A1

Maidstone Borough Local Plan Site 46 Vicarage Field, Linton Hill, Linton Agricultural Land Classification ALC Map and Report September 1994

AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION REPORT

MAIDSTONE BOROUGH LOCAL PLAN SITE 46 VICARAGE FIELD, LINTON HILL, LINTON

1 Summary

- 11 ADAS was commissioned by MAFF's Land Use Planning Unit to provide information on land quality for a number of sites in the Maidstone Borough of Kent The work forms part of MAFF's statutory input to the preparation of the Maidstone Borough Local Plan
- 12 Site 46 comprises 1.9 hectares of land west of Linton Hill and north of Wheelers Lane in the village of Linton An Agricultural Land Classification (ALC) survey was carried out during August 1994 The survey was undertaken at a detailed level of approximately two borings per hectare of agricultural land surveyed A total of 4 borings and one soil inspection pit were described in accordance with MAFF s revised guidelines and criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land (MAFF 1988) These guidelines provide a framework for classifying land according to the extent to which its physical or chemical characteristics impose a long term limitation on its use for agriculture
- 1 3 At the time of the survey the land use on the site was pear orchards
- 14 The site is shown on the attached ALC map which has been drawn at a scale of 1 10 000 It is accurate at this scale but any enlargement would be misleading
- 15 Appendix I gives a general description of the grades subgrades and land use categories identified in the survey. The main classes are described in terms of the type of limitation that can occur the typical cropping range and the expected level and consistency of yield.
- 16 All of the agricultural land surveyed (19 ha) has been classified as Subgrade 3b moderate quality because of significant soil wetness and workability limitations Profiles typically comprise non calcareous heavy clay loams and heavy silty clay loams over similarly textured upper subsoils and clay lower subsoils The clay is poorly structured and its slowly permeable characteristics act to significantly impair drainage giving rise to soil wetness which may adversely affect crop growth and the utilisation of the land

2 Climate

2 1 The climatic criteria are considered first when classifying land as climate can be overriding in the sense that severe limitations will restrict land to low grades irrespective of favourable site or soil conditions

- 2.2 The main parameters used in the assessment of an overall climatic limitation are average annual rainfall as a measure of overall wetness and accumulated temperature (degree days Jan-June) as a measure of the relative warmth of a locality
- 2 3 A detailed assessment of the prevailing climate was made by interpolation from a 5km gridpoint dataset (Met Office 1989) The details are given in the table below and these show that there is no overall climatic limitation affecting the site However in a regional context the crop adjusted soil moisture deficits are relatively high and the field capacity days are relatively low at this locality. These climatic factors respectively increase the likelihood of soil droughtiness limitations and decrease the likelihood of soil wetness limitations.
- 2.4 No local climatic factors such as exposure or frost risk are believed to affect the site

Table 1 Climatic Interpolation

Grid Reference	TQ754496
Altıtude (m)	55
Accumulated Temperature	1447
(degree days Jan June)	
Average Annual Rainfall (mm)	681
Field Capacity (days)	138
Moisture Deficit Wheat (mm)	119
Moisture Deficit Potatoes (mm)	114
Overall Climatic Grade	1

3 Relief

31 The site occupies a gentle hillside falling through gradients of 2° from approximately 57m AOD along the northern site boundary to lie at approximately 50m AOD along the southern site boundary Neither gradient or relief impose any limitation to agricultural land quality

4 Geology and Soil

- 4 1 The relevant geological sheet (BGS 1976) shows the entire site to be underlain by Weald Clay
- 4 2 The published Soil Survey map (SSEW 1983) shows the Wickham 1 association at this site These soils are described as slowly permeable seasonally waterlogged fine silty over clayey fine loamy over clayey and clayey soils (SSEW 1983)
- 4 3 Detailed field examination found one soil type comprising heavy textured poorly drained soils across the entire site

5 Agricultural Land Classification

- 5 1 The distribution of Subgrade 3b land is shown on the attached ALC map
- 5 2 The location of the soil observation points are shown on the attached sample point map

