Natural England Commissioned Report NECR093

Local Records Centre Accreditation Implementation

Annex 1 - LRC Accreditation Survey

First published 10 July 2012

NATURAL ENGLAND

www.naturalengland.org.uk

LRC Accreditation Survey

1. Job Title and Local Record Cen	tre Name	
	Response Percent	Response Count
Position	100.0%	29
Name of Local Record Centre	100.0%	29
	answered question	29
	skipped question	1

n SurveyMonkey

2. How many professional staff ar	e employed at your LRC (full-time equivalents)?	
	Response Percent	Response Count
1-2	34.5%	10
3-4	37.9%	11
5-6	20.7%	6
7+	6.9%	2
	answered question	29
	skipped question	1

3. Who (job title) would be the competent person responsible for carrying out and auditing the accreditation process?

Response Count	Response Percent	
20	69.0%	Manager
0	0.0%	ICT Manager
1	3.4%	Biodiversity Data Officer
0	0.0%	Records Officer
8	27.6%	Other (please specify)
29	answered question	
1	skipped question	

4. When does your LRC plan to g	o through the process of accreditation?		
		Response Percent	Response Count
2011		19.2%	5
Between 2012 and 2014		73.1%	19
After 2014		3.8%	1
Never		3.8%	1
		answered question	26
		skipped question	4

5. Is your LRC considering applying for the advanced level?			
	Response Percent	Response Count	
Yes	25.0%	7	
No	25.0%	7	
Maybe	50.0%	14	
	answered question	28	
	skipped question	2	

Г

6. Which of the following potential benefits of accreditation are important to you?							
	Least important	Not important	Neutral	Important	Most Important	Rating Average	Response Count
Recognition of LRC professionalism	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	11.1% (3)	37.0% (10)	51.9% (14)	4.41	27
Support for securing funding	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	3.8% (1)	61.5% (16)	34.6% (9)	4.31	26
Getting our policies and processes sorted out	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	29.6% (8)	59.3% (16)	11.1% (3)	3.81	27
Improving our standards	0.0% (0)	3.7% (1)	22.2% (6)	63.0% (17)	11.1% (3)	3.81	27
Aligning with other LRCs	7.7% (2)	0.0% (0)	19.2% (5)	61.5% (16)	11.5% (3)	3.69	26
					Other (pleas	e specify)	0
					answered	question	27
					skipped	question	3

7. What do you assume it will cos accredited at the standard level?	ation application process and becon g staff time)	ne
	Response Percent	Response Count
£250-£500	59.3%	16
£500-£750	22.2%	6
£750-£1000	3.7%	1
£1000-£1500	7.4%	2
£1500-£2000	3.7%	1
£2000+	3.7%	1
	answered question	27
	skipped question	3

8. Does the LRC have permission	to access open source tools?	
	Response Percent	Response Count
Yes	53.8%	14
No	23.1%	6
Not sure	23.1%	6
	answered question	26
	skipped question	4

9. Are IT skills and expertise identified as a barrier for your LRC?			
	Response Percent	Response Count	
Yes	15.4%	4	
No	53.8%	14	
Maybe	30.8%	8	
	answered question	26	
	skipped question	4	

10. What do you identify as the main barriers to successfully completing the accreditation process?			
	Response Percent	Response Count	
Funding	37.0%	10	
Available staff time	92.6%	25	
Staff expertise	14.8%	4	
Capacity of ALERC to manage scheme	48.1%	13	
Lack of support from partners	14.8%	4	
Other (please specify)	11.1%	3	
	answered question	27	
	skipped question	3	

11. If you are not planning to apply in 2011 is the principal reason:				
		Response Percent	Response Count	
Finding the accreditation fee		27.3%	6	
Staff time to go through process		63.6%	14	
Distraction from day to day business		45.5%	10	
No perceived benefits		13.6%	3	
LRC not ready to meet criteria		40.9%	9	
Other (please specify)		50.0%	11	
		answered question	22	
		skipped question	8	

12. Which of the ten mandatory criteria do you consider difficult to achieve in the next three years and why?			
	Response Percent	Response Count	
6 - The LRC is accountable and transparent for its business and financial performance	14.8%	4	
8 - The LRC proactively engages with its users and providers	11.1%	3	
9 - The LRC employs sufficient professional staff, including a manager or equivalent, to maintain efficient and effective service to its users and providers	29.6%	8	
11 - The LRC manages species (flora and fauna) and habitat data for its area	25.9%	7	
13 - In its custodianship responsibilities, the LRC observes the Data Exchange Principles of the National Biodiversity Network	18.5%	5	
14 - The LRC ensures quality control of its species and habitat data through validation and verification systems.	22.2%	6	
15 - The LRC acts as a secure archive for the data in its care in perpetuity	11.1%	3	
17 - Species reporting requirements	3.7%	1	
18 - Habitat reporting requirements	29.6%	8	
19 - Reporting on Local Wildlife Sites and Local Geological Sites	14.8%	4	
None of them	22.2%	6	
	Please provide reasons why they will be difficult to achieve	19	

27	answered question
3	skipped question

13. Please choose the mandatory criterion which would be your top priority for training	
	Response Count
	17
answered question	17
skipped question	13

	Response Percent	Response Count
1 - The LRC is partnership led	19.2%	5
2 - The partnership includes, as a minimum, local authorities, statutory agencies, conservation NGOs and voluntary recorders	15.4%	4
3 - Impartiality is demonstrated in its constitution and policies	11.5%	3
4 - The LRC complies with the Environmental Information Regulations	11.5%	3
5 - The LRC has the legal status to be able to enter contractual agreements, either as an independent incorporated body or a part of a larger organisation	0.0%	0
7 - The geographical area covered does not overlap with any other LRC	3.8%	1
10 - The LRC is a Process Orientated Organisation	46.2%	12
12 - The LRC is responsible for datasets custodianship, and management of dataset copies, by agreement with its partners and data providers	3.8%	1
16 - The LRC offers a basic suite of products and services to its users	7.7%	2
20 - The LRC promotes high quality species and habitat recording	11.5%	3
	34.6%	

answered	question	26

skipped question

4

15. Please choose the non mandatory criterion which would be your top priority for training	
	Response Count
	18
answered question	18
skipped question	12

16. Taking the accreditation system (standard level) overall which of these statements do you agree with?		
	Response Percent	Response Count
The criteria are too difficult to achieve	4.3%	1
The criteria are challenging but achievable	73.9%	17
The criteria are too easy to achieve	0.0%	0
The criteria cover too many functions	4.3%	1
The criteria cover a valid range of functions	52.2%	12
The criteria cover too few functions	0.0%	0
	answered question	23
	skipped question	7

17. What additional suggestions do you have to improve the accreditation scheme process?	
	Response Count
	13
answered question	13
skipped question	17

18. Do you have any additional comments?	
	Response Count
	9
answered question	9
skipped question	21