	n			Remarks				
					Droughtine	ess Grade 1			0 cm	1)						

SITE NA	ME	PRO	FILE NO	SLOPE	AND ASPE	CT	LAND USE		Av Rainfall		803 mm		PARENT MA	TERIAL		
Yate		Pit 5	(ASP 135)	0			PGR		ATO		1433 day		Upper Coal Ma Sandstone	easures		
JOB NO		DAT	E	GRID F	EFERENCI	Ξ	DESCRIBED B	Y	FC Days		180		PSD SAMPLE	S TAKEN		
6/97		5/3/9	7	ST 693) 4925		PRW/GMS		Climatic Grade		1		None			
Horizon No	Lowest Av Depth (cm)	Texture	Matrix (Ped Face) Colours	Stonine Size Ty Field M	pe and	Mottling Abundance Contrast Size and Colour	e Mangan Concs	Structure H Developme Size and Shape			1 Structural Condition	Pores (Fissures)	Roots Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary Distinctnes and form	
1	30	HZCL	10YR32	None		None	None						MF VF		Clear wavy	
2	43 HCL 10YR42 N				one CDFC 10YR5		None	MMSAE	B Friab	ble	Good	Good	MVF		Abrupt wavy	
3	55	HCL	10YR62	None		CDFO 10YR58	None	MCSAB w some p sma tendency	at c Friab	ble	Mod	Some but overall poor	CVF			
4	80+	SCL lenses of sandier material	10YR72	20% H	R (VIS)	CDFO 7 5YR46	MCSAE	s Friat	ble	Mod	Good	FVF				
Profile G	leyed Fror	n 30 cm			Available	Water W	heat 153 r	nm			Final ALC	Grade	3a borderl	ine 3b		
Depth to Permeabl	le Horizon	No SP II	L		Moisture I		ntatoes 122 r Theat 93 m				Main Limit	ing Factor(s) Wetness			
						Po	tatoes 82 m	m								
Wetness	Grade	3a			Moisture H	Balance W	heat 60 m	m			Remarks	Subso	oils have near 5	0% sand		
					Potatoes 40 mm							Also	H2 not evident in all of pit Also assessed by Wetness Definitions			
					Droughtine	ess Grade 1	(Calc	culated to 120	cm)				II seems OK possibly WC III			

SITE NA	ME	PRO	FILE NO	SLOPE	AND ASPE	CT	LA	ND USE		Av	Rainfall	803 mm		PARENT MA	TERIAL	
Yate		Pit 6	(ASP 160)	0			PG	R		AT	°O	1433 day	С	Upper Coal M Sandstone	easures	
JOB NO		DAT	TE	GRID	REFERENCI	3	DE	SCRIBED B	Y	FC	Days	180		PSD SAMPLE	S TAKEN	
6/97		5/3/9	97	ST 691	5 4905		PRW/GMS			1	matic Grade	1		MCL S 44	Z 33 C 23	
Horizon No	Lowest Av Depth (cm)	Texture	Matrix (Ped Face) Colours	Stonine Size Ty Field M	pe and	Mottling Abundance Contrast Size and Colour	ce	Mangan Concs	Structure Developme Size and Shape	Ped	posure Grade Consistence	1 Structural Condition	Pores (Fissures)	Roots Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary Distinctness and form
1	35 MCL 7 5YR42			19 HR	(VIS)	None		None						MF VF		Gradual smooth
2				1% HR 10% be	IR (VIS) MDFC below 60 cm 5YR58 10YR5			None	WCSAB w some patch of MCSA	hes	Friable	Moderate	Poor	FVF		
Profile G	leyed Fror	n 35 cm	1	-	Available	Water W	Wheat 142 mm					Final ALC	Grade	3b		
	le Horizon		ı		F			Potatoes 118 mm Wheat 93 mm				Maın Lımıt	ing Factor(s	s) Wetness		
	Wetness Class IV Wetness Grade 3b					P	otato	es 82 mi	n							
welless Glade 55					Moisture E	alance W	Vheat	t 49 mi	n			Remarks	Wate	er table at 60 cm		
						Р	otato	es 36 mi	m							
					Droughtine	ess Grade 1	e 1 (Calculated to 120) cm))					