Subgrade 3b

53 All of the land has been classed as moderate quality because of significant soil wetness and workability limitations Topsoils typically comprise very slightly stony non calcareous heavy clay loams and heavy silty clay loams These are underlain by similarly textured very slightly stony moderately structured upper At approximately 36 cm depth though occasionally deeper these subsoils profiles pass into very slightly to slightly stony clay lower subsoils. These clays are poorly structured and act to severely impede drainage as evidenced by gleving below and within the upper subsoils Consequently these profiles are assigned to Wetness Class IV and are typified by Pit 1 The interaction between these heavy topsoils and drainage status with the climatic conditions which prevail at this site means that this land can be classified as no better than Subgrade 3b This land may be subject to significantly reduced flexibility of cropping stocking and cultivations

ADAS Ref 2007/197/94 MAFF Ref EL 20/328 Resource Planning Team Guildford Statutory Group ADAS Reading

SOURCES OF REFERENCE

Geological Survey (1976) Sheet No 288 Maidstone 1 50 000 Series (solid and drift edition)

MAFF (1988) Agricultural Land Classification of England and Wales Revised guidelines and criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land

Meteorological Office (1989) Climatological Data for Agricultural Land Classification

Soil Survey of England and Wales (1983) Sheet 6 Soils of South East England 1 250 000 and accompanying legend

APPENDIX I

DESCRIPTION OF THE GRADES AND SUBGRADES

Grade 1 Excellent Quality Agricultural Land

Land with no or very minor limitations to agricultural use A very wide range of agricultural and horticultural crops can be grown and commonly includes top fruit soft fruit salad crops and winter harvested vegetables Yields are high and less variable than on land of lower quality

Grade 2 Very Good Quality Agricultural Land

Land with minor limitations which affect crop yield cultivations or harvesting A wide range of agricultural or horticultural crops can usually be grown but on some land of this grade there may be reduced flexibility due to difficulties with the production of the more demanding crops such as winter harvested vegetables and arable root crops The level of yield is generally high but may be lower or more variable than Grade 1 land

Grade 3 Good to Moderate Quality Land

Land with moderate limitations which affect the choice of crops the timing and type of cultivation harvesting or the level of yield When more demanding crops are grown yields are generally lower or more variable than on land in Grades 1 and 2

Subgrade 3a Good Quality Agricultural Land

Land capable of consistently producing moderate to high yields of a narrow range of arable crops especially cereals or moderate yields of a wide range of crops including cereals grass oilseed rape potatoes sugar beet and the less demanding horticultural crops

Subgrade 3b Moderate Quality Agricultural Land

Land capable of producing moderate yields of a narrow range of crops principally cereals and grass or lower yields of a wider range of crops or high yields of grass which can be grazed or harvested over most of the year

Grade 4 Poor Quality Agricultural Land

Land with severe limitations which significantly restrict the range of crops and/or the level of yields. It is mainly suited to grass with occasional arable crops (eg cereals and forage crops) the yields of which are variable. In moist climates yields of grass may be moderate to high but there may be difficulties in utilisation. The grade also includes very droughty arable land.

Grade 5 Very Poor Quality Agricultural Land

Land with severe limitations which restrict use to permanent pasture or rough grazing except for occasional pioneer forage crops

Urban

Built-up or 'hard' uses with relatively little potential for a return to agriculture including housing industry commerce education, transport religous buildings cemetries. Also hard-surfaced sports facilities permanent caravan sites and vacant land all types of derelict land including mineral workings which are only likely to be reclaimed using derelict land grants.

Non-agricultural

'Soft' uses where most of the land could be returned relatively easily to agriculture including private parkland public open spaces sports fields allotments and soft surfaced areas on airports Also active mineral workings and refuse tips where restoration conditions to soft' after-uses may apply

Woodland

Includes commercial and non-commercial woodland A distinction may be made as necessary between farm and non farm woodland

Agricultural Buildings

Includes the normal range of agricultural buildings as well as other relatively permanent structures such as glasshouses Temporary structures (eg polythene tunnels erected for lambing) may be ignored

Open Water

Includes lakes ponds and rivers as map scale permits

Land Not Surveyed

Agricultural land which has not been surveyed

Where the land use includes more than one of the above eg buildings in large grounds and where map scale permits, the cover types may be shown separately Otherwise the most extensive cover type will be shown

APPENDIX II

FIELD ASSESSMENT OF SOIL WETNESS CLASS

SOIL WETNESS CLASSIFICATION

Soil wetness is classified according to the depth and duration of waterlogging in the soil profile. Six soil wetness classes are identified and are defined in the table below

Definition of Soil Wetness Classes

Wetness Class	Duration of Waterlogging ¹
Ι	The soil profile is not wet within 70 cm depth for more than 30 days in most years 2
п	The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for 31 90 days in most years or , if there is no slowly permeable layer within 80 cm depth it is wet within 70 cm for more than 90 days but only wet within 40 cm depth for 30 days in most years
ш	The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for 91 180 days in most years or if there is no slowly permeable layer present within 80 cm depth it is wet within 70 cm for more than 180 days but only wet within 40 cm depth for between 31 90 days in most years
IV	The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for more than 180 days but not wet within 40 cm depth for more than 210 days in most years or if there is no slowly permeable layer present within 80 cm depth it is wet within 40 cm depth for 91 210 days in most years
v	The soil profile is wet within 40 cm depth for 211 335 days in most years
VI	The soil profile is wet within 40 cm depth for more than 335 days in most years

Soils can be allocated to a wetness class on the basis of quantitative data recorded over a period of many years or by the interpretation of soil profile characteristics site and climatic factors. Adequate quantitative data will rarely be available for ALC surveys and therefore the interpretative method of field assessment is used to identify soil wetness class in the field. The method adopted here is common to ADAS and the SSLRC

¹The number of days specified is not necessarily a continuous period

² In most years is defined as more than 10 out of 20 years

APPENDIX III

SOIL PIT AND SOIL BORING DESCRIPTIONS

Contents

Soil Abbreviations - Explanatory Note

Soil Pit Descriptions

Database Printout - Boring Level Information

Database Printout - Horizon Level Information

SOIL PROFILE DESCRIPTIONS EXPLANATORY NOTE

Soil pit and auger boring information collected during ALC fieldwork is held on a computer database This uses notations and abbreviations as set out below

Boring Header Information

- 1 **GRID REF** national 100 km grid square and 8 figure grid reference
- 2 USE Land use at the time of survey The following abbreviations are used

ARA	Arable	WHT	Wheat	BAR	Barley
CER	Cereals	OAT	Oats	MZE	Maize
OSR	Oilseed rape	BEN	Field Beans	BRA	Brassicae
РОТ	Potatoes	SBT	Sugar Beet	FCD	Fodder Crops
LIN	Linseed	FRT	Soft and Top Fruit	FLW	Fallow
PGR	Permanent Pasture	eLEY	Ley Grass	RGR	Rough Grazing
SCR	Scrub	CFW	Coniferous Woodland	DCW	Deciduous Wood
HTH	Heathland	BOG	Bog or Marsh	FLW	Fallow
PLO	Ploughed	SAS	Set aside	ОТН	Other
HRT	Horticultural Cro	os			

- 3 **GRDNT** Gradient as estimated or measured by a hand held optical clinometer
- 4 GLEY/SPL Depth in centimetres (cm) to gleying and/or slowly permeable layers
- 5 AP (WHEAT/POTS) Crop adjusted available water capacity
- 6 MB (WHEAT/POTS) Moisture Balance (Crop adjusted AP crop adjusted MD)
- 7 DRT Best grade according to soil droughtiness
- 8 If any of the following factors are considered significant 'Y' will be entered in the relevant column