ł

1

SITE NAM	ME		PROI	FILE NO	SLOPE	AND ASPE	CT	LA	ND USE		Av Ramfall	803 mm		PARENT MA	TERIAL	
Yate			Pit 7	(ASP 258)	0			PG	R		ATO	1433 day	с	Upper Coal M Sandstone	easures	
JOB NO			DAT	E	GRID I	REFERENC	E	DĒ	SCRIBED B	Y	FC Days	180		PSD SAMPLE	S TAKEN	
6/97		I	5/3/9	7	ST 690	5 4855		PR	W/GMS		Climatic Grade Exposure Grade	1		MCL S 47 Z 31 C 22		
Horizon No	Lowest Av Depth (cm)	Te:	xture	Matrix (Ped Face) Colours		ness Mottling Abundand Type and Contrast Method Size and Colour		ce	Mangan Concs	Structure P Developme Size and Shape	ed	Structural Condition	Pores (Fissures)	Roots Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary Distinctnes and form
1	32		ACL	7 5YR43	 1% HR	(VIS)	None		None				1	MF VF		Clear wav
2 65+			HCL	5YR44	3% HR: 10% H> 45% HF (VIS ba	2mm	None		None	MMSAB	Friable	Good	Good	CVF		
Profile Gl	leyed Fror	n	Not gl	eyed		Available	Water W	Vheat	. 118 n	nm		Final ALC	Grade	2		<u> </u>
Depth to a Permeable	e Horizon		No SPL			Po Moisture Deficit W						Main Limit	ting Factor(s) Workabılı	ty	
Wetness (I 2			Potatoes			es 82 m	m						
	0.000		_			Moisture I		Vheat				Remarks		·	· "	
							P	otato	es 21 m	m						
						Droughtin	ess Grade 2	2	(Calc	ulated to at le	ast 100 cm)					

SITE NA	ME	PRO	FILE NO	SLOPE	AND ASPE	СТ	LAN	ND USE		Av	Rainfall	803 mm		PARENT MA	TERIAL	
Yate		Pıt 8	ASP 59)	0			PGR	R		AT	°O	1433 day	с	Alluvium		
JOB NO		DAT	Έ	GRID	REFERENC	E	DES	SCRIBED B	Y	FC	Days	180		PSD SAMPLE	S TAKEN	
6/97		5/3/9	07	ST 718	0 4980		PRW/GMS				matic Grade	1 1		None		
Horizon No	Lowest Av Depth (cm)	Texture	Matrix (Ped Face) Colours	Stonine Size Ty Field M	pe and	Mottling Abundanc Contrast Size and Colour		Mangan Concs	Structure Developm Size and Shape	Ped	Consistence	Structural Condition	Pores (Fissures)	Roots Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary Distinctness and form
1	23 HCL 7 5YR42 Nor				None		None							MVF F		Gradual smooth
2	56	С	7 5YR43	2% HR (VIS) None				None MC and MSAB			Friable	Mod	Good	CVF		Abrupt smooth
3	70+	С	10YR44	40% H	R (VIS)	None		None	MMSA	В	Friable	Mod	Good	FVF		
Profile G	leyed Fron	n Notgl	leyed		Available	Water W	Vheat	121 m	າຫ			Final ALC	Grade	3a	•	
	e Horizon		PL		Moisture I		otatoe Vheat	es 107 m 93 mm				Main Limit	ing Factor(s	s) Workabılı	ty	
	ness Class I Potatoes 82 mm															
Wetness	Vetness Grade 3a Moisture Balance Wheat						28 m	n								
						Po	otatoe	es 25 mi	n			Remarks				
Droughtiness Grade 2 (Calculated to 120 cr							2	(Calc	ulated to 120	0 cm))					

rpt100ed