MRELMicrorelief limitationFLOODFlood riskEROSNSoil erosion riskEXPExposure limitationFROSTFrost proneDISTDisturbed landCHEMChemical limitation

9 LIMIT The main limitation to land quality The following abbreviations are used

0 C	Overall Climate	AE	Aspect	EX	Exposure
FR	Frost Risk	GR	Gradient	MR	Microrelief
FL	Flood Risk	ТХ	Topsoil Texture	DP	Soil Depth
СН	Chemical	WE	Wetness	WK	Workability
DR	Drought	ER	Erosion Risk	WD	Soil Wetness/Droughtiness
ST	Topsoil Stonine	SS			-

12 - - - - 1

Soil Pits and Auger Borings

1 **TEXTURE** soil texture classes are denoted by the following abbreviations

S	Sand	LS	Loamy Sand	SL	Sandy Loam
SZL	Sandy Silt Loam	CL	Clay Loam	ZCL	Silty Clay Loam
ZL	Silt Loam	SCL	Sandy Clay Loam	С	Clay
SC	Sandy Clay	ZC	Silty Clay	OL	Organic Loam
P	Peat	SP	Sandy Peat	LP	Loamy Peat
PL	Peaty Loam	PS	Peaty Sand	MZ	Marine Light Silts

For the sand loamy sand sandy loam and sandy silt loam classes the predominant size of sand fraction will be indicated by the use of the following prefixes

- **F** Fine (more than 66% of the sand less than 0 2mm)
- M Medium (less than 66% fine sand and less than 33% coarse sand)
- C Coarse (more than 33% of the sand larger than 0 6mm)

The clay loam and silty clay loam classes will be sub-divided according to the clay content M Medium (<27% clay) H Heavy (27 35% clay)

- 2 MOTTLE COL Mottle colour using Munsell notation
- 3 MOTTLE ABUN Mottle abundance expressed as a percentage of the matrix or surface described
 - F few <2% C common 2-20% M many 20-40% VM very many 40% +
- 4 MOTTLE CONT Mottle contrast
 - **F** faint indistinct mottles evident only on close inspection
 - **D** distinct mottles are readily seen
 - P prominent mottling is conspicuous and one of the outstanding features of the horizon
- 5 **PED COL** Ped face colour using Munsell notation
- 6 GLEY If the soil horizon is gleyed a Y will appear in this column If slightly gleyed an S' will appear
- 7 STONE LITH Stone Lithology One of the following is used

HR	all hard rocks and stones	SLST	soft oolitic or dolimitic limestone
СН	chalk	FSST	soft fine grained sandstone
ZR	soft argillaceous or silty rocks	GH	gravel with non-porous (hard) stones
MSST	soft medium grained sandstone	e GS	gravel with porous (soft) stones
SI	soft weathered igneous/metamo	orphic ro	ck

Stone contents (>2cm, >6cm and total) are given in percentages (by volume)

8 STRUCT the degree of development size and shape of soil peds are described using the following notation

degree of development	WK weakly developed ST strongly developed	MD moderately developed
<u>ped size</u>	F fine C coarse	M medium VC very coarse
ped shape	S single grain GR granular SAB sub angular blocky PL platy	M massive AB angular blocky PR prismatic

9 CONSIST Soil consistence is described using the following notation

L loose VF very friable FR friable FM firm VM very firm EM extremely firm EH extremely hard

- 10 SUBS STR Subsoil structural condition recorded for the purpose of calculating profile droughtiness G good M moderate P poor
- 11 **POR** Soil porosity If a soil horizon has less than 0.5% biopores >0.5 mm a 'Y' will appear in this column
- 12 IMP If the profile is impenetrable to rooting a 'Y' will appear in this column at the appropriate horizon
- 13 SPL Slowly permeable layer If the soil horizon is slowly permeable a 'Y' will appear in this column
- 14 CALC If the soil horizon is calcareous a 'Y' will appear in this column

15 Other notations

- APW available water capacity (in mm) adjusted for wheat
- **APP** available water capacity (in mm) adjusted for potatoes
- MBW moisture balance wheat
- MBP moisture balance potatoes

SOIL PIT DESCRIPTION

Site Name	MAIDSTO	ONE LP SIT	E 46 (2)		Pit N	lumber	- 1	Ρ				
Grid Refe	Average Annual Rainfall Accumulated Temperature Field Capacity Level Land Use Slope and Aspect				i 68 144 138 02							
HORIZON 0- 30 30- 36 36- 65	texture HCL HZCL C	COLOUR 10YR52 0 10YR63 0 10YR63 0	STONES 0 0 0 0 0 0	S >2	TOT S 2 2 2	TONE	LITH HR HR HR	MOTTLES F M	STRUCTURE MDCSAB WKCSAB	CONSIST FM FM	SUBSTRUCTURE M P	CALC
Wetness G	rade 3B		Wetness Gleying SPL	Class	i	IV 036 036	cm cm					
Drought G	rade		APW APP	mm mm	MBW MBP		0mm 0mm					
FINAL ALC	GRADE 3	3B										

MAIN LIMITATION Wetness

E

SAMPLE --WETNESS-- -WHEAT -POTS- M REL EROSN FROST CHEM ALC ASPECT NO GRID REF USE GRDNT GLEY SPL CLASS GRADE AP MB AP MB DRT FLOOD EXP DIST LIMIT COMMENTS 1 TQ75374959 ORC S 02 028 036 4 3B 1P TQ75374959 ORC S 02 036 036 4 3B 0 0 WE 3B 0 0 WE 3B Pit dug to 65 2 TQ75344965 ORC SW 02 027 2 3A 0 0 WE 3B Imp 40 Re AB4 3 TQ75454958 ORC S 01 028 028 4 3B 0 4 TQ75354965 ORC SW 02 030 045 3 3B 0 0 WE 3B 0 WE 3B

page 1

program ALCO11

COMPLETE LIST OF PROFILES 13/10/94 MAIDSTONE LP SITE 46 (2) -----

					M	OTTLES	;	PED				-S	TONES		STRUCT	/	SUB	s			
S/	MPLE	DEPTH	TEXTURE	COLOUR	COL	ABUN	CONT	COL	G	LEY	>2	>6	LITH	TOT	CONSIS	T	STR	POR	IMP	SPL	CALC
-	1	0 28	hc]	10YR52 00							0	0	HR	2							
		28-36	hzc1	10YR53 00	10YR56	52 C				Y	0	0	HR	2			Μ				
-		36-70	с	10YR63 00	10YR68	72 M	l	DOMNOO	00	Y	0	0	HR	5			Ρ			Y	
	1P	0-30	hcl	10YR52 00							0	0	HR	2							
		30-36	hzcl	10YR63 00	10YR56	00 F					0	0	HR	2	MDCSAB	FM	м	Y			
		36-65	c	10YR63 00	10YR56	72 M	(DOMNOO	00	Y	0	0	HR	2	WKCSAB	FM	P	Ŷ		Y	
			-							•	-	-		-			•	•		•	
	2	0-27	hzcl	10YR52 00							0	0	HR	2							
_		27-40	hzcl	10YR63 00	10YR56	00 C	I	DOMNOO	00	Y	0	0	HR	5			M				
	2	0.20	h=0]	104052 00		00 F					0	^	uр	2							
	2	0-20	nzci	101855 00	101630						0	0	nĸ	~			~				
		28-60	с	101853 00	TUTROE	5 UU M				Y	U	U	нк	/			Р			Ŷ	
	4	0-30	hzcl	10YR52 00							0	0	HR	2							
		30-45	hzcl	10YR63 00	10YR68	00 M	l I	DOMMOO	00	γ	0	0	HR	5			Μ				
		45-60	с	10YR63 00	10YR68	52 M				Y	0	0	HR	5			Р			Y	

page 